

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

**~~AS FILED WITH THE
U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
ON APRIL 10, 2023~~**

File No. 812-[]

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2023

**~~UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE~~**

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington ~~WASHINGTON~~, D.C. 20549

~~Application Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act")
for an Order Granting Certain Exemptions from the Provisions of Sections~~
APPLICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 6(c) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940, AS AMENDED (THE "ACT") FOR AN
ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTIONS 18(a)(2), 18(c)
AND 18(i) THEREUNDER, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 6(c)
AND 23(c) OF THE ACT FOR AN ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS
FROM RULE 23c-3 THEREUNDER AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 17(d) OF THE ACT AND RULE 17d-1
THEREUNDER FOR AN ORDER PERMITTING CERTAIN ARRANGEMENTS.

~~an Order Granting Certain Exemptions from Rule 23c-3 Thereunder and Pursuant to Section 17(d) of the
1940 Act and Rule 17d-1 Thereunder for an Order Permitting Certain Arrangements~~
EXPEDITED REVIEW REQUESTED UNDER 17 CFR 270.0-5(d)

In the Matter of ~~the Application of:~~

Accordant ODCE Index Fund

PLEASE SEND ALL COMMUNICATIONS AND ORDERS TO:

**~~SEI ALTERNATIVE INCOME FUND
SEI INVESTMENTS MANAGEMENT CORPORATION
SEI INVESTMENTS DISTRIBUTION CO.~~**

~~One Freedom Valley Drive,
Oaks, Pennsylvania 19456~~

~~Please direct all communications regarding this application to:~~

~~Timothy D. Barto~~

~~Kevin Cahill, Esq.~~

~~William Bielefeld, Esq.~~

~~SEI Investments Company~~

~~One Freedom Valley Drive~~

~~Oaks, Pennsylvania 19456-~~

~~(610) 676-2533~~

~~tbarto@seic.com~~

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

Dechert LLP
633 West 5th Street, Suite 4900
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2032

~~Copies to~~ **WITH A COPY TO:**

Accordant ODCE Index Fund
6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

This Application (including Exhibits) contains [19] pages

Sean Graber
~~Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP~~
~~1701 Market Street~~
~~Philadelphia, PA 19103~~
~~(215) 963-5598~~
~~sean.graber@morganlewis.com~~

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

~~THIS APPLICATION (INCLUDING EXHIBITS) CONSISTS OF 65 PAGES.~~
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>I.</u>	<u>THE PROPOSAL</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>II.</u>	<u>STATEMENT OF FACTS</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>A. Accordant ODCE Index Fund (the “Initial Fund”)</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>B. Accordant Investments LLC</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>C. Other Provisions</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>III.</u>	<u>EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>A. The Multi-Class System</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>B. Early Withdrawal Charge</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>C. Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>IV.</u>	<u>COMMISSION AUTHORITY</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>V.</u>	<u>DISCUSSION</u>	<u>7</u>
	<u>A. Background</u>	<u>7</u>
	<u>B. Multiple Classes of Shares — Exemptions from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) under the Act</u>	<u>8</u>
	<u>C. Early Withdrawal Charge</u>	<u>11</u>
	<u>D. Waivers of Early Withdrawal Charges</u>	<u>13</u>
	<u>E. Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>VI.</u>	<u>APPLICANTS’ CONDITION</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>VII.</u>	<u>CONCLUSION</u>	<u>15</u>

I.	THE PROPOSAL	4
II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	4
	A. Initial Fund	4
	B. Adviser	2
	C. Distributor	3
	D. Other Provisions	3
III.	EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED	3
	A. The Multi-Class System	3

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

B.	<u>Early Withdrawal Charge</u>	3
C.	<u>Asset Based Distribution and/or Service Fees</u>	3
IV.	<u>COMMISSION AUTHORITY</u>	3
V.	<u>DISCUSSION</u>	4
A.	<u>Background</u>	4
B.	<u>Multiple Classes of Shares — Exemptions from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) of the 1940 Act</u>	5
C.	<u>Early Withdrawal Charge</u>	8
D.	<u>Waivers of EWCs</u>	10
E.	<u>Asset Based Distribution and/or Service Fees</u>	11
VI.	<u>APPLICANTS' CONDITION</u>	12
VII.	<u>CONCLUSION</u>	12

EXHIBITS

[Exhibit A — Resolutions of the Board of Trustees of Accordant ODCE Index Fund](#)

[Exhibit B — Verifications of Accordant ODCE Index Fund and Accordant Investments LLC](#)

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

~~Exhibit A Resolutions of the Initial Fund~~

~~Exhibit B Verifications~~

~~Exhibit C Marked Copies of the Application Showing Changes from the Final Versions of the Two Applications
Identified as Substantially Identical under Rule 0-5(e)(3)~~

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

~~Washington, DC 20549~~

IN THE MATTER OF:

ACCORDANT ODCE INDEX FUND
AND
ACCORDANT INVESTMENTS LLC

~~SEI Alternative Income Fund
SEI Investments Management Corporation
SEI Investments Distribution Co.
One Freedom Valley Drive
Oaks, Pennsylvania 19456~~

Investment Company Act of 1940

File No. 812-[•]

EXPEDITED REVIEW REQUESTED UNDER 17
CFR 270.0-5(d).

APPLICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 6(c) OF
THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940, AS
AMENDED (THE “~~1940~~-ACT”) FOR AN ORDER ~~OF~~
~~EXEMPTION~~GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS
FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTIONS 18(a)(2),
18(c) AND 18(i) THEREUNDER, AND PURSUANT
TO SECTIONS 6(c) AND 23(c) OF THE ~~1940~~-ACT
FOR AN ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN
EXEMPTIONS FROM RULE 23c-3 THEREUNDER
AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 17(d) OF THE ~~1940~~
ACT AND RULE 17d-1 THEREUNDER FOR AN
ORDER PERMITTING CERTAIN
ARRANGEMENTS

I. THE PROPOSAL

~~I. THE PROPOSAL~~

~~SEI Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund (the “Initial Fund”) is a ~~newly organized~~-Delaware statutory trust that ~~will operate~~is registered under the Act and that operates as a continuously offered, ~~registered~~ non-diversified, closed-end management investment company that ~~operates~~will be operated as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940~~-Act. ~~The Initial Fund will be advised by SEI Accordant Investments Management Corporation LLC (the “Adviser”) and distributed by SEI Investments Distribution Co. (the “Distributor”)~~will serve as the Initial Fund’s investment adviser. The Initial Fund, and the Adviser ~~and the Distributor~~ are referred to herein as the “Applicants.”

The Applicants hereby seek an order (the “Order”) from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) (i) pursuant to Section 6(c) of the ~~1940~~-Act, for an exemption from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) of the ~~1940~~-Act; (ii) pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 23(c) of the ~~1940~~-Act, for an exemption from Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940~~-Act and (iii) pursuant to Section 17(d) of the ~~1940~~-Act and Rule 17d-1 under the ~~1940~~-Act to permit the Initial Fund to issue multiple classes of shares (“~~Shares~~”) and to impose early withdrawal charges (“EWCs”) and asset-based distribution and/or service fees with respect to certain classes.

Applicants request that the Order also apply to any continuously offered registered closed-end management investment company that has been previously organized or that may be organized in the future for which the Adviser or ~~the Distributor or~~ any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Adviser ~~or the~~

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

~~Distributor~~, or any successor in interest to any such entity,¹ acts as investment adviser ~~or principal underwriter, respectively, and which~~ and that operates as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940~~ Act or provides periodic liquidity with respect to its ~~Shares~~ shares pursuant to Rule 13e-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) (each, a “Future Fund,” and together with the Initial Fund, the “Funds”). Any of the Funds relying on this relief in the future will do so in compliance with the terms and conditions of this application (the “Application”). Applicants represent that each entity presently intending to rely on the requested relief is listed as an Applicant.

The Initial Fund ~~will make a continuous public offering of its Shares.~~ filed an initial registration statement on Form N-2 (“Initial Registration Statement”) on May 12, 2023, which has not yet been declared effective by the Commission, seeking to register three classes of beneficial interest, “Class I Shares,” “Class Y Shares” and “Class A Shares,” under the Act and the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), each with its own fee and expense structure.² If the requested relief is granted, the Initial Fund ~~may also offer additional classes of Shares in the future, with each class having its own fee and expense structure~~ anticipates making a continuous public offering of its Class I, Class Y and Class A Shares. Additional offerings by any Fund relying on the Order may be on a private placement or public offering basis. If the Initial Fund’s Initial Registration Statement is declared effective prior to receipt of the requested relief, the Initial Fund will only offer one class of shares, the Class I Shares, until receipt of the requested relief.

Shares of the Funds will not be listed on any securities exchange ~~or, nor~~ quoted on any quotation medium, and the Funds do not expect there to be a secondary trading market for their ~~Shares~~ shares.

It is currently contemplated that the Initial Fund’s Class I and Class Y Shares will not be subject to distribution fees, but Class I may be subject to servicing fees. The Initial Fund’s Class A Shares may be subject to other expenses, including a distribution and service fee. The Initial Fund may impose EWCs. The Funds may in the future offer additional classes of Shares and/or another sales charge structure.

Applicants represent that any asset-based distribution and/or service fees for each class of ~~Shares~~ shares of the Funds will comply with the provisions of ~~Rule 2341 (the “Sales Charge Rule”)~~ of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (Rule 2341(d) (the “FINRA Sales Charge Rule”)). All references in the application to the FINRA Sales Charge Rule include any ~~FINRA~~ Financial Industry Regulatory Authority successor or replacement rule to the FINRA Sales Charge Rule.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. ~~A.~~ Accordant ODCE Index Fund (the “Initial Fund”)

The Initial Fund has filed a Notification of Registration Filed Pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Act on Form N-8A and an Initial Registration Statement on Form N-2 seeking to register Class I, Class Y and Class A Shares under the Act and under the Securities Act. As of the date of the filing of this Application, the Initial Fund’s Initial Registration Statement has not yet been declared effective by the Commission. The Initial Fund is a Delaware statutory trust. The Initial Fund is a non-diversified, closed-end investment company that will operate as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Act. The Initial Fund’s primary investment objective is to employ an indexing investment approach that seeks to track the NCREIF Fund Index – Open End Diversified Core Equity (the “NFI-ODCE Index”) on a net-of-fee basis while minimizing tracking error. Under normal market conditions, the Fund will seek to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its net assets, plus the amount of any borrowings for investment purposes, in real estate investment vehicles that comprise

¹ A successor in interest is limited to an entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of business organization.

² The Initial Registration Statement was filed under SEC filer number 333-271866.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

the NFI-ODCE Index (the “Eligible Component Funds”) . The Initial Fund’s address is 6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251.

If the relief requested herein is granted, the Initial Fund intends to offer Class I, Class Y and Class A Shares pursuant to a continuous public offering as discussed above.

~~The Initial Fund is a newly organized Delaware statutory trust that is registered under the 1940 Act as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company that operates as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the 1940 Act. The Initial Fund’s primary investment objective is to generate income, while the Initial Fund’s~~

~~[†]A successor in interest is limited to an entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of business organization.~~

~~secondary objective is long-term capital appreciation. The Initial Fund will pursue its investment objectives by investing primarily in collateralized loan obligations, structured notes, and warehousing facilities. The Initial Fund’s initial Registration Statement filed on Form N-2, which has not yet been declared effective by the Commission, seeks to register two classes of Shares, “Class Y Shares” and “Class F Shares,” each with its own fee and expense structure. If the Initial Fund’s initial Registration Statement is declared effective prior to receipt of the requested relief, the Initial Fund will only offer one class of Shares, Class F Shares, until receipt of the requested relief.~~

The Initial Fund has adopted a fundamental policy to repurchase a specified percentage of its ~~Shares (no less than 5%, and not more than 25%) at~~shares at per-class net asset value on a ~~periodic~~quarterly basis. Such repurchase offers will be conducted pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940 Act.~~Each³ ~~In order to rely on the requested relief, a~~ Future Fund will ~~likewise~~ adopt fundamental investment policies in compliance with Rule 23c-3 ~~under the 1940 Act~~ and make periodic repurchase offers to its shareholders or will provide periodic liquidity with respect to its ~~Shares~~shares pursuant to Rule 13e-4 under the Exchange Act.² Any repurchase offers made by the Funds will be made to all holders of ~~common Shares~~shares of each such Fund.

Each Fund operating as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940 Act~~ may offer its shareholders an exchange feature under which the shareholders of the Fund may, in connection with such Fund’s periodic repurchase offers, exchange their ~~Shares~~shares of the Fund for shares of the same class of (i) registered open-end investment companies, or (ii) other registered closed-end investment companies that comply with Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940 Act~~ and continuously offer their shares at net asset value, that are in the Fund’s group of investment companies (collectively, the “Other Funds”). Shares of a Fund operating pursuant to Rule 23c-3 that are exchanged for shares of Other Funds will be included as part of the ~~amount of the~~ repurchase offer amount for such Fund as specified in Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940 Act~~. Any exchange option will comply with Rule 11a-3 under the ~~1940 Act~~, as if the Fund were an open-end investment company subject to Rule 11a-3. In complying with Rule 11a-3, each Fund will treat an EWC as if it were a contingent deferred sales load (“CDSL”).^{3,4}

B. Accordant Investments LLC

~~Repurchase fees, if charged, will equally apply to additional classes of Shares and to all classes of Shares of a Fund, consistent with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and Rule 18f-3 thereunder. To the extent a Fund determines to waive, impose scheduled variations of, or eliminate any repurchase fee, it will do so consistently with the requirements of Rule 22d-1 under the 1940 Act as if the repurchase fee were a CDSL and as if the Fund were~~

³ Rule 23c-3 and Regulation M under the Exchange Act permit an interval fund to make repurchase offers to repurchase its shares while engaging in a continuous offering of its shares pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act.

⁴ A CDSL, assessed by an open-end fund pursuant to Rule 6c-10 of the Act, is a distribution related charge payable to the distributor. Pursuant to the requested order, any EWC will likewise be a distribution-related charge payable to the distributor as distinguished from a repurchase fee which is payable to the Fund to compensate long-term shareholders for the expenses related to shorter-term investors, in light of the Fund’s generally longer-term investment horizons and investment operations.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

~~an open-end investment company and the Fund's waiver of, scheduled variation in, or elimination of, any such repurchase fee will apply uniformly to all shareholders of the Fund regardless of class. If a Fund charges a repurchase fee, Shares of the Fund will be subject to a repurchase fee at a rate of no greater than 2.00% of the shareholder's repurchase proceeds if the interval between the date of purchase of the Shares and the valuation date with respect to the repurchase of those Shares is less than one year. A repurchase fee charged by a Fund is not the same as a CDSL assessed by an open-end fund pursuant to Rule 6c-10 under the 1940 Act, as CDSLs are distribution related charges payable to a distributor, whereas the repurchase fee is payable to the Fund to compensate long-term shareholders for the expenses related to shorter-term investors, in light of the Fund's generally longer-term investment horizons and investment operations.~~

B. Adviser

~~The Adviser is a Delaware corporation that is a wholly owned subsidiary of SEI Investments Company. The Adviser is~~ limited liability company and a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act"), ~~and will serve as. The Adviser serves as the Initial Fund's~~ investment adviser ~~for the Initial Fund~~ pursuant to an investment management advisory agreement (the "Investment Management Agreement"), ~~which will be. The Investment Management Agreement has been~~ approved by the Initial Fund's Board of Trustees ~~of (the Initial Fund "Board")~~, including a majority of the trustees who are not "interested persons" (as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the ~~1940 Act~~) of the Initial Fund, ~~and by the Initial Fund's initial/original~~ sole shareholder, in the manner required by Sections 15(a) and (c) of the Act. The Applicants are not seeking any exemptions from the provisions of the Act with respect to the Investment Management Agreement. Under the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, and subject to the authority of the Board, the Adviser will be responsible for the overall management of the Initial Fund's business affairs and selecting the Initial Fund's investments according to the Initial Fund's investment objectives, policies, and restrictions. The Adviser's address is 6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251.

²~~Rule 23c-3 and Regulation M under the Exchange Act permit an interval fund to make repurchase offers to repurchase its shares while engaging in a continuous offering of its shares pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.~~

³~~A CDSL, which may be assessed by an open-end fund pursuant to Rule 6c-10 of the 1940 Act, is a distribution related charge payable to the Distributor. Pursuant to the requested order, any EWC will likewise be a distribution related charge payable to the Distributor as distinguished from a repurchase fee, which is payable to a Fund to reimburse the Fund for costs incurred in liquidating securities in the Fund's portfolio.~~

2

~~1940 Act. The Applicants are not currently seeking any exemptions from the provisions of the 1940 Act with respect to the Investment Management Agreement. The Adviser will be responsible for managing the investment activities of the Initial Fund and the Initial Fund's business affairs.~~

C. Distributor

~~The Distributor is registered with the Commission as a broker-dealer under the Exchange Act, and will act as the distributor of the Initial Fund. The Distributor is under common control with the Adviser and is an affiliated person, as that term is defined in Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, of the Adviser.~~

C. D. Other Provisions

From time to time, the ~~Funds~~ Initial Fund may create additional classes of shares, the terms of which may differ from ~~the initial classes~~ Class I, Class Y and Class A Shares pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 18f-3 under the ~~1940 Act~~.

Each Fund will allocate all expenses incurred by it among the various classes of shares based on the net assets of that Fund attributable to each such class, except that the net asset value and expenses of each class will reflect the expenses associated with the distribution plan of that class (if any), service fees attributable to that class (if any), including transfer agency and custodial fees, and any other incremental expenses of that class. Incremental expenses of a Fund attributable to a particular class are limited to (i) incremental transfer agent fees identified by the transfer agent as being attributable to that class of shares; (ii) printing and postage expenses relating to preparing and distributing materials such as shareholder reports, prospectuses and proxies to current shareholders of that class of shares; (iii) federal registration fees incurred with respect to shares of that class of shares; (iv) blue sky fees incurred with respect to sales of that class of shares; (v) expenses of administrative personnel and services as required to support the shareholders of that class; (vi) auditors' fees, litigation expenses and other legal fees and expenses relating solely to that class of shares; (vii) additional trustees' fees incurred as a result of issues relating to that class of shares; (viii) additional accounting expenses relating solely to that class of shares; (ix) expenses incurred in

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

connection with shareholder meetings as a result of issues relating to that class of shares; and (x) any other incremental expenses subsequently identified that should be properly allocated to that class of shares consistent with Rule 18f-3 under the Act. Because of the different distribution fees, service fees and any other class expenses that may be attributable to each class of shares, the net income attributable to, and the dividends payable on, each class of shares may differ from each other. As a result, the net asset value per share of the classes may differ at times. Expenses of a Fund allocated to a particular class of shares will be borne on a pro rata basis by each outstanding share of that class. Distribution fees will be paid pursuant to a distribution plan with respect to a class.

Shares may be subject to an early repurchase fee at a rate of no greater than two percent of the shareholder's repurchase proceeds (an "Early Repurchase Fee") if the interval between the date of purchase of the shares and the valuation date with respect to the repurchase of those shares is less than one year. Any Early Repurchase Fee imposed by a Fund will apply to all classes of shares of the Fund, in compliance with Section 18 of the Act and Rule 18f-3 thereunder. To the extent a Fund determines to waive, impose scheduled variations of, or eliminate any Early Repurchase Fee, it will do so in compliance with the requirements of Rule 22d-1 under the Act as if the Early Repurchase Fee were a CDSL and as if the Fund were an open-end investment company and the Fund's waiver of, scheduled variation in, or elimination of, any such Early Repurchase Fee will apply uniformly to all shareholders of the Fund regardless of class. The Initial Fund does not intend to impose an Early Repurchase Fee.

III. EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED

III. EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED

A. ~~A.~~ The Multi-Class System

Applicants request exemptive relief to the extent that ~~the proposed a Fund's~~ issuance and sale of multiple classes of ~~Shares of a Fund may~~ shares might be deemed to result in the issuance of a class of "senior security"⁵ within the meaning of Section 18(g) of the ~~1940~~-Act that would violate the provisions of Section 18(a)(2) of the ~~1940~~-Act, violate the equal voting provisions of Section 18(i) of the ~~1940~~-Act, and if more than one class of senior security were issued, violate Section 18(c) of the ~~1940~~-Act.

B. ~~B.~~ Early Withdrawal Charge

Applicants request exemptive relief from Rule 23c-3(b)(1) to the extent that rule is construed to prohibit the imposition of an EWC by the Funds.

C. ~~C.~~ Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees

Applicants request an Order pursuant to Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1 to the extent necessary for a Fund to pay asset-based distribution and/or service fees.

IV. COMMISSION AUTHORITY

IV. COMMISSION AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the ~~1940~~-Act, the Commission may, by order on application, conditionally or unconditionally, exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions from any provision or provisions of the ~~1940~~-Act or from any rule or regulation under the ~~1940~~-Act, if

⁵ Section 18(g) defines senior security to include any stock of a class having a priority over any other class as to distribution of assets or payment of dividends. Share classes that have different asset-based service or distribution charges have different total expenses and, thus, different net incomes. As a result, each class will have a different NAV, receive a different distribution amount or both. A class with a higher NAV may be considered to have a priority as to the distribution of assets. A class receiving a higher dividend may be considered to have a priority over classes with lower dividends.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

and to the extent that the exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the ~~1940~~-Act.

Section 23(c) of the ~~1940~~-Act provides, in relevant part, that no registered closed-end investment company shall purchase securities of which it is the issuer, except: (a) on a securities exchange or other open market; (b) pursuant to tenders, after reasonable opportunity to submit tenders given to all holders of securities of the class to be purchased; or (c) under such other circumstances as the Commission may permit by rules and regulations or orders for the protection of investors.

Section 23(c)(3) provides that the Commission may issue an order that would permit a closed-end investment company to repurchase its shares in circumstances in which the repurchase is made in a manner or on a basis that does not unfairly discriminate against any holders of the class or classes of securities to be purchased.

Section 17(d) of the ~~1940~~-Act and Rule 17d-1 under the ~~1940~~-Act prohibit an affiliated person of a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such person, acting as principal, from participating in or effecting any

3

transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or joint arrangement in which the investment company participates unless the Commission issues an order permitting the transaction. In reviewing applications submitted under Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1, the Commission considers whether the participation of the investment company in a joint enterprise or joint arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the ~~1940~~-Act, and the extent to which the participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

V. DISCUSSION

V. DISCUSSION

A. ~~A.~~ Background

In its 1992 study entitled *Protecting Investors: A Half Century of Investment Company Regulation* (“*Protecting Investors*”), the Commission’s Division of Investment Management recognized that the ~~1940~~-Act imposes a rigid classification system that dictates many important regulatory consequences.^{4–6} For example, the characterization of a management company as “open-end” or “closed-end” has historically been crucial to the determination of the degree of liquidity ~~the~~^a fund’s shareholders will have, and thus the liquidity required of ~~the~~^{such} fund’s investments.

Furthermore, except as noted below, there has been no middle ground between the two extremes. Open-end funds have offered complete liquidity to their shareholders and thus required virtually complete liquidity of the underlying investments, while closed-end funds have been subject to requirements that in fact restrict the liquidity they are permitted to offer their investors. Under this bipolar system of regulation, neither form has provided the best vehicle for offering portfolios that have substantial, but not complete, liquidity. In *Protecting Investors*, the ~~staff~~^{Staff} determined that, given the changes in the securities market since 1940 — in particular the emergence of semi-liquid investment opportunities — it was appropriate to re-examine the classification system and its regulatory requirements.^{5–7}

~~One~~^{The one} exception to the liquid/illiquid dichotomy has been the so called “prime-rate funds.” These funds, first introduced in 1988, invest primarily in loans and provide shareholders liquidity through periodic tender offers or, more recently, periodic repurchases under Rule 23c-3.

Protecting Investors recognized that the rigidity of the ~~1940~~-Act’s classification system had become a limitation on sponsors’ ability to offer innovative products that would take advantage of the ~~wide~~^{vast} array of

⁶ SEC Staff Report, *Protecting Investors: A Half Century of Investment Company Regulation* 421 (May 1992), at 421.

⁷ *Id.* at 424.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

semi-liquid portfolio securities ~~that~~ currently ~~exist~~existing. The report also noted the pioneering efforts of the prime rate funds and the market success they had experienced.^{6,8} The report thus concluded that it would be appropriate to provide the opportunity for investment companies to “chart new territory” between the two extremes of the open-end and closed-end forms, consistent with the goals of investor protection.^{7,9} The Division of Investment Management thus recommended giving the industry the ability to employ new redemption and ~~repurchase~~repurchasing procedures, subject to Commission rulemaking and oversight.

In accordance with this recommendation, and shortly after *Protecting Investors* was published, the Commission proposed for comment a new rule designed to assist the industry in this endeavor.^{8,10} The Commission proposed Rule 23c-3, which began from the closed-end, illiquid perspective under Section 23(c), and provided flexibility to increase shareholder liquidity through periodic repurchase offers under simplified procedures. Rule 23c-3 was adopted in April ~~1993~~⁹ 1993.¹¹

The prime rate funds were cited in both *Protecting Investors* and the Proposing Release as the prototype for the interval concept.^{40,12} Nonetheless, while the prime rate funds ~~created~~broke the ~~model~~path for innovation in this area, developments since the origin of these funds make further innovation appropriate. Ample precedent exists for the implementation of a multi-class system and the imposition of asset-based distribution and/or service fees for which the Funds seek relief. Since 1998, the Commission granted relief to the following closed-end investment companies to issue multiple classes of shares, to impose EWCs and to impose distribution and/or service fees, e.g., SEI Alternative Income Fund, Nomura Alternative Income Fund, Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, Fidelity Private Credit Fund, Forum Real Estate Income Fund, Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, Monachil Credit Income Fund and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund.¹³

⁴SEC Staff Report, *Protecting Investors: A Half Century of Investment Company Regulation* (May 1992), at 421.

⁸ Id. at 439-40.

⁹ Id. at 424.

¹⁰ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 18869 (July 28, 1992) (the “Proposing Release”).

¹¹ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 19399 (April 7, 1993) (the “Adopting Release”). The Commission also had proposed Rule 22e-3, which began from the open-end, complete liquidity perspective under Section 22 of the Act, and permitted periodic or delayed, rather than constant liquidity. The Commission neither adopted nor withdrew proposed Rule 22e-3. To the Applicants’ knowledge, the Commission has taken no further action with respect to Rule 22e-3.

¹² Protecting Investors at 439-40; Proposing Release at 27.

¹³ See, e.g., SEI Alternative Income Fund, SEI Investments Distribution Co. and SEI Investments Management Corporation, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34909 (May 8, 2023) (notice) and 34937 (June 5, 2023) (order); Nomura Alternative Income Fund and Nomura Private Capital LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34871 (March 23, 2023) (notice) and 34889 (April 18, 2023) (order); Pender Real Estate Credit Fund and Pender Capital Management, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34859 (March 16, 2023) (notice) and 34882 (April 11, 2023) (order); JPMorgan Private Markets Fund et al, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34846 (March 6, 2023) (notice) and 34876 (April 3, 2023) (order); Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund and AlpInvest Private Equity Investment Management, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34832 (February 13, 2023) (notice) and 34852 (March 13, 2023) (order); Fidelity Private Credit Fund and Fidelity Diversifying Solutions LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34814 (January 24, 2023) (notice) and 34844 (March 3, 2023) (order); Forum Real Estate Income Fund and Forum Capital Advisors LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34811 (January 18, 2023) (notice) and 34834 (February 14, 2023) (order); Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc. et al, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34801 (January 10, 2023) (notice) and 34826 (February 7, 2023) (order); Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund and Fidelity Diversifying Solutions LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34796 (January 5, 2023) (notice) and 34823 (February 1, 2023) (order); Monachil Credit Income Fund and Monachil Capital Partners LP, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34792 (December 28, 2022) (notice) and 34813 (January 24, 2023) (order); and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund and Fitzgerald Investment Advisors, L.P., Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34758 (November 22, 2023) (notice) and 34789 (December 22, 2023) (order).

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

⁵ *Id.* at 424.

⁶ *Id.* at 439-40.

⁷ *Id.* at 424.

⁸ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 18869 (July 28, 1992) (the “Proposing Release”).

⁹ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 19399 (Apr. 7, 1993) (the “Adopting Release”). The Commission also had proposed Rule 22e-3, which began from the open-end, complete liquidity perspective under Section 22 of the 1940 Act, and permitted periodic or delayed, rather than constant liquidity. The Commission neither adopted nor withdrew proposed Rule 22e-3. To Applicants’ knowledge, the Commission has taken no further action with respect to Rule 22e-3.

¹⁰ *Protecting Investors*, at 439-40; Proposing Release, at 27.

4

multi-class system and the imposition of asset-based distribution and/or service fees for which the Applicants seek relief. Since 1998, the Commission has granted relief to the following closed-end investment companies, among others, to issue multiple classes of Shares, to impose EWCs and to impose distribution and/or service fees, e.g., Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund and Cantor Fitzgerald Investment Advisors, L.P., Emerald Strategic Innovation Interval Fund and Emerald Mutual Fund Advisors Trust, Alpha Alternative Assets Fund, Oaktree Diversified Income Fund Inc., PGIM Private Real Estate Fund, Inc., The Optima Dynamic Alternatives Fund, BNY Mellon Alcentra Opportunistic Global Credit Income Fund and BNY Mellon Investment Adviser, Inc., NB Crossroads Private Markets Access Fund LLC and Neuberger Berman Investment Advisors LLC, First Eagle Credit Opportunities Fund, et al., Primark Private Equity Investments Fund and Primark Advisors LLC, 361 Social Infrastructure Fund and 361 Infrastructure Partners, LLC, Resource Credit Income Fund and Sierra Crest Investment Management LLC, Keystone Private Income Fund and Keystone National Group, LLC, Hamilton Lane Private Assets Fund and Hamilton Lane Advisors, L.L.C., KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio, Priority Income Fund, Inc., Goldman Sachs Real Estate Diversified Income Fund, CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, Hartford Schroders Opportunistic Income Fund and Hartford Funds Management Company, LLC, IWS Credit Income Fund and IWS Capital Advisers, Lord Abbett Credit Opportunities Fund, Cliffwater Corporate Lending Fund and Cliffwater LLC, Destra International & Event Driven Credit Fund, and Hedge Fund Guided Portfolio Solution.¹¹

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

B. **B. Multiple Classes of Shares — Exemptions from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) of the 1940-Act**

¹¹ See Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund and Cantor Fitzgerald Investment Advisors, L.P., Investment Co. Rel. No. 34758 (Nov. 22, 2022) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34789 (Dec. 22, 2022) (Order); Emerald Strategic Innovation Interval Fund and Emerald Mutual Fund Advisers Trust, Investment Co. Rel. No. 34729 (Oct. 17, 2022) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34751 (Nov. 15, 2022) (Order); Alpha Alternative Assets Fund, Investment Co. Rel. No. 34530 (Mar. 9, 2022) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34555 (Apr. 5, 2022) (Order); Oaktree Diversified Income Fund Inc., Investment Co. Rel. No. 34436 (Dec. 10, 2021) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34464 (Jan. 5, 2022) (Order); PGIM Private Real Estate Fund, Inc., Investment Co. Rel. No. 34434 (Dec. 3, 2021) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34455 (Dec. 29, 2021) (Order); The Optima Dynamic Alternatives Fund, Investment Co. Rel. No. 34381 (Sept. 24, 2021) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34409 (Oct. 21, 2021) (Order); BNY Mellon Alcentra Opportunistic Global Credit Income Fund and BNY Mellon Investment Adviser, Inc., Investment Co. Rel. No. 34320 (June 29, 2021) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34344 (July 26, 2021) (Order); NB Crossroads Private Markets Access Fund LLC and Neuberger Berman Investment Advisers LLC, Investment Co. Rel. No. 34094 (Nov. 13, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34132 (Dec. 8, 2020) (Order); First Eagle Credit Opportunities Fund, et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 34080 (Oct. 30, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34126 (Dec. 1, 2020) (Order); Primark Private Equity Investments Fund and Primark Advisors LLC Investment Co. Rel. No. 34054 (Oct. 20, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34098 (Nov. 17, 2020) (Order); 361 Social Infrastructure Fund and 361 Infrastructure Partners, LLC Investment Co. Rel. No. 34051 (Oct. 15, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34091 (Nov. 10, 2020) (Order); Resource Credit Income Fund and Sierra Crest Investment Management LLC Investment Co. Rel. No. 34001 (Sept. 2, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 34033 (Sept. 29, 2020) (Order); Keystone Private Income Fund and Keystone National Group, LLC Investment Co. Rel. No. 33917 (July 1, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33957 (July 28, 2020) (Order); Hamilton Lane Private Assets Fund and Hamilton Lane Advisors, L.L.C. Investment Co. Rel. No. 33896 (June 17, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33926 (July 14, 2020) (Order); KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio and KKR Credit Advisors (US) LLC, Investment Co. Rel. No. 33840 (Apr. 16, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33863 (May 12, 2020) (Order); Prospect Capital Management L.P., et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 33800 (Feb. 19, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33822 (Mar. 24, 2020) (Order); Goldman Sachs Real Estate Diversified Income Fund, et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 33743 (Jan. 9, 2020) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33797 (Feb. 4, 2020) (Order); CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 33630 (Sept. 23, 2019) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33659 (Oct. 22, 2019) (Order); Hartford Schroders Opportunistic Income Fund and Hartford Funds Management Company, LLC, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 33610 (Aug. 27, 2019) (Notice) and Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 33632 (Sept. 24, 2019) (Order); IWS Credit Income Fund and IWS Capital Advisers, LLC, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 33556 (July 16, 2019) (Notice) and Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 33589 (Aug. 14, 2019) (Order); Lord Abbett Credit Opportunities Fund, et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 33513 (June 19, 2019) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33659 (July 16, 2019) (Order); Cliffwater Corporate Lending Fund and Cliffwater LLC, Investment Co. Rel. No. 33318 (Dec. 6, 2018) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33437 (Feb. 1, 2019) (Order); Destra International & Event Driven Credit Fund, et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 33268 (Oct. 11, 2018) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33293 (Nov. 9, 2018) (Order); and Hedge Fund Guided Portfolio Solution, et al., Investment Co. Rel. No. 33255 (Sept. 26, 2018) (Notice) and Investment Co. Rel. No. 33278 (Oct. 23, 2018) (Order).

5

Applicants request exemptive relief to the extent that ~~the Fund's~~ the Fund's issuance and sale of multiple classes of ~~Shares of a Fund~~ shares might be deemed to result in the issuance of a class of "senior security"¹² within the meaning of Section 18(g) of the ~~1940-Act~~ that would violate the provisions of Section 18(a)(2) of the ~~1940-Act~~, violate the equal voting provisions of Section 18(i) of the ~~1940-Act~~, and if more than one class of senior security were issued, violate Section 18(c) of the ~~1940-Act~~.

A registered closed-end investment company may have only one class of senior security representing indebtedness and only one class of stock that is a senior security. With respect to the class of stock that is a senior security, i.e., preferred stock, the preferred stock must have certain rights as described in Section 18(a)(2). Section 18(a)(2)(A) and (B) makes it unlawful for a registered closed-end investment company to issue a senior security that is a stock unless (a) immediately after such issuance it will have an asset coverage of at least 200% and (b) provision is made to prohibit the declaration of any distribution, upon its common stock, or the purchase of any such common stock, unless in every such case such senior security has at the time of the declaration of any such distribution, or at the time of any such purchase, an asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such distribution or purchase price, as the case may be. Section 18(a)(2)(C) and (D) makes it unlawful for a registered closed-end investment company to issue a senior security that is a stock unless stockholders have the right, voting separately as a class, to: (i) elect at least two directors at all times; (ii) elect a majority of the directors if, ~~at any time,~~ dividends on such class of securities have been unpaid in an amount equal to two full years' dividends on such securities; and (iii) approve any plan of reorganization adversely affecting their securities or any

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

action requiring a vote of security holders as set forth in ~~Section~~section 13(a).^{13,14} Section 18(a)(2)(E) requires that such class of stock will have “complete priority over any other class as to distribution of assets and payment of dividends, which dividends shall be cumulative.”

Section 18(i) provides:

Except as provided in subsection (a) of this section, or as otherwise required by law, every share of stock hereafter issued by a registered management company . . . shall be ~~a~~-voting stock and have equal voting rights with every other outstanding voting stock: *Provided*, That this subsection shall not apply . . . to shares issued in accordance with any rules, regulations, or orders which the Commission may make permitting such issue.

Finally, Section 18(c) of the ~~1940~~-Act provides that:

“it shall be unlawful for any registered closed-end investment company . . . to issue or sell any senior security which is a stock if immediately thereafter such company will have outstanding more than one class of senior security which is a stock,” except that “any such class of . . . stock may be issued in one or more series: ~~Provided, That~~provided, that no such series shall have a preference or priority over any other series upon the distribution of the assets of such registered closed-end company or in respect of the payment of interest or dividends~~-----~~.”

The multi-class system proposed herein may result in ~~Shares~~shares of a class having priority over another class as to payment of dividends and having unequal voting rights, because, ~~under the proposed system,~~ (i) shareholders of different classes would pay different distribution and/or service fees (and related costs as described above), different administrative fees and any other incremental expenses that should be properly allocated to a particular class, and (ii) each class would be entitled to exclusive voting rights with respect to matters solely related to that class.

Applicants believe that the implementation of the proposed multi-class system will enhance shareholder options. Under a multi-class system, an investor can choose the method of purchasing ~~Shares~~shares that is most beneficial given the amount of his or her purchase, the length of time the investor expects to hold his or her ~~Shares~~shares and other relevant circumstances. The proposed arrangements would permit a Fund to facilitate both the distribution of its securities and provide investors with a broader choice of shareholder services.

¹²~~Section 18(g) of the 1940 Act defines “senior security” as any bond, debenture, note or similar obligation or instrument constituting a security and evidencing indebtedness. This definition also includes any stock of a class having priority over any other class as to distribution of assets or payment of dividends.~~

¹³~~Section 13(a) requires, among other things, that a majority of the fund’s outstanding voting securities must approve converting to a mutual fund format.~~

6

By contrast, if a Fund were required to organize separate investment portfolios for each class of ~~Shares~~shares, the success of the new portfolios might be limited. Unless each new portfolio grew at a sufficient rate and to a sufficient size, it could be faced with liquidity and diversification problems that would prevent the portfolio from producing a favorable return.

Under the proposal, owners of each class of ~~Shares~~shares may be relieved under the multi-class system of a portion of the fixed costs normally associated with investing in investment companies because these costs potentially would be spread over a greater number of ~~Shares~~shares than they would be otherwise. As a Fund grows in volume of assets, the investors will derive benefits from economies of scale that would not be available at smaller volumes.

The Commission has long recognized that multiple class arrangements can be structured so that the concerns underlying the ~~1940~~-Act’s “senior security” provisions are satisfied. After having granted numerous exemptive orders (“multiple class exemptive orders”) to open-end investment companies permitting those funds to issue two or more classes of shares representing interests in the same portfolio,¹⁴~~the~~¹⁵ the Commission adopted

¹⁴ Section 13(a) requires, among other things, that a majority of the fund’s outstanding voting securities must approve converting to a mutual fund format.

¹⁵ See Sierra Trust Funds, et al., Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20093 (February 23, 1994) (notice) and Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20153 (March 22, 1994) (order); see also Exemption for Open-End Management Investment

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

Rule 18f-3 under the ~~1940~~ Act in 1995, which now permits open-end funds to maintain or create multiple classes without seeking individual exemptive orders, as long as certain conditions are met.^{15,16}

Applicants believe that the proposed closed-end investment company multiple class structure does not raise ~~the~~ concerns underlying Section 18 of the ~~1940~~ Act to any greater degree than open-end investment companies' multiple class structures. The proposed multiple class structure does not relate to borrowings and will not adversely affect a Fund's assets. In addition, the proposed structure will not increase the speculative character of ~~each~~ Fund's ~~Shares~~shares. Applicants also believe that the proposed allocation of expenses relating to distribution and voting rights is equitable and will not discriminate against any group or class of shareholders.

Applicants believe that the rationale for, and conditions contained in, Rule 18f-3 are as applicable to a closed-end investment company seeking to offer multiple classes of shares with varying distribution and/or service arrangements in a single portfolio as they are to open-end funds. Each Fund will comply with the provisions of Rule 18f-3 as if it were an open-end investment company, including, among others, its provisions relating to differences in expenses, special allocations of other expenses, voting rights, conversions and exchanges and disclosures. In fact, each Fund ~~will~~ in many ways ~~resemble~~resembles an open-end fund in its manner of operation and in the distribution of its ~~Shares~~shares.

In particular, the Funds will offer their ~~Shares~~shares continuously at a price based on net asset value, plus any applicable front-end sales ~~load~~charge. Differences among classes will, as detailed above, relate largely to differences in distribution and/or service arrangements. Applicants note that open-end and closed-end funds are subject to different technical provisions governing the issuance of senior securities. However, those technical differences do not appear relevant here. Although closed-end funds may not issue multiple classes of shares without exemptive relief, the Commission has granted specific exemptive relief to similarly-situated closed-end funds.^{16,17} Provisions regulating the issuance by closed-end funds of debt or preferred stock should have no bearing on an application by a closed-end fund for an exemptive order permitting the issuance of multiple classes of shares. Therefore, Applicants propose to base the conditions under which the Funds would issue multiple classes of shares on those contained in Rule 18f-3.

¹⁴ See Sierra Trust Funds, et al., Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20093 (Feb. 23, 1994) (notice) and Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20153 (Mar. 22, 1994) (order); see also Exemption for Open-End Management Investment Companies Issuing Multiple Classes of Shares; Disclosure by Multiple Class and Master-Feeder Funds, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 19955 (Dec. 15, 1993).

Act Rel. No. 20153 (March 22, 1994) (order); see also Exemption for Open-End Management Investment Companies Issuing Multiple Classes of Shares; Disclosure by Multiple Class and Master-Feeder Funds, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 19955 (December 15, 1993).

¹⁶ See Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20915 (February 23, 1995). As adopted, Rule 18f-3 creates an exemption for mutual funds that issue multiple classes of shares with varying arrangements for the distribution of securities and the provision of services to shareholders. In connection with the adoption of Rule 18f-3, the Commission also amended Rule 12b-1 under the Act to clarify that each class of shares must have separate 12b-1 plan provisions. Moreover, any action on the 12b-1 plan (i.e., trustee or shareholder approval) must take place separately for each class. The Commission has adopted amendments to Rule 18f-3 that expand and clarify the methods by which a multiple class fund may allocate income, gains, losses and expenses and that clarify the shareholder voting provisions of the rule.

¹⁷ See SEI Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Nomura Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Fidelity Private Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Forum Real Estate Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., *supra* note 13; Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Monachil Credit Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, *supra* note 13; Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, *supra* note 13; and AFA Multi-Manager Credit Fund *supra* note 13.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

¹⁵ See Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20915 (Feb. 23, 1995). As adopted, Rule 18f 3 creates an exemption for mutual funds that issue multiple classes of shares with varying arrangements for the distribution of securities and the provision of services to shareholders. In connection with the adoption of Rule 18f 3, the Commission also amended Rule 12b-1 under the 1940 Act to clarify that each class of shares must have separate 12b-1 plan provisions. Moreover, any action on the 12b-1 plan (i.e., trustee or shareholder approval) must take place separately for each class. The Commission has adopted amendments to Rule 18f 3 that expand and clarify the methods by which a multiple class fund may allocate income, gains, losses and expenses and that clarify the shareholder voting provisions of the rule.

¹⁶ See Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20915 (Feb. 23, 1995). As adopted, Rule 18f 3 creates an exemption for mutual funds that issue multiple classes of shares with varying arrangements for the distribution of securities and the provision of services to shareholders. In connection with the adoption of Rule 18f 3, the Commission also amended Rule 12b-1 under the 1940 Act to clarify that each class of shares must have separate 12b-1 plan provisions. Moreover, any action on the 12b-1 plan (i.e., trustee or shareholder approval) must take place separately for each class. The Commission has adopted amendments to Rule 18f 3 that expand and clarify the methods by which a multiple class fund may allocate income, gains, losses and expenses and that clarify the shareholder voting provisions of the rule.

7

~~for an exemptive order permitting the issuance of multiple classes of common shares. Therefore, Applicants propose to base the conditions under which the Funds would issue multiple classes of Shares on those contained in Rule 18f 3.~~

Applicants believe that the proposed allocation of expenses and voting rights relating to the asset-based distribution and/or service fees applicable to the different classes of ~~Shares~~shares of each Fund in the manner described above is equitable and would not discriminate against any group of shareholders. ~~Applicants are~~Each Applicant is aware of the need for full disclosure of the proposed multi-class system in ~~a~~each Fund's prospectus and of the differences among the various classes and the different expenses of each class of ~~Shares~~shares offered. Each Fund will include in its prospectus disclosure of the fees, expenses and other characteristics of each class of ~~Shares~~shares offered for sale by the prospectus, as is required for open-end multi-class funds under Form N-1A.^{17,18} Applicants also note that the Commission has adopted rule and form amendments to require registered open-end management investment companies to disclose fund expenses borne by shareholders during the reporting period in shareholder ~~reports~~¹⁸~~-reports~~¹⁹ and to describe in their prospectuses any arrangements that result in breakpoints in, or elimination of, sales loads.^{19,20} Each Fund will include these disclosures in its shareholder reports and prospectus.

Each Fund will comply with any requirements that the Commission or FINRA may adopt regarding disclosure at the point of sale and in transaction confirmations about the costs and conflicts of interest arising out of the distribution of open-end investment company shares, and regarding prospectus disclosure of sales loads and revenue sharing arrangements, as if those requirements applied to each Fund. In addition, each Fund will contractually require that any distributor of the Fund's ~~Shares~~shares comply with such requirements in connection with the distribution of such Fund's ~~Shares~~shares.

In June 2006, the Commission adopted enhanced fee disclosure requirements for fund of funds including registered funds of hedge ~~funds~~²⁰~~-funds~~.²¹ Applicants will comply with all such applicable disclosure requirements.

The requested relief is similar to the exemptions discussed above granted by the Commission to SEI Alternative Income Fund, Nomura Alternative Income Fund, Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, Fidelity Private Credit Fund, Forum Real Estate Income Fund, Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, Monachil Credit Income Fund and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund ~~and Cantor Fitzgerald Investment Advisors, L.P., Emerald Strategic Innovation Interval Fund and Emerald Mutual Fund Advisors Trust, Alpha Alternative Assets Fund, Oaktree~~

¹⁸ In all respects other than class-by-class disclosure, each Fund will comply with the requirements of Form N-2.

¹⁹ Shareholder Reports and Quarterly Portfolio Disclosure of Registered Management Investment Companies, Investment Company Act Rel. No. 26372 (Feb. 27, 2004) (adopting release).

²⁰ Disclosure of Breakpoint Discounts by Mutual Funds, Investment Company Act Rel. No. 26464 (June 7, 2004) (adopting release)

²¹ Fund of Funds Investments, Investment Company Act Rel. Nos. 26198 (Oct. 1 2003) (proposing release) and 27399 (Jun. 20, 2006) (adopting release). See also Rules 12d1-1, *et seq.* of the Act.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

~~Diversified Income Fund Inc., PGIM Private Real Estate Fund, Inc., The Optima Dynamic Alternatives Fund, BNY Mellon Alcentra Opportunistic Global Credit Income Fund and BNY Mellon Investment Adviser, Inc., NB Crossroads Private Markets Access Fund LLC and Neuberger Berman Investment Advisers LLC, First Eagle Credit Opportunities Fund, et al.; Primark Private Equity Investments Fund and Primark Advisors LLC, 361 Social Infrastructure Fund and 361 Infrastructure Partners, LLC, Resource Credit Income Fund and Sierra Crest Investment Management LLC, Keystone Private Income Fund and Keystone National Group, LLC, Hamilton Lane Private Assets Fund and Hamilton Lane Advisors, L.L.C., KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio, Priority Income Fund, Inc., Goldman Sachs Real Estate Diversified Income Fund, CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, Hartford Schroders Opportunistic Income Fund and Hartford Funds Management Company, LLC, IWS Credit Income Fund and IWS Capital Advisers, Lord Abbett Credit Opportunities Fund, Cliffwater Corporate Lending Fund and Cliffwater LLC, Destra International & Event Driven Credit Fund, Hedge Fund Guided Portfolio Solution.~~²¹,²² Accordingly, Applicants believe there is ample precedent for the implementation of a multi-class system.

C. ~~C.~~ Early Withdrawal Charge

Rule 23c-3 under the ~~1940~~ Act permits an interval fund to make repurchase offers of between ~~5%~~five and ~~25%~~twenty-five percent of its outstanding shares at net asset value at periodic intervals pursuant to a fundamental policy of the interval fund. Rule 23c-3(b)(1) requires an interval fund to repurchase shares at net asset value and expressly permits the

¹⁷~~In all respects other than class-by-class disclosure, each Fund will comply with the requirements of Form N-2.~~

¹⁸~~Shareholder Reports and Quarterly Portfolio Disclosure of Registered Management Investment Companies, Investment Company Act Rel. No. 26372 (Feb. 27, 2004) (adopting release).~~

¹⁹~~Disclosure of Breakpoint Discounts by Mutual Funds, Investment Company Act Rel. No. 26464 (June 7, 2004) (adopting release).~~

²⁰~~Fund of Funds Investments, Investment Company Act Rel. Nos. 26198 (Oct. 1, 2003) (proposing release) and 27399 (June 20, 2006) (adopting release). See also Rules 12d1-1, et seq. of the 1940 Act.~~

²¹~~See supra note 11.~~

8

interval fund to deduct from repurchase proceeds only a repurchase fee, not to exceed ~~2.00%~~two percent of proceeds, that is paid to the interval fund and is reasonably intended to compensate the fund for expenses directly related to the repurchase.

Applicants seek relief from this requirement of Rule 23c-3(b)(1) to the extent necessary for the Funds to impose EWCs, ~~which are distribution related fees payable to the Distributor, on Shares~~ on shares submitted for repurchase that have been held for less than a specified period. The Funds ~~are seeking the ability~~may seek to impose EWCs that are the functional equivalent of the CDSLs that open-end investment companies may charge under Rule 6c-10 under the ~~1940~~ Act. The Funds ~~would~~may assess EWCs in much the same way non-interval funds currently assess EWCs. As more fully described below, these charges ~~would~~will be paid to the ~~Distributor~~distributor and are functionally similar to CDSLs imposed by open-end funds. Relief to permit the imposition of EWCs would be consistent with the approach the Commission has taken with respect to CDSLs imposed by open-end funds ~~that~~which offer their securities continuously, as ~~each~~the Initial Fund ~~would~~intends to do for its ~~Shares~~common shares. Any EWC imposed by the Funds will comply with Rule 6c-10 under the ~~1940~~ Act as if the rule were applicable to closed-end funds.

In the Adopting Release, the Commission stated that “the requirement [of Rule 23c-3(b)(1)] that repurchases take place at net asset value and the limitation of repurchase fees to no greater than two percent implicitly preclude the imposition” of ~~CDSLs~~²²-CDSLs.²³ The Commission stated, however, that even though it was

²² See SEI Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Nomura Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Fidelity Private Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Forum Real Estate Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., *supra* note 13; Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Monachil Credit Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, *supra* note 13; Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, *supra* note 13; and AFA Multi-Manager Credit Fund *supra* note 13.

²³ Adopting Release. Rule 23c-3(b)(1) provides in pertinent part: “The company shall repurchase the stock for cash at net asset value determined on the repurchase pricing date. . . . The company may deduct from the repurchase

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

not proposing any provisions regarding the use of CDSLs by interval funds, such consideration may be appropriate after the Commission considers whether to adopt proposed Rule 6c-10, which would permit the imposition of CDSLs by open-end companies, and has the opportunity to monitor the effects of the ~~NASD sales charge rule~~ FINRA Sales Charge Rule upon distribution charges of open-end companies, which goes into effect in July of [1993].^{23,24}

Since adopting Rule 23c-3, the Commission has adopted Rule 6c-10. That rule adopts a flexible approach, and permits open-end funds to charge CDSLs as long as (i) the amount of the CDSL does not exceed a specified percentage of net asset value or offering price at the time of the purchase, (ii) the terms of the sales load comply with the provisions of the FINRA Sales Charge Rule, governing sales ~~loads~~ charges for open-end funds and (iii) deferred sales loads are imposed in a ~~nondiscriminatory~~ non-discriminatory fashion (scheduled variations or elimination of sales loads in accordance with Rule 22d-1 are permitted). Rule 6c-10 is grounded in policy considerations supporting the employment of CDSLs where there are adequate safeguards for the investor. These same policy considerations support imposition of EWCs in the interval fund context and are a solid basis for the Commission to grant exemptive relief to permit interval funds to impose EWCs.

With respect to the policy considerations supporting imposition of EWCs, as the Commission recognized when it promulgated Rule 23c-3, several non-interval funds that had been making periodic repurchase offers to their shareholders imposed early withdrawal charges comparable to CDSLs.^{24,25} Traditional closed-end funds, which do not regularly offer to repurchase shares, do not generally impose EWCs although nothing in the ~~1940~~-Act would preclude them from doing so. Section 23(c)(2) of the ~~1940~~-Act does not regulate the price at which shares may be purchased in a tender offer. When a closed-end fund continuously offers its shares at net asset value and provides its shareholders with periodic opportunities to tender their shares, however, the fund's distributor (like the distributor of an open-end fund) may need to recover distribution costs from shareholders who exit their investments early. ~~In the case of the Initial Fund's initial share class, the Distributor may pay out of its own resources compensation to selected dealers that sell Fund Shares at the time of sale, based on the dollar amount of the Shares sold by the dealer.~~ Moreover, like open-end funds, interval funds need to discourage investors from moving their money quickly in and out of the fund, a practice that imposes costs on all shareholders.

~~Neither the Proposing Release nor the Adopting Release suggests that the purpose underlying Rule 23c-3(b)(1)'s requirements that repurchases take place at net asset value is to preclude interval funds from imposing EWCs. Rather, its purpose is to prohibit funds from discriminating among shareholders in prices paid for shares tendered in~~

~~²² Adopting Release, Rule 23c-3(b)(1) provides in pertinent part: The company shall repurchase the stock for cash at net asset value determined on the repurchase pricing date. The company may deduct from the repurchase proceeds only a repurchase fee not to exceed two percent of the proceeds, that is paid to the company for expenses directly related to the repurchase.~~

~~²³ Id.~~

~~²⁴ Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.c. Section 23(c)(2) of the 1940 Act does not require that repurchases be made at net asset value.~~

9

Neither the Proposing Release nor the Adopting Release suggests that the purpose underlying Rule 23c-3(b)(1)'s requirements that repurchases take place at net asset value is to preclude interval funds from imposing EWCs. Rather, its purpose is to prohibit funds from discriminating among shareholders in prices paid for shares tendered in a repurchase offer.^{25,26} The best price rules under Rule 23c-1(a)(9) of the ~~1940~~-Act and Rule 13e-4(f)(8)(ii) of the Exchange Act address this same concern. The Commission staff does not construe those

at net asset value determined on the repurchase pricing date. . . . The company may deduct from the repurchase proceeds only a repurchase fee not to exceed two percent of the proceeds, that is paid to the company for expenses directly related to the repurchase."

²⁴ Id.

²⁵ Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.c. Section 23(c)(2) does not require that repurchases be made at net asset value.

²⁶ See Proposing Release, Section II.A.7; Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

rules to forbid closed-end funds making repurchase offers under Section 23(c)(2) from imposing EWCs.²⁶⁻²⁷ There is, in Applicants' view, no rational basis to apply Rule 23c-3(b)(1)'s requirements differently. Moreover, each Fund will be treating all similarly situated shareholders the same. Each Fund will disclose to all shareholders the applicability of the EWCs (and any scheduled waivers of the EWC) to each category of shareholders and, as a result, no inequitable treatment of shareholders with respect to the price paid in a repurchase offer will result. Each Fund also will disclose EWCs in accordance with the requirements of Form N-1A concerning CDSLs as if the Fund were an open-end investment company.

As required by Rule 6c-10 for open-end funds, each Fund relying on the Order will comply with shareholder service and distribution ~~and/or service~~-fee limits imposed by the FINRA Sales Charge Rule on the same basis as if it were an open-end investment company. In this regard, a Fund will pay ~~distribution~~service and/or ~~service~~distribution fees pursuant to plans that are designed to meet the requirements of the FINRA Sales Charge Rule on the same basis as if it were an open-end investment company subject to that rule.

The Commission has previously granted the same type of exemptive relief requested herein.²⁷⁻²⁸ In each case, the Commission granted relief from Rule 23c-3(b)(1) to an interval fund to charge EWCs to certain shareholders who tender for repurchase shares that have been held for less than a specified period.

D. ~~D.~~-Waivers of ~~EWCs~~Early Withdrawal Charges

Each Fund may grant waivers of the EWCs on repurchases in connection with certain categories of shareholders or transactions established from time to time. Each Fund will apply the EWC (and any waivers ~~or~~, scheduled variations, or eliminations of the EWC) uniformly to all shareholders in a given class and consistently with the requirements of Rule 22d-1 under the ~~1940~~-Act as if the ~~Fund was an~~Funds were open-end investment company. ~~The Shares that benefit from such companies. It is anticipated that a Fund will grant~~ waivers ~~are less likely to be the~~ of the EWC only under circumstances where the granting of such waiver is unlikely to cause ~~of~~ rapid turnover in ~~Shares~~shares of ~~at the~~ Fund, particularly where there are also important policy reasons to waive the EWC, such as when ~~Shares~~shares are tendered for repurchase due to the death, disability or retirement of the shareholder. Events such as death, disability or retirement are not likely to cause high turnover in ~~Shares~~shares of a Fund, and financial needs on the part of the shareholder or the shareholder's family are often precipitated by such events. The EWC may also be waived in connection with a number of additional circumstances, including the following repurchases of ~~Shares~~shares held by employer sponsored benefit plans: (i) repurchases to satisfy participant loan advances; (ii) repurchases in connection with distributions qualifying under the hardship provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; and (iii) repurchases representing returns of excess contributions to such plans. Furthermore, if a distributor has not incurred significant promotional expenses (by making up-front payments to selling dealers) in connection with attracting shareholders in a particular category to ~~a Fund~~the Funds, the waiver of the EWC works to shareholders' advantage while not harming the distributor economically.

In adopting amended Rule 22d-1 in February 1985, the Commission recognized that the adoption of Rule 22c-1 to "require forward pricing of fund shares largely dispelled concerns about share dilution." Furthermore, "the sales load variations that have been instituted [through Rules 22d-1 through 22d-5 and exemptive orders prior to February 1985] have improved the competitive environment for the sale of fund shares without disrupting the distribution system for the sale of those shares."²⁸⁻²⁹ In light of these circumstances, the Commission believed that

²⁷ See Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.c. (recognizing that several closed-end funds making periodic repurchases pursuant to Section 23(c)(2) impose early withdrawal charges).

²⁸ See SEI Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Nomura Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Carlyle AlInvest Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Fidelity Private Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Forum Real Estate Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., *supra* note 13; Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Monachil Credit Income Fund, *supra* note 13; and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, *supra* note 13.

²⁹ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 14390 (February 2, 1985).

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

“it is appropriate to permit a broader range of scheduled variation” as permitted in amended Rule 22d-1.²⁹–¹.³⁰ Rule 22d-1 permits open-end funds to sell their shares at prices that reflect scheduled “variations in, or elimination of, the sales load to particular classes of investors or transactions” provided that the conditions of the rule are met. When Rule 22d-1 was adopted, the status of CDSLs for open-end funds and waivers of those charges were not covered by any rule and were the subject of exemptive orders. Rule 6c-10 permitting CDSLs for open-end funds, adopted in April 1995, ~~which permits CDSLs for open-end funds, also~~ permits scheduled variations in, or elimination of, CDSLs for a particular class of shareholders or transactions, provided that

²⁵ See Proposing Release, Section II.A.7; Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.

²⁶ See Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.e. (recognizing that several closed-end funds making periodic repurchases pursuant to Section 23(c)(2) impose early withdrawal charges).

²⁷ See supra note 11.

²⁸ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 14390 (Feb. 2, 1985).

²⁹ *Id.*

10

the conditions of Rule 22d-1 are satisfied.³⁰–³¹ The same policy concerns and competitive benefits applicable to scheduled variations in or elimination of sales loads for open-end funds are applicable to interval funds and the same safeguards built into Rules 22d-1 and 6c-10 that protect the shareholders of open-end funds will protect the shareholders of interval funds so long as interval funds comply with those rules as though applicable to interval funds.

Applicants submit that it would be impracticable and contrary to the purpose of Rule 23c-3 to preclude interval funds from providing for scheduled variations in, or elimination of, EWCs, subject to appropriate safeguards.

E. ~~E.~~ **Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees**

Applicants request relief from the provisions of Section 17(d) of the ~~1940~~-Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder, to the extent necessary to permit the Funds to impose asset-based distribution and/or service fees (in a manner analogous to Rule 12b-1 fees for an open-end investment company). Section 12(b) of the ~~1940~~-Act and Rule 12b-1 thereunder do not apply to closed-end investment companies. Accordingly, no provisions of the ~~1940~~-Act or the rules thereunder explicitly limits the ability of a closed-end fund to impose a distribution and/or service fee.³¹³²

Section 17(d) of the ~~1940~~-Act prohibits an affiliated person of ~~(or principal underwriter for)~~ a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such person, acting as principal, from effecting ~~or engaging in~~ any transaction in which such registered company is a joint, or a joint and several, participant, in contravention of Commission regulations. Rule 17d-1 provides that no joint transaction covered by the rule may be consummated unless the Commission issues an order upon application.

In reviewing applications pursuant to Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1, the Commission considers whether an investment company’s participation in a joint enterprise or joint arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the ~~1940~~-Act, and the extent to which the participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants. Section 17(d) of the ~~1940~~-Act is intended to prevent or limit abuses arising from conflicts of interest; however, Section 17(d) itself does not prohibit any specific activities, but instead, authorizes the Commission to approve rules to limit or prevent an investment company from being a joint participant

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ Rule 22d-1 requires that the scheduled variations in or elimination of the sales load must apply uniformly to all offerees in the class specified and the company must disclose to existing shareholders and prospective investors adequate information concerning any scheduled variation, revise its prospectus and statement of additional information to describe any new variation before making it available to purchasers, and advise existing shareholders of any new variation within one year of when first made available.

³² Applicants do not concede that Section 17(d) applies to the asset-based distribution and/or service fees discussed herein, but requests this exemption to eliminate any uncertainty.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

on a different or less advantageous basis than other participants. Under Rule 17d-1, it is unlawful for an affiliated person, acting as principal, to participate in or effect any transaction in connection with a joint enterprise or other joint arrangement in which the investment company is a participant, without prior Commission approval. The protections provided for in Section 17(d) essentially allow the Commission to set standards for all transactions concerning an investment company and an affiliate which could be construed as self-dealing or involve overreaching by the affiliate to the detriment of the investment company.

~~Each Fund will comply with the~~The protections developed and approved by the Commission for open-end investment companies in Rule 12b-1 ~~will be complied with by each Fund~~ in connection with its plan with respect to each class of ~~Shares~~shares as if the Fund were an open-end management investment company.

Therefore, the Funds will participate in substantially the same way and under substantially the same conditions as would be the case with an open-end investment company imposing distribution and/or service fees under Rule 12b-1.

Applicants note that, at the same time the Commission adopted Rule 12b-1,³² ~~1,~~³³ it also adopted Rule 17d-3 to provide an exemption from Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1 to the extent necessary ~~to allow~~ for arrangements between open-end funds and their affiliated persons or principal underwriters (or affiliated persons of such persons or principal underwriters) whereby payments are made by the open-end fund with respect to distribution, if such agreements are entered into in compliance with Rule 12b-1. In its adopting release, the Commission stated as follows:

³⁰~~Rule 22d-1 requires that the scheduled variations in or elimination of the sales load must apply uniformly to all offerees in the class specified and the company must disclose to existing shareholders and prospective investors adequate information concerning any scheduled variation, revise its prospectus and statement of additional information to describe any new variation before making it available to purchasers, and advise existing shareholders of any new variation within one year of when first made available.~~

³¹~~Applicants do not concede that Section 17(d) applies to the asset-based distribution and/or service fees discussed herein, but requests this exemption to eliminate any uncertainty.~~

³²~~See Bearing of Distribution Expenses by Mutual Funds, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 11414 (Oct. 28, 1980).~~

11

The Commission wishes to emphasize that it has no intention of categorizing certain transactions as raising the applicability of Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-3 of the ~~1940~~-Act. The Commission's only comment is that to the extent that arrangements in which a fund pays for its distribution costs could involve the fund in a 'joint enterprise' with an affiliated person, and if such arrangements were entered into in compliance with Rule 12b-1, the Commission sees no need for prior Commission review and approval of the arrangements.³³34

As closed-end management investment companies, the Funds may not rely on Rule 17d-3. However, in light of the foregoing, Applicants believe any Section 17(d) concerns the Commission might have in connection with a Fund's financing the distribution of its ~~Shares~~shares should be resolved by ~~the~~such Fund's undertaking to comply with the provisions of Rules 12b-1 and 17d-3 as if those rules applied to closed-end investment companies. Accordingly, the Funds will comply with Rules 12b-1 and 17d-3 as if those rules applied to closed-end investment companies. The Funds represent that the Funds' imposition of asset-based distribution and/or service fees is consistent with factors considered by the Commission in reviewing applications for relief from Section 17(d) of the ~~1940~~-Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder (*i.e.*, that the imposition of such fees as described is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the ~~1940~~-Act and does not involve participation on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants).

VI. ~~VI.~~ APPLICANTS' CONDITION

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

³³ See Bearing of Distribution Expenses by Mutual Funds, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 11414 (October 28, 1980).

³⁴ Id.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

Each Fund relying on the Order will comply with the provisions of Rules 6c-10, 12b-1, 17d-3, 18f-3, 22d-1, and, where applicable, 11a-3 under the ~~1940~~ Act, as amended from time to time, as if those rules applied to closed-end management investment companies, and will comply with the FINRA Sales Charge Rule, as amended from time to time, as if that rule applied to all closed-end management investment companies.

VII. ~~VII.~~ CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Applicants submit that the exemptions requested are necessary and appropriate in the public interest and are consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the ~~1940~~ Act. Applicants further submit that the relief requested pursuant to Section 23(c)(3) will be consistent with the protection of investors and will ~~ensure~~insure that Applicants do not unfairly discriminate against any holders of the class of securities to be purchased. Applicants ~~also believe that the requested relief meets the standards for relief in Section 17(d) of the 1940 Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder.~~ Applicants desire that the Commission issue the requested Order pursuant to Rule 0-5 under the ~~1940~~ Act without conducting a hearing.

Applicants submit that the exemptions requested conform substantially to the precedent cited herein.³⁴³⁵

As required by Rule 0-2(c)(1) under the ~~1940~~ Act, each Applicant hereby states that all of the requirements for execution and filing of this Application have been complied with in accordance with the organizational documents of the Applicants, as applicable, and the undersigned officers of the Applicants are fully authorized to execute this Application. The resolutions of the Initial ~~Fund~~Fund's Board of Trustees are attached as Exhibit A to this Application in accordance with the requirements of Rule 0-2(c)(1) under the ~~1940~~ Act and the verifications required by Rule 0-2(d) under the ~~1940~~ Act are attached as Exhibit B to this Application. ~~In accordance with the requirements for a request for expedited review of this Application, marked copies of two recent applications seeking the same relief as Applicants that are substantially identical as required by Rule 0-5(e) of the 1940 Act are attached as Exhibits C and D.~~

Pursuant to Rule 0-2(f) under the ~~1940~~ Act, the Applicants' ~~address is stated on the first page of this Application, and~~ state that their address is c/o Accordant ODCE Index Fund, 6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (Initial Fund) and 6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (Adviser) and that all written communications regarding this Application should be directed to the individuals and addresses indicated on the first page of this Application.

* * * * *

~~/Signature Page Follows/~~

³⁵ See SEI Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Nomura Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Carlyle AlInvest Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Fidelity Private Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Forum Real Estate Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., *supra* note 13; Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Monachil Credit Income Fund, *supra* note 13; and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, *supra* note 13.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

Applicants have caused this Application to be duly signed on their behalf on the 4th day of August 2023.

Accordant ODCE Index Fund

Dated: August 4, 2023

By: /s/ Gary A. Zdolshek
Name: Gary A. Zdolshek
Title: Chief Executive Officer, President

Accordant Investments LLC

Dated: August 4, 2023

By: /s/ Puja Madan
Name: Puja Madan
Title: Chief Compliance Officer

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.]

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

33 *Id.*
34 *See supra* note 11.

12

-	SEI ALTERNATIVE INCOME FUND	-
-	-	-
Dated: April 10, 2023	By:	- /s/ Stephen MacRae
-	Name:	- Stephen MacRae
-	Title:	- President
-	-	-
-	SEI INVESTMENTS MANAGEMENT CORPORATION	-
-	-	-
Dated: April 10, 2023	By:	- /s/ David F. McCann
-	Name:	- David F. McCann
-	Title:	- Vice President and Assistant Secretary
-	-	-
-	SEI INVESTMENTS DISTRIBUTION CO.	-
-	-	-
Dated: April 10, 2023	By:	- /s/ John C. Munch
-	Name:	- John C. Munch
-	Title:	- General Counsel and Secretary

13

EXHIBIT A
EXHIBIT A

Resolutions of the ~~Sole Trustee of~~ Board of Trustees of Accordant
~~SEI Alternative Income Fund (formerly, SEI Structured Credit Interval Fund)~~ ODCE Index Fund (the “Trust”)

VOTED RESOLVED, that the ~~SEI Structured Credit Interval Fund’s (the “Trust”)-~~ filing of ~~an~~ the Trust’s application: ~~(i) for an order from the SEC pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act for an order of exemption from”)~~ granting exemptions from the provisions of Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) ~~thereunder~~; ~~(ii)~~, pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 23(c) of the 1940 Act ~~for an order of exemption~~ granting exemptions from Rule 23c-3 ~~thereunder~~; and ~~(iii)~~ pursuant to Section 17(d) of the 1940 Act and Rule 17d-1 ~~thereunder~~ to permit the Trust, among other things, to offer ~~investors~~ multiple classes of shares ~~with varying sales loads, asset-based service and/or distribution fees, early withdrawal charges and/or such other features that such officer or officers may deem to be necessary or appropriate (the “Multi-Class Exemptive Application”)~~ to the public be, and it hereby is, approved; ~~and it is~~

FURTHER RESOLVED, that any officer be, and each of them hereby is, authorized to make such amendments to such application as the officers of the Trust, upon advice of counsel, deem necessary and appropriate, and to execute and cause to be filed any and all amendments to the application hereinabove authorized in such form as the officer executing the same approve, such execution thereof to be conclusive evidence of such approval.

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

~~VOTED~~, that the Trust's President, any Vice President, the Treasurer and the Secretary are, and each of them acting singly hereby is, authorized to execute and file or cause to be filed in the name and on behalf of the Trust with the Commission any amendments to the Multi-Class Exemptive Application and to take such other action as the officer or officers so acting may consider necessary or desirable, the execution of any such documents or the taking of any such action to be conclusive evidence of its authorization hereby.

~~VOTED~~, that the officers of the Trust are authorized and directed to take such other action and execute such other documents as they may deem necessary or advisable, upon advice of counsel, to effectuate the foregoing resolutions.

EXHIBIT B

Verifications of Accordant ODCE Index Fund and Accordant Investments LLC

Verifications

The undersigned states that he has duly executed the attached Application dated April 10, 2023 for and on behalf of SEI Alternative Income Fund in his capacity as President of such entity, and that all actions by the holders and other bodies necessary to authorize the undersigned to execute and file such instrument have been taken. The undersigned further states that he is familiar with such instrument, and the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

~~- SEI Alternative Income Fund~~

-	-
- By:	- /s/ Stephen MacRae
- Name:	- Stephen MacRae
- Title:	- President

The undersigned states that he has duly executed the attached ~~Application~~ application dated ~~April 10~~ August 4, 2023 for and on behalf of ~~SEI Investments Management Corporation~~ Accordant ODCE Index Fund in his capacity as ~~Vice President and Assistant Secretary~~ Trustee of such entity, and that all actions by the holders and other bodies necessary to authorize the undersigned to execute and file such instrument have been taken. The undersigned further states that he is familiar with such instrument, and the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

By: /s/ Gary A. Zdolshek

Name: Gary A. Zdolshek

Title: Trustee, Chairman

~~- SEI Investments Management Corporation~~

-	-
- By:	- /s/ David F. McCann
- Name:	- David F. McCann
- Title:	- Vice President and Assistant Secretary

The undersigned states that ~~he~~she has duly executed the attached ~~Application~~ application dated ~~April 10~~ August 4, 2023 for and on behalf of ~~SEI Accordant Investments Distribution Co. in his LLC, in her~~ capacity as ~~General Counsel and Secretary~~ Chief Compliance Officer of such entity, and that all actions by the holders and other bodies necessary to authorize the undersigned to execute and file such instrument have been taken. The undersigned further states that ~~he~~she is familiar with such instrument, and the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true to the best of ~~his~~her knowledge, information and belief.

By: /s/ Puja Madan

Name: Puja Madan

Title: Chief Compliance Officer

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

-	SEI Investments Distribution Co.	-
-	-	-
-	By:	- /s/ John C. Munch
-	Name:	- John C. Munch
-	Title:	- General Counsel and Secretary

EXHIBIT C

Marked Copies of the Application Showing Changes from the Final Versions of the Two Applications Identified as Substantially Identical under Rule 0-5(e)(3)

[Different first page setting changed from off in original to on in modified.].

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on ~~February 16~~August 4, 2023

**U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

APPLICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 6(c) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940, AS AMENDED (THE "ACT") FOR AN ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTIONS 18(a)(2), 18(c) AND 18(i) THEREUNDER AND PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 6(c) AND 23(c) OF THE ACT FOR AN ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS FROM RULE 23c-3 THEREUNDER AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 17(d) OF THE ACT AND RULE 17d-1 THEREUNDER FOR AN ORDER PERMITTING CERTAIN ARRANGEMENTS.

EXPEDITED REVIEW REQUESTED UNDER 17 CFR 270.0-5(d)

In the Matter of:

~~Nomura Alternative Income Fund
Nomura Private Capital LLC~~

Accordant ODCE Index Fund

PLEASE SEND ALL COMMUNICATIONS AND ORDERS TO:

~~Joshua B. Deringer, Esq.
Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
One Logan Square, Ste. 2000
Philadelphia, PA 19103
joshua.deringer@faegredrinker.com~~

Kevin Cahill, Esq.
William Bielefeld, Esq.
Dechert LLP
633 West 5th Street, Suite 4900
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2032

WITH A COPY TO:

~~Nomura Private Capital LLC
309 West 49th Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Attention: Chief Operating Officer
(212) 667-9000~~

Accordant ODCE Index Fund
6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

This Application (including Exhibits) contains 44[19] pages

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	THE PROPOSAL.....	3
II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS.....	4
	A. Nomura Alternative Income <u>Accordant ODCE Index</u> Fund (the “Initial Fund”).....	4
	B. Nomura Private Capital <u>Accordant Investments</u> LLC.....	5
	C. Other Provisions.....	5
III.	EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED.....	6
	A. The Multi-Class System.....	6
	B. Early Withdrawal Charge.....	6
	C. Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees.....	6
IV.	COMMISSION AUTHORITY.....	6
V.	DISCUSSION.....	7
	A. Background.....	7
	B. Multiple Classes of Shares — Exemptions from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) under the Act.....	8
	C. Early Withdrawal Charge.....	11
	D. Waivers of Early Withdrawal Charges.....	12 <u>13</u>
	E. Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees.....	13 <u>14</u>
VI.	APPLICANTS’ CONDITION.....	14 <u>15</u>
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	14 <u>15</u>

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A — Resolutions of the Board of Trustees of ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund

Exhibit B — Verifications of ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund and ~~Nomura Private Capital~~Accordant Investments LLC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

~~NOMURA ALTERNATIVE INCOME~~ ACCORDANT
ODCE INDEX FUND
AND
~~NOMURA PRIVATE CAPITAL~~ ACCORDANT
INVESTMENTS LLC

Investment Company Act of 1940

File No. 812-[]

EXPEDITED REVIEW REQUESTED UNDER 17 CFR
270.0-5(d).

APPLICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 6(c) OF
THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940, AS
AMENDED (THE “ACT”) FOR AN ORDER
GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS FROM THE
PROVISIONS OF SECTIONS 18(a)(2), 18(c) AND
18(i) THEREUNDER AND PURSUANT TO
SECTIONS 6(c) AND 23(c) OF THE ACT FOR AN
ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS
FROM RULE 23c-3 THEREUNDER AND
PURSUANT TO SECTION 17(d) OF THE ACT AND
RULE 17d-1 THEREUNDER FOR AN ORDER
PERMITTING CERTAIN ARRANGEMENTS

I. THE PROPOSAL

~~Nomura Alternative Income~~ Accordant ODCE Index Fund (the “Initial Fund”) is a ~~newly organized~~ Delaware statutory trust that is registered under the Act and that ~~will operate~~ operates as a continuously offered, non-diversified, closed-end management investment company that will be operated as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Act. ~~Nomura Private Capital~~ Accordant Investments LLC (the “Adviser”) will serve as the Initial Fund’s investment adviser. The Initial Fund and the Adviser are referred to herein as the “Applicants.”

The Applicants hereby seek an order (the “Order”) from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) (i) pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act for an exemption from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) of the Act; (ii) pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 23(c) of the Act, for an exemption from Rule 23c-3 under the Act and (iii) pursuant to Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit the Initial Fund to issue multiple classes of shares and to impose early withdrawal charges (“EWCs”) and asset-based distribution and/or service fees with respect to certain classes.

Applicants request that the Order also apply to any continuously offered registered closed-end management investment company that has been previously organized or that may be organized in the future for which the Adviser or any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Adviser, or any successor in interest to any such entity,¹ acts as investment adviser and that operates as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Act or provides periodic liquidity with respect to its shares pursuant to Rule 13e-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) (each, a “Future Fund,” and together with the Initial Fund, the “Funds”). Any of the Funds relying on this relief in the future will do so in compliance with the terms and conditions of this application (the “Application”). Applicants represent that each entity presently intending to rely on the requested relief is listed as an Applicant.

The Initial Fund filed an initial registration statement on Form N-2 (“Initial Registration Statement”) on ~~September 13, 2022~~ May 12, 2023, which has not yet been declared effective by the Commission, seeking to register three classes of beneficial interest, “Class I Shares,” “Class ~~D~~ Y Shares” and “Class A Shares,” under the Act and the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), each with its own fee and expense structure.² If the

¹ A successor in interest is limited to an entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of business organization.

² The Initial Registration Statement was filed under SEC filer number 333-271866.

requested relief is granted, the Initial Fund anticipates making a continuous public offering of its Class ~~D~~I, Class Y and Class A Shares. Additional offerings by any Fund relying on the Order may be on a private placement or public offering basis. ~~The~~If the Initial Fund's Initial Registration Statement is declared effective prior to receipt of the requested relief, the Initial Fund will only offer one class of shares, the Class I Shares, until receipt of the requested relief.

Shares of the Funds will not be listed on any securities exchange, nor quoted on any quotation medium, and the Funds do not expect there to be a secondary trading market for their shares.

It is currently contemplated that the Initial Fund's Class I and Class Y Shares will not be subject to ~~other expenses such as~~ distribution ~~and/or service~~ fees, but Class I may be subject to ~~an EWC~~servicing fees. The Initial Fund's ~~Class D and~~ Class A Shares may be subject to other expenses, including a distribution and service fee ~~and an EWC~~. The Initial Fund may impose EWCs. The Funds may in the future offer additional classes of Shares and/or another sales charge structure.

Applicants represent that any asset-based distribution and/or service fees for each class of shares of the Funds will comply with the provisions of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority Rule 2341(d) (the "FINRA Sales Charge Rule"). All references in the application to the FINRA Sales Charge Rule include any Financial Industry Regulatory Authority successor or replacement rule to the FINRA Sales Charge Rule.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund (the "Initial Fund")

The Initial Fund has filed a Notification of Registration Filed Pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Act on Form N-8A and an Initial Registration Statement on Form N-2 seeking to register Class I ~~Shares~~, Class ~~D~~SharesY and Class A Shares under the Act and under the Securities Act. As of the date of the filing of this Application, the Initial Fund's Initial Registration Statement has not yet been declared effective by the Commission. The Initial Fund is a Delaware statutory trust. The Initial Fund is a non-diversified, closed-end investment company that will operate as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Act. The Initial Fund's primary investment objective is to ~~maximize risk-adjusted total return, and the Fund will seek to provide current income as a secondary investment objective~~employ an indexing investment approach that seeks to track the NCREIF Fund Index – Open End Diversified Core Equity (the "NFI-ODCE Index") on a net-of-fee basis while minimizing tracking error. Under normal market conditions, the Fund will seek to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least ~~a majority~~80% of its ~~assets~~ (net assets, plus the amount of any borrowings for investment purposes) ~~either directly or indirectly through, in real estate investment vehicles ("Underlying Funds") across a wide array of global public and private credit markets, with allocations primarily focused on the private corporate, real estate, consumer, asset-based, and specialty lending markets that comprise the NFI-ODCE Index (the "Eligible Component Funds")~~. The Initial Fund's address is ~~e/o Ultimus Fund Solutions, LLC, P.O. Box 541150, Omaha, Nebraska 68154~~6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251.

If the relief requested herein is granted, the Initial Fund intends to offer Class ~~D~~SharesI, Class Y and Class A Shares pursuant to a continuous public offering as discussed above.

The Initial Fund has adopted a fundamental policy to repurchase a specified percentage of its shares at per-class net asset value on a quarterly basis. Such repurchase offers will be conducted pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Act.²³ In order to rely on the requested relief, a Future Fund will adopt fundamental investment policies in compliance with Rule 23c-3 and make periodic repurchase offers to its shareholders or will provide periodic liquidity with respect to its shares pursuant to Rule 13e-4 under the Exchange Act. Any repurchase offers made by the Funds will be made to all holders of shares of each such Fund.

²³ Rule 23c-3 and Regulation M under the Exchange Act permit an interval fund to make repurchase offers to repurchase its shares while engaging in a continuous offering of its shares pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act.

Each Fund operating as an interval fund pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Act may offer its shareholders an exchange feature under which the shareholders of the Fund may, in connection with such Fund's periodic repurchase offers, exchange their shares of the Fund for shares of the same class of (i) registered open-end investment companies, or (ii) other registered closed-end investment companies that comply with Rule 23c-3 under the Act and continuously offer their shares at net asset value, that are in the Fund's group of investment companies (collectively, the "Other Funds"). Shares of a Fund operating pursuant to Rule 23c-3 that are exchanged for shares of Other Funds will be included as part of the repurchase offer amount for such Fund as specified in Rule 23c-3 under the Act. Any exchange option will comply with Rule 11a-3 under the Act, as if the Fund were an open-end investment company subject to Rule 11a-3. In complying with Rule 11a-3, each Fund will treat an EWC as if it were a contingent deferred sales load ("CDSL").³⁴

B. Nomura Private Capital Accordant Investments LLC

The Adviser is a Delaware limited liability company and a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act"). The Adviser serves as the Initial Fund's investment adviser pursuant to an advisory agreement (the "Investment Management Agreement"). The Investment Management Agreement has been approved by the Initial Fund's Board of Trustees (the "Board"), including a majority of the trustees who are not "interested persons" (as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Act) of the Initial Fund and by the Initial Fund's original sole shareholder, in the manner required by Sections 15(a) and (c) of the Act. The Applicants are not seeking any exemptions from the provisions of the Act with respect to the Investment Management Agreement. Under the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, and subject to the authority of the Board, the Adviser will be responsible for the overall management of the Initial Fund's business affairs and selecting the Initial Fund's investments according to the Initial Fund's investment objectives, policies, and restrictions. The Adviser's address is ~~309 West 49th Street, 24th Floor, New York, NY 10019-7316~~ 6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251.

C. Other Provisions

From time to time, the Initial Fund may create additional classes of shares, the terms of which may differ from Class I, Class ~~D~~Y and Class A Shares pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 18f-3 under the Act.

Each Fund will allocate all expenses incurred by it among the various classes of shares based on the net assets of that Fund attributable to each such class, except that the net asset value and expenses of each class will reflect the expenses associated with the distribution plan of that class (if any), service fees attributable to that class (if any), including transfer agency and custodial fees, and any other incremental expenses of that class. Incremental expenses of a Fund attributable to a particular class are limited to (i) incremental transfer agent fees identified by the transfer agent as being attributable to that class of shares; (ii) printing and postage expenses relating to preparing and distributing materials such as shareholder reports, prospectuses and proxies to current shareholders of that class of shares; (iii) federal registration fees incurred with respect to shares of that class of shares; (iv) blue sky fees incurred with respect to sales of that class of shares; (v) expenses of administrative personnel and services as required to support the shareholders of that class; (vi) auditors' fees, litigation expenses and other legal fees and expenses relating solely to that class of shares; (vii) additional trustees' fees incurred as a result of issues relating to that class of shares; (viii) additional accounting expenses relating solely to that class of shares; (ix) expenses incurred in connection with shareholder meetings as a result of issues relating to that class of shares; and (x) any other incremental expenses subsequently identified that should be properly allocated to that class of shares consistent with Rule 18f-3 under the Act. Because of the different distribution fees, service fees and any other class expenses that may be attributable to each class of shares, the net income attributable to, and the dividends payable on, each class of shares may differ from each other. As a result, the net asset value per share of the classes may differ at times.

³⁴ A CDSL, assessed by an open-end fund pursuant to Rule 6c-10 of the Act, is a distribution related charge payable to the distributor. Pursuant to the requested order, any EWC will likewise be a distribution-related charge payable to the distributor as distinguished from a repurchase fee which is payable to the Fund to compensate long-term shareholders for the expenses related to shorter-term investors, in light of the Fund's generally longer-term investment horizons and investment operations.

Expenses of a Fund allocated to a particular class of shares will be borne on a pro rata basis by each outstanding share of that class. Distribution fees will be paid pursuant to a distribution plan with respect to a class.

Shares may be subject to an early repurchase fee at a rate of no greater than two percent of the shareholder's repurchase proceeds (an "Early Repurchase Fee") if the interval between the date of purchase of the shares and the valuation date with respect to the repurchase of those shares is less than one year. Any Early Repurchase Fee imposed by a Fund will apply to all classes of shares of the Fund, in compliance with Section 18 of the Act and Rule 18f-3 thereunder. To the extent a Fund determines to waive, impose scheduled variations of, or eliminate any Early Repurchase Fee, it will do so in compliance with the requirements of Rule 22d-1 under the Act as if the Early Repurchase Fee were a CDSL and as if the Fund were an open-end investment company and the Fund's waiver of, scheduled variation in, or elimination of, any such Early Repurchase Fee will apply uniformly to all shareholders of the Fund regardless of class. The Initial Fund does not intend to impose an Early Repurchase Fee.

III. EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED

A. The Multi-Class System

Applicants request exemptive relief to the extent that a Fund's issuance and sale of multiple classes of shares might be deemed to result in the issuance of a class of "senior security"⁴⁵ within the meaning of Section 18(g) of the Act that would violate the provisions of Section 18(a)(2) of the Act, violate the equal voting provisions of Section 18(i) of the Act, and if more than one class of senior security were issued, violate Section 18(c) of the Act.

B. Early Withdrawal Charge

Applicants request exemptive relief from Rule 23c-3(b)(1) to the extent that rule is construed to prohibit the imposition of an EWC by the Funds.

C. Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees

Applicants request an Order pursuant to Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1 to the extent necessary for a Fund to pay asset-based distribution and/or service fees.

IV. COMMISSION AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act, the Commission may, by order on application, conditionally or unconditionally, exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions from any provision or provisions of the Act or from any rule or regulation under the Act, if and to the extent that the exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Section 23(c) of the Act provides, in relevant part, that no registered closed-end investment company shall purchase securities of which it is the issuer, except: (a) on a securities exchange or other open market; (b) pursuant to tenders, after reasonable opportunity to submit tenders given to all holders of securities of the class to be purchased; or (c) under such other circumstances as the Commission may permit by rules and regulations or orders for the protection of investors.

⁴⁵ Section 18(g) defines senior security to include any stock of a class having a priority over any other class as to distribution of assets or payment of dividends. Share classes that have different asset-based service or distribution charges have different total expenses and, thus, different net incomes. As a result, each class will have a different NAV, receive a different distribution amount or both. A class with a higher NAV may be considered to have a priority as to the distribution of assets. A class receiving a higher dividend may be considered to have a priority over classes with lower dividends.

Section 23(c)(3) provides that the Commission may issue an order that would permit a closed-end investment company to repurchase its shares in circumstances in which the repurchase is made in a manner or on a basis that does not unfairly discriminate against any holders of the class or classes of securities to be purchased.

Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 under the Act prohibit an affiliated person of a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such person, acting as principal, from participating in or effecting any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or joint arrangement in which the investment company participates unless the Commission issues an order permitting the transaction. In reviewing applications submitted under Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1, the Commission considers whether the participation of the investment company in a joint enterprise or joint arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which the participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Background

In its 1992 study entitled *Protecting Investors: A Half Century of Investment Company Regulation* (“*Protecting Investors*”), the Commission’s Division of Investment Management recognized that the Act imposes a rigid classification system that dictates many important regulatory consequences.⁵⁶ For example, the characterization of a management company as “open-end” or “closed-end” has historically been crucial to the determination of the degree of liquidity a fund’s shareholders will have, and thus the liquidity required of such fund’s investments.

Furthermore, except as noted below, there has been no middle ground between the two extremes. Open-end funds have offered complete liquidity to their shareholders and thus required virtually complete liquidity of the underlying investments, while closed-end funds have been subject to requirements that in fact restrict the liquidity they are permitted to offer their investors. Under this bipolar system of regulation, neither form has provided the best vehicle for offering portfolios that have substantial, but not complete, liquidity. In *Protecting Investors*, the Staff determined that, given the changes in the securities market since 1940 — in particular the emergence of semi-liquid investment opportunities — it was appropriate to re-examine the classification system and its regulatory requirements.⁶⁷

The one exception to the liquid/illiquid dichotomy has been the so called “prime-rate funds.” These funds, first introduced in 1988, invest primarily in loans and provide shareholders liquidity through periodic tender offers or, more recently, periodic repurchases under Rule 23c-3.

Protecting Investors recognized that the rigidity of the Act’s classification system had become a limitation on sponsors’ ability to offer innovative products that would take advantage of the vast array of semi-liquid portfolio securities currently existing. The report also noted the pioneering efforts of the prime rate funds and the market success they had experienced.⁷⁸ The report thus concluded that it would be appropriate to provide the opportunity for investment companies to “chart new territory” between the two extremes of the open-end and closed-end forms, consistent with the goals of investor protection.⁸⁹ The Division of Investment Management thus recommended giving the industry the ability to employ new redemption and repurchasing procedures, subject to Commission rulemaking and oversight.

In accordance with this recommendation, and shortly after *Protecting Investors* was published, the Commission proposed for comment a new rule designed to assist the industry in this endeavor.⁹¹⁰ The Commission

⁵⁶ SEC Staff Report, *Protecting Investors: A Half Century of Investment Company Regulation* 421 (May 1992), at 421.

⁶⁷ *Id.* at 424.

⁷⁸ *Id.* at 439-40.

⁸⁹ *Id.* at 424.

⁹¹⁰ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 18869 (July 28, 1992) (the “Proposing Release”).

proposed Rule 23c-3, which began from the closed-end, illiquid perspective under Section 23(c), and provided flexibility to increase shareholder liquidity through periodic repurchase offers under simplified procedures. Rule 23c-3 was adopted in April 1993.⁴⁰¹¹

The prime rate funds were cited in both *Protecting Investors* and the Proposing Release as the prototype for the interval concept.⁴¹² Nonetheless, while the prime rate funds broke the path for innovation in this area, developments since the origin of these funds make further innovation appropriate. Ample precedent exists for the implementation of a multi-class system and the imposition of asset-based distribution and/or service fees for which the Funds seek relief. Since 1998, the Commission granted relief to the following closed-end investment companies to issue multiple classes of shares, to impose EWCs and to impose distribution and/or service fees, e.g., ~~Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, AFA Multi-Manager Credit Fund, BNY Mellon Alcentra Opportunistic Global~~SEI Alternative Income Fund, Nomura Alternative Income Fund, Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, Fidelity Private Credit Fund, Forum Real Estate Income Fund, Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, Monachil Credit Income Fund,~~Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund, KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio, 361 Social and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, and CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, et al.~~^{42 13}

⁴⁰¹¹ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 19399 (April 7, 1993) (the “Adopting Release”). The Commission also had proposed Rule 22e-3, which began from the open-end, complete liquidity perspective under Section 22 of the Act, and permitted periodic or delayed, rather than constant liquidity. The Commission neither adopted nor withdrew proposed Rule 22e-3. To the Applicants’ knowledge, the Commission has taken no further action with respect to Rule 22e-3.

⁴¹² *Protecting Investors* at 439-40; Proposing Release at 27.

⁴² See, e.g., ~~Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund and Bow River Asset Management LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34421 (November 19, 2021) (notice) and 34442 (December 15, 2021) (order); AFA Multi-Manager Credit Fund and Alternative Fund Advisors, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34430 (November 2, 2021) (notice) (December 1, 2021) (order); BNY Mellon Alcentra Opportunistic Global Credit Income Fund and BNY Mellon Investment Adviser, Inc., Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34320 (June 29, 2021) (notice) and 34344 (July 26, 2021) (order); Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund and Calamos Avenue Management, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34300 (June 14, 2021) (notice) and 34327 (July 12, 2021) (order); KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio and KKR Credit Advisors (US) LLC Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 33840 (April 16, 2020) (notice) and 33863 (May 12, 2020) (order); 361 Social Infrastructure Fund and 361 Infrastructure Partners, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34051 (October 15, 2020) (notice) and 34091 (November 10, 2020) (order); and CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, et al. Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 33630 (September 23, 2019) (notice) and 33659 (October 22, 2019) (order).~~

¹³ See, e.g., SEI Alternative Income Fund, SEI Investments Distribution Co. and SEI Investments Management Corporation, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34909 (May 8, 2023) (notice) and 34937 (June 5, 2023) (order); Nomura Alternative Income Fund and Nomura Private Capital LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34871 (March 23, 2023) (notice) and 34889 (April 18, 2023) (order); Pender Real Estate Credit Fund and Pender Capital Management, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34859 (March 16, 2023) (notice) and 34882 (April 11, 2023) (order); JPMorgan Private Markets Fund et al, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34846 (March 6, 2023) (notice) and 34876 (April 3, 2023) (order); Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund and AlpInvest Private Equity Investment Management, LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34832 (February 13, 2023) (notice) and 34852 (March 13, 2023) (order); Fidelity Private Credit Fund and Fidelity Diversifying Solutions LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34814 (January 24, 2023) (notice) and 34844 (March 3, 2023) (order); Forum Real Estate Income Fund and Forum Capital Advisors LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34811 (January 18, 2023) (notice) and 34834 (February 14, 2023) (order); Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc. et al, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34801 (January 10, 2023) (notice) and 34826 (February 7, 2023) (order); Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund and Fidelity Diversifying Solutions LLC, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34796 (January 5, 2023) (notice) and 34823 (February 1, 2023) (order); Monachil Credit Income Fund and Monachil Capital Partners LP, Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34792 (December 28, 2022) (notice) and 34813 (January 24, 2023) (order); and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund and Fitzgerald Investment Advisors, L.P., Investment Co. Rel. Nos. 34758 (November 22, 2023) (notice) and 34789 (December 22, 2023) (order).

B. Multiple Classes of Shares — Exemptions from Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i) under the Act

Applicants request exemptive relief to the extent that a Fund's issuance and sale of multiple classes of shares might be deemed to result in the issuance of a class of "senior security" within the meaning of Section 18(g) of the Act that would violate the provisions of Section 18(a)(2) of the Act, violate the equal voting provisions of Section 18(i) of the Act, and if more than one class of senior security were issued, violate Section 18(c) of the Act.

A registered closed-end investment company may have only one class of senior security representing indebtedness and only one class of stock that is a senior security. With respect to the class of stock that is a senior security, i.e., preferred stock, the preferred stock must have certain rights as described in Section 18(a)(2). Section 18(a)(2)(A) and (B) makes it unlawful for a registered closed-end investment company to issue a senior security that is a stock unless (a) immediately after such issuance it will have an asset coverage of at least 200% and (b) provision is made to prohibit the declaration of any distribution, upon its common stock, or the purchase of any such common stock, unless in every such case such senior security has at the time of the declaration of any such distribution, or at the time of any such purchase, an asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such distribution or purchase price, as the case may be. Section 18(a)(2)(C) and (D) makes it unlawful for a registered closed-end investment company to issue a senior security that is a stock unless, stockholders have the right, voting separately as a class, to: (i) elect at least two directors at all times; (ii) elect a majority of the directors if at any time dividends on such class of securities have been unpaid in an amount equal to two full years' dividends on such securities; and (iii) approve any plan of reorganization adversely affecting their securities or any action requiring a vote of security holders as set forth in section 13(a).¹³¹⁴ Section 18(a)(2)(E) requires that such class of stock will have "complete priority over any other class as to distribution of assets and payment of dividends, which dividends shall be cumulative."

Section 18(i) provides:

Except as provided in subsection (a) of this section, or as otherwise required by law, every share of stock hereafter issued by a registered management company . . . shall be voting stock and have equal voting rights with every other outstanding voting stock: *Provided*, That this subsection shall not apply . . . to shares issued in accordance with any rules, regulations, or orders which the Commission may make permitting such issue.

Finally, Section 18(c) of the Act provides that "it shall be unlawful for any registered closed-end investment company . . . to issue or sell any senior security which is a stock if immediately thereafter such company will have outstanding more than one class of senior security which is a stock," except that "any such class of . . . stock may be issued in one or more series: provided, that no such series shall have a preference or priority over any other series upon the distribution of the assets of such registered closed-end company or in respect of the payment of interest or dividends..."

The multi-class system proposed herein may result in shares of a class having priority over another class as to payment of dividends and having unequal voting rights, because under the proposed system (i) shareholders of different classes would pay different distribution and/or service fees (and related costs as described above), different administrative fees and any other incremental expenses that should be properly allocated to a particular class, and (ii) each class would be entitled to exclusive voting rights with respect to matters solely related to that class.

Applicants believe that the implementation of the proposed multi-class system will enhance shareholder options. Under a multi-class system, an investor can choose the method of purchasing shares that is most beneficial given the amount of his or her purchase, the length of time the investor expects to hold his or her shares and other relevant circumstances. The proposed arrangements would permit a Fund to facilitate both the distribution of its securities and provide investors with a broader choice of shareholder services.

By contrast, if a Fund were required to organize separate investment portfolios for each class of shares, the success of the new portfolios might be limited. Unless each new portfolio grew at a sufficient rate and to a sufficient

¹³¹⁴ Section 13(a) requires, among other things, that a majority of the fund's outstanding voting securities must approve converting to a mutual fund format.

size, it could be faced with liquidity and diversification problems that would prevent the portfolio from producing a favorable return.

Under the proposal, owners of each class of shares may be relieved under the multi-class system of a portion of the fixed costs normally associated with investing in investment companies because these costs potentially would be spread over a greater number of shares than they would be otherwise. As a Fund grows in volume of assets, the investors will derive benefits from economies of scale that would not be available at smaller volumes.

The Commission has long recognized that multiple class arrangements can be structured so that the concerns underlying the Act's "senior security" provisions are satisfied. After having granted numerous exemptive orders ("multiple class exemptive orders") to open-end investment companies permitting those funds to issue two or more classes of shares representing interests in the same portfolio,⁴⁴¹⁵ the Commission adopted Rule 18f-3 under the Act in 1995, which now permits open-end funds to maintain or create multiple classes without seeking individual exemptive orders, as long as certain conditions are met.⁴⁵¹⁶

Applicants believe that the proposed closed-end investment company multiple class structure does not raise concerns underlying Section 18 of the Act to any greater degree than open-end investment companies' multiple class structures. The proposed multiple class structure does not relate to borrowings and will not adversely affect a Fund's assets. In addition, the proposed structure will not increase the speculative character of each Fund's shares. Applicants also believe that the proposed allocation of expenses relating to distribution and voting rights is equitable and will not discriminate against any group or class of shareholders.

Applicants believe that the rationale for, and conditions contained in, Rule 18f-3 are as applicable to a closed-end investment company seeking to offer multiple classes of shares with varying distribution and/or service arrangements in a single portfolio as they are to open-end funds. Each Fund will comply with the provisions of Rule 18f-3 as if it were an open-end investment company, including, among others, its provisions relating to differences in expenses, special allocations of other expenses, voting rights, conversions and exchanges and disclosures. In fact, each Fund in many ways resembles an open-end fund in its manner of operation and in the distribution of its shares.

In particular, the Funds will offer their shares continuously at a price based on net asset value, plus any applicable front-end sales charge. Differences among classes will, as detailed above, relate largely to differences in distribution and/or service arrangements. Applicants note that open-end and closed-end funds are subject to different technical provisions governing the issuance of senior securities. However, those technical differences do not appear relevant here. Although closed-end funds may not issue multiple classes of shares without exemptive relief, the Commission has granted specific exemptive relief to similarly-situated closed-end funds.⁴⁶¹⁷ Provisions regulating

⁴⁴¹⁵ See *Sierra Trust Funds, et al., Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20093* (February 23, 1994) (notice) and *Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20153* (March 22, 1994) (order); see also *Exemption for Open-End Management Investment Companies Issuing Multiple Classes of Shares; Disclosure by Multiple Class and Master-Feeder Funds, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 19955* (December 15, 1993).

⁴⁵¹⁶ See *Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 20915* (February 23, 1995). As adopted, Rule 18f-3 creates an exemption for mutual funds that issue multiple classes of shares with varying arrangements for the distribution of securities and the provision of services to shareholders. In connection with the adoption of Rule 18f-3, the Commission also amended Rule 12b-1 under the Act to clarify that each class of shares must have separate 12b-1 plan provisions. Moreover, any action on the 12b-1 plan (i.e., trustee or shareholder approval) must take place separately for each class. The Commission has adopted amendments to Rule 18f-3 that expand and clarify the methods by which a multiple class fund may allocate income, gains, losses and expenses and that clarify the shareholder voting provisions of the rule.

⁴⁶¹⁷ See *SEI Alternative Income Fund, supra note 13*; *Nomura Alternative Income Fund, supra note 13*; *Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, supra note 13*; *Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, supra note 13*; *Fidelity Private Credit Fund, supra note 13*; *Forum Real Estate Income Fund, supra note 13*; *Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., supra note 13*; *Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, supra note 13*; *Monachil Credit Income Fund, supra note 13*; *Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, supra note 13*; *Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, supra note 1213*; and *AFA Multi-Manager Credit Fund supra note 12*; ~~*BNY Mellon Alcentra Opportunistic Global Credit Income Fund, supra note 12*~~; ~~*Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund, supra note 12*~~; ~~*KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio, supra note 12*~~; ~~*361 Social Infrastructure Fund, supra note 12*~~; and ~~*CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, supra note 1213*~~.

the issuance by closed-end funds of debt or preferred stock should have no bearing on an application by a closed-end fund for an exemptive order permitting the issuance of multiple classes of shares. Therefore, Applicants propose to base the conditions under which the Funds would issue multiple classes of shares on those contained in Rule 18f-3.

Applicants believe that the proposed allocation of expenses and voting rights relating to the asset-based distribution and/or service fees applicable to the different classes of shares of each Fund in the manner described above is equitable and would not discriminate against any group of shareholders. Each Applicant is aware of the need for full disclosure of the proposed multi-class system in each Fund's prospectus and of the differences among the various classes and the different expenses of each class of shares offered. Each Fund will include in its prospectus disclosure of the fees, expenses and other characteristics of each class of shares offered for sale by the prospectus, as is required for open-end multi-class funds under Form N-1A.¹⁷¹⁸ Applicants also note that the Commission has adopted rule and form amendments to require registered open-end management investment companies to disclose fund expenses borne by shareholders during the reporting period in shareholder reports¹⁸¹⁹ and to describe in their prospectuses any arrangements that result in breakpoints in, or elimination of, sales loads.¹⁹²⁰ Each Fund will include these disclosures in its shareholder reports and prospectus.

Each Fund will comply with any requirements that the Commission or FINRA may adopt regarding disclosure at the point of sale and in transaction confirmations about the costs and conflicts of interest arising out of the distribution of open-end investment company shares, and regarding prospectus disclosure of sales loads and revenue sharing arrangements, as if those requirements applied to each Fund. In addition, each Fund will contractually require that any distributor of the Fund's shares comply with such requirements in connection with the distribution of such Fund's shares.

In June 2006, the Commission adopted enhanced fee disclosure requirements for fund of funds including registered funds of hedge funds.²⁰²¹ Applicants will comply with all such applicable disclosure requirements.

The requested relief is similar to the exemptions discussed above granted by the Commission to ~~Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, AFA Multi Manager Credit Fund, BNY Mellon Alecentra Opportunistic Global~~SEI Alternative Income Fund, Nomura Alternative Income Fund, Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, Fidelity Private Credit Fund, Forum Real Estate Income Fund, Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, Monachil Credit Income Fund,~~Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund, KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio, 361 Social and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, and CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund.~~^{21 22} Accordingly, Applicants believe there is ample precedent for the implementation of a multi-class system.

¹⁷¹⁸ In all respects other than class-by-class disclosure, each Fund will comply with the requirements of Form N-2.

¹⁸¹⁹ Shareholder Reports and Quarterly Portfolio Disclosure of Registered Management Investment Companies, Investment Company Act Rel. No. 26372 (Feb. 27, 2004) (adopting release).

¹⁹²⁰ Disclosure of Breakpoint Discounts by Mutual Funds, Investment Company Act Rel. No. 26464 (June 7, 2004) (adopting release)

²⁰²¹ Fund of Funds Investments, Investment Company Act Rel. Nos. 26198 (Oct. 1 2003) (proposing release) and 27399 (Jun. 20, 2006) (adopting release). *See also* Rules 12d1-1, *et seq.* of the Act.

²¹ ~~*See Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, supra note 12; AFA Multi Manager Credit Fund supra note 12; BNY Mellon Alecentra Opportunistic Global Credit Income Fund, supra note 12; Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund, supra note 12; KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio, supra note 12; 361 Social Infrastructure Fund, supra note 12; and CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund, supra note 12.*~~

²² *See SEI Alternative Income Fund, supra note 13; Nomura Alternative Income Fund, supra note 13; Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, supra note 13; JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, supra note 13; Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, supra note 13; Fidelity Private Credit Fund, supra note 13; Forum Real Estate Income Fund, supra note 13; Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., supra note 13; Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, supra note 13; Monachil Credit Income Fund, supra note 13; Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, supra note 13; Bow River Capital Evergreen Fund, supra note 13; and AFA Multi-Manager Credit Fund supra note 13.*

C. Early Withdrawal Charge

Rule 23c-3 under the Act permits an interval fund to make repurchase offers of between five and twenty-five percent of its outstanding shares at net asset value at periodic intervals pursuant to a fundamental policy of the interval fund. Rule 23c-3(b)(1) requires an interval fund to repurchase shares at net asset value and expressly permits the interval fund to deduct from repurchase proceeds only a repurchase fee, not to exceed two percent of proceeds, that is paid to the interval fund and is reasonably intended to compensate the fund for expenses directly related to the repurchase.

Applicants seek relief from this requirement of Rule 23c-3(b)(1) to the extent necessary for the Funds to impose EWCs on shares submitted for repurchase that have been held for less than a specified period. The Funds may seek to impose EWCs that are the functional equivalent of the CDSLs that open-end investment companies may charge under Rule 6c-10 under the Act. The Funds may assess EWCs in much the same way non-interval funds currently assess EWCs. As more fully described below, these charges will be paid to the distributor and are functionally similar to CDSLs imposed by open-end funds. Relief to permit the imposition of EWCs would be consistent with the approach the Commission has taken with respect to CDSLs imposed by open-end funds which offer their securities continuously, as the Initial Fund intends to do for its common shares. Any EWC imposed by the Funds will comply with Rule 6c-10 under the Act as if the rule were applicable to closed-end funds.

In the Adopting Release, the Commission stated that “the requirement [of Rule 23c-3(b)(1)] that repurchases take place at net asset value and the limitation of repurchase fees to no greater than two percent implicitly preclude the imposition” of CDSLs.²²²³ The Commission stated, however, that even though it was not proposing any provisions regarding the use of CDSLs by interval funds, such consideration may be appropriate after the Commission considers whether to adopt proposed Rule 6c-10, which would permit the imposition of CDSLs by open-end companies, and has the opportunity to monitor the effects of the FINRA Sales Charge Rule upon distribution charges of open-end companies, which goes into effect in July of [1993].²³²⁴

Since adopting Rule 23c-3, the Commission has adopted Rule 6c-10. That rule adopts a flexible approach, and permits open-end funds to charge CDSLs as long as (i) the amount of the CDSL does not exceed a specified percentage of net asset value or offering price at the time of the purchase, (ii) the terms of the sales load comply with the provisions of the FINRA Sales Charge Rule, governing sales charges for open-end funds and (iii) deferred sales loads are imposed in a non-discriminatory fashion (scheduled variations or elimination of sales loads in accordance with Rule 22d-1 are permitted). Rule 6c-10 is grounded in policy considerations supporting the employment of CDSLs where there are adequate safeguards for the investor. These same policy considerations support imposition of EWCs in the interval fund context and are a solid basis for the Commission to grant exemptive relief to permit interval funds to impose EWCs.

With respect to the policy considerations supporting imposition of EWCs, as the Commission recognized when it promulgated Rule 23c-3, several non-interval funds that had been making periodic repurchase offers to their shareholders imposed early withdrawal charges comparable to CDSLs.²⁴²⁵ Traditional closed-end funds, which do not regularly offer to repurchase shares, do not generally impose EWCs although nothing in the Act would preclude them from doing so. Section 23(c)(2) of the Act does not regulate the price at which shares may be purchased in a tender offer. When a closed-end fund continuously offers its shares at net asset value and provides its shareholders with periodic opportunities to tender their shares, however, the fund’s distributor (like the distributor of an open-end fund) may need to recover distribution costs from shareholders who exit their investments early. Moreover, like

²²²³ Adopting Release. Rule 23c-3(b)(1) provides in pertinent part: “The company shall repurchase the stock for cash at net asset value determined on the repurchase pricing date. . . . The company may deduct from the repurchase proceeds only a repurchase fee not to exceed two percent of the proceeds, that is paid to the company for expenses directly related to the repurchase.”

²³²⁴ *Id.*

²⁴²⁵ Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.c. Section 23(c)(2) does not require that repurchases be made at net asset value.

open-end funds, interval funds need to discourage investors from moving their money quickly in and out of the fund, a practice that imposes costs on all shareholders.

Neither the Proposing Release nor the Adopting Release suggests that the purpose underlying Rule 23c-3(b)(1)'s requirements that repurchases take place at net asset value is to preclude interval funds from imposing EWCs. Rather, its purpose is to prohibit funds from discriminating among shareholders in prices paid for shares tendered in a repurchase offer.²⁵²⁶ The best price rules under Rule 23c-1(a)(9) of the Act and Rule 13e-4(f)(8)(ii) of the Exchange Act address this same concern. The Commission staff does not construe those rules to forbid closed-end funds making repurchase offers under Section 23(c)(2) from imposing EWCs.²⁶²⁷ There is, in Applicants' view, no rational basis to apply Rule 23c-3(b)(1)'s requirements differently. Moreover, each Fund will be treating all similarly situated shareholders the same. Each Fund will disclose to all shareholders the applicability of the EWCs (and any scheduled waivers of the EWC) to each category of shareholders and, as a result, no inequitable treatment of shareholders with respect to the price paid in a repurchase offer will result. Each Fund also will disclose EWCs in accordance with the requirements of Form N-1A concerning CDSLs as if the Fund were an open-end investment company.

As required by Rule 6c-10 for open-end funds, each Fund relying on the Order will comply with shareholder service and distribution fee limits imposed by the FINRA Sales Charge Rule on the same basis as if it were an open-end investment company. In this regard, a Fund will pay service and/or distribution fees pursuant to plans that are designed to meet the requirements of the FINRA Sales Charge Rule on the same basis as if it were an open-end investment company subject to that rule.

The Commission has previously granted the same type of exemptive relief requested herein.²⁷²⁸ In each case, the Commission granted relief from Rule 23c-3(b)(1) to an interval fund to charge EWCs to certain shareholders who tender for repurchase shares that have been held for less than a specified period.

D. Waivers of Early Withdrawal Charges

Each Fund may grant waivers of the EWCs on repurchases in connection with certain categories of shareholders or transactions established from time to time. Each Fund will apply the EWC (and any waivers, scheduled variations, or eliminations of the EWC) uniformly to all shareholders in a given class and consistently with the requirements of Rule 22d-1 under the Act as if the Funds were open-end investment companies. It is anticipated that a Fund will grant waivers of the EWC only under circumstances where the granting of such waiver is unlikely to cause rapid turnover in shares of the Fund, particularly where there are also important policy reasons to waive the EWC, such as when shares are tendered for repurchase due to the death, disability or retirement of the shareholder. Events such as death, disability or retirement are not likely to cause high turnover in shares of a Fund, and financial needs on the part of the shareholder or the shareholder's family are often precipitated by such events. The EWC may also be waived in connection with a number of additional circumstances, including the following repurchases of shares held by employer sponsored benefit plans: (i) repurchases to satisfy participant loan advances; (ii) repurchases in connection with distributions qualifying under the hardship provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; and (iii) repurchases representing returns of excess contributions to such plans. Furthermore, if a distributor has not incurred significant promotional expenses (by making up-front payments to

²⁵²⁶ See Proposing Release, Section II.A.7; Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.

²⁶²⁷ See Adopting Release, Section II.A.7.c. (recognizing that several closed-end funds making periodic repurchases pursuant to Section 23(c)(2) impose early withdrawal charges).

²⁷²⁸ See ~~Bow River Capital Evergreen~~ SEI Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 12; ~~AFA Multi Manager Credit~~ 13; ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~ Fund, *supra* note 12; ~~BNY Mellon Alecentra Opportunistic Global~~ 13; ~~Pender Real Estate Credit Fund~~, *supra* note 13; ~~JPMorgan Private Markets Fund~~, *supra* note 13; ~~Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund~~, *supra* note 13; ~~Fidelity Private Credit Fund~~, *supra* note 13; ~~Forum Real Estate Income Fund~~, *supra* note 13; ~~Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc.~~, *supra* note 13; ~~Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund~~, *supra* note 13; ~~Monachil Credit Income Fund~~, *supra* note 12; ~~Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund~~, *supra* note 12; ~~KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio~~, *supra* note 12; ~~361 Social~~ 13; and ~~Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund~~, *supra* note 12; and ~~CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund~~, *supra* note 12 13.

selling dealers) in connection with attracting shareholders in a particular category to the Funds, the waiver of the EWC works to shareholders' advantage while not harming the distributor economically.

In adopting amended Rule 22d-1 in February 1985, the Commission recognized that the adoption of Rule 22c-1 to "require forward pricing of fund shares largely dispelled concerns about share dilution." Furthermore, "the sales load variations that have been instituted [through Rules 22d-1 through 22d-5 and exemptive orders prior to February 1985] have improved the competitive environment for the sale of fund shares without disrupting the distribution system for the sale of those shares."²⁸²⁹ In light of these circumstances, the Commission believed that "it is appropriate to permit a broader range of scheduled variation" as permitted in amended Rule 22d-1.²⁹³⁰ Rule 22d-1 permits open-end funds to sell their shares at prices that reflect scheduled "variations in, or elimination of, the sales load to particular classes of investors or transactions" provided that the conditions of the rule are met. When Rule 22d-1 was adopted, the status of CDSLs for open-end funds and waivers of those charges were not covered by any rule and were the subject of exemptive orders. Rule 6c-10 permitting CDSLs for open-end funds, adopted in April 1995, permits scheduled variations in, or elimination of, CDSLs for a particular class of shareholders or transactions, provided that the conditions of Rule 22d-1 are satisfied.³⁰³¹ The same policy concerns and competitive benefits applicable to scheduled variations in or elimination of sales loads for open-end funds are applicable to interval funds and the same safeguards built into Rules 22d-1 and 6c-10 that protect the shareholders of open-end funds will protect the shareholders of interval funds so long as interval funds comply with those rules as though applicable to interval funds.

Applicants submit that it would be impracticable and contrary to the purpose of Rule 23c-3 to preclude interval funds from providing for scheduled variations in, or elimination of, EWCs, subject to appropriate safeguards.

E. Asset-Based Distribution and/or Service Fees

Applicants request relief from the provisions of Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder, to the extent necessary to permit the Funds to impose asset-based distribution and/or service fees (in a manner analogous to Rule 12b-1 fees for an open-end investment company). Section 12(b) of the Act and Rule 12b-1 thereunder do not apply to closed-end investment companies. Accordingly, no provisions of the Act or the rules thereunder explicitly limits the ability of a closed-end fund to impose a distribution and/or service fee.³¹³²

Section 17(d) of the Act prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such person, acting as principal, from effecting any transaction in which such registered company is a joint, or a joint and several, participant, in contravention of Commission regulations. Rule 17d-1 provides that no joint transaction covered by the rule may be consummated unless the Commission issues an order upon application.

In reviewing applications pursuant to Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1, the Commission considers whether an investment company's participation in a joint enterprise or joint arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which the participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants. Section 17(d) of the Act is intended to prevent or limit abuses arising from conflicts of interest; however, Section 17(d) itself does not prohibit any specific activities, but instead, authorizes the Commission to approve rules to limit or prevent an investment company from being a joint participant on a different or less advantageous basis than other participants. Under Rule 17d-1, it is unlawful for an affiliated

²⁸²⁹ Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 14390 (February 2, 1985).

²⁹³⁰ *Id.*

³⁰³¹ Rule 22d-1 requires that the scheduled variations in or elimination of the sales load must apply uniformly to all offerees in the class specified and the company must disclose to existing shareholders and prospective investors adequate information concerning any scheduled variation, revise its prospectus and statement of additional information to describe any new variation before making it available to purchasers, and advise existing shareholders of any new variation within one year of when first made available.

³¹³² Applicants do not concede that Section 17(d) applies to the asset-based distribution and/or service fees discussed herein, but requests this exemption to eliminate any uncertainty.

person, acting as principal, to participate in or effect any transaction in connection with a joint enterprise or other joint arrangement in which the investment company is a participant, without prior Commission approval. The protections provided for in Section 17(d) essentially allow the Commission to set standards for all transactions concerning an investment company and an affiliate which could be construed as self-dealing or involve overreaching by the affiliate to the detriment of the investment company.

The protections developed and approved by the Commission for open-end investment companies in Rule 12b-1 will be complied with by each Fund in connection with its plan with respect to each class of shares as if the Fund were an open-end management investment company.

Therefore, the Funds will participate in substantially the same way and under substantially the same conditions as would be the case with an open-end investment company imposing distribution and/or service fees under Rule 12b-1. Applicants note that, at the same time the Commission adopted Rule 12b-1,³²³³ it also adopted Rule 17d-3 to provide an exemption from Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-1 to the extent necessary for arrangements between open-end funds and their affiliated persons or principal underwriters (or affiliated persons of such persons or principal underwriters) whereby payments are made by the open-end fund with respect to distribution, if such agreements are entered into in compliance with Rule 12b-1. In its adopting release, the Commission stated as follows:

The Commission wishes to emphasize that it has no intention of categorizing certain transactions as raising the applicability of Section 17(d) and Rule 17d-3 of the Act. The Commission's only comment is that to the extent that arrangements in which a fund pays for its distribution costs could involve the fund in a 'joint enterprise' with an affiliated person, and if such arrangements were entered into in compliance with Rule 12b-1, the Commission sees no need for prior Commission review and approval of the arrangements.³³³⁴

As closed-end management investment companies, the Funds may not rely on Rule 17d-3. However, in light of the foregoing, Applicants believe any Section 17(d) concerns the Commission might have in connection with a Fund's financing the distribution of its shares should be resolved by such Fund's undertaking to comply with the provisions of Rules 12b-1 and 17d-3 as if those rules applied to closed-end investment companies. Accordingly, the Funds will comply with Rules 12b-1 and 17d-3 as if those rules applied to closed-end investment companies. The Funds represent that the Funds' imposition of asset-based distribution and/or service fees is consistent with factors considered by the Commission in reviewing applications for relief from Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder (*i.e.*, that the imposition of such fees as described is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act and does not involve participation on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants).

VI. APPLICANTS' CONDITION

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

Each Fund relying on the Order will comply with the provisions of Rules 6c-10, 12b-1, 17d-3, 18f-3, 22d-1, and, where applicable, 11a-3 under the Act, as amended from time to time, as if those rules applied to closed-end management investment companies, and will comply with the FINRA Sales Charge Rule, as amended from time to time, as if that rule applied to all closed-end management investment companies.

VII. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Applicants submit that the exemptions requested are necessary and appropriate in the public interest and are consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Applicants further submit that the relief requested pursuant to Section 23(c)(3) will be consistent with the protection of investors and will insure that Applicants do not unfairly

³²³³ See Bearing of Distribution Expenses by Mutual Funds, Investment Co. Act Rel. No. 11414 (October 28, 1980).

³³³⁴ *Id.*

discriminate against any holders of the class of securities to be purchased. Applicants desire that the Commission issue the requested Order pursuant to Rule 0-5 under the Act without conducting a hearing.

Applicants submit that the exemptions requested conform substantially to the precedent cited herein.³⁴³⁵

As required by Rule 0-2(c)(1) under the Act, each Applicant hereby states that all of the requirements for execution and filing of this Application have been complied with in accordance with the organizational documents of the Applicants, as applicable, and the undersigned officers of the Applicants are fully authorized to execute this Application. The resolutions of the Initial Fund's ~~Initial Trustee~~Board of Trustees are attached as Exhibit A to this Application in accordance with the requirements of Rule 0-2(c)(1) under the Act and the verifications required by Rule 0-2(d) under the Act are attached as Exhibit B to this Application.

Pursuant to Rule 0-2(f) under the Act, the Applicants state that their address is c/o ~~Ultimus Fund Solutions, LLC, P.O. Box 541150, Omaha, Nebraska 68154~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund, 6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (Initial Fund) and ~~Worldwide Plaza, 309 West 49th Street, 24th Floor, New York, NY 10019-7316~~6710 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (Adviser) and that all written communications regarding this Application should be directed to the individuals and addresses indicated on the first page of this Application.

* * * * *

Signature Page Follows

³⁴³⁵ See ~~Bow River Capital Evergreen~~SEI Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 12; ~~AFA Multi Manager Credit~~13; Nomura Alternative Income Fund, *supra* note 12; ~~BNY Mellon Alecentra Opportunistic Global~~13; Pender Real Estate Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; JPMorgan Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Carlyle AlpInvest Private Markets Fund, *supra* note 13; Fidelity Private Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Forum Real Estate Income Fund, *supra* note 13; Cadre Horizon Fund, Inc., *supra* note 13; Fidelity Multi-Strategy Credit Fund, *supra* note 13; Monachil Credit Income Fund, *supra* note 12; ~~Calamos Avenue Opportunities Fund~~, *supra* note 12; ~~KKR Credit Opportunities Portfolio~~, *supra* note 12; ~~361 Social~~13; and Cantor Fitzgerald Sustainable Infrastructure Fund, *supra* note 12; and ~~CIM Real Assets & Credit Fund~~, *supra* note 12¹³.

Applicants have caused this Application to be duly signed on their behalf on the ~~16th~~4th day of ~~February~~August 2023.

~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund

Dated: ~~February 16~~August 4, 2023

By: /s/ ~~Robert Stark~~ Gary A. Zdolshek
Name: ~~Robert Stark~~Gary A. Zdolshek
Title: Chief Executive Officer, President ~~and Trustee~~

~~Nomura Private Capital~~Accordant Investments LLC

Dated: ~~February 16~~August 4, 2023

By: /s/ ~~Robert Stark~~ Puja Madan
Name: ~~Robert Stark~~Puja Madan
Title: Chief ~~Executive~~Compliance Officer

EXHIBIT A

Resolutions of the Board of Trustees of ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund (the “Trust”)

RESOLVED, that the filing of the Trust’s application for an order from the SEC pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”) granting exemptions from the provisions of Sections 18(a)(2), 18(c) and 18(i), pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 23(c) of the 1940 Act granting exemptions from Rule 23c-3 and pursuant to Section 17(d) of the 1940 Act and Rule 17d-1 to permit the Trust, among other things, to offer multiple classes of shares to the public be, and it hereby is, approved; and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that any officer be, and each of them hereby is, authorized to make such amendments to such application as the officers of the Trust, upon advice of counsel, deem necessary and appropriate, and to execute and cause to be filed any and all amendments to the application hereinabove authorized in such form as the officer executing the same approve, such execution thereof to be conclusive evidence of such approval.

EXHIBIT B

Verifications of ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund and ~~Nomura Private Capital~~Accordant Investments LLC

The undersigned states that he has duly executed the attached application dated ~~February 16~~August 4, 2023 for and on behalf of ~~Nomura Alternative Income~~Accordant ODCE Index Fund in his capacity as Trustee of such entity and that all actions by the holders and other bodies necessary to authorize the undersigned to execute and file such instrument have been taken. The undersigned further states that he is familiar with such instrument, and the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

By: /s/ ~~Robert Stark~~
~~Name: Robert Stark~~Gary A. Zdolshek
Name: Gary A. Zdolshek
Title: ~~President and~~ Trustee, Chairman

The undersigned states that ~~he has~~she has duly executed the attached application dated ~~February 16~~August 4, 2023 for and on behalf of ~~Nomura Private Capital LLC, in his~~Accordant Investments LLC, in her capacity as Chief ~~Executive~~Compliance Officer of such entity and that all actions by the holders and other bodies necessary to authorize the undersigned to execute and file such instrument have been taken. The undersigned further states that ~~he~~she is familiar with such instrument, and the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true to the best of ~~his~~her knowledge, information and belief.

By: /s/ ~~Robert Stark~~Puja Madan
Name: ~~Robert Stark~~Puja Madan
Title: Chief ~~Executive~~Compliance Officer

EXHIBIT C

Marked Copies of the Application Showing Changes from the Final Versions of the Two Applications Identified as
Substantially Identical under Rule 0-5(e)(3)