[Missing Graphic Reference]

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Asset Agreed Upon Procedures

The Board of Directors

Lanark Master Issuer plc

Winchester House, Mailstop 606 1 Great Winchester Street London EC2N 2DB (the "Issuer")

Clydesdale Bank plc

30 St. Vincent Place Glasgow G1 2HL (the "Seller")

Citigroup Global Markets Limited

Citigroup Centre
Canary Wharf
London
E14 5LB
("Citi" and the "Arranger")

Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch

Winchester House 1 Great Winchester Street London EC2N 2DB ("DB")

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc

One Bryant Park, 11th Floor New York NY 10036 United States ("MLPFSI")

Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc

25 Cabot Square Canary Wharf London E14 4QA

 $(\hbox{``Morgan Stanley''}, \hbox{and together with Citi}, DB \hbox{ and MLPFSI}, \hbox{the ``Joint Lead Managers''})$

7 July 2016

PROPOSED ISSUE BY LANARK MASTER ISSUER PLC OF SERIES 2016-1 OF RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE BACKED NOTES (the "Issue") UNDER THE £20 BILLION RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE BACKED NOTE PROGRAMME (the "Programme")

Dear Sirs/Madams

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Issuer, the Seller, the Arranger and the Joint Lead Managers. The procedures were performed solely for the purpose of certain agreed upon procedures on certain loans (the "Loan Pool") related to the issuance of residential mortgage backed floating rate notes by the Issuer. This agreed upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This letter (the "Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter") is addressed to the Board of Directors of the Issuer, the Seller, the Arranger and the Joint Lead Managers.

The procedures were established with the Issuer and the Seller, and the sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Issuer, the Seller, the Arranger and the Joint Lead Managers as discussed below.

The procedures that we performed and our findings are as follows:

Scope of our work and factual findings

The management of the Seller provided us with a data file, "Collateral IDs – Deloitte.xlsx" with a cut-off date of 30 April 2016 (the "cut-off date") (the "First Pool Run") containing information for each loan in the Loan Pool as at the cut-off date.

The Issuer and the Seller shall be solely responsible for providing accurate and complete information requested by Deloitte LLP necessary to perform the Agreed Upon Procedures. Deloitte LLP has no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by or on behalf of the Issuer and the Seller, even if Deloitte LLP had reason to know or should have known of such inaccuracy or incompleteness.

A random sample of 457 loans were selected from the First Pool Run using the following sampling approach (the "Sample").

The management of the Seller then provided us with the data file "Lanark 2016-1 AUP Audit Sample to be sent to Deloitte Final.xlsx" (the "Sample Pool") which contained information for each loan in the Sample as at the cut-off date.

We have carried out the agreed procedures during the period 20 to 22 June 2016.

The sampling approach

Sampling is one of the methods that can be used to reach a conclusion about a company's records. It is a process of examining less than the total number of items in a population in order to reach a conclusion about the entire population.

Sampling confidence is the probability that the actual errors, within a total population, are contained within the range of an estimate. Precision is the range of that estimate. The precision limit is the estimated maximum predicted number of errors within the total population. Sampling confidence and precision are stated in percentages.

Attribute sampling is a method of assessing the rate of occurrences of a specified attribute in a population and requires agreed upon procedures in relation to certain characteristics of a random sample of individual loans. In this case the agreed upon procedures related to the documentation and authorisation procedures that support the Sample Pool contained in the First Pool Run.

Our method of calculating attribute sample sizes is based on the binomial probability distribution.

Statistical tests can only provide estimates of the error.

The procedures we have undertaken, set out in paragraphs 2.1 to 2.14 under the agreed upon procedures sections below, have been limited to comparing that the selected attribute from the First Pool Run information relating to the Sample Pool agreed to the original loan documentation or copies thereof provided to us in the loan file. We are entitled to assume that the loan documentation is correct and we have not sought to independently verify this information. The loan documentation used in these Agreed upon Procedures was the Application Form, latest offer, KFI, Home Loan Details, Home Loan Additional Information Form, Certificate of Title ("COT"), Official Copy of Register Entries, valuation report (or a letter from the valuer), income documentation, Autoscore Decision Printout, Autoscore Archive Database, and the ALS loan administration system of the Seller (the "System").

Objectives

On the assumption that the information in the First Pool Run is a complete and accurate representation of the Loan Pool, the sample sizes chosen were designed with the objective of us being able to state that we are 99% confident that not more than 1% of the population of the First Pool Run contained an error in the relevant attribute. Where errors were found in the Sample Pool, we have re-calculated the percentage errors that there might be in the First Pool Run and noted the revised percentage below. It is for you to ensure you understand this basis of reporting and to determine whether the errors are acceptable to you and for the purposes of the Issue.

For the purposes of this Asset Agreed Upon Procedures report, the failure of a single attribute is termed an error. One error was found during our agreed upon procedures.

2. Agreed upon procedures relating to the underlying loan documentation

2.1. Borrower Name

In cases where the surname of the female applicant changed to the surname of the male applicant we are informed by the Issuer that such changes are as a result of the changes in the marital status of the applicant. For the avoidance of doubt, we did not check that the changes in the surname of the applicant were due to the change in the marital status of the applicant as no evidence of changes to the marital status were available in the mortgage files.

- 2.1.1. For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the borrower's name(s) shown on the Sample Pool substantially agreed with that shown on the Application Form. Substantially agreed is defined as being able to identify the name where minor spelling errors or reversals of initials had occurred. We found that the borrower's name(s) agreed to the Application Form, with no exception.
 - As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.
- 2.1.2. For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the borrower's name(s) shown on the Sample Pool substantially agreed with that shown on the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details noting whether it substantially agreed. Substantially agreed is defined as being able to identify the name where minor spelling errors or reversals of initials had occurred. We found that the borrower's name(s) agreed with the latest offer, with no exception.
 - As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.
- 2.1.3. For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the borrower's name(s) shown on the Sample Pool substantially agreed with that shown on the Certificate of Title ("COT") / Official Copy of Register Entries. Substantially agreed is defined as being able to identify the name where minor spelling errors or reversals of initials had occurred. We found that the borrower's name(s) agreed with the COT / Official Copy of Register Entries, with no exception.
 - As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.2. Property address

We were instructed by the Issuer that this procedure should not include agreeing the postcode if other segments of the property address were sufficient to identify the property address. Additionally, we were instructed by the Issuer that instances where the original plot address and final full postal address differ as the Issuer expects the property address to sometimes change as a mortgage progresses from application to completion and thus these should not be considered to be errors if the address was not substantially changed. For the avoidance of doubt, if the postcode was missing, incomplete or incorrect, or if the original plot address and final full postal address did not substantially differ, they were not considered to be an error.

- 2.2.1. For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the property address shown on the Sample Pool substantially agreed with that shown on the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details. Substantially agreed is defined as being able to identify the address where minor spelling errors have occurred or where the absence or the addition of a house name, an estate name or a geographic area identifier has occurred. We found that the property address substantially agreed with the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details, with no exception.
 - As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.
- 2.2.2. For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the property address shown on the Sample Pool substantially agreed with that shown on the latest valuation report. Substantially agreed is defined as being able to identify the address where minor spelling errors have occurred or where the absence or the addition of a house name, an estate name or a geographic area identifier has occurred. We found that the property address substantially agreed with the latest valuation report, with no exception.
 - As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.
- 2.2.3. For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the property address shown on the Sample Pool substantially agreed with that shown on the COT / Official Copy of Register Entries. Substantially agreed is defined as being able to identify the address where minor spelling errors have occurred or where the absence or the addition of a house name, an estate name or a geographic area identifier has occurred. We found that the property address substantially agreed with the COT / Official Copy of Register Entries, with no exception.
 - As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.3. Loan purpose

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the loan purpose shown on the Sample Pool agreed with that shown on the Application Form / latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Additional Information Form. We found that the loan purpose agreed with the Application Form / latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details / the Home Loan Additional Information Form, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.4. Amount advanced

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the amount advanced (plus fees if fees were added to the loan) shown on the Sample Pool agreed with that shown on the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details for each mortgage loan, within ± three months payments as shown on the offer. We found that the amount advanced agreed with the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details, except for 1 error.

 Account number
 Description of error

 Deloitte ref 73
 Sample Pool = £53,391.29; source documents = £55,000

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 2% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.5. Current balance

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the Current Principal Balance shown on the Sample Pool agreed within a tolerance of \pm £250 with that shown in the System as at 30 April 2016. We found that the current balance agreed within a tolerance of \pm £250 with the System as at 30 April 2016, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence level that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.6. Original term

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the original term shown on the Sample Pool agreed with that shown in the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details for each mortgage loan, within ±3 months. We found that the original term agreed with the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.7. Valuation amount

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the valuation amount shown on the Sample Pool agreed with that shown in the original valuation report or a letter from the valuer confirming the valuation in the file(s) relating to each mortgage loan. We found that the valuation amount agreed with the valuation report, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.8. Valuation date

For each loan in the Sample Pool we compared whether the date of valuation shown on the Sample Pool agreed with the date of valuation shown on the original valuation report or a letter from the valuer confirming the valuation in the file(s) relating to each mortgage loan to within ± 30 days. We found that the valuation date agreed with the valuation report, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.9. Credit search

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we compared whether the name(s) of at least the main borrower shown on the Sample Pool had a completed credit search(s) in that name in the form of an accept or pend Autoscore Decision Printout ("ADP") document or a printout from the Autoscore Archive Database ("AAD"). We found that a credit search(s) had been completed in the name(s) of the borrower(s), with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence level that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.10. Income confirmation

For each loan in the Sample Pool, we confirmed whether there was evidence on the loan file that income confirmation had been obtained. Evidence is defined as a copy of a P60, employer's reference, bank statements, payslips, accountant certificate, salary calculator or financial statements. We found that there was evidence of income confirmation on the loan file, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.11. Document signatories

2.11.1. For each loan in the Sample we noted whether the Application Form had been signed in the designated signature space. We found the Application Form to be signed, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.11.2. For each loan in the Sample Pool we noted whether the valuation report where available had been signed in the designated signature space. We found the valuation report to be signed, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.11.3. For each loan in the Sample Pool we noted whether the COT had been signed in the designated signature space. It must be noted that the Official Copy of Register Entries does not require a designated signatory, so therefore this was not tested in the cases where there was an Official Copy of Register Entries on file but no COT. We found the above documents to be signed or on file, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.12. Product type

For each loan in the Sample Pool we compared whether the product type shown in the Sample Pool agreed to that shown on the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details. We found that the product type agreed with the latest offer, KFI or Home Loan Details, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.13. Credit Check

For each loan in the Sample Pool we noted whether an Accept decision was recorded on the Autoscore Decision Printout / the Autoscore Archive Database. We found that the accept decision was recorded on the Decision Printout / the Autoscore Archive Database, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

2.14. Arrears balance

For each loan in the Sample Pool we compared whether the arrears balance shown on the Sample Pool as at 30 April 2016 agreed to within a tolerance of \pm £50 of the arrears balance in the System. We found that arrears balance as at 30 April 2016 agreed to within a tolerance of \pm £50 with the System, with no exception.

As a result of the procedures performed there is a 99% confidence that not more than 1% of the First Pool Run contains errors.

Scope of our Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter

The scope of our work in preparing this Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter was limited solely to those procedures set out above. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the matters subject to the procedures described above. Accordingly we do not express any opinion or overall conclusion on the procedures we have performed. You are responsible for determining whether the scope of our work specified is sufficient for your purposes and we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of these procedures for your purposes. If we were to perform additional procedures, other matters might come to our attention that would be reported to you.

This Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter should not be taken to supplant any other enquiries and procedures that may be necessary to satisfy the requirements of the recipients of this Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter.

This Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter has been prepared for use in connection with the offering of securities inside of the United States and in accordance with United States attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and not in accordance with United Kingdom or other professional standards. This Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter is only intended to be relied on in connection with any obligations or responsibilities in connection with the Issue that you may have under any legislation, regulations and/or rule of law under United States federal or state securities law. We accept no responsibility to, and deny any liability to, any person or in any way arising from or in connection with the use of this letter in connection with any offering of securities outside the United States.

3. Use of this Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter

This Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter is provided solely for the private information and use of the Issuer, the Seller, the Arranger and the Joint Lead Managers and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the Issuer, the Seller, the Arranger and the Joint Lead Managers. This Agreed Upon Procedure letter should not be included in any publicly filed or publicly available document nor should this Agreed Upon Procedures letter, the services performed hereunder, or Deloitte's engagement hereunder be referred to in any publicly filed or publicly available document except under the terms specified in our engagement letter dated 7 July 2016. For the avoidance of doubt, the Arranger and the Joint Lead Managers are being provided with the Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter in their capacity as addressees and not the engaging party.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the content of this Asset Agreed Upon Procedures Letter please do not hesitate to contact Dan Keeble on 0207 303 4461.

Yours faithfully

[Missing Graphic Reference]

Deloitte LLP