UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 72775 / August 6, 2014

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-15892

In the Matter of

CARBON 612 CORPORATION,
ECOGLOBAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION, and
EURAMERICA HOLDINGS CORP.

NOTICE THAT INITIAL DECISION HAS BECOME FINAL

The time for filing a petition for review of the initial decision in this proceeding has expired. No such petition has been filed by Carbon 612 Corporation, EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation, or Euramerica Holdings Corp., and the Commission has not chosen to review the decision on its own initiative.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given, pursuant to Rule 360(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice,[1] that the initial decision of the administrative law judge[2] has become the final decision of the Commission with respect to Carbon 612 Corporation, EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation, and Euramerica Holdings Corp. The order contained in that decision is hereby declared effective. The initial decision ordered that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities

[1] 17 C.F.R. § 201.360(d).

[2] *Carbon 612 Corp., EcoGlobal Holdings Corp., and Euramerica Holdings Corp.,* Initial Decision Rel. No. 621 (June 24, 2014), 109 SEC Docket 03, 2014 WL 2858569. The Central Index Key numbers are: 1476470 for Carbon 612 Corporation; 1497843 for EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation; and 1491826 for Euramerica Holdings Corp.

Exchange Act of 1934, the registration of each class of registered securities of Carbon 612 Corporation, EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation, and Euramerica Holdings Corp., is hereby revoked.

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Lynn M. Powalski
Deputy Secretary

INITIAL DECISION RELEASE NO. 621
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15892

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

In the Matter of CARBON 612 CORPORATION, ECOGLOBAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION, EURAMERICA HOLDINGS CORP., and WILDER FILING, INC.	INITIAL DECISION OF DEFAULT June 24, 2014

APPEARANCE: Neil J. Welch, Jr., for the Division of Enforcement, Securities and Exchange Commission

BEFORE: Cameron Elliot, Administrative Law Judge

SUMMARY

This Initial Decision revokes the registration of the registered securities of Respondents Carbon 612 Corporation, EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation, and Euramerica Holdings Corp. (collectively, Respondents).[1] The revocation is based on Respondents' failure to timely file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission).

INTRODUCTION

On May 29, 2014, the Commission initiated this proceeding with an Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The OIP alleges that Respondents each have a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g) and have repeatedly failed to file timely periodic reports with the Commission, in violation of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and/or 13a-13 thereunder. Respondents were served with the OIP by May 30, 2014, in accordance with Commission Rule of Practice (Rule) 141(a)(2)(ii), 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii). Carbon 612 Corp., Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 1522, 2014 SEC LEXIS 2054 (June 13, 2014). Respondents' Answers were due by June 12, 2014. Id. (citing OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(b), .220(b)). On June 13, 2013, I ordered Respondents to show cause by June 23, 2014, as to why the proceeding should not be determined against them, warning that failure to do so would result in Respondents being deemed in default, having the proceeding determined against them, and having the registration of their securities

[1] The proceeding has ended against Wilder Filing, Inc. Carbon 612 Corp., Exchange Act Release No. 72372, 2014 SEC Lexis 2064 (June 12, 2014).

revoked. Id. (citing OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f)). To date, no Respondent has filed an Answer or responded to the order to show cause.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondents are in default for failing to file Answers or otherwise defend the proceeding. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f). Accordingly, as authorized by Rule 155(a), I find the following allegations in the OIP to be true.

Carbon 612 Corporation (CIK No. 1476470) is a void Delaware corporation located in Farmingdale, New York, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Carbon 612 Corporation is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011, which reported a net loss of $549,674 since the company's September 10, 2008, inception.

EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation (CIK No. 1497843) is a Wisconsin corporation located in Chicago, Illinois, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011, which reported a net loss of $36,213 since its July 23, 2009, inception.

Euramerica Holdings Corp. (CIK No. 1491826) is a void Delaware corporation located in Bonita Springs, Florida, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Euramerica Holdings Corp. is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011, which reported a net loss of $3,139 since the company's August 12, 2010, inception.

In addition to their repeated failures to file timely periodic reports, Respondents failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder require public corporations to file annual and quarterly reports with the Commission. "Compliance with those requirements is mandatory and may not be subject to conditions from the registrant." America's Sports Voice, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 55511 (Mar. 22, 2007), 90 SEC Docket 879, 885, motion for reconsideration denied, Exchange Act Release No. 55867 (June 6, 2007), 90 SEC Docket 2419. Scienter is not required to establish violations of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13. See SEC v. McNulty, 137 F.3d 732, 740-41 (2d Cir. 1998); SEC v. Wills, 472 F. Supp. 1250, 1268 (D.D.C. 1978). There is no genuine issue of material fact that Respondents failed to timely file required periodic reports. As a result, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

SANCTIONS

Under Exchange Act Section 12(j), the Commission is authorized, "as it deems necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors," to revoke the registration of a security or suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months if it finds, after notice and an opportunity for hearing, that the issuer of the security has failed to comply with any provision of the Exchange Act or rules thereunder. In proceedings pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(j) against issuers that violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13, the determination "of what sanctions will ensure that investors will be adequately protected . . . turns on the effect on the investing public, including both current and prospective investors, of the issuer's violations, on the one hand, and the Section 12(j) sanctions, on the other hand." Gateway Int'l Holdings, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 53907 (May 31, 2006), 88 SEC Docket 430, 438-39. The Commission "consider[s], among other things, the seriousness of the issuer's violations, the isolated or recurrent nature of the violations, the degree of culpability involved, the extent of the issuer's efforts to remedy its past violations and ensure future compliance, and the credibility of its assurances, if any, against further violations." Id. at 439.

Respondents' failure to file required periodic reports is serious because it violates a central provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of periodic reporting is to supply investors with current and accurate financial information about an issuer so that they may make sound investment decisions. Id. at 441. The reporting requirements are the primary tool that Congress fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of securities. SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp., 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977). Respondents' violations are also recurrent in that they repeatedly failed to file periodic reports. See Nature's Sunshine Prods., Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 59268 (Jan. 21, 2009), 95 SEC Docket 13488, 13495 (respondent failed to file seven required periodic reports due over a two-year period); Impax Labs., Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 57864 (May 23, 2008), 93 SEC Docket 6241, 6251 (respondent's failure to make eight filings over an eighteen-month period considered recurrent). Respondents are culpable because they failed to heed the delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance, and they were therefore on notice, even before the OIP issued, of their obligation to file periodic reports. See China-Biotics, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 70800, 2013 WL 5883342, at *10 & n.60 (Nov. 4, 2013) (holding that revocation may be warranted even without proof that a respondent was aware of its reporting obligations). Finally, Respondents have not answered the OIP to address whether they have made any efforts to remedy their past violations and have made no assurances against further violations.

Considering these delinquencies, it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to revoke the registration of each class of registered securities of Respondents.

ORDER

It is ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registration of each class of registered securities of Carbon 612 Corporation, EcoGlobal Holdings Corporation, and Euramerica Holdings Corp., is hereby REVOKED.

This Initial Decision shall become effective in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Rule 360. See 17 C.F.R. § 201.360. Pursuant to that Rule, a party may file a petition for review of this Initial Decision within twenty-one days after service of the Initial Decision. A party may also file a motion to correct a manifest error of fact within ten days of the Initial Decision, pursuant to Rule 111. 17

C.F.R. § 201.111. If a motion to correct a manifest error of fact is filed by a party, then that party shall have twenty-one days to file a petition for review from the date of the undersigned's order resolving such motion to correct a manifest error of fact.

This Initial Decision will not become final until the Commission enters an order of finality. The Commission will enter an order of finality unless a party files a petition for review or a motion to correct a manifest error of fact or the Commission determines on its own initiative to review the Initial Decision as to a party. If any of these events occur, the Initial Decision shall not become final as to that party.

Respondents are notified that they may move to set aside the default in this case. Rule 155(b) permits the Commission, at any time, to set aside a default for good cause, in order to prevent injustice and on such conditions as may be appropriate. 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b). A motion to set aside a default shall be made within a reasonable time, state the reasons for the failure to appear or defend, and specify the nature of the proposed defense in the proceeding. Id.

_____
Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge