UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

□ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-53533

TRANSOCEAN LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)



Zug, Switzerland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

> Chemin de Blandonnet 10 Vernier, Switzerland (Address of principal executive offices)

98-0599916 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1214

(Zip Code)

+41 (22) 930-9000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes 🗹 No 🗆

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☑ Accelerated filer □ Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company) □ Smaller reporting company □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes □ No ☑

As of July 24, 2012, 359, 389, 049 shares were outstanding.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO FORM 10-Q QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Page

Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)	2
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	65
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	67

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	68
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	68
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	68
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	68
Item 6.	Exhibits	69

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions, except per share data) (Unaudited)

Three months ended Six months ended June 30, June 30, 2012 2011 2012 2011 **Operating revenues** Contract drilling revenues 2,390 4,056 \$ 2,096 4,610 \$ \$ \$ Other revenues 185 238 302 422 2,575 2,334 4,912 4,478 Costs and expenses Operating and maintenance 2,357 1.528 3,820 2,905 Depreciation and amortization 345 359 700 713 General and administrative 79 66 148 133 2.781 1,953 4,668 3,751 Loss on impairment (12) (25) (239) (25) Gain (loss) on disposal of assets, net 55 (1)51 7 Operating income (loss) (163)355 56 709 Other income (expense), net Interest income 13 5 28 20 Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized (183)(147)(363) (292)(2) Other, net (6) (5) (24) (176)(147) (359) (274) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (339) 208 (303) 435 Income tax (benefit) expense (29) 77 (12) 143 Income (loss) from continuing operations (310) 131 (291) 292 7 2 Income from discontinued operations, net of tax 6 174 (303)133 (285) 466 Net income (loss) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest 9 9 1 23 \$ Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest (304) \$ 124 \$ (294) \$ 443 Earnings (loss) per share-basic \$ (0.88)0.84 Earnings (loss) from continuing operations \$ 0.38 \$ (0.85) \$ Earnings from discontinued operations 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.54 \$ Earnings (loss) per share (0.86) \$ 0.39 \$ \$ 1.38 (0.83)Earnings (loss) per share-diluted \$ (0.88)0.84 Earnings (loss) from continuing operations \$ 0.38 \$ (0.85) \$ Earnings from discontinued operations 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.54 Earnings (loss) per share \$ (0.86)\$ 0.39 \$ \$ 1.38 (0.83)Weighted-average shares outstanding Basic 352 319 353 320 Diluted 353 320 352 320

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In millions) (Unaudited)

	Three mon June		ded	Six montl June	led
	 2012	2	2011	 2012	 2011
Net income (loss)	\$ (303)	\$	133	\$ (285)	\$ 466
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes					
Unrecognized components of net periodic benefit costs	1		_	(27)	(6)
Unrecognized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	(3)		(8)	_	(7)
Recognized components of net periodic benefit costs	10		6	23	12
Recognized loss on derivative instruments	6		3	3	5
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	14		1	(1)	4
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income (loss)	1		_	(2)	(2)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	15		1	(3)	2
Total comprehensive income (loss)	(288)		134	(288)	468
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(200)		3	 (200)	21
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ (289)	\$	131	\$ (297)	\$ 447

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except share data) (Unaudited)

Cash and cash equivalents \$ 3,964 \$ 4,017 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubful accounts 2,124 2,176 of \$28 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 2,124 2,176 Materials and supplies, net of allowance for doubful accounts 67 67 of \$31 and \$32, 373 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively 676 627 Deferred Income taxes, net 452 537 Total Current assets 452 537 Property and equipment 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment (see accumulated depreciation) 9,166 8,765 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,253 Goodwill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,179 1,757 Total assets 9,165 8,765 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accound responde taxes 121 89 Debt drow within one year 2,772 1942 Debt drow within one year 2,862 10,756 Labilities 1,581 1,583 Debt			June 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011
Accounts prevaile, net of allowance for doublin accounts. of \$28 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$38 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$38 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$38 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$48 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$48 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$48 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively of \$48 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively Deter current assets 7,867 Property and equipment 7,867 Property and equipment, net 7,867 Property and equipment	Assets	.	2.0/4	.	4.017
of S28 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 2,124 2,174 Validiation and supplice, not allowance for doslessence 676 627 Order and income taxes, net 142 142 142 142 142 143 and synthesis, not allowance for doslessence 452 533 Total current assets 452 533 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entilies 813 2,253 Opperty and equipment of consolidated variable interest entilies 813 2,253 Gondwill 3,059 2,9037 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entilies 813 2,253 Gondwill 3,099 3,217 2,233 Condwill 3,099 3,217 2,176 Other assets 1,269 1,257 3,34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equip 4 \$ 34,442 \$ 36,032 Debt due within one year 2,88 2,372 Total current liabilities 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 2,88 2,372 <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>3,964</td> <td>\$</td> <td>4,017</td>		\$	3,964	\$	4,017
of Sil and Si Zi at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively 676 672 Deferred income taxes, net 142 142 Syste hild for sale 9 26 Other current assets 7,367 7,523 Total current assets 7,367 7,525 Property and equipment 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entilies 813 2,525 Ess accurundated depreciation 9,165 8,766 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 Godwill 30,999 3,217 Total assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets 2,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity 2,772 1,942 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accurds income taxes, net 2,772 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,772 533 Debt due within one year 2,775 55,208			2,124		2,176
Deferred income taxes, net 142 142 Assets held for sale 9 26 Other current assets 7.367 7.525 Property and equipment 30.559 2.90.37 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entilies 813 2.252 Descruturate depreciation 9.165 8.76 Property and equipment, net 22.207 2.2533 Goodwill 3.099 3.217 Other assets 1.769 1.757 Total assets 3.4442 \$ 35,032 Labilities and equip 3.099 3.217 Other current labilities 2.88 2.372 Debt downthin one year 28 245 Other current labilities 2.888 2.372 Total current liabilities 1.77 593 Defer current liabilities 1.893 1.793 Defer current liabilities 1.21 793 Defer current liabilities 1.21 793 Defer current liabilities 1.21 793 Defer current liabilities 1.2107	Materials and supplies, net of allowance for obsolescence				
Assels held for sale 9 26 Other current assets 7,367 7,527 Total current assets 7,367 7,525 Property and equipment 1 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment 1 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment 1 9,165 8,756 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 Condwill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets 3,4,442 \$,35,032 Contrast assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets 2,772 1,942 Debt dre within one year 2,772 1,942 Debt dre current liabilities 6,726 5,529 Total current liabilities 1,775 593 Deferred income laxes, net 487 519	of \$81 and \$73 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively		676		627
Other current assets 452 537 Total current assets 7,367 7,525 Property and equipment 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities 813 2,252 Less accumulated depreciation 9,165 8,756 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,333 Goodwill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets 34,442 \$ 36,032 Cacounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Debt dow within one year 2,88 2,372 Total current liabilities 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 1,561 1,893 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Defered income taxes, net	Deferred income taxes, net		142		142
Total current assets 7,367 7,525 Property and equipment 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities 813 2,252 Less accumulated depreciation 9,165 8,756 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 Goodwill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity \$ 44,42 \$ 35,032 Accrued income taxes 121 89 Debt due within one year 2,828 2,372 Total current liabilities 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Consolidated variable interest entities 121 89 Defered income taxes, net 487 519 Other long-term liabilities 1,581 1,893	Assets held for sale		9		26
Property and equipment 30,559 29,037 Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities 813 2,252 Less accumulated depreciation 9,165 8,756 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 Goodwill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$3,4,42 \$35,032 Liabilities and equity 4 4 Accrued income taxes 121 89 Debt dor within one year 2,772 1942 Debt dor within one year 2,888 2,312 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Other current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt oconsolidated variable interest entities 177 593 Defered income taxes, net 177 593 Other long-term liabilities 1,581 1,893 Total current liabilities 1,513 1,893 Total long-term liabilitities 1,2107 13,761	Other current assets		452		537
Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities 813 2,252 Less accumulated depreciation 9,165 8,756 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 GodoWill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity 2 2272 1,942 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 2,372 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 2,888 2,372 Debt due within one year 2,888 2,372 5,288 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 2,045 5,988 2,372 Defered income taxes, net 9,862 10,756 5,127 5,988 2,372 5,127 1,942 Defered income taxes, net 9,862 10,756 1,759 5,127 1,942 Defered income taxes, net 9,862 10,756 1,175 5,127 1,942 Defered income taxes, net	Total current assets		7,367		7,525
Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities 813 2,252 Less accumulated depreciation 9,165 8,756 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 GodoWill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity 2 2272 1,942 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 2,372 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 2,888 2,372 Debt due within one year 2,888 2,372 5,288 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 2,045 5,988 2,372 Defered income taxes, net 9,862 10,756 5,127 5,988 2,372 5,127 1,942 Defered income taxes, net 9,862 10,756 1,759 5,127 1,942 Defered income taxes, net 9,862 10,756 1,175 5,127 1,942 Defered income taxes, net	Property and equipment		20 550		20 027
Less accumulated depreciation 9,165 8,766 Property and equipment, net 22,207 22,533 Goodwill 3,099 3,217 Total assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity 2 2 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Account in come taxes 121 890 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Commitments and contingencies 15,81 1.893 Total long-term liabilities 12,107 13,761 <					
Property and equipment, net 22.207 22.533 GoodWill 3.099 3.217 Other assets 1.769 1.779 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35.032 Liabilities and equity \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounte liceme taxes 121 89 Debt due within one year 2.8 2.372 Total current liabilities 2.888 2.372 Total current liabilities 6.726 5.528 Long-term debt 9.862 10.756 Long-term debt 9.862 10.756 Long-term debt 9.862 10.756 Deferred income taxes, net 487 519 Other long-term liabilities 12.107 13.761 Total long-term liabilities 12.107 13.761 Commitments and contingencies - 116 Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402.282,355 authorized, 167.617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373.830.649 and 365.135.298 issued at June 30, 2012 and Dec					
Goodwill 3,099 3,217 Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity 4 \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity 5 917 \$ 880 Accound income taxes 121 89 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,888 2,332 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Defered income taxes, net 487 519 Other long-term liabilities 1,581 1,893 Total long-term liabilities 12,107 13,761 Commitments and contingencies — 116 Redeemable noncontrolling interest					
Other assets 1,769 1,757 Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity Cocounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accound income taxes 121 89 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year 2,888 2,372 Other current liabilities 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term dibt for consolidated variable interest entities 1,581 1,893 Deterred income taxes, net 487 519 Other long-term liabilities 1,2107 13,761 Commilments and contingencies - 116 Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 349,305,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively 5,127 4,982 Additional paid-in capital 7,472 7,211 17,					
Total assets \$ 34,442 \$ 35,032 Liabilities and equity Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable \$ 217 890 Accounts payable 2,772 1,942 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt 9,862 10,756 Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities 177 593 Deferred income taxes, net 487 519 Other long-term liabilities 1,581 1,893 Total long-term liabilities 1,761 1,3761 Commitments and contingencies — 116 Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,330,649 and 345,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 357,80 5,127 4,982 <					
Liabilities and equity Accounts payable S 917 8 80 Accrued income taxes 212 89 Debt due within one year 2,1772 1,942 Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year 2,888 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 Conserved the set of the set		ф.		.	· · ·
Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable 121 89 99 121 89 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 245 245 Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year 2,88 2,372 1,942 Other current liabilities 2,888 2,372 1,528 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 5,528 Long-term debt 6,726 5,528 10,756 Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities 177 593 598 1,883 Deferred income taxes, net 487 519 1,883 1,581 1,893 Other long-term liabilities 1,581 1,581 1,893 1,761 1,683 1,761 1,649 1,649 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,7649 1,717 1,732 7,217 1,721 7,211 1,761	l otal assets	\$	34,442	\$	35,032
Accounts payable \$ 917 \$ 880 Accounts payable 121 89 99 121 89 Debt due within one year 2,772 1,942 245 245 Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year 2,88 2,372 1,942 Other current liabilities 2,888 2,372 1,528 2,372 Total current liabilities 6,726 5,528 5,528 Long-term debt 6,726 5,528 10,756 Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities 177 593 598 1,883 Deferred income taxes, net 487 519 1,883 1,581 1,893 Other long-term liabilities 1,581 1,581 1,893 1,761 1,683 1,761 1,649 1,649 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,649 1,761 1,7649 1,717 1,732 7,217 1,721 7,211 1,761	Liabilities and equity				
Accrued income taxes12189Debt due within one year2,7721,942Debt due within one year28245Other current liabilities2,8882,372Total current liabilities6,7265,528Long-term debt9,86210,756Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies—116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,4727,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interestTotal controlling interestTreasury shares, at cost, 2,663,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earningsAccumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interestTotal equity15,62315,637 </td <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>917</td> <td>\$</td> <td>880</td>		\$	917	\$	880
Debt due within one year2,7721,942Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year28245Other current liabilities2,8882,372Total current liabilities6,7265,528Long-term debt9,86210,756Long-term debt9,86210,756Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies-116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,21117,21112,400Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings(516)(49615,62315,637Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(49615,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10)15,60915,62315,627			121		89
Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year28245Other current liabilities2,8882,372Total current liabilities6,7265,528Long-term debt9,86210,756Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies-116Redeemable noncontrolling interest-116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (240)(240) (240)Retained earnings Accumulated other congrephensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10)Total equity15,60915,627	Debt due within one year				1.942
Other current liabilities2,8882,372Total current liabilities6,7265,528Long-term debt9,86210,756Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies—116Redeemable noncontrolling interest—116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,2117,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings(516)(4963,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(49615,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(101015,60915,627			28		245
Total current liabilities6,7265,528Long-term debt9,86210,756Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies—116Redeemable noncontrolling interest—116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively: 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,21112,400(240)Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)(240)Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496(14)(10Total controlling interest(14)(10Total controlling interest(14)(1015,60915,62715,627			2,888		2,372
Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies–116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,2117,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,1804,1804,1804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(49615,62315,637Noncontrolling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,63715,60915,627Noncontrolling interest(14)(101015,60915,627					5,528
Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities177593Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies–116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,2117,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,1804,1804,1804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(49615,62315,637Noncontrolling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,63715,60915,627Noncontrolling interest(14)(101015,60915,627					
Deferred income taxes, net487519Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingencies-116Redeemable noncontrolling interest-116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					
Other long-term liabilities1,5811,893Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingenciesRedeemable noncontrolling interest—116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,2117,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627	•				
Total long-term liabilities12,10713,761Commitments and contingenciesRedeemable noncontrolling interest—Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,2117,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					
Commitments and contingencies Redeemable noncontrolling interest — 116 Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively 5,127 4,982 Additional paid-in capital 7,472 7,211 Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (240) (240) Retained earnings 3,780 4,180 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (516) (496 Total controlling interest shareholders' equity 15,623 15,637 Noncontrolling interest (14) (10 Total equity 15,609 15,627	Other long-term liabilities		1,581		1,893
Redeemable noncontrolling interest—116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627	Total long-term liabilities		12,107		13,761
Redeemable noncontrolling interest—116Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627	Commitments and contingencies				
Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively5,1274,982Additional paid-in capital7,4727,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					116
and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively; 359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively 5,127 4,982 Additional paid-in capital 7,472 7,211 Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (240) (240) Retained earnings 3,780 4,180 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (516) (496 Total controlling interest shareholders' equity 15,623 15,637 Noncontrolling interest (14) (10 Total equity 15,609 15,627			_		110
359,284,907 and 349,805,793 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively 5,127 4,982 Additional paid-in capital 7,472 7,211 Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (240) (240) Retained earnings 3,780 4,180 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (516) (496) Total controlling interest shareholders' equity 15,623 15,637 Noncontrolling interest (14) (10) Total equity 15,609 15,627	Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 402,282,355 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, and 373,830,649 and 365,135,298 issued at lune 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively:				
Additional paid-in capital7,4727,211Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011(240)(240)Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627			5,127		4,982
Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 held at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (240) (240) Retained earnings 3,780 4,180 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (516) (496 Total controlling interest shareholders' equity 15,623 15,637 Noncontrolling interest (14) (10 Total equity 15,609 15,627					
Retained earnings3,7804,180Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					(240)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss(516)(496Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					
Total controlling interest shareholders' equity15,62315,637Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					(496)
Noncontrolling interest(14)(10Total equity15,60915,627					
Total equity 15,609 15,627					
		¢		¢	35,032

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY (In millions) (Unaudited)

	Six months June 3			Six mon Jur	ths e ne 30	
	2012	2011		2012		2011
	Share	s		Am	nount	
Shares						
Balance, beginning of period	350	319	\$	4,982	\$	4,482
Issuance of shares under share-based compensation plans	-	1		11		8
Issuance of shares in exchange for noncontrolling interest	9		*	134	*	
Balance, end of period	359	320	\$	5,127	\$	4,490
Additional paid-in capital						
Balance, beginning of period			\$	7,211	\$	7,504
Share-based compensation				48		54
Issuance of shares under share-based compensation plans Acquisition of noncontrolling interest in exchange for issuance of shares				(17) 233		(15)
Obligation for distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital				233		(1,016)
Other, net				(3)		2
Balance, end of period			\$	7,472	\$	6,529
Treasury shares, at cost						
Balance, beginning of period			\$	(240)	\$	(240)
Balance, end of period			\$	(240)	\$	(240)
Retained earnings			*	<u>,_ · · · /</u>	*	<u></u> /
Balance, beginning of period			\$	4,180	\$	9,934
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest			φ	(294)	ψ	443
Fair value adjustment of redeemable noncontrolling interest				(106)		_
Balance, end of period			\$	3,780	\$	10,377
Accumulated other comprehensive loss						
Balance, beginning of period			\$	(496)	\$	(332)
Reclassification from redeemable noncontrolling interest				(17)		
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interest				(3)		4
Balance, end of period			\$	(516)	\$	(328)
Total controlling interest shareholders' equity						
Balance, beginning of period			\$	15,637	\$	21,348
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interest				(297)		447
Share-based compensation				48		54
Issuance of shares under share-based compensation plans				(6)		(7)
Reclassification from redeemable noncontrolling interest Fair value adjustment of redeemable noncontrolling interest				(17)		—
Issuance of shares in exchange for noncontrolling interest				(106) 367		_
Obligation for distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital						(1,016)
Other, net				(3)		2
Balance, end of period			\$	15,623	\$	20,828
Noncontrolling interest						
Balance, beginning of period			\$	(10)	\$	(8)
Total comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest				(4)		(4)
Balance, end of period			\$	(14)	\$	(12)
Total equity						
Balance, beginning of period			\$	15,627	\$	21,340
Total comprehensive income (loss)				(301)		443
Share-based compensation				48		54
Issuance of shares under share-based compensation plans				(6)		(7)
Reclassification from redeemable noncontrolling interest				(17)		_
Fair value adjustment of redeemable noncontrolling interest Issuance of shares in exchange for noncontrolling interest				(106) 367		_
Obligation for distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital				307		(1,016)
Other, net				(3)		(1,010)
Balance, end of period			\$	15,609	\$	20,816

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions) (Unaudited)

Depreciation and amortization 345 359 700 713 Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net (10) $-$ (10) (10) (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) $-$ (10) (10) Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred revenue, net 7 (3) (5) 43 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 236 (293) (496) (533 Capital expenditures (236) (293) (496) (533		Three mon June	nded		ded		
Net income (loss) \$ (303) \$ 133 \$ (285) \$ 466 Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities (12) (10) (23) (20) Amortization of dilling contract intangibles (12) (10) (23) (20) Depreciation and amortization 345 359 700 713 Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gair) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) - (10) (16) Amortization of deth issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 04 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 13 14 14 11 11 14 13 13 12 25 133 Other, net 12 246 12 (43) 460 14 11 <th></th> <th> 2012</th> <th> 2011</th> <th></th> <th>2012</th> <th></th> <th>2011</th>		 2012	 2011		2012		2011
Net income (loss) \$ (303) \$ 133 \$ (285) \$ 466 Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities (12) (10) (23) (20) Amortization of dilling contract intangibles (12) (10) (23) (20) Depreciation and amortization 345 359 700 713 Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gair) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) - (10) (16) Amortization of deth issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 04 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 13 14 14 11 11 14 13 13 12 25 133 Other, net 12 246 12 (43) 460 14 11 <th>Cash flows from operating activities</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Cash flows from operating activities						
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities (12) (10) (23) (20) Montization of alling contract intangibles (12) (10) (23) (20) Depreciation and amotization 345 359 700 713 Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) - (10) (16) Amotization of debit issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in deferred incomes, net 17 - 17 259 Cash flows from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 259 Cash flows from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - <td></td> <td>\$ (303)</td> <td>\$ 133</td> <td>\$</td> <td>(285)</td> <td>\$</td> <td>466</td>		\$ (303)	\$ 133	\$	(285)	\$	466
Amortization of drilling contract intangibles (12) (10) (23) (20) Depreciation and amortization 345 359 700 713 Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 255 (Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net (55) 1 (51) (7 (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) (10) (10) Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 622 Deterred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400) Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities (236) (293) (496) (533 <	Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities	()			~ /		
Depreciation and amortization 345 359 700 713 Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net (10) - (10) (16) (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) - (1		(12)	(10)		(23)		(20)
Share-based compensation expense 25 27 48 54 Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net (55) 1 (51) (7 (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) - (10) (169 Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred revenue, net 7 (3) (5) 43 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 411 (206) 374 (400) Capital expenditures (236) (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 144 5 185 18 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 17 - 17 25 Capital expenditu							
Loss on impairment 12 25 239 25 (Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net (55) 1 (51) (7 (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) (10) (169) Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred revenue, net 7 (3) (5) 43 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities (236) (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 25 (33 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 13 (277) <td< td=""><td></td><td>25</td><td>27</td><td></td><td>48</td><td></td><td>54</td></td<>		25	27		48		54
(Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net (55) 1 (51) (7 (Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) - (10) (169 Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 28 (48) (21) (84 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 144 45 185 18 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 25 Other, net 13 (27) 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (260) 56 Proceeds from financing activities (62) 5 (260) 56		12	25		239		25
(Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net (10) (10) (169) Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred revenue, net 7 (3) (5) 43 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 236 (236) (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 17 - 17 25 (33 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (269) (289) Cash flows from financing activities - - - 5 Changes in short-term borrowings, net (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from debt <td></td> <td>(55)</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>(51)</td> <td></td> <td>(7)</td>		(55)	1		(51)		(7)
Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net 17 36 35 62 Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 236) (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 144 5 185 18 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 259 Other, net 13 (27) 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from financing activities - - - - - 5 Cash flows from financing activities (173) (202) (320) (249)<		(10)	_		(10)		(169)
Deferred income taxes (26) 12 (43) 36 Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 236 (293) (496) (533) Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 259 Other, net 13 (27) 25 (33) Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (269) (289) Cash flows from financing activities - - - - 5 Changes in short-term borrowings, net (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from debt - - - - - 5		17	36		35		62
Other, net 20 14 41 11 Changes in deferred expenses, net 7 (3) (5) 43 Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities (236) (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 144 5 185 18 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (269) (289) Cash flows from financing activities (62) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from financing activities (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from debt - - - 5 Proceeds from restricted cash investments (74) - (116) -		(26)	12		(43)		36
Changes in deferred expenses, net28(48)(21)(84Changes in operating assets and liabilities411(206)374(400Net cash provided by operating activities459340999730Cash flows from investing activitiesCapital expenditures(236)(293)(496)(533Proceeds from disposal of assets, net144518518Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net17-17259Other, net13(27)25(33)Net cash used in investing activities(62)(315)(269)(289)Cash flows from financing activities5Changes in short-term borrowings, net(173)(202)(320)(249)Proceeds from debt5Repayments of debt(173)(202)(320)(249)Proceeds from restricted cash investments84-192-Deposits to restricted cash investments(74)-(116)-Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital-(254)(278)(254)Other, net83(1)(4Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents(18)(423)(53)(5Cash and cash equivalents(18)(423)(53)(5(53)(5	Other, net		14				11
Changes in deferred expenses, net 28 (48) (21) (84 Changes in operating assets and liabilities 411 (206) 374 (400 Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities 236 (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 144 5 185 18 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 259 Other, net 13 (27) 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (260) 56 Proceeds from financing activities - - - 5 Changes in short-term borrowings, net (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from feature cash investments 84 - 192 - Deposits to restricted cash investments (74) - (116) -	Changes in deferred revenue, net	7	(3)		(5)		43
Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities	-	28	(48)		(21)		(84)
Net cash provided by operating activities 459 340 999 730 Cash flows from investing activities	Changes in operating assets and liabilities	411	(206)		374		(400)
Cash flows from investing activities Capital expenditures (236) (293) (496) (533 Proceeds from disposal of assets, net 144 5 185 18 Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net 17 - 17 259 Other, net 13 (27) 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (269) (289 Cash flows from financing activities (62) (315) (269) (289 Cash flows from financing activities (62) (315) (260) 56 Proceeds from debt - - - 5 Repayments of debt (173) (202) (320) (249 Proceeds from restricted cash investments 84 - 192 - Deposits to restricted cash investments (74) - (116) - Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital - (254) (278) (254) Other, net 8 3 (1)		459	340		999		
Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net17-17259Other, net13 (27) 25 (33) Net cash used in investing activities(62) (315) (269) (289) Cash flows from financing activitiesCash flows from financing activitiesChanges in short-term borrowings, net (260) 5 (260) 56Proceeds from debt $ 5$ Repayments of debt (173) (202) (320) (249) Proceeds from restricted cash investments 84 $ 192$ $-$ Deposits to restricted cash investments (74) $ (116)$ $-$ Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital $ (254)$ (278) (254) Other, net 8 3 (1) $(4$ Net cash used in financing activities (18) (423) (53) $(5$ Cash and cash equivalents (18) (423) (53) $(5$, ,				(533) 18
Other, net 13 (27) 25 (33 Net cash used in investing activities (62) (315) (269) (289) Cash flows from financing activities (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from debt - - - 5 Repayments of debt (173) (202) (320) (249) Proceeds from restricted cash investments 84 - 192 - Deposits to restricted cash investments (74) - (116) - Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital - (254) (278) (254) Other, net 8 3 (1) (4 Net cash used in financing activities (415) (448) (783) (446) Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (18) (423) (53) (5 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354	·		_				
Net cash used in investing activities(62)(315)(269)(289)Cash flows from financing activitiesChanges in short-term borrowings, net(260)5(260)56Proceeds from debt5Repayments of debt(173)(202)(320)(249)Proceeds from restricted cash investments84-192-Deposits to restricted cash investments(74)-(116)-Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital-(254)(278)(254)Other, net83(1)(4Net cash used in financing activities(18)(423)(53)(5Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period3,9823,7724,0173,354			(27)				
Changes in short-term borrowings, net (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from debt - - - 5 Repayments of debt (173) (202) (320) (249 Proceeds from restricted cash investments 84 - 192 - Deposits to restricted cash investments (74) - (116) - Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital - (254) (278) (254) Other, net 8 3 (1) (4 Net cash used in financing activities (415) (448) (783) (446) Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (18) (423) (53) (5 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354	Net cash used in investing activities						(289)
Changes in short-term borrowings, net (260) 5 (260) 56 Proceeds from debt - - - 5 Repayments of debt (173) (202) (320) (249 Proceeds from restricted cash investments 84 - 192 - Deposits to restricted cash investments (74) - (116) - Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital - (254) (278) (254) Other, net 8 3 (1) (4 Net cash used in financing activities (415) (448) (783) (446) Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (18) (423) (53) (5 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354	Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from debt5Repayments of debt(173)(202)(320)(249Proceeds from restricted cash investments84-192-Deposits to restricted cash investments(74)-(116)-Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital-(254)(278)(254)Other, net83(1)(4Net cash used in financing activities(415)(448)(783)(446)Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents(18)(423)(53)(5Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period3,9823,7724,0173,354		(260)	5		(260)		56
Repayments of debt(173)(202)(320)(249Proceeds from restricted cash investments84-192-Deposits to restricted cash investments(74)-(116)-Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital-(254)(278)(254)Other, net83(1)(4Net cash used in financing activities(415)(448)(783)(446)Net decrease in cash and cash equivalentsCash and cash equivalents at beginning of period3,9823,7724,0173,354		(200)	_		(200)		
Proceeds from restricted cash investments84-192-Deposits to restricted cash investments(74)-(116)-Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital-(254)(278)(254)Other, net83(1)(4Net cash used in financing activities(415)(448)(783)(446)Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents(18)(423)(53)(5Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period3,9823,7724,0173,354		(173)	(202)		(320)		
Deposits to restricted cash investments(74)-(116)-Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital-(254)(278)(254)Other, net83(1)(4)Net cash used in financing activities(415)(448)(783)(446)Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents(18)(423)(53)(5)Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period3,9823,7724,0173,354		· · /			· · /		(,)
Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital - (254) (278) (254) Other, net 8 3 (1) (4 Net cash used in financing activities (415) (448) (783) (446) Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (18) (423) (53) (5 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354			_				_
Other, net 8 3 (1) (4 Net cash used in financing activities (415) (448) (783) (446) Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (18) (423) (53) (5 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354			(254)				(254)
Net cash used in financing activities(415)(448)(783)(446)Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents(18)(423)(53)(5Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period3,9823,7724,0173,354		8					(4)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354	Net cash used in financing activities						(446)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 3,982 3,772 4,017 3,354	Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(18)	(423)		(53)		(5)
		\$	\$	\$		\$,

(Unaudited)

Note 1—Nature of Business

Transocean Ltd. (together with its subsidiaries and predecessors, unless the context requires otherwise, "Transocean," the "Company," "we," "us" or "our") is a leading international provider of offshore contract drilling services for oil and gas wells. We specialize in technically demanding sectors of the offshore drilling business with a particular focus on deepwater and harsh environment drilling services. Our mobile offshore drilling fleet is considered one of the most versatile fleets in the world. We contract our drilling rigs, related equipment and work crews predominantly on a dayrate basis to drill oil and gas wells. At June 30, 2012, we owned or had partial ownership interests in and operated 130 mobile offshore drilling units. As of this date, our fleet consisted of 50 High-Specification Floaters (Ultra-Deepwater, Deepwater and Harsh Environment semisubmersibles and drillships), 25 Midwater Floaters, 10 High-Specification Jackups, 44 Standard Jackups and one swamp barge. In addition, we had two Ultra-Deepwater drillships and three High-Specification Jackups under construction. See Note 11—Drilling Fleet.

We also provide oil and gas drilling management services, drilling engineering and drilling project management services through Applied Drilling Technology Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, and through ADT International, a division of one of our United Kingdom ("U.K"). subsidiaries (together, "ADTI"). ADTI conducts drilling management services primarily on either a dayrate or a completed-project, fixed-price (or "turnkey") basis.

Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of presentation—We have prepared our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S.") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Pursuant to such rules and regulations, these financial statements do not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. for complete financial statements. The condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. Such adjustments are considered to be of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise noted. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012 or for any future period. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 included in our annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 27, 2012.

Accounting estimates—To prepare financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions, including those related to our allowance for doubtful accounts, materials and supplies obsolescence, property and equipment, investments, notes receivable, goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes, defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefits, contingencies and share-based compensation. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from such estimates.

Fair value measurements—We estimate fair value at a price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal market for the asset or liability. Our valuation techniques require inputs that we categorize using a three-level hierarchy, from highest to lowest level of observable inputs, as follows: (1) significant observable inputs, including unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets ("Level 1"), (2) significant other observable inputs, including direct or indirect market data for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or identical assets or liabilities in less active markets ("Level 2"), and (3) significant unobservable inputs, including those that require considerable judgment for which there is little or no market data ("Level 3"). When multiple input levels are required for a valuation, we categorize the entire fair value measurement according to the lowest level of input that is significant to the measurement even though we may have also utilized significant inputs that are more readily observable.

Consolidation—We consolidate entities in which we have a majority voting interest and entities that meet the criteria for variable interest entities for which we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary for accounting purposes. We eliminate intercompany transactions and accounts in consolidation. We apply the equity method of accounting for investments in entities if we have the ability to exercise significant influence over an entity that (a) does not meet the variable interest entity criteria or (b) meets the variable interest entity criteria, but for which we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary. We apply the cost method of accounting for investments in other entities if we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the unconsolidated entity. See Note 5—Variable Interest Entities.

Share-based compensation—Share-based compensation expense was \$25 million and \$48 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Share-based compensation expense was \$27 million and \$54 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

(Unaudited)

Capitalized interest—We capitalize interest costs for qualifying construction and upgrade projects. We capitalized interest costs on construction work in progress of \$12 million and \$25 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. We capitalized interest costs for construction work in progress of \$10 million and \$25 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Reclassifications—We have made certain reclassifications, which did not have an effect on net income, to prior period amounts to conform with the current period's presentation. These reclassifications did not have a material effect on our condensed consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Subsequent events—We evaluate subsequent events through the time of our filing on the date we issue our financial statements. See Note 20—Subsequent Events.

Note 3—New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Intangibles-goodwill and other—Effective January 1, 2012, we adopted the accounting standards update that amends the goodwill impairment testing requirements by giving an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount and whether the two-step impairment test is required. The update is effective for goodwill impairment tests performed for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Our adoption did not have an effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements because a goodwill impairment test was not required in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Fair value measurements—Effective January 1, 2012, we adopted the accounting standards update that requires additional disclosure about fair value measurements that involve significant unobservable inputs, including additional quantitative information about the unobservable inputs, a description of valuation techniques used, and a qualitative evaluation of the sensitivity of these measurements. Our adoption did not have a material effect on the disclosures contained in our notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Balance sheet—Effective January 1, 2013, we will adopt the accounting standards update that expands the disclosure requirements for the offsetting of assets and liabilities related to certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. The update requires disclosures to present both gross information and net information for financial instruments and derivative instruments that are eligible for net presentation due to a right of offset, an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We do not expect that our adoption will have a material effect on our condensed consolidated balance sheet or the disclosures contained in our notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

(Unaudited)

Note 4—Correction of Errors in Previously Reported Consolidated Financial Statements

We perform assessments of our contingencies and corresponding assets for insurance recoveries on an ongoing basis to evaluate the appropriateness of our balances and disclosures for such contingencies and insurance recoveries. We establish liabilities for estimated loss contingencies when we believe a loss is probable and the amount of the probable loss can be reasonably estimated. We recognize corresponding assets for those loss contingencies that we believe are probable of being recovered through insurance. In performing these assessments in the three months ended June 30, 2012, we identified an error in our previously issued financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 related to the recognition of assets for insurance recoveries related to legal and other costs totaling \$67 million and \$37 million, respectively, which we have concluded should not have been recorded because they were not probable of recovery.

We assessed the materiality of this error in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 99, *Materiality* and SAB No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* ("SAB 108"), using both the rollover method and the iron curtain method, as defined in SAB 108, and concluded the error, inclusive of other adjustments discussed below, was immaterial to prior years but could be material to the current year. Under SAB 108, if the prior year error that, if corrected in the current year, would be material to the current year, the prior year financial statements should be corrected, even though such correction previously was immaterial to the prior year financial statements. Correcting prior year financial statements for immaterial errors does not require our previously filed reports to be amended, but rather these corrections will be made the next time we file the prior period consolidated financial statements.

In addition to the adjustments in 2011 and 2012 related to the assets for insurance recoveries, we recorded other adjustments related to the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 to correct for immaterial errors for repair and maintenance costs, income taxes, discontinued operations, and the allocation of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest. These other adjustments were not previously recorded in the appropriate periods, as we concluded that they were immaterial to our previously issued consolidated financial statements.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the correction of these errors reduced income from continuing operations by \$55 million and net income attributable to controlling interest by \$32 million. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, correction of these errors reduced income from continuing operations by \$31 million and \$34 million, respectively, and net income attributable to controlling interest by \$31 million and \$22 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, correction of these errors increased loss from continuing operations by \$31 million and net loss attributable to controlling interest by \$29 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, correction of these errors reduced income from continuing operations by \$19 million and net income attributable to controlling interest by \$35 million. The summary of adjustments for increases and (decreases) to net income (loss) from continuing operations and net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest for the applicable periods were as follows (in millions):

	Three months sended				nonths	Yea		
		ch 31, 012				ne 30, 011	mber 31, 2011	mber 31, 010
Legal and other costs	\$	(37)	\$	(19)	\$	(30)	\$ (67)	\$ _
Repair and maintenance costs		_		(32)		(48)	11	(11)
Income tax (expense) benefit		7		5		20	16	(4)
Other immaterial adjustments, net		(25)		15		24	9	(4)
Net adjustment to income from continuing operations		(55)		(31)		(34)	(31)	(19)
Net adjustment to income from discontinued operations, net of tax		14		—		(4)	(14)	_
Net adjustment to net income attributable to noncontrolling interest		9		_		16	 16	(16)
Net adjustment to net income attributable to controlling interest	\$	(32)	\$	(31)	\$	(22)	\$ (29)	\$ (35)

The effects of the corrections of the errors on our consolidated statements of operations and balance sheets are presented in the tables below. The corrections of the errors had no effect on our consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) other than the effect of the changes to net income (loss) for each period. The corrections of the errors had no effect on the previously reported amounts of operating, investing, and financing cash flows in our consolidated statements of cash flows.

	Three	mon	nonths ended Marc			2012
	Previous reported		Adju	ustments	ad	As Ijusted
Operating revenues						
Contract drilling revenues	\$ 2,2	14	\$	6	\$	2,220
Other revenues		17				117
	2,3	31		6		2,337
Costs and expenses						
Operating and maintenance	1,4			53		1,463
Depreciation and amortization		51		4		355
General and administrative		59		_		69
	1,8	30		57		1,887
Loss on impairment	(2	27)		—		(227
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets, net		(4)		_		(4
Operating income (loss)	2	70		(51)		219
Other income (expense), net						
Interest income		15		_		15
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(1)	30)		-		(180
Other, net		(7)		(11)		(18
	(1)	72)		(11)		(183
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense		98		(62)		36
Income tax (benefit) expense		24		(7)		17
Income (loss) from continuing operations		74		(55)		19
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		15)		14		(1
Net income (loss)		59		(41)		18
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest		17		(9)		8
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$	42	\$	(32)	\$	10
Earnings (loss) per share-basic						
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.	16	\$	(0.13)	\$	0.03
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations)4)	Ţ	0.04	•	_
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.		\$	(0.09)	\$	0.03
Earnings (loss) per share-diluted						
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.		\$	(0.13)	\$	0.03
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.)4)		0.04		_
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.	12	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.03

	1	Three mor	nths e	ended Jun	e 30,	2011		Six mont	30, 2	011		
		eviously eported	Adj	ustments	a	As djusted		eviously eported	Adjustments		<u>a</u>	As djusted
Operating revenues												
Contract drilling revenues	\$	2,096	\$	—	\$	2,096	\$	4,056	\$	_	\$	4,056
Other revenues		238		_		238		422		_		422
		2,334		_		2,334		4,478		_		4,478
Costs and expenses												
Operating and maintenance		1,492		36		1,528		2,851		54		2,905
Depreciation and amortization		359		-		359		713		-		713
General and administrative		66		_		66		133		_		133
		1,917		36		1,953		3,697		54		3,751
Loss on impairment		(25)		—		(25)		(25)		_		(25)
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets, net		(1)		_		(1)		7		_		7
Operating income (loss)		391		(36)		355		763		(54)		709
Other income (expense), net												
Interest income		5		—		5		20		_		20
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized		(147)		-		(147)		(292)		-		(292)
Other, net		(5)		_		(5)		(2)		_		(2)
		(147)		_		(147)		(274)		_		(274)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense		244		(36)		208		489		(54)		435
Income tax (benefit) expense		82		(5)		77		163		(20)		143
Income (loss) from continuing operations		162		(31)		131		326		(34)		292
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		2		_		2		178		(4)		174
Net income (loss)		164		(31)		133		504		(38)		466
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest		9		_		9		39		(16)		23
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$	155	\$	(31)	\$	124	\$	465	\$	(22)	\$	443
Earnings (loss) per share-basic	¢	0.47	¢	(0,00)	¢	0.20	¢	0.00	¢		¢	0.04
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.47	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.38	\$	0.89	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.84
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations	<i>.</i>	0.01	¢	(0.00)	¢	0.01	¢	0.55	¢	(0.01)	¢	0.54
Earnings (loss) per share	\$	0.48	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.39	\$	1.44	\$	(0.06)	\$	1.38
Earnings (loss) per share-diluted												
		0.47	۴	(0,00)	^	0.00		0.00	¢	(0.05)	¢	0.84
Farnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	() 4 /		(0.09)	S	0.38		0.89	.>	(0.05)	. 8	0.84
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	0.47 0.01	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.38 0.01	\$	0.89 0.55	\$	(0.05) (0.01)	\$	0.84

		Year ended December 31, 2011							Year ended December 31,						
		reviously reported	A	djustments	į	As adjusted		reviously reported	Adj	ustments	a	As djusted			
Operating revenues															
Contract drilling revenues	\$	8,380	\$	(6)	\$	8,374	\$	8,986	\$	—	\$	8,986			
Other revenues		762		_		762		480		-		480			
		9,142		(6)		9,136		9,466		_		9,466			
Costs and expenses															
Operating and maintenance		6,956		45		7,001		5,074		15		5,089			
Depreciation and amortization		1,449		(4)		1,445		1,536		-		1,536			
General and administrative		288		_		288		246		_		246			
		8,693		41		8,734		6,856		15		6,871			
Loss on impairment		(5,229)		_		(5,229)		(1,010)		_		(1,010)			
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets, net		4		_		4		257		_		257			
Operating income (loss)		(4,776)		(47)		(4,823)		1,857		(15)		1,842			
Other income (expense), net															
Interest income		44		_		44		23		_		23			
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized		(621)		_		(621)		(567)		_		(567)			
Other, net		(81)		_		(81)		(23)		_		(23)			
		(658)		_		(658)		(567)		_		(567)			
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense		(5,434)		(47)		(5,481)		1,290		(15)		1,275			
Income tax (benefit) expense		395		(16)		379		336		4		340			
Income (loss) from continuing operations		(5,829)		(31)		(5,860)		954		(19)		935			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		197		(14)		183		34		_		34			
				()		100		01				01			
Net income (loss)		(5,632)		(45)		(5,677)		988		(19)		969			
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest		93		(16)		77		27		16		43			
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$	(5,725)	\$	(29)	\$	(5,754)	\$	961	\$	(35)	\$	926			
nor moonie (1000) attinuation to controlling interest	Ŧ	(01) = 0 /	Ŧ	(= /)	Ŧ	(07/01)	Ŧ		Ŧ	(00)	Ť	,20			
Earnings (loss) per share-basic															
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(18.40)	\$	(0.05)	\$	(18.45)	\$	2.88	\$	(0.11)	\$	2.77			
	φ	· · /	Ф	. ,	Þ	, ,	¢		¢	(0.11)	¢				
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations	¢	0.61	¢	(0.04)	¢	0.57	¢	0.11	¢	(0 11)	¢	0.11			
Earnings (loss) per share	\$	(17.79)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(17.88)	\$	2.99	\$	(0.11)	\$	2.88			
Earnings (loss) per share-diluted	¢	(10.40)	¢	(0.05.)	¢	(10.45)	¢	0.00	¢	(0.11)	¢	0.77			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(18.40)	\$	(0.05)	\$	(18.45)	\$	2.88	\$	(0.11)	\$	2.77			
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations		0.61		(0.04)		0.57		0.11				0.11			
Earnings (loss) per share	\$	(17.79)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(17.88)	\$	2.99	\$	(0.11)	\$	2.88			

		December 31, 2011							December 31, 2010					
		Previously reported	Adj	ustments	ā	As adjusted		Previously reported	Adjustments		ć	As adjusted		
Assets														
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	4,017	\$	—	\$	4,017	\$	3,394	\$	(40)	\$	3,354		
Accounts receivable, net														
Trade		2,049		—		2,049		1,653		—		1,653		
Other		127		—		127		190		—		190		
Materials and supplies, net		627		—		627		514		—		514		
Deferred income taxes, net		142		—		142		115		—		115		
Assets held for sale		26		—		26		—		—		—		
Other current assets		621		(84)		537		329		43		372		
Total current assets		7,609		(84)		7,525		6,195		3		6,198		
Property and equipment		29,037		_		29,037		26,721		_		26,721		
Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities		2,252		_		2,252		2,214		_		2,214		
Less accumulated depreciation		8,760		(4)		8,756		7,616				7,616		
Property and equipment, net		22,529		4		22,533		21,319		_		21,319		
Goodwill		3,205		12		3,217		8,132		_		8,132		
Other assets		1,745		12		1,757		1,165		_		1,165		
Total assets	\$	35,088	\$	(56)	\$	35,032	\$	36,811	\$	3	\$	36,814		
Liabilities and equity	<i>.</i>	000	.		•	000	^	000	A		^	000		
Accounts payable	\$	880	\$	-	\$	880	\$	832	\$	—	\$	832		
Accrued income taxes		89		_		89		109		_		109		
Debt due within one year		1,942		- 140		1,942		1,917		- 140		1,917		
Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year		97		148		245		95		148		243		
Other current liabilities		2,350		22		2,372		883		12		895		
Total current liabilities		5,358		170		5,528		3,836		160		3,996		
Long-term debt		10,756		—		10,756		8,354		—		8,354		
Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities		741		(148)		593		855		(148)		707		
Deferred income taxes, net		523		(4)		519		575		10		585		
Other long-term liabilities		1,903		(10)		1,893		1,791				1,791		
Total long-term liabilities		13,923		(162)		13,761		11,575		(138)		11,437		
Commitments and contingencies														
Redeemable noncontrolling interest		116		_		116		25		16		41		
Shares		4,982		—		4,982		4,482		—		4,482		
Additional paid-in capital		7,211		—		7,211		7,504		—		7,504		
Treasury shares, at cost		(240)		_		(240)		(240)		_		(240)		
Retained earnings		4,244		(64)		4,180		9,969		(35)		9,934		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(496)		_		(496)		(332)		_		(332)		
Total controlling interest shareholders' equity		15,701		(64)		15,637		21,383		(35)		21,348		
Noncontrolling interest		(10)		—		(10)		(8)		—		(8)		
Total equity		15,691		(64)		15,627		21,375		(35)		21,340		
Total liabilities and equity	\$	35,088	\$	(56)	\$	35,032	\$	36,811	\$	3	\$	36,814		

(Unaudited)

Note 5—Variable Interest Entities

Consolidated variable interest entities—The carrying amounts associated with our consolidated variable interest entities, after eliminating the effect of intercompany transactions, were as follows (in millions):

			June	30, 2012			December 31, 2011							
	Asse			Net carrying Liabilities amount				Assets	Li	abilities		carrying mount		
Variable interest entity														
TPDI	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,562	\$	673	\$	889		
ADDCL		929		315		614		930		334		596		
Total	\$	929	\$	315	\$	614	\$	2,492	\$	1,007	\$	1,485		

Angola Deepwater Drilling Company Limited ("ADDCL"), a consolidated Cayman Islands company, is a variable interest entity for which we are the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, we consolidate the operating results, assets and liabilities of ADDCL.

Transocean Pacific Drilling Inc. ("TPDI"), a consolidated British Virgin Islands company, met the definition of a variable interest entity for which we were the primary beneficiary. On May 31, 2012, TPDI became a wholly owned subsidiary, and no longer meets the definition of a variable interest entity. See Note 16—Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest.

Unconsolidated variable interest entities—As holder of two notes receivable and a lender under a working capital loan, we have a variable interest in Awilco Drilling plc ("Awilco"), a U.K. company listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange. In the three months ended March 31, 2012, Awilco encountered operational downtime, both planned and unplanned, and disputed billings, at which time we reevaluated whether Awilco met the definition of a variable interest entity. Based on our reevaluation, we determined that Awilco now met the definition of a variable interest entity since its equity at risk is insufficient to permit it to carry on its activities without additional subordinated financial support. We also continue to believe that we are not the primary beneficiary since we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.

The notes receivable were originally accepted in exchange for and are secured by two drilling units. The notes receivable have stated interest rates of nine percent and are payable in scheduled quarterly installments of principal and interest through maturity in January 2015. The working capital loan, also secured by the two drilling units, has a stated interest rate of 10 percent and is payable in scheduled quarterly installments of principal and interest through maturity in January 2013. We evaluate the credit quality and financial condition of Awilco quarterly. The aggregate carrying amount of the notes receivable was \$107 million and \$110 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The aggregate carrying amount of the working capital loan receivable was \$111 million and \$29 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. At June 30, 2012, our aggregate exposure to loss on these receivable instruments was \$118 million.

Note 6—Business Combination

As of October 3, 2011, the acquisition date, we held 99 percent of the shares of Aker Drilling ASA ("Aker Drilling"), a Norwegian company formerly listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange, having paid an aggregate amount of NOK 7.9 billion, equivalent to \$1.4 billion. On October 4, 2011, we acquired the remaining noncontrolling interest from holders of Aker Drilling that were required to tender their shares pursuant to Norwegian law. We believe the acquisition of Aker Drilling enhances the composition of our High-Specification Floater fleet and strengthens our presence in Norway. In accounting for the business combination, we applied the acquisition method of accounting, recording the assets and liabilities of Aker Drilling at their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date.

As of October 3, 2011, the acquisition price included the following, measured at estimated fair value: current assets of \$323 million, drilling rigs and other property and equipment of \$1.8 billion, other assets of \$756 million, and the assumption of long-term debt of \$1.6 billion and other liabilities of \$291 million. The acquired assets included \$901 million of cash investments restricted for the payment of certain assumed debt instruments. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired was approximately \$286 million, which was recorded as goodwill. Certain fair value measurements have not been completed, and the acquisition price allocation remains preliminary due to the timing of the acquisition and due to the number of acquired assets and assumed liabilities.

We subsequently made adjustments to the estimated fair value of certain assets and liabilities, which are reflected in the amounts noted above, with a corresponding net increase to goodwill in the amount of \$12 million. We continue to review the estimated fair values of property and equipment and other assets and to evaluate the assumed tax positions and contingencies.

In the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, our operating revenues included approximately \$100 million and \$195 million of contract drilling revenues, respectively, associated with the operations of the two Harsh Environment, Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles that we acquired in our acquisition of Aker Drilling.

(Unaudited)

Unaudited pro forma combined operating results, assuming the acquisition was completed as of January 1, 2011, were as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	ende	e months d June 30, 2011	 c months ed June 30, 2011
Operating revenues	\$	2,438	\$ 4,682
Operating income		406	808
Income from continuing operations	\$	175	\$ 339
Per share earnings from continuing operations			
Basic	\$	0.52	\$ 0.99
Diluted	\$	0.52	\$ 0.99

The pro forma financial information includes various adjustments, primarily related to depreciation expense resulting from the fair value adjustments to the acquired property and equipment. The pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations had the acquisition of Aker Drilling been completed on the assumed dates or the results of operations for any future periods.

Note 7—Impairments

Assets held for sale—During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized aggregate losses of \$12 million (\$0.03 per diluted share from continuing operations) and \$29 million (\$0.08 per diluted share from continuing operations), which had no tax effect, respectively, associated with the impairment of *GSF Adriatic II* and *GSF Rig 136*, which were classified as assets held for sale at the time of impairment. We measured the impairments of the drilling units and related equipment as the amount by which the carrying amounts exceeded the estimated fair values less costs to sell. We estimated the fair value of the assets using significant other observable inputs, representing Level 2 fair value measurements, including binding sale and purchase agreements for the drilling units and related equipment.

In the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized an aggregate loss of \$25 million (\$0.08 per diluted share from continuing operations), which had no tax effect, associated with the impairment of *George H. Galloway*, *GSF Britannia*, and *GSF Labrador*, which were classified as held for sale at the time of impairment. We measured the impairments of the drilling units and related equipment as the amount by which the carrying amounts exceeded the estimated fair values less costs to sell. We estimated the fair values of the assets using significant other observable inputs, representing Level 2 fair value measurements, including binding sale and purchase agreements for the drilling units and related equipment.

Definite-lived intangible assets—During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we determined that the customer relationships intangible asset associated with our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired due to the declining market outlook for these services in the shallow water of U.S. Gulf of Mexico as well as the increased regulatory environment for obtaining drilling permits and the diminishing demand for our drilling management services. We estimated the fair value of the customer relationships intangible asset using the multiperiod excess earnings method, a valuation methodology that applies the income approach. Our valuation required us to project the future performance of the drilling management services reporting unit based on significant unobservable inputs, representing a Level 3 fair value measurement, including assumptions for future commodity prices, projected demand for our services, rig availability and dayrates. As a result of our valuation, we determined that the carrying amount of the customer relationships intangible asset exceeded its fair value, and we recognized a loss on impairment of \$53 million (\$37 million, or \$0.11 per diluted share from continuing operations, net of tax) in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets—As a result of our annual impairment test, performed as of October 1, 2011, we determined that the goodwill associated with our contract drilling services reporting unit was impaired due to a decline in projected cash flows and market valuations for this reporting unit. In the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized a loss on impairment, representing our best estimate, in the amount of \$5.2 billion (\$16.15 per diluted share from continuing operations), which had no tax effect. In the six months ended June 30, 2012, we completed our analysis and recognized an incremental adjustment to our original estimate in the amount of \$118 million (\$0.34 per diluted share from continuing operations), which had no tax effect. We estimated the implied fair value of the goodwill using a variety of valuation methods, including cost, income, and market approaches. Our valuation required us to project the future performance of our contract drilling services reporting unit based on significant unobservable inputs, representing a Level 3 fair value measurement, including assumptions for future commodity prices, projected demand for our services, rig availability and dayrates.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we determined that the trade name intangible asset associated with our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired due to the declining market outlook for these services in the shallow waters of the U.S. Gulf of Mexico as well as the increased regulatory environment for obtaining drilling permits and the diminishing demand for drilling management services. We estimated the fair value of the trade name intangible asset using the relief from royalty method, a valuation

(Unaudited)

methodology that applies the income approach. Our valuation required us to project the future performance of the drilling management services reporting unit based on significant unobservable inputs, representing a Level 3 fair value measurement, including assumptions for future commodity prices, projected demand for drilling management services, rig availability and dayrates. As a result of our valuation, we determined that the carrying amount of the trade name intangible asset exceeded its fair value, and we recognized a loss on impairment of \$39 million (\$25 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share from continuing operations, net of tax) in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Note 8—Income Taxes

Tax rate—Transocean Ltd., a holding company and Swiss resident, is exempt from cantonal and communal income tax in Switzerland, but is subject to Swiss federal income tax. At the federal level, qualifying net dividend income and net capital gains on the sale of qualifying investments in subsidiaries are exempt from Swiss federal income tax. Consequently, Transocean Ltd. expects dividends from its subsidiaries and capital gains from sales of investments in its subsidiaries to be exempt from Swiss federal income tax.

Our provision for income taxes is based on the tax laws and rates applicable in the jurisdictions in which we operate and earn income. The relationship between our provision for or benefit from income taxes and our income or loss before income taxes can vary significantly from period to period considering, among other factors, (a) the overall level of income before income taxes, (b) changes in the blend of income that is taxed based on gross revenues rather than income before taxes, (c) rig movements between taxing jurisdictions and (d) our rig operating structures. Generally, our annual marginal tax rate is lower than our annual effective tax rate.

Our estimated annual effective tax rates were 29.6 percent and 24.6 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These rates were based on estimated annual income before income taxes for each period after adjusting for various discrete items, including certain immaterial adjustments to prior period tax expense.

Deferred taxes—The valuation allowance for our non-current deferred tax assets was as follows (in millions):

	ne 30, 2012	December 31, 2011			
Valuation allowance for non-current deferred tax assets	\$ 178	\$	183		

Unrecognized tax benefits—The liabilities related to our unrecognized tax benefits, including related interest and penalties that we recognize as a component of income tax expense, were as follows (in millions):

	ne 30, 2012	December 31, 2011			
Unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties	\$ 438	\$	515		
Interest and penalties	193		256		
Unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalties	\$ 631	\$	771		

We engage in ongoing discussions with tax authorities regarding the resolution of tax matters in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. Both the ultimate resolutions of these tax matters and the timing of any resolution or closure of the tax audits are highly uncertain. It is reasonably possible that the total amount of our existing liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits could increase or decrease in the next 12 months primarily due to the progression of open audits or the expiration of statutes of limitations. However, we cannot reasonably estimate a range of potential changes in our existing liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits due to various uncertainties, such as the unresolved nature of various audits.

Tax returns—We file federal and local tax returns in several jurisdictions throughout the world. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to examinations of our U.S. and non-U.S. tax matters for years prior to 2006. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the amount of current tax benefit recognized from the settlement of disputes with tax authorities and from the expiration of statutes of limitations, including interest and penalties, was \$146 million and \$194 million, respectively.

Our tax returns in the major jurisdictions in which we operate, other than the U.S., Norway and Brazil which are mentioned below, are generally subject to examination for periods ranging from three to six years. We have agreed to extensions beyond the statute of limitations in two major jurisdictions for up to 17 years. Tax authorities in certain jurisdictions are examining our tax returns and in some cases have issued assessments. We are defending our tax positions in those jurisdictions. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect the ultimate liability to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position or results of operations, although it may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated cash flows.

(Unaudited)

U.S. tax investigations—With respect to our 2004 U.S. federal income tax return, the U.S. tax authorities withdrew all of their previously proposed tax adjustments, including all claims related to transfer pricing. In January 2012, a judge in the U.S. Tax Court entered a decision of no deficiency for the 2004 tax year and cancelled the trial previously scheduled to take place in February 2012. With respect to our 2005 U.S. federal income tax returns, the U.S. tax authorities have withdrawn all of their previously proposed tax adjustments. In May 2010, we received an assessment from the U.S. tax authorities related to our 2006 and 2007 U.S. federal income tax returns. In July 2010, we filed a protest letter with the U.S. tax authorities responding to this assessment. The significant issues raised in the assessment relate to transfer pricing for certain charters of drilling rigs between our subsidiaries and the creation of intangible assets resulting from the performance of engineering services between our subsidiaries. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We believe our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against all such claims.

In addition, the May 2010 assessment included adjustments related to a series of restructuring transactions that occurred between 2001 and 2004. These restructuring transactions impacted our basis in our former subsidiary, TODCO, which we disposed of in 2004 and 2005. The authorities are disputing the amount of capital losses that resulted from the disposition of TODCO. We utilized a portion of the capital losses to offset capital gains on our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2009. The majority of the capital losses were unutilized and expired on December 31, 2009. The adjustments would also impact the amount of certain net operating losses and other carryovers in 2006 and later years. The authorities are also contesting the characterization of certain amounts of income received in 2006 and 2007 as capital gain and thus the availability of the capital loss to offset such gains. These claims with respect to our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2009 could result in net tax adjustments of approximately \$295 million, excluding interest and penalties. An unfavorable outcome on these potential adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We believe that our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against any potential claims.

The May 2010 assessment also included certain claims with respect to withholding taxes and certain other items resulting in net tax adjustments of approximately \$160 million, excluding interest. In addition, the tax authorities assessed penalties associated with the various tax adjustments for the 2006 and 2007 audits in the aggregate amount of approximately \$88 million, excluding interest. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We believe that our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against potential claims.

In February 2012, we received an assessment from the U.S. tax authorities related to our 2008 and 2009 U.S. federal income tax returns. The significant issues raised in the assessment relate to transfer pricing for certain charters of drilling rigs between our subsidiaries and the creation of intangible assets resulting from the performance of engineering services between our subsidiaries. These items would result in net adjustments of approximately \$473 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Furthermore, if the authorities were to continue to pursue these positions with respect to subsequent years and were successful in such assertions, our effective tax rate on worldwide earnings with respect to years following 2009 could increase substantially, and could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations and cash flows. We believe our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against all such claims.

Norway tax investigations—Norwegian civil tax and criminal authorities are investigating various transactions undertaken by our subsidiaries in 2001 and 2002 as well as the actions of certain employees of our former external tax advisors on these transactions. The authorities issued tax assessments of approximately \$115 million, plus interest, related to the migration of a subsidiary that was previously subject to tax in Norway, approximately \$70 million, plus interest, related to a 2001 dividend payment, and approximately \$7 million, plus interest, related to certain foreign exchange deductions and dividend withholding tax. We have filed or expect to file appeals to these tax assessments. We have provided a parent company guarantee in the amount of approximately \$117 million with respect to one of these tax disputes. Furthermore, we may be required to provide some form of additional financial security, in an amount up to \$206 million, including interest and penalties, for other assessed amounts as these disputes are appealed and addressed by the Norwegian courts. The authorities have indicated that they plan to seek penalties of 60 percent on most but not all matters. In June 2011, the Norwegian authorities issued criminal indictments against two of our subsidiaries alleging misleading or incomplete disclosures in Norwegian tax returns for the years 1999 through 2002, as well as inaccuracies in Norwegian statutory financial statements for the years ended December 31, 1996 through 2001. The criminal trial has been scheduled for December 2012. Two employees of our former external tax advisors were also issued indictments with respect to the disclosures in our tax returns. In October 2011, the Norwegian authorities issued criminal indictments against a Norwegian tax attorney related to certain of our restructuring transactions and to the 2001 dividend payment. The indicted Norwegian tax attorney worked for us in an advisory capacity on these transactions. We believe the charges brought against us are without merit and do not alter our technical assessment of the underlying claims. In January 2012, the Norwegian authorities supplemented the previously issued criminal indictments by issuing a financial claim of approximately \$309 million, jointly and severally, against our two subsidiaries, the two external advisors and the external tax attorney.

(Unaudited)

This compensation claim directly overlaps with an existing civil tax assessment and does not represent an incremental financial exposure to us. In February 2012, the authorities dropped the previously existing tax assessment related to a certain restructuring transaction. In April 2012, the Norwegian tax authorities supplemented the previously issued criminal indictments against our two subsidiaries by extending a criminal indictment against a third subsidiary on the same matter, alleging misleading or incomplete disclosures in Norwegian tax returns for the years 2001 and 2002. We believe our Norwegian tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously contest any assertions to the contrary by the Norwegian civil and criminal authorities in connection with the various transactions being investigated. An unfavorable outcome on the Norwegian civil or criminal tax matters could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Brazil tax investigations—Certain of our Brazilian income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2004 are currently under examination. The Brazilian tax authorities have issued tax assessments totaling \$98 million, plus a 75 percent penalty in the amount of \$74 million and interest through December 31, 2011 in the amount of \$143 million. We believe our returns are materially correct as filed, and we are vigorously contesting these assessments. On January 25, 2008, we filed a protest letter with the Brazilian tax authorities, and we are currently engaged in the appeals process. An unfavorable outcome on these proposed assessments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other tax matters—We conduct operations through our various subsidiaries in a number of countries throughout the world. Each country has its own tax regimes with varying nominal rates, deductions and tax attributes. From time to time, we may identify changes to previously evaluated tax positions that could result in adjustments to our recorded assets and liabilities. Although we are unable to predict the outcome of these changes, we do not expect the effect, if any, resulting from these assessments to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 9—Discontinued Operations

Oil and gas properties—In March 2011, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we engaged an unaffiliated advisor to coordinate the sale of the assets of our oil and gas properties reporting unit, a component of our other operations segment, which comprises the exploration, development and production activities performed by Challenger Minerals Inc. and Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited, our wholly owned oil and gas subsidiaries. In October 2011, we completed the sale of Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited. In April 2012, we completed the sale of the assets of Challenger Minerals Inc. for net cash proceeds of \$7 million. In May 2012, we received an additional \$10 million of cash proceeds from the buyer of Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited, and recognized a gain on the disposal of discontinued operations in the amount of \$10 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Caspian Sea operations—In February 2011, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we sold the subsidiary that owns the High-Specification Jackup *Trident 20*, located in the Caspian Sea. The disposal of this subsidiary, a component of our contract drilling services segment, reflects our decision to discontinue operations in the Caspian Sea. As a result of the sale, we received net cash proceeds of \$259 million and, in the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized a gain on the disposal of the discontinued operations of \$169 million (\$0.52 per diluted share from discontinued operations), which had no tax effect. Through June 2011, we continued to operate *Trident 20* under a bareboat charter to perform services for the customer and the buyer reimbursed us for the approximate cost of providing these services. Additionally, we provided certain transition services to the buyer through September 2011.

Summarized results of discontinued operations—The summarized results of operations included in income from discontinued operations were as follows (in millions):

	TI	Three months ended June 30,					Six months ended June 30,			
	20	2012 2011		2011	2012		2011			
Operating revenues	\$	2	\$	22	\$	5	\$	48		
Costs and expenses		(3)		(18)		(5)		(41)		
Loss on impairment (a)		_		_		(6)		_		
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net		10		—		10		169		
Income from discontinued operations before income tax expense		9		4		4		176		
Income tax benefit (expense)		(2)		(2)		2		(2)		
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	7	\$	2	\$	6	\$	174		

⁽a) During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a loss on impairment of our oil and gas properties, which were classified as assets held for sale, in the amount of \$6 million (\$4 million or \$0.01 per diluted share from discontinued operations, net of tax) since the carrying amount of the properties exceeded the estimated fair value less costs to sell the properties. We estimated fair value based on significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement, including a binding sale and purchase agreement for the properties.

(Unaudited)

Assets and liabilities of discontinued operations—As a result of our decision to discontinue the operations of our oil and gas properties reporting unit and the operations of our Caspian Sea subsidiary, we have classified the related assets and liabilities of these components of our business to other current assets and other current liabilities as of December 31, 2011. The carrying amounts of the major classes of assets and liabilities associated with these operations were classified as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2012		mber 31, 2011
Assets			
Oil and gas properties, net	\$ 5	\$	24
Other related assets	2		2
Assets held for sale	\$ 7	\$	26
Accounts receivable	\$ 1	\$	6
Other assets	11		11
Other current assets	\$ 12	\$	17
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 1	\$	3
Other liabilities	5		14
Other current liabilities	\$ 6	\$	17

Note 10-Earnings Per Share

The numerator and denominator used for the computation of basic and diluted per share earnings from continuing operations were as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	Three	e months e	nded June	30,	Six months ended June 30,					
	201	2	201	11	201	2	201	1		
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted		
Numerator for earnings (loss) per share										
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to controlling interest	\$ (311)	(311)	122	122	\$ (300)	(300)	269	269		
Undistributed earnings allocable to participating securities	_	_	(1)	(1)	_	_	(2)	(2)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations available to shareholders	\$ (311)	(311)	121	121	\$ (300)	(300)	267	267		
Denominator for earnings (loss) per share										
Weighted-average shares outstanding	353	353	320	320	352	352	319	319		
Effect of stock options and other share-based awards			_		_		_	1		
Weighted-average shares for per share calculation	353	353	320	320	352	352	319	320		
Per share earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (0.88)	(0.88)	0.38	0.38	\$ (0.85)	(0.85)	0.84	0.84		

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, 2.2 million and 2.0 million share-based awards were excluded from the calculation since the effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, 1.5 million and 1.7 million share-based awards were excluded from the calculation since the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

The 1.50% Series B Convertible Senior Notes and 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes did not have an effect on the calculation for the periods presented. See Note 12—Debt.

(Unaudited)

Note 11—Drilling Fleet

Expansion—Capital expenditures and other capital additions, including capitalized interest, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows (in millions):

		ths ended ne 30,
	2012	2011
Construction work in progress, at beginning of period	\$ 1,395	\$ 1,484
Newbuild construction program		
Transocean Ao Thai (a)	45	10
Transocean Honor (b)	35	76
Transocean Andaman (c)	26	70
Transocean Siam Driller (c)	26	70
Deepwater Asgard (d)	21	_
Deepwater Invictus (d)	13	_
Deepwater Champion (e)	—	76
Other construction projects	330	231
Total capital expenditures	496	533
Changes in accrued capital expenditures	32	(31)
Property and equipment placed into service		
Transocean Honor (b)	(262)	_
Deepwater Champion (e)	_	(881)
Other property and equipment	(341)	(262)
Construction work in progress, at end of period	\$ 1,320	\$ 843

(a) *Transocean Ao Thai*, a Keppel FELS Super B class design High-Specification Jackup, under construction at Keppel FELS' yard in Singapore, is expected to commence operations in the fourth quarter of 2013.

(b) *Transocean Honor*, a PPL Pacific Class 400 design High-Specification Jackup, commenced operations in May 2012.

(c) Transocean Siam Driller and Transocean Andaman, two Keppel FELS Super B class design High-Specification Jackups, under construction at Keppel FELS' yard in Singapore, are expected to commence operations in the first quarter of 2013.

(d) *Deepwater Asgard* and *Deepwater Invictus*, two Ultra-Deepwater drillships under construction at the Daewoo Shipbuilding & Marine Engineering Co. Ltd. shipyard in Korea, are expected to be ready to commence operations in the second quarter of 2014.

(e) Deepwater Champion, an Ultra-Deepwater drillship, commenced operations in May 2011.

Dispositions—During the six months ended June 30, 2012, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we completed the sales of the Standard Jackups, *GSF Adriatic II, GSF Rig 136, Roger W. Mowell, Transocean Nordic* and *Transocean Shelf Explorer*, and related equipment. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we received aggregate net cash proceeds of \$145 million and \$179 million, respectively, and recognized a net gain on disposal of these assets in the amount of \$64 million (\$0.18 per diluted share from continuing operations), which had no tax effect (see Note 7—Impairments). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized losses on disposal of unrelated assets in the amount of \$9 million and \$13 million, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we sold the High-Specification Jackup *Trident 20* and the Standard Jackup *Transocean Mercury*. The sale of *Trident 20* reflected our decision to discontinue operations in the Caspian Sea (see Note 9—Discontinued Operations). In connection with the sale of *Transocean Mercury*, we received net cash proceeds of \$10 million and recognized a net gain on disposal of the drilling unit and related equipment in the amount of \$9 million (\$0.03 per diluted share from continuing operations), which had no tax effect. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized losses on disposal of unrelated assets in the amount of \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively.

Assets held for sale—During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we committed to a plan to sell our Standard Jackup *Trident 17.* At June 30, 2012, the drilling unit and related equipment were classified as assets held for sale with an aggregate net carrying amount of \$2 million. See Note 20—Subsequent Events.

(Unaudited)

Note 12—Debt

Debt, net of unamortized discounts, premiums and fair value adjustments, was comprised of the following (in millions):

		June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011						
	Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries	Consolidated variable interest entities	Consolidated total	Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries	Consolidated variable interest entities	Consolidated total				
5% Notes due February 2013	\$ 252	\$ —	\$ 252	\$ 253	\$ —	\$ 253				
5.25% Senior Notes due March 2013 (a)	505	_	505	507	_	507				
TPDI Credit Facilities due March 2015	438	_	438	_	473	473				
4.95% Senior Notes due November 2015 (a)	1,121	_	1,121	1,120	_	1,120				
Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility due December 2015	174	_	174	594	_	594				
5.05% Senior Notes due December 2016 (a)	999	_	999	999	_	999				
Callable Bonds due February 2016	265	_	265	267	_	267				
ADDCL Credit Facilities due December 2017	_	205	205	_	217	217				
Eksportfinans Loans due January 2018	815	_	815	884	_	884				
6.00% Senior Notes due March 2018 (a)	998	_	998	998	_	998				
7.375% Senior Notes due April 2018 (a)	247	_	247	247	_	247				
TPDI Notes due October 2019	_	_	_	_	148	148				
6.50% Senior Notes due November 2020 (a)	899	_	899	899	_	899				
6.375% Senior Notes due December 2021 (a)	1,199	_	1,199	1,199	_	1,199				
7.45% Notes due April 2027 (a)	97	_	97	97	_	97				
8% Debentures due April 2027 (a)	57	_	57	57	—	57				
7% Notes due June 2028	311	_	311	311	_	311				
Capital lease contract due August 2029	667	_	667	676	_	676				
7.5% Notes due April 2031 (a)	598	_	598	598	_	598				
1.50% Series B Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (a)	_	_	_	30	_	30				
1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (a)	1,693	_	1,693	1,663	_	1,663				
6.80% Senior Notes due March 2038 (a)	999	_	999	999	_	999				
7.35% Senior Notes due December 2041 (a)	300	_	300	300	_	300				
Total debt	12,634	205	12,839	12,698	838	13,536				
Less debt due within one year										
5% Notes due February 2013	252	_	252	_	_	_				
5.25% Senior Notes due March 2013 (a)	505	_	505	_	_	_				
TPDI Credit Facilities due March 2015	70	_	70	_	70	70				
Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility due December 201	5 90	_	90	90	_	90				
ADDCL Credit Facilities due November 2017	_	28	28	_	27	27				
Eksportfinans Loans due January 2018	142	_	142	142	_	142				
TPDI Notes due October 2019	_	_	_	_	148	148				
Capital lease contract due August 2029	20	_	20	17		17				
1.50% Series B Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (a)	_	_	_	30	_	30				
1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (a)	1,693	_	1,693	1,663	_	1,663				
Total debt due within one year	2,772	28	2,800	1,942	245	2,187				
Total long-term debt	\$ 9,862	\$ 177	\$ 10,039	\$ 10,756	\$ 593	\$ 11,349				

(a) Transocean Inc., a 100 percent owned subsidiary of Transocean Ltd., is the issuer of the notes and debentures, which have been guaranteed by Transocean Ltd. Transocean Ltd. has also guaranteed borrowings under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility. Transocean Ltd. and Transocean Inc. are not subject to any significant restrictions on their ability to obtain funds from their consolidated subsidiaries by dividends, loans or return of capital distributions. See Note 19—Condensed Consolidating Financial Information.

Scheduled maturities—In preparing the scheduled maturities of our debt, we assume the noteholders will exercise their options to require us to repurchase the 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes in December 2012. At June 30, 2012, the scheduled maturities of our debt were as follows (in millions):

Twelve months ending June 30,	Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries		Ltd. and			nsolidated ariable nterest entities	Cor	nsolidated total
2013	\$	2,792	\$	28	\$	2,820		
2014		316		29		345		
2015		463		31		494		
2016		1,519		61		1,580		
2017		1,169		36		1,205		
Thereafter		6,365		20		6,385		
Total debt, excluding unamortized discounts, premiums and fair value adjustments		12,624		205		12,829		
Total unamortized discounts, premiums and fair value adjustments, net		10		_		10		
Total debt	\$	12,634	\$	205	\$	12,839		

Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility—We have a \$2.0 billion revolving credit facility established by the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated November 1, 2011 (the "Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility"). Throughout the term of the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility, we pay a facility fee on the daily unused amount of the underlying commitment, which ranges from 0.13 percent to 0.33 percent, based on our Debt Rating, and was 0.275 percent at June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, we had \$24 million in letters of credit issued and outstanding, we had no borrowings outstanding, and we had \$2.0 billion available borrowing capacity under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility.

TPDI Credit Facilities—TPDI has a bank credit agreement for a \$1.265 billion secured credit facility (the "TPDI Credit Facilities"), comprised of a \$1.0 billion senior term loan, a \$190 million junior term loan and a \$75 million revolving credit facility, which was established to finance the construction of and is secured by *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1* and *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2*. One of our other subsidiaries participates as a lender in the senior and junior term loans with an aggregate commitment of \$595 million. At June 30, 2012, \$875 million was outstanding under the TPDI Credit Facilities, of which \$437 million was due to the other subsidiary and was eliminated in consolidation. On June 30, 2012, the weighted-average interest rate was 2.1 percent. See Note 13—Derivatives and Hedging and Note 16—Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest.

At June 30, 2012, TPDI had an outstanding letter of credit in the amount of \$60 million to satisfy its liquidity requirements under the TPDI Credit Facilities. Additionally, TPDI is required to maintain certain cash balances in accounts restricted for the payment of the scheduled installments on the TPDI Credit Facilities. TPDI had restricted cash investments of \$23 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility—Aker Drilling has a credit facility established by the Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility Agreement dated February 21, 2011 (the "Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility"), comprised of a \$500 million revolving credit facility (the "Aker Revolving Credit Facility") and a \$400 million term loan (the "Aker Term Loan"), which is secured by *Transocean Spitsbergen* and *Transocean Barents* and guaranteed by Transocean Ltd.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we prepaid \$138 million of borrowings under the Aker Term Loan, and we recognized a loss on retirement of debt in the amount of less than \$1 million. At June 30, 2012, we had \$173 million of borrowings outstanding under the Aker Term Loan and no borrowings outstanding under the Aker Revolving Credit Facility. On June 30, 2012, the weighted-average interest rate of borrowings under the Aker Term Loan was 3.0 percent.

Callable Bonds—Aker Drilling is the obligor on the FRN Aker Drilling ASA Senior Unsecured Callable Bond Issue 2011/2016 (the "FRN Callable Bonds") and the 11% Aker Drilling ASA Senior Unsecured Callable Bond Issue 2011/2016 (the "11% Callable Bonds," and together with the FRN Callable Bonds, the "Callable Bonds"), which are publicly traded on the Oslo Stock Exchange. At June 30, 2012, the total aggregate principal amounts of the FRN Callable Bonds and the 11% Callable Bonds were NOK 940 million and NOK 560 million, equivalent to \$158 million and \$94 million, respectively, using an exchange rate of NOK 5.95 to US \$1.00. At June 30, 2012, the interest rate on the FRN Callable Bonds was 9.3 percent. See Note 13—Derivatives and Hedging.

(Unaudited)

ADDCL Credit Facilities—ADDCL has a senior secured bank credit agreement for a credit facility (the "ADDCL Primary Loan Facility") comprised of Tranche A and Tranche C for \$215 million and \$399 million, respectively, which was established to finance the construction of and is secured by *Discoverer Luanda*. Unaffiliated financial institutions provide the commitment for and borrowings under Tranche A, and one of our subsidiaries provides the commitment for Tranche C. At June 30, 2012, \$177 million was outstanding under Tranche A at a weighted-average interest rate of 1.5 percent. At June 30, 2012, \$399 million was outstanding under Tranche C, which was eliminated in consolidation.

Additionally, ADDCL has a secondary bank credit agreement for a \$90 million credit facility (the "ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility" and together with the ADDCL Primary Loan Facility, the "ADDCL Credit Facilities"), for which one of our subsidiaries provides 65 percent of the total commitment. At June 30, 2012, \$80 million was outstanding under the ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility, of which \$52 million was due to one of our subsidiaries and has been eliminated in consolidation. On June 30, 2012, the weighted-average interest rate was 3.6 percent.

ADDCL is required to maintain certain cash balances in accounts restricted for the payment of the scheduled installments on the ADDCL Credit Facilities. ADDCL had restricted cash investments of \$17 million and \$16 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Eksportfinans Loans—The Eksportfinans Loans require cash collateral to remain on deposit at a financial institution (the "Aker Restricted Cash Investments") through expiration. The aggregate principal amount of the Aker Restricted Cash Investments was \$819 million and \$889 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

TPDI Notes—TPDI had previously issued promissory notes (the "TPDI Notes"), which had maturities through October 2019 and were payable to one of our subsidiaries and its former second shareholder, Quantum Pacific Management Limited ("Quantum"). On May 31, 2012, in connection with the exchange of Quantum's interest in TPDI for our shares, the TPDI Notes payable to Quantum were extinguished and contributed as additional paid-in capital. See Note 16—Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest.

1.50% Series B and 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes—Including amortization of the unamortized discount, the effective interest rates for the Series C Convertible Senior Notes was 5.28 percent. At June 30, 2012, the remaining period over which the discount will be amortized is less than one year. Interest expense, excluding amortization of debt issue costs, was as follows (in millions):

	Three months ended June 30,					Six months end June 30,		
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
Interest expense								
Series B Convertible Senior Notes due 2037	\$	_	\$	20	\$	_	\$	40
Series C Convertible Senior Notes due 2037		22		21		43		41

The carrying amounts of the liability components of the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes were as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2012							December 31, 2011						
		Principal Unamortized amount discount			Carrying amount		Principal amount		Unamortized discount		Carrying amount			
Carrying amount of liability component														
Series B Convertible Senior Notes due 2037	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	30	\$	_	\$	30		
Series C Convertible Senior Notes due 2037		1,722		(29)		1,693		1,722		(59)		1,663		

In March 2012, we redeemed the remaining \$30 million of aggregate principal amount of our Series B Convertible Senior Notes for an aggregate cash payment of \$30 million.

The carrying amounts of the equity components of the outstanding Convertible Senior Notes were as follows (in millions):

	ne 30, 2012	mber 31, 2011
Carrying amount of equity component		
Series B Convertible Senior Notes due 2037	\$ _	\$ 4
Series C Convertible Senior Notes due 2037	276	276

(Unaudited)

Note 13—Derivatives and Hedging

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments—Two of our wholly owned subsidiaries have interest rate swaps, which are designated and have qualified as fair value hedges, to reduce our exposure to changes in the fair values of the 5% Notes due February 2013 and the 5.25% Senior Notes due March 2013. The interest rate swaps have aggregate notional amounts equal to the corresponding face values of the hedged instruments and have stated maturities that coincide with those of the hedged instruments. We have determined that the hedging relationships qualify for, and we have applied, the shortcut method of accounting, under which the interest rate swaps are considered to have no ineffectiveness and no ongoing assessment of effectiveness is required. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps recognized in interest expense offset changes in the fair value of the hedged fixed-rate notes. Through the stated maturities of the interest rate swaps, we receive semi-annual interest at a fixed rate equal to that of the underlying debt instrument and pay variable interest semi-annually at three-month London Interbank Offered Rate plus a margin.

One of our wholly owned subsidiaries had previously entered into interest rate swaps, which were designated and qualified as fair value hedges, to reduce our exposure to changes in the fair values of the 4.95% Senior Notes due November 2015. In the three months ended June 30, 2012, we terminated these interest rate swaps and received an aggregate net cash payment of \$23 million.

TPDI has interest rate swaps, which have been designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, to reduce the variability of cash interest payments associated with the variable rate borrowings under the TPDI Credit Facilities through December 31, 2014. The aggregate notional amount corresponds with the aggregate outstanding amount of the borrowings under the TPDI Credit Facilities.

Aker Drilling has cross-currency interest rate swaps, which have been designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, to reduce the variability of cash interest payments and the final principal payment, due at maturity in February 2016, associated with the changes in the U.S. dollar to Norwegian kroner exchange rate. The aggregate notional amount corresponds with the aggregate outstanding amount of the 11% Callable Bonds.

At June 30, 2012, the aggregate notional amounts and the weighted average interest rates associated with our derivatives designated as hedging instruments were as follows (in millions, except weighted average interest rates):

			Pay			Receive						
	r	Aggregate Fixed or notional variable amount rate		Weighted average rate	not	regate ional ount	Fixed or variable rate	Weighted average rate				
Interest rate swaps, fair value hedges	\$	750	variable	3.6%	\$	750	fixed	5.2%				
Interest rate swaps, cash flow hedges	\$	\$ 420 fixed		2.4%	\$	420	variable	0.5%				
Cross-currency swaps, cash flow hedges	\$	102	fixed	8.9%	NOK	560	fixed	11.0%				

The effect on our condensed consolidated statements of operations resulting from derivatives designated as cash flow hedges was as follows (in millions):

		Th	ree mor Jun	nths end e 30,	5	ed			
	Statement of operations classification	20	2011		2012		20	011	
Loss associated with effective portion	Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	\$	2	\$	3	\$	3	\$	5
Loss associated with effective portion	Other, net		4		_		_		_

The balance sheet classification and aggregate carrying amount of our derivatives designated as hedging instruments, measured at fair value, including accrued interest, were as follows (in millions):

	Balance sheet classification	ne 30, 012	nber 31, 011
Interest rate swaps, fair value hedges	Other current assets	\$ 11	\$ 5
Interest rate swaps, fair value hedges	Other assets	_	31
Interest rate swaps, cash flow hedges	Other long-term liabilities	15	16
Cross-currency swaps, cash flow hedges	Other current assets	1	_
Cross-currency swaps, cash flow hedges	Other long-term liabilities	7	7

(Unaudited)

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments—In connection with our acquisition of Aker Drilling, we assumed certain derivatives not designated as hedging instruments. In the three months ended June 30, 2012, we terminated the interest rate swaps not designated as hedging instruments and made an aggregate cash payment of \$14 million. The effect on our condensed consolidated statements of operations resulting from changes in the fair values of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments was as follows (in millions):

		Thre	e mon June	ths end 30,	led	S		ths ende e 30,	d
	Statement of operations classification	2012	2	20)11	20	12	20)11
Loss associated with undesignated interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1	\$	_

The balance sheet classification and aggregate carrying amount of our derivatives not designated as hedging instruments, measured at fair value, were as follows (in millions):

		Ju	ne 30,	Decer	nber 31,
	Balance sheet classification	2	2012	2	011
Interest rate swaps, undesignated	Other long-term liabilities	\$	_	\$	15

Note 14—Postemployment Benefit Plans

We have several defined benefit pension plans, both funded and unfunded, covering substantially all of our U.S. employees, including certain frozen plans, assumed in connection with our mergers, that cover certain current employees and certain former employees and directors of our predecessors (the "U.S. Plans"). We also have various defined benefit plans in the U.K., Norway, Nigeria, Egypt and Indonesia that cover our employees in those areas (the "Non-U.S. Plans"). Additionally, we offer several unfunded contributory and noncontributory other postretirement employee benefit plans covering substantially all of our U.S. employees (the "OPEB Plans").

The components of net periodic benefit costs, before tax, and funding contributions for these plans were as follows (in millions):

	 Three months ended June 30, 2012								Three months ended June 30, 2011							
	U.S. Plans		n-U.S. lans		PEB lans	1	otal		J.S. lans		n-U.S. Ians		PEB Ians	1	otal	
Net periodic benefit costs																
Service cost	\$ 12	\$	9	\$	_	\$	21	\$	11	\$	5	\$	1	\$	17	
Interest cost	15		8		_		23		15		6		_		21	
Expected return on plan assets	(16)		(8)		_		(24)		(16)		(7)		_		(23)	
Settlements and curtailments	_		_		_		_		1		_		_		1	
Actuarial losses, net	10		1		_		11		6		_		—		6	
Prior service cost, net	(1)		_		_		(1)		(1)		_		(1)		(2)	
Transition obligation, net	 		_		_		_		_		1		_		1	
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 20	\$	10	\$	_	\$	30	\$	16	\$	5	\$	_	\$	21	
Funding contributions	\$ 100	\$	9	\$	1	\$	110	\$	55	\$	6	\$	2	\$	63	

	Six months ended June 30, 2012								Six months ended June 30, 2011								
		J.S. lans		n-U.S. Ians		PEB lans	T	otal		J.S. lans		n-U.S. Ians		PEB lans	Т	otal	
Net periodic benefit costs																	
Service cost	\$	24	\$	16	\$	_	\$	40	\$	22	\$	10	\$	1	\$	33	
Interest cost		29		13		1		43		29		11		1		41	
Expected return on plan assets		(31)		(13)		_		(44)		(32)		(12)		_		(44)	
Settlements and curtailments		2		_		_		2		1		_		_		1	
Actuarial losses, net		20		2		_		22		12		_		_		12	
Prior service cost, net		(1)		_		_		(1)		(1)		_		(1)		(2)	
Transition obligation, net				_		_				_		1		_		1	
Net periodic benefit costs	\$	43	\$	18	\$	1	\$	62	\$	31	\$	10	\$	1	\$	42	
Funding contributions	\$	103	\$	17	\$	2	\$	122	\$	68	\$	13	\$	3	\$	84	

(Unaudited)

Note 15—Contingencies

Macondo well incident

Overview—On April 22, 2010, the Ultra-Deepwater Floater *Deepwater Horizon* sank after a blowout of the Macondo well caused a fire and explosion on the rig. Eleven persons were declared dead and others were injured as a result of the incident. At the time of the explosion, *Deepwater Horizon* was located approximately 41 miles off the coast of Louisiana in Mississippi Canyon Block 252 and was contracted to BP America Production Co. ("BP").

We are currently unable to estimate the full impact the Macondo well incident will have on us. We have recognized a liability for estimated loss contingencies that we believe are probable and for which a reasonable estimate can be made. As of June 30, 2012, we have recognized a liability for such loss contingencies in the amount of \$2.0 billion. This liability takes into account certain events related to the litigation and investigations arising out of the incident. There are loss contingencies related to the Macondo well incident that we believe are reasonably possible and for which we do not believe a reasonable estimate can be made. These contingencies could increase the liabilities we ultimately recognize. As of June 30, 2012, we have also recognized an asset of \$235 million associated with the portion of our estimated losses that we believe is recoverable from insurance primarily related to personal injury and fatality claims of our crew and vendors. Although we have available policy limits that could result in additional amounts (see "—Insurance coverage"). Our estimates involve a significant amount of judgment. As a result of new information or future developments, we may adjust our estimated loss contingencies arising out of the Macondo well incident or our estimated recoveries from insurance, and the resulting losses could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. As of December 31, 2011, the amount of the estimated liability was \$1.2 billion, and the estimated recoverable amount was \$237 million.

Many of the Macondo well related claims are pending in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana (the "MDL Court"). The first phase of a three-phase trial was scheduled to commence on March 5, 2012. However, on March 2, 2012, BP and the Plaintiff's Steering Committee (the "PSC") announced that they had agreed to a partial settlement related primarily to private party environmental and economic loss claims as well as response effort related claims (the "BP/PSC Settlement"). The BP/PSC Settlement agreement was filed with the MDL Court on April 28, 2012 and provides that (a) the BP/PSC Settlement is subject to court approvals, (b) to the extent permitted by law, BP will assign to the settlement class certain of BP's claims, rights and recoveries against us for damages with protections such that the settlement class is barred from collecting any amounts from us unless it is finally determined that we cannot recover such amounts from BP, and (c) the settlement class releases all claims for compensatory damages against us but purports to retain claims for punitive damages against us. On May 2, 2012, the MDL Court granted preliminary approval of the settlement for November 8, 2012. After giving consideration to the BP/PSC Settlement, the MDL Court ordered that the first phase of the trial, at which liability will be determined, be rescheduled for January 14, 2013. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of the trial, as to the timing of any phase of trial, that we will not enter into a settlement as to some or all of the matters related to the Macondo well incident, including those to be determined at a trial, or the timing or terms of any such settlement.

In April 2011, several defendants in the Macondo well litigation before the Multi-District Litigation Panel (the "MDL") filed crossclaims or third-party claims against us and certain of our subsidiaries, and other defendants. BP filed a claim seeking contribution under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA") and maritime law, subrogation and claimed breach of contract, unseaworthiness, negligence and gross negligence. BP also sought a declaration that it is not liable in contribution, indemnification, or otherwise to us. Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ("Anadarko"), which owned a 25 percent non-operating interest in the Macondo well, asserted claims of negligence, gross negligence, and willful misconduct and is seeking indemnity under state and maritime law and contribution under maritime and state law as well as OPA. MOEX Offshore 2007 LLC ("MOEX"), which owns a 10 percent non-operating interest in the Macondo well, filed claims of negligence under state and maritime law, gross negligence under state law, gross negligence and willful misconduct under maritime law and is seeking indemnity under state and maritime law and contribution under maritime law and OPA. Cameron International Corporation ("Cameron"), the manufacturer and designer of the blowout preventer, asserted multiple claims for contractual indemnity and declarations regarding contractual obligations under various contracts and quotes and is also seeking noncontractual indemnity and contribution under maritime law and OPA. As part of the BP/PSC Settlement, one or more of these claims against us and certain of our subsidiaries may be assigned to the PSC settlement class. Halliburton Company ("Halliburton"), which provided cementing and mud-logging services to the operator, filed a claim seeking contribution and indemnity under maritime law, contractual indemnity and alleging negligence and gross negligence. Additionally, certain other third parties filed claims for indemnity and contribution.

On April 20, 2011, we filed cross-claims and counter-claims against BP, Halliburton, Cameron, Anadarko, MOEX, certain of these parties' affiliates, the U.S. and certain other third parties. We seek indemnity, contribution (including contribution under OPA), and subrogation under OPA, and we have asserted claims for breach of warranty of workmanlike performance, strict liability for manufacturing and design defect, breach of express contract, and damages for the difference between the fair market value of *Deepwater Horizon* and the amount received from insurance proceeds. We are not pursuing arbitration on the key contractual issues with BP; instead, we are

(Unaudited)

relying on the court to resolve the disputes. With regard to the U.S., we are not currently seeking recovery of monetary damages, but rather a declaration regarding relative fault and contribution via credit, setoff, or recoupment.

Notices of alleged non-compliance—The Department of the Interior's Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement issued four notices of alleged non-compliance with regulatory requirements to us on October 12, 2011. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the full outcome of these citations, they could result in the assessment of civil penalties. Our appeal is stayed by mutual agreement with the Department of Interior until a ruling is issued in the MDL.

Insurance coverage—At the time of the Macondo well incident, our excess liability insurance program offered aggregate insurance coverage of \$950 million, excluding a \$15 million deductible and a \$50 million self-insured layer through our wholly owned captive insurance subsidiary. This excess liability insurance coverage consisted of a first and a second layer of \$150 million each, a third and fourth layer of \$200 million each and a fifth layer of \$250 million. The first four excess layers have similar coverage and contractual terms, while the \$250 million fifth layer is on a different policy form, which varies to some extent from the underlying coverage and contractual terms. Generally, we believe that the policy forms for all layers include coverage for personal injury and fatality claims of our crew and vendors, actual and compensatory damages, punitive damages and related legal defense costs and that the policy forms for the first four excess layers provide coverage for fines; however, we do not expect payments deemed to be criminal in nature to be covered by any of the layers.

In May 2010, we received notice from BP maintaining that it believes that it is entitled to additional insured status under our excess liability insurance program. Our insurers have also received notices from Anadarko and MOEX advising of their intent to preserve any rights they may have to our insurance policies as an additional insured under the drilling contract. In response, our wholly owned captive insurance subsidiary and our first four excess layer insurers filed declaratory judgment actions in the Houston Division of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas in May 2010 seeking a judgment declaring that they have limited additional insured obligations to BP, Anadarko and MOEX. We are parties to the declaratory judgment actions, which have been transferred to the MDL for discovery and other purposes in the MDL Court. On November 15, 2011, the MDL Court ruled that BP's coverage rights are limited to the scope of our indemnification of BP in the drilling contract. A final judgment was entered against BP, Anadarko and MOEX, and BP has filed an appeal. While we cannot predict when the appellate court will hear arguments or the outcome of the appeal, we do not expect it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The appellate proceeding is in the briefing stage. We believe that additional insured coverage for BP, Anadarko or MOEX under the \$250 million fifth layer of our insurance program is also limited to the scope of our indemnification of BP under the drilling contract.

Additionally, our first layer of excess insurers filed interpleader actions on June 17, 2011. The insurers contend that they face multiple, and potentially competing, claims to the relevant insurance proceeds. In these actions, the insurers effectively ask the court to manage disbursement of the funds to the alleged claimants, as appropriate, and discharge the insurers of any additional liability. The parties to the interpleader actions have executed a protocol agreement to facilitate the reimbursement and funding of settlements of personal injury and fatality claims of our crew and vendors using insurance funds and claims have been submitted to the court for review. The court has not yet issued any rulings and no payments have yet been received.

See Note 20—Subsequent Events.

Litigation—As of June 30, 2012, 374 actions or claims were pending against us, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in state and federal courts. Additionally, government agencies have initiated investigations into the Macondo well incident. We have categorized below the nature of the legal actions or claims. We are evaluating all claims and intend to vigorously defend any claims and pursue any and all defenses available. In addition, we believe we are entitled to contractual defense and indemnity for all wrongful death and personal injury claims made by non-employees and third-party subcontractors' employees as well as all liabilities for pollution or contamination, other than for pollution or contamination originating on or above the surface of the water. See "—Contractual indemnity."

Wrongful death and personal injury—As of June 30, 2012, we have been named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in nine complaints that were pending in state and federal courts in Louisiana and Texas involving multiple plaintiffs that allege wrongful death and other personal injuries arising out of the Macondo well incident. Per the order of the MDL, these claims have been centralized for discovery purposes in the MDL Court. The complaints generally allege negligence and seek awards of unspecified economic damages and punitive damages. BP, MI-SWACO, Weatherford Ltd. and Cameron and certain of their affiliates, have, based on contractual arrangements, also made indemnity demands upon us with respect to personal injury and wrongful death claims asserted by our employees or representatives of our employees against these entities. See "—Contractual indemnity."

Economic loss—As of June 30, 2012, we and certain of our subsidiaries were named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in 155 pending individual complaints as well as 179 putative class-action complaints that were pending in the federal and state courts in Louisiana, Texas, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, South Carolina, Tennessee, Florida and possibly other courts. The complaints generally allege, among other things, potential economic losses as a result of environmental pollution arising out of the Macondo well incident and are based primarily on the OPA and state OPA analogues. The plaintiffs are generally seeking awards of unspecified economic, compensatory and punitive damages, as well as injunctive relief. These actions have been transferred to the MDL. See "—Contractual indemnity."

(Unaudited)

Federal securities claims—Two federal securities law class actions were pending in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, naming us and certain of our officers and directors as defendants. One of these actions, which was dismissed on March 20, 2012, generally alleged violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), Rule 10b-5, as promulgated under the Exchange Act, and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act in connection with the Macondo well incident. The plaintiffs sought awards of unspecified economic damages, including damages resulting from the decline in our stock price after the Macondo well incident. The plaintiffs chose not to file an appeal, and this case is now closed. The other action, which is still pending, was filed by a former GlobalSantaFe Corporation shareholder, alleging that the joint proxy statement related to the GlobalSantaFe Corporation shareholder, alleging that the joint proxy statement related to the GlobalSantaFe Corporation shareholder meeting in connection 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The plaintiff claims that GlobalSantaFe Corporation shareholders received inadequate consideration for their shares as a result of the alleged violations and seeks rescissory and compensatory damages. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss that resulted in the dismissal of one of two plaintiffs on March 30, 2012 and the subsequent filing of an amended complaint. A motion to dismiss the remaining named plaintiff is pending.

Other federal statutes—Several of the claimants have made assertions under the statutes, including the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the Clean Air Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act.

Shareholder derivative claims—In June 2010, two shareholder derivative suits were filed by our shareholders naming us as a nominal defendant and certain of our officers and directors as defendants in the District Courts of the State of Texas. These cases have been consolidated in state court in Harris County, Texas. Plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint on June 25, 2012, alleging breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and waste of corporate assets in connection with the Macondo well incident. The plaintiffs are generally seeking, on our behalf, restitution and disgorgement of all profits, benefits and other compensation from the defendants, as well as injunctive relief. Defendants expect to file a motion to dismiss in August 2012.

U.S. Department of Justice claims—On December 15, 2010, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") filed a civil lawsuit against us and other unaffiliated defendants. The complaint alleges violations under OPA and the Clean Water Act, including claims for per barrel civil penalties of up to \$1,100 per barrel or up to \$4,300 per barrel if gross negligence or willful misconduct is established, and the DOJ reserved its rights to amend the complaint to add new claims and defendants. The U.S. government has estimated that up to 4.1 million barrels of oil were discharged and subject to penalties. The complaint asserts that all defendants named are jointly and severally liable for all removal costs and damages resulting from the Macondo well incident. On December 6, 2011, the DOJ filed a motion for partial summary judgment seeking a ruling that we were jointly and severely liable under OPA, and liable for civil penalties under the Clean Water Act, for all of the discharges from the Macondo well on the theory that discharges not only came from the well but also from the blowout preventer and riser, appurtenances of *Deepwater Horizon*.

On January 9, 2012, we filed our opposition to the motion and filed a cross-motion for partial summary judgment seeking a ruling that we are not liable for the subsurface discharge of hydrocarbons. On February 22, 2012, the MDL Court ruled that we are not liable as a responsible party for damages under OPA with respect to the below surface discharges from the Macondo well. The court also ruled that the below surface discharge was discharged from the well facility, and not from the *Deepwater Horizon* vessel, within the meaning of the Clean Water Act, and that we therefore are not liable for such discharges as an owner of the vessel under the Clean Water Act. However, the court ruled that the issue of whether we could be held liable for such discharge under the Clean Water Act as an "operator" of the well facility could not be resolved on summary judgment. The court did not determine whether we could be liable for removal costs under OPA, or the extent of such removal costs.

In addition to the civil complaint, the DOJ served us with civil investigative demands on December 8, 2010. These demands were part of an investigation by the DOJ to determine if we made false claims, or false statements in support of claims, in connection with the operator's acquisition of the leasehold interest in the Mississippi Canyon Block 252, Gulf of Mexico and drilling operations on *Deepwater Horizon*.

The DOJ is also conducting a criminal investigation into the Macondo well incident. On March 7, 2011, the DOJ announced the formation of a new task force to lead the criminal investigation. The task force served us with informal requests for documents in March 2011, and a grand jury issued a subpoena requesting documents from us on April 13, 2011. We have had a number of communications with the task force since that time, and the task force has made informal requests for additional information from us from time to time. The task force is investigating possible violations by us and certain unaffiliated parties of the Clean Water Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the Refuse Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the Seaman's Manslaughter Act, among other federal statutes, and possible criminal liabilities including fines under those statutes and under the Alternative Fines Act. Under the Alternatives Fines Act, a corporate defendant convicted of a criminal offense may be subject to a fine in the amount of twice the gross pecuniary loss suffered by third parties as a result of the offense. If we are charged with or convicted of certain criminal offenses, we may be subject to suspension or debarment as a contractor or subcontractor on certain government contracts, including leases.

(Unaudited)

State and other government claims—In June 2010, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (the "LDEQ") issued a consolidated compliance order and notice of potential penalty to us and certain of our subsidiaries asking us to eliminate and remediate discharges of oil and other pollutants into waters and property located in the State of Louisiana, and to submit a plan and report in response to the order. In October 2010, the LDEQ rescinded its enforcement actions against us and our subsidiaries but reserved its rights to seek civil penalties for future violations of the Louisiana Environmental Quality Act.

In September 2010, the State of Louisiana filed a declaratory judgment seeking to designate us as a responsible party under OPA and the Louisiana Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act for the discharges emanating from the Macondo well.

Additionally, suits have been filed by the State of Alabama and the cities of Greenville, Evergreen, Georgiana and McKenzie, Alabama in the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Alabama; the Mexican States of Veracruz, Quintana Roo and Tamaulipas in the U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas; and the City of Panama City Beach, Florida in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Florida. Suits were also filed by the City of New Orleans, by and on behalf of multiple Parishes, and by or on behalf of the Town of Grand Isle, Grand Isle Independent Levee District, the Town of Jean Lafitte, the Lafitte Area Independent Levee District, the City of Gretna, the City of Westwego, and the City of Harahan in the MDL Court. Additional suits were filed by or on behalf of other Parishes in the respective Parish courts and were removed to federal court. A local government master complaint also was filed in which cities, municipalities, and other local government entities can and have joined. Generally, these governmental entities allege economic losses under OPA and other statutory environmental state claims and also assert various common law state claims. The claims have been centralized in the MDL and will proceed in accordance with the MDL scheduling order. The city of Panama City Beach's claim was voluntarily dismissed.

On August 26, 2011, the MDL Court ruled on the motion to dismiss certain economic loss claims. The court ruled that state law, both statutory and common law, is preempted by maritime law, notwithstanding OPA's savings provisions. Accordingly, all claims brought under state law were dismissed. Secondly, general maritime law claims that do not allege physical damage to a proprietary interest were dismissed, unless the claim falls into the commercial fisherman exception. The court ruled that OPA claims for economic loss do not require physical damage to a proprietary interest. Third, the MDL Court ruled that presentment under OPA is a mandatory condition precedent to filing suit against a responsible party. Finally, the MDL Court ruled that claims for punitive damages may be available under general maritime law in claims against responsible parties and non-responsible parties. Certain Louisiana parishes have appealed portions of this ruling, and appellate action is in the briefing stage. We cannot predict when the appellate court will hear arguments or the outcome of the appeal.

The Mexican States' OPA claims were dismissed for failure to demonstrate that recovery under OPA was authorized by treaty or executive agreement. This ruling may be appealed.

By letter dated May 5, 2010, the Attorneys General of the five Gulf Coast states of Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas informed us that they intend to seek recovery of pollution clean-up costs and related damages arising from the Macondo well incident. In addition, by letter dated June 21, 2010, the Attorneys General of the 11 Atlantic Coast states of Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island and South Carolina informed us that their states have not sustained any damage from the Macondo well incident but they would like assurances that we will be responsible financially if damages are sustained. We responded to each letter from the Attorneys General and indicated that we intend to fulfill our obligations as a responsible party for any discharge of oil from *Deepwater Horizon* on or above the surface of the water, and we assume that the operator will similarly fulfill its obligations under OPA for discharges from the undersea well.

Wreck removal—By letter dated December 6, 2010, the U.S. Coast Guard requested us to formulate and submit a comprehensive oil removal plan to remove any diesel fuel contained in the sponsons and fuel tanks that can be recovered from *Deepwater Horizon*. We have conducted a survey of the rig wreckage and have confirmed that no diesel fuel remains on the rig. We have insurance coverage for wreck removal for up to 25 percent of *Deepwater Horizon's* insured value, or \$140 million, with any excess wreck removal liability generally covered to the extent of our remaining excess liability limits. The U.S. Coast Guard has not requested that we remove the rig wreckage from the sea floor.

Contractual indemnity—Under our drilling contract for *Deepwater Horizon*, the operator has agreed, among other things, to assume full responsibility for and defend, release and indemnify us from any loss, expense, claim, fine, penalty or liability for pollution or contamination, including control and removal thereof, arising out of or connected with operations under the contract other than for pollution or contamination originating on or above the surface of the water from hydrocarbons or other specified substances within the control and possession of the contractor, as to which we agreed to assume responsibility and protect, release and indemnify the operator. Although we do not believe it is applicable to the Macondo well incident, we also agreed to indemnify and defend the operator up to a limit of \$15 million for claims for loss or damage to third parties arising from pollution. The operator has also agreed, among other things, (1) to defend, release and indemnify us against loss or damage to the reservoir, and loss of property rights to oil, gas and minerals below the surface of the earth and (2) to defend, release and indemnify us and bear the cost of bringing the well under control in the event of a blowout or other loss of control. We agreed to defend, release and indemnify the operator for personal injury and death of our employees, invitees and the employees of our subcontractors while the operator agreed to defend, release and indemnify us for personal

(Unaudited)

injury and death of its employees, invitees and the employees of its other subcontractors, other than us. We have also agreed to defend, release and indemnify the operator for damages to the rig and equipment, including salvage or removal costs.

Although we believe we are entitled to contractual defense and indemnity, given the potential amounts involved in connection with the Macondo well incident, the operator has sought to avoid its indemnification obligations. In particular, the operator, in response to our request for indemnification, has generally reserved all of its rights and stated that it could not at this time conclude that it is obligated to indemnify us. In doing so, the operator has asserted that the facts are not sufficiently developed to determine who is responsible and has cited a variety of possible legal theories based upon the contract and facts still to be developed. We believe this reservation of rights is without justification and that the operator is required to honor its indemnification obligations contained in our contract and described above.

In April 2011, BP filed a claim seeking a declaration that it is not liable to us in contribution, indemnification, or otherwise. On November 1, 2011, we filed a motion for partial summary judgment, seeking enforcement of the indemnity obligations for pollution and civil fines and penalties contained in the drilling contract with BP. On January 26, 2012, the court ruled that the drilling contract requires BP to indemnify us for compensatory damages asserted by third parties against us related to pollution that did not originate on or above the surface of the water, even if the claim is the result of our strict liability, negligence, or gross negligence. The court also held that BP does not owe us indemnity to the extent that we are held liable for civil penalties under the Clean Water Act or for punitive damages. The court deferred ruling on BP's argument that we breached the drilling contract or materially increased BP's risk or prejudiced its rights so as to vitiate BP's indemnity obligations. Our motion for partial summary judgment and the court's ruling did not address the issue of contractual indemnity for criminal fines and penalties. The law generally considers contractual indemnity for criminal fines and penalties to be against public policy.

Other legal proceedings

Brazil Frade field incident—On or about November 7, 2011, oil was released from fissures in the ocean floor in the vicinity of a development well being drilled by Chevron off the coast of Rio de Janeiro in the Frade field with *Sedco 706*. The release was ultimately controlled, the well was plugged, and the released oil is being contained by Chevron.

On March 15, 2012, Chevron publicly announced that it had identified a new sheen in Frade field whose source was determined to be seepage from an 800-meter fissure 3 kilometers away from the location of the November incident. Chevron and the Brazilian National Agency of Petroleum have publicly stated that, while further studies are being conducted, the new seepage, which was estimated by Chevron, at the time, to be five liters, is now believed to be unrelated to the November incident.

On or about December 13, 2011, a federal prosecutor in the town of Campos in Rio de Janeiro State filed a civil public action against Chevron and us seeking BRL 20.0 billion, equivalent to approximately \$10.0 billion, and seeking a preliminary and permanent injunction preventing Chevron and us from operating in Brazil. The prosecutor amended the requested injunction on December 15, 2011, to seek to prevent Chevron and us from conducting extraction or transportation activities in Brazil and to seek to require Chevron to stop the release and remediate its effects. On January 11, 2012, a judge of the federal court in Campos issued an order finding that the case should be transferred to the federal court in Rio de Janeiro. The prosecutor has appealed this jurisdictional decision, and that appeal remains pending. On February 24, 2012, the court in Rio de Janeiro issued an order denying the federal prosecutor's request for a preliminary injunction. The prosecutor further appealed this decision, and a three-judge panel heard the appeal on May 8, 2012. See Note 20—Subsequent Events.

On March 28, 2012, the original trial court complaint was served on us. On May 24, 2012, we filed our responsive papers, in the form of a defense. The lawsuit will continue in the trial court, and there remains a risk that Brazilian authorities could temporarily or permanently enjoin us from further operations in Brazil.

On December 21, 2011, a federal police marshal investigating the release filed a report with the federal court in Rio de Janeiro State recommending the indictment of Chevron, us, and 17 individuals, five of whom are our employees. The report recommended indictment on four counts, three alleging environmental offenses and one alleging false statements by Chevron in connection with its cleanup efforts. The federal court in Rio de Janeiro State forwarded the report to the federal court in Campos for a decision on the proper jurisdiction for the matter. On March 16, 2012, the Campos federal prosecutor sought and obtained from a special duty judge in Rio de Janeiro injunctions against the 17 individuals preventing them from leaving the country without court permission and requiring the Campos court to obtain their passports. On March 21, 2012, the Campos prosecutor issued the recommended indictments against the two companies and the 17 individuals. The prosecutor requested that the defendants be enjoined from disposing of property and that bail be set at BRL 10 million for the companies and BRL 1 million for the individuals. The Campos court ruled that it does not have jurisdiction over the matter, and the file was transferred to the court in Rio de Janeiro. On or about June 15, 2012, the Rio de Janeiro court amended the travel order to state that the individuals could receive their passports back and travel with prior notice to the court. The indictments must be approved by a court of competent jurisdiction to become effective. As of June 30, 2012, the court has not yet approved the indictments.

(Unaudited)

On March 27, 2012, the union of oil industry workers in Brazil, Federacao Unica dos Petroleiros ("FUP"), filed a civil lawsuit in federal court in Rio de Janeiro against Chevron and us alleging a number of claims, including negligence on our part, and seeking a permanent injunction enjoining our operations in Brazil. The lawsuit sought unspecified damages. On or about April 16, 2012, the court issued an order transferring this case to the same court in Rio de Janeiro in which the initial civil public action is pending. On or about May 1, 2012, the Rio de Janeiro court dismissed this lawsuit, without prejudice, as duplicative of the other civil lawsuits.

On or about April 3, 2012, the same federal prosecutor who filed the original civil public action and the criminal indictments filed a new civil lawsuit against Chevron and us in federal court in Campos. The lawsuit alleges the new seepage discovered in March 2012 is related to the November 2011 incident and release. The lawsuit seeks an additional BRL 20.0 billion in damages. On April 16, 2012, this case was transferred to Rio de Janeiro. On June 15, 2012, the Rio de Janeiro court held that the case was not related to the first civil lawsuit and will be assigned to a new court. We have not been served in this matter to date.

The drilling services and charter contracts between Chevron and us provide, among other things, for Chevron to indemnify and defend us for claims based on pollution or contamination originating from below the surface of the water, including claims for control or removal or property loss or damage, including but not limited to third-party claims and liabilities, with an excludable amount of \$250,000 per occurrence if the claim arises from our negligence. We have submitted a claim for indemnity and defense to Chevron under these contracts. Chevron responded that our request was premature, and requested that we confirm our intent to indemnify and defend Chevron regarding alleged violations of safety regulations aboard *Sedco 706* that have resulted in the issuance of notices of infractions and any other claims or liabilities that may fall within our legal obligations. Discussions between Chevron and us are ongoing.

We intend to defend ourselves vigorously against any claims that are brought based on the incident. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Asbestos Litigation-In 2004, several of our subsidiaries were named, along with numerous other unaffiliated defendants, in 21 complaints filed on behalf of 769 plaintiffs in the Circuit Courts of the State of Mississippi and which claimed injuries arising out of exposure to asbestos allegedly contained in drilling mud during these plaintiffs' employment in drilling activities between 1965 and 1986. Each individual plaintiff was subsequently required to file a separate lawsuit, and the original 21 multi-plaintiff complaints were then dismissed by the Circuit Courts. The amended complaints resulted in two of our subsidiaries being named as direct defendants in seven cases each. We have or may have an indirect interest in an additional 12 cases, for a total of 26 cases of interest. The complaints generally allege that the defendants used or manufactured asbestos-containing drilling mud additives for use in connection with drilling operations and have included allegations of negligence, products liability, strict liability and claims allowed under the Jones Act and general maritime law. The plaintiffs generally seek awards of unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. In each of these cases, the complaints have named other unaffiliated defendant companies, including companies that allegedly manufactured the drilling-related products that contained asbestos. All of these cases are being governed for discovery and trial setting by a single Case Management Order entered by a Special Master appointed by the court to reside over all the cases, and none of the 14 cases in which we are a named defendant have been scheduled for trial or pre-trial discovery. The preliminary information available on these claims is not sufficient to determine if there is an identifiable period for alleged exposure to asbestos, whether any asbestos exposure in fact occurred, the vessels potentially involved in the claims, or the basis on which the plaintiffs would support claims that their injuries were related to exposure to asbestos. However, the initial evidence available would suggest that we would have significant defenses to liability and damages. None of our companies have manufactured or distributed drilling mud or additives for same, and the handling of such additives by one of our employees would be a relatively infrequent occurrence that likely would have involved a non-asbestos product. In 2011, the Special Master issued a ruling that a Jones Act employer defendant, such as us, cannot be sued for punitive damages, and this ruling has now been obtained in three of our 14 cases. To date, seven of the 769 cases have gone to trial against defendants who allegedly manufactured or distributed drilling mud additives. None of these cases have involved an individual Jones Act employer, and we have not been a defendant in any of these cases. Two of the cases resulted in defense verdicts, and one case ended with a hung jury. Four cases resulted in verdicts for the plaintiff. Because the jury awarded punitive damages, two of these cases resulted in a substantial verdict in favor of the plaintiff; however, both of these verdicts have since been vacated by the trial court. The first plaintiff verdict was vacated on the basis that the plaintiff failed to meet its burden of proof. While the court's decision is consistent with our general evaluation of the strength of these cases, it is currently being reviewed on appeal. The second plaintiff verdict was vacated because the presiding judge was removed from hearing any asbestos cases due to a conflict of interest, but when this case ultimately went to trial earlier this year, it resulted in a defense verdict. The two remaining plaintiff verdicts are under appeal by the defendants. We intend to defend these lawsuits vigorously, although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome. We historically have maintained broad liability insurance, although we are not certain whether insurance will cover the liabilities, if any, arising out of these claims. Based on our evaluation of the exposure to date, we do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these claims to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

(Unaudited)

One of our subsidiaries was involved in lawsuits arising out of the subsidiary's involvement in the design, construction and refurbishment of major industrial complexes. The operating assets of the subsidiary were sold and its operations discontinued in 1989, and the subsidiary has no remaining assets other than the insurance policies involved in its litigation, with its insurers and, either directly or indirectly as the beneficiary of a qualified settlement fund, funding from settlements with insurers, assigned rights from insurers and coverage-in-place settlement agreements with insurers, and funds received from the commutation of certain insurance policies. The subsidiary has been named as a defendant, along with numerous other companies, in lawsuits alleging bodily injury or personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. As of June 30, 2012, the subsidiary was a defendant in approximately 899 lawsuits, some of which include multiple plaintiffs, and we estimate that there are approximately 2,087 plaintiffs in these lawsuits. For many of these lawsuits, we have not been provided with sufficient information from the plaintiffs to determine whether all or some of the plaintiffs have claims against the subsidiary, the basis of any such claims, or the nature of their alleged injuries. The first of the asbestos-related lawsuits was filed against the subsidiary in 1990. Through June 30, 2012, the costs incurred to resolve claims, including both defense fees and expenses and settlement costs, have not been material, all known deductibles have been satisfied or are inapplicable, and the subsidiary's defense fees and expenses and settlement costs have been met by insurance made available to the subsidiary. The subsidiary continues to be named as a defendant in additional lawsuits, and we cannot predict the number of additional cases in which it may be named a defendant nor can we predict the potential costs to resolve such additional cases or to resolve the pending cases. However, the subsidiary has in excess of \$1.0 billion in insurance limits potentially available to the subsidiary. Although not all of the policies may be fully available due to the insolvency of certain insurers, we believe that the subsidiary will have sufficient funding from settlements and claims payments from insurers, assigned rights from insurers and coverage-in-place settlement agreements with insurers to respond to these claims. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these matters, we do not believe that the current value of the claims where we have been identified will have a material impact on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Rio de Janeiro tax assessment—In the third quarter of 2006, we received tax assessments of approximately \$182 million from the state tax authorities of Rio de Janeiro in Brazil against one of our Brazilian subsidiaries for taxes on equipment imported into the state in connection with our operations. The assessments resulted from a preliminary finding by these authorities that our subsidiary's record keeping practices were deficient. We currently believe that the substantial majority of these assessments are without merit. We filed an initial response with the Rio de Janeiro tax authorities on September 9, 2006 refuting these additional tax assessments. In September 2007, we received confirmation from the state tax authorities that they believe the additional tax assessments are valid, and as a result, we filed an appeal on September 27, 2007 to the state Taxpayer's Council contesting these assessments. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Brazilian import license assessment—In the fourth quarter of 2010, one of our Brazilian subsidiaries received an assessment from the Brazilian federal tax authorities in Rio de Janeiro of approximately \$234 million, including interest and penalties, based upon the alleged failure to timely apply for import licenses for certain equipment and for allegedly providing improper information on import license applications. We responded to the assessment on December 22, 2010, and we currently believe that a substantial majority of the assessment is without merit. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other matters—We are involved in various tax matters and various regulatory matters. We are also involved in lawsuits relating to damage claims arising out of hurricanes Katrina and Rita, all of which are insured and which are not material to us. As of June 30, 2012, we were involved in a number of other lawsuits, including a dispute for municipal tax payments in Brazil and a dispute involving customs procedures in India, neither of which is material to us, and all of which have arisen in the ordinary course of our business. We do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these other matters to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any of the litigation matters specifically described above or of any such other pending or threatened litigation. There can be no assurance that our beliefs or expectations as to the outcome or effect of any lawsuit or other litigation matter will prove correct and the eventual outcome of these matters could materially differ from management's current estimates.

Other environmental matters

Hazardous waste disposal sites—We have certain potential liabilities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") and similar state acts regulating cleanup of various hazardous waste disposal sites, including those described below. CERCLA is intended to expedite the remediation of hazardous substances without regard to fault. Potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") for each site include present and former owners and operators of, transporters to and generators of the substances at the site. Liability is strict and can be joint and several.

We have been named as a PRP in connection with a site located in Santa Fe Springs, California, known as the Waste Disposal, Inc. site. We and other PRPs have agreed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the DOJ to settle our potential liabilities for this site by agreeing to perform the remaining remediation required by the EPA. The form of the agreement is a consent decree, which has been entered by the court. The parties to the settlement have entered into a participation agreement, which

(Unaudited)

makes us liable for approximately eight percent of the remediation and related costs. The remediation is complete, and we believe our share of the future operation and maintenance costs of the site is not material. There are additional potential liabilities related to the site, but these cannot be quantified, and we have no reason at this time to believe that they will be material.

One of our subsidiaries has been ordered by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board ("CRWQCB") to develop a testing plan for a site known as Campus 1000 Fremont in Alhambra, California. This site was formerly owned and operated by certain of our subsidiaries. It is presently owned by an unrelated party, which has received an order to test the property. We have also been advised that one or more of our subsidiaries is likely to be named by the EPA as a PRP for the San Gabriel Valley, Area 3, Superfund site, which includes this property. Testing has been completed at the property but no contaminants of concern were detected. In discussions with CRWQCB staff, we were advised of their intent to issue us a "no further action" letter but it has not yet been received. Based on the test results, we would contest any potential liability. We have no knowledge at this time of the potential cost of any remediation, who else will be named as PRPs, and whether in fact any of our subsidiaries is a responsible party. The subsidiaries in question do not own any operating assets and have limited ability to respond to any liabilities.

Resolutions of other claims by the EPA, the involved state agency or PRPs are at various stages of investigation. These investigations involve determinations of:

- the actual responsibility attributed to us and the other PRPs at the site;
- appropriate investigatory or remedial actions; and
- allocation of the costs of such activities among the PRPs and other site users.

Our ultimate financial responsibility in connection with those sites may depend on many factors, including:

- the volume and nature of material, if any, contributed to the site for which we are responsible;
- the number of other PRPs and their financial viability; and
- the remediation methods and technology to be used.

It is difficult to quantify with certainty the potential cost of these environmental matters, particularly in respect of remediation obligations. Nevertheless, based upon the information currently available, we believe that our ultimate liability arising from all environmental matters, including the liability for all other related pending legal proceedings, asserted legal claims and known potential legal claims which are likely to be asserted, is adequately accrued and should not have a material effect on our statement of financial position or results of operations. Estimated costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value.

Contamination litigation

On July 11, 2005, one of our subsidiaries was served with a lawsuit filed on behalf of three landowners in Louisiana in the 12th Judicial District Court for the Parish of Avoyelles, State of Louisiana. The lawsuit named 19 other defendants, all of which were alleged to have contaminated the plaintiffs' property with naturally occurring radioactive material, produced water, drilling fluids, chlorides, hydrocarbons, heavy metals and other contaminants as a result of oil and gas exploration activities. Experts retained by the plaintiffs issued a report suggesting significant contamination in the area operated by the subsidiary and another codefendant (the "Co-Defendant"), and claimed that over \$300 million would be required to properly remediate the contamination. The experts retained by the defendants conducted their own investigation and concluded that the remediation costs would amount to no more than \$2.5 million. The Co-Defendant settled the actions with the three landowners (the "Settlement"). The Co-Defendant subsequently filed suit in 2008 against the subsidiary and certain of its insurers in the Court of Avoyelles Parish to determine their liability for the Settlement.

On March 11, 2010, the Co-Defendant filed a motion for leave to amend the pending litigation in Avoyelles Parish to add GlobalSantaFe Corporation, Transocean Worldwide Inc., its successor, and two other subsidiaries under the single business enterprise doctrine contained in Louisiana law, as well as various additional insurers. The single business enterprise doctrine is similar to corporate veil piercing doctrines. A subsequent amendment added a claim for abuse of process under Louisiana law for an earlier bankruptcy filed by the subsidiary in Delaware, which was ultimately dismissed by a bankruptcy court and various other actions undertaken by our subsidiary, GlobalSantaFe Corporation and Transocean Worldwide Inc. Judgment was granted on a motion in favor of the Co-Defendant on the single business enterprise claims on July 16, 2012.

A scheduling order has been entered in the Avoyelles Parish lawsuit and a jury trial is set on these matters for September 17, 2012. Discovery is ongoing. In addition to the motion on the single business enterprise claims, which was granted in favor of the Co-Defendant on July 16, 2012, various other motions for partial summary judgment have been filed by the subsidiary seeking to have certain claims of the Co-Defendant dismissed as prescribed under Louisiana law and to address insurance coverage for the claims. These other motions are scheduled to be heard on August 17, 2012.

We believe that the legal theories advanced by the Co-Defendant should not be applied against the subsidiary, GlobalSantaFe Corporation or Transocean Worldwide Inc. and the two other subsidiaries. We intend to continue to vigorously defend against any action taken in an attempt to impose liability under the theories discussed above or otherwise and believe there are good and

(Unaudited)

valid defenses thereto. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect them to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Retained risk

Our hull and machinery and excess liability insurance program consists of commercial market and captive insurance policies. We periodically evaluate our insurance limits and self-insured retentions. As of June 30, 2012, the insured value of our drilling rig fleet was approximately \$31.7 billion, excluding our rigs under construction.

Hull and machinery coverage—Under the hull and machinery program, we generally maintain a \$125 million per occurrence deductible, limited to a maximum of \$200 million per policy period. Subject to the same shared deductible, we also have coverage for costs incurred to mitigate damage to a rig up to an amount equal to 25 percent of a rig's insured value. Also subject to the same shared deductible, we have additional coverage for wreck removal for up to 25 percent of a rig's insured value, with any excess generally covered to the extent of our remaining excess liability coverage described below. However, we generally retain the risk for all hull and machinery exposures for our Standard Jackups and swamp barge, which are self-insured through our wholly owned captive insurance company.

Excess liability coverage—We carry \$775 million of commercial market excess liability coverage, exclusive of the deductibles and self-insured retention, noted below, which generally covers offshore risks such as personal injury, third-party property claims, and third-party non-crew claims, including wreck removal and pollution. Our excess liability coverage has (1) separate \$10 million per occurrence deductibles on collision liability claims and (2) separate \$5 million per occurrence deductibles on crew personal injury claims and on other third-party non-crew claims. Through our wholly owned captive insurance company, we have retained the risk of the primary \$50 million excess liability coverage. In addition, we generally retain the risk for any liability losses in excess of \$825 million.

Other insurance coverage—We also carry \$100 million of additional insurance that generally covers expenses that would otherwise be assumed by the well owner, such as costs to control the well, redrill expenses and pollution from the well. This additional insurance provides coverage for such expenses in circumstances in which we have legal or contractual liability arising from our gross negligence or willful misconduct.

We have elected to self-insure operators extra expense coverage for ADTI. This coverage provides protection against expenses related to well control, pollution and redrill liability associated with blowouts. ADTI's customers assume, and indemnify ADTI for, liability associated with blowouts in excess of a contractually agreed amount, generally \$50 million.

We do not generally carry commercial market insurance coverage for loss of revenue unless it is contractually required. We do not generally carry commercial market insurance coverage for physical damage losses, including liability for wreck removal expenses, to our fleet caused by named windstorms in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and war perils worldwide.

Letters of credit and surety bonds

We had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$578 million and \$650 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, issued under various committed and uncommitted credit lines provided by several banks to guarantee various contract bidding, performance activities and customs obligations.

As is customary in the contract drilling business, we also have various surety bonds in place that secure customs obligations relating to the importation of our rigs and certain performance and other obligations. We had outstanding surety bonds totaling \$12 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(Unaudited)

Note 16—Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest

On February 29, 2012, Quantum exercised its rights under the put option agreement to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares or cash, at its election. Based on the redemption value of Quantum's interest as of that date, we adjusted the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest and reclassified Quantum's interest to other current liabilities with a corresponding adjustment in the amount of \$106 million to retained earnings within shareholders' equity. We measured the estimated fair value of Quantum's interest using significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement.

On March 29, 2012, Quantum elected to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares, net of Quantum's share of TPDI's indebtedness, as defined in the put option agreement. Quantum had the right, prior to closing of this exchange, to change its election to cash, net of Quantum's share of TPDI's indebtedness.

The carrying amount of Quantum's interest was measured at its estimated fair value through settlement of the exchange transaction on May 31, 2012, resulting in an adjustment of \$25 million to increase the liability with corresponding adjustments to other expense on our condensed consolidated statement of operations. On May 31, 2012, we issued 8,695,351 shares to Quantum in a non-cash exchange for its interest in TPDI to satisfy our obligation, resulting in an adjustment of \$134 million and \$233 million to shares and additional paid-in capital, respectively. The adjustment included the extinguishment of \$148 million of TPDI Notes payable to Quantum and accrued and unpaid interest of \$16 million. As a result of this transaction, TPDI became our wholly owned subsidiary.

In accordance with the terms of the put option agreement, the final cash settlement based on 50 percent of TPDI's working capital at May 29, 2012 is due within 65 days of closing the transaction. At June 30, 2012, the carrying amount of our liability for the final cash settlement was \$72 million, recorded in other current liabilities.

Until February 29, 2012, Quantum had the unilateral right, pursuant to a put option agreement, to exchange its 50 percent interest in TPDI for our shares or cash, at its election, at an amount based on an appraisal of the fair value of the drillships that are owned by TPDI, subject to certain adjustments. Accordingly, we presented Quantum's interest as redeemable noncontrolling interest on our condensed consolidated balance sheets until Quantum exercised its rights under the put option agreement. Changes in redeemable noncontrolling interest were as follows (in millions):

	Six months ended June 30,							
		2012	2	2011				
Redeemable noncontrolling interest								
Balance, beginning of period	\$	116	\$	41				
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest		13		27				
Other comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest		_		(2)				
Fair value adjustment to redeemable noncontrolling interest		106		_				
Reclassification to accumulated other comprehensive loss		17		_				
Reclassification to other current liabilities		(252)		_				
Balance, end of period	\$	_	\$	66				

(Unaudited)

Note 17—Shareholders' Equity

Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital—In May 2011, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved the distribution of additional paid-in capital in the form of a U.S. dollar denominated dividend of \$3.16 per outstanding share, payable in four equal installments of \$0.79 per outstanding share, subject to certain limitations. On June 15, 2011, we paid the first installment in the aggregate amount of \$254 million to shareholders of record as of May 20, 2011. On March 21, 2012, we paid the final installment in the aggregate amount of \$278 million to shareholders of record as of February 24, 2012.

Shares held by subsidiary—In December 2008, we issued 16 million of our shares to one of our subsidiaries for future use to satisfy our obligations to deliver shares in connection with awards granted under our incentive plans or other rights to acquire our shares. Our subsidiary held approximately 12 million shares at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss—The changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows (in millions):

		Thre	e moi	nths end	led Ju	ne 30, 2	012			Thre	ee mor	nths end	ded Ju	ine 30, 2	011	
	com of ne	cognized ponents t periodic efit costs	gains on de	ognized (losses) rivative uments	gains on ma	ognized (losses) rketable urities		Total	com of ne	ecognized nponents et periodic efit costs	gains on de	ognized (losses) rivative uments	gains on ma	ognized (losses) rketable urities		Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$	(517)	\$	(12)	\$	(2)	\$	(531)	\$	(337)	\$	4	\$	(2)	\$	(335)
Other comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest		11		4		_		15		6		1		_		7
Balance, end of period	\$	(506)	\$	(8)	\$	(2)	\$	(516)		(331)	\$	5	\$	(2)	\$	(328)

		Six	mon	ths ende	ed Ju	ne 30, 20 ⁻	12			Six	(mon	ded June 30, 2011				
	con of ne	ecognized nponents et periodic efit costs	gains on d	cognized s (losses) lerivative ruments	gain on n	ecognized s (losses) harketable ecurities		Total	con of ne	ecognized nponents et periodic efit costs	gains on d	cognized s (losses) erivative ruments	gains on ma	cognized (losses) arketable surities		Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$	(501)	\$	7	\$	(2)	\$	(496)	\$	(335)	\$	5	\$	(2)	\$	(332)
Reclassification from redeemable noncontrolling interest		_		(17)		_		(17)		_		_		_		_
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interest		(5)		2		_		(3)		4		_		_		4
Balance, end of period	\$	(506)	\$	(8)	\$	(2)	\$	<u>(516</u>)		(331)	\$	5	\$	(2)	\$	(328)

Note 18—Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and fair values of our financial instruments were as follows (in millions):

	 June 3	June 30, 2012			Decembe	er 31,	2011
	Carrying amount		Fair value		Carrying amount		Fair value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,964	\$	3,964	\$	4,017	\$	4,017
Accounts receivable	2,124		2,124		2,176		2,176
Notes receivable and working capital loan receivable	118		118		139		139
Restricted cash investments	857		902		929		970
Long-term debt, including current maturities	12,634		13,985		12,698		13,074
Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities, including current maturities	205		205		838		838
Derivative instruments, assets	12		12		36		36
Derivative instruments, liabilities	22		22		38		38

We estimated the fair value of each class of financial instruments, for which estimating fair value is practicable, by applying the following methods and assumptions:

Cash and cash equivalents—The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, which are stated at cost plus accrued interest, approximates fair value because of the short maturities of those instruments.

Accounts receivable—The carrying amount, net of valuation allowance, approximates fair value because of the short maturities of those instruments.

(Unaudited)

Notes receivable and working capital loan receivable—The aggregate carrying amount represents the amortized cost of our investments, which approximates the estimated fair value. We measured the estimated fair value using significant unobservable inputs, representing a Level 3 fair value measurement, including the credit ratings of the borrowers. At June 30, 2012, the aggregate carrying amount of our notes receivable and working capital loan receivable was \$118 million, including \$17 million and \$101 million recorded in other current assets and other assets, respectively. At December 31, 2011, the aggregate carrying amount of our notes receivable was \$139 million, including \$37 million and \$102 million recorded in other current assets and other assets, respectively.

Restricted cash investments—The carrying amount of the Aker Restricted Cash Investments represents the amortized cost of our investment, which was at \$817 million and \$889 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We measured the estimated fair value of the Aker Restricted Investments using significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement. The estimated fair value of the Aker Restricted Cash Investments was \$862 million and \$930 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The aggregate carrying amount of the restricted cash investments for the TPDI Credit Facilities and the ADDCL Credit Facilities approximates fair value due to the short term nature of the instruments in which the restricted cash investments are held. The aggregate carrying amount of the restricted cash investments for the TPDI Credit Facilities and the ADDCL Credit Facilities was \$40 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Debt—The aggregate carrying amount of our fixed-rate debt was \$11.9 billion at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. We measured the estimated fair value of our fixed-rate debt using significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement, including the terms and credit spreads for the instruments. The aggregate estimated fair value of our fixed-rate debt was \$13.1 billion and \$12.2 billion at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The aggregate carrying amount of our variable-rate debt approximates fair value because the terms of those debt instruments include short-term interest rates and exclude penalties for prepayment. We measured the estimated fair value of our variable-rate debt using significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement, including the terms and credit spreads for the instruments. The aggregate carrying amount of our variable-rate debt was \$777 million and \$761 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Debt of consolidated variable interest entities—The aggregate carrying amount of the variable-rate debt of our consolidated variable interest entities approximates fair value because the terms of those debt instruments include short-term interest rates and exclude penalties for prepayments. We measured the estimated fair value of the debt of our consolidated variable interest entities using significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement, including the terms and credit spreads of the instruments. The aggregate carrying amount of the variable-rate debt of our consolidated variable interest entities was \$205 million and \$838 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Derivative instruments—The aggregate carrying amount of our derivative instruments represents the estimated fair value, measured using significant other observable inputs, representing a Level 2 fair value measurement, including the interest rates and terms of the instruments.

(Unaudited)

Note 19—Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Transocean Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Transocean Ltd., is the issuer of certain notes and debentures, which have been guaranteed by Transocean Ltd. Transocean Ltd. has also guaranteed borrowings under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility. Transocean Ltd.'s guarantee of debt securities of Transocean Inc. is full and unconditional. Transocean Ltd. is not subject to any significant restrictions on its ability to obtain funds by dividends, loans or capital distributions from its consolidated subsidiaries.

The following tables present condensed consolidating financial information for (a) Transocean Ltd. (the "Parent Guarantor"), (b) Transocean Inc. (the "Subsidiary Issuer"), and (c) the other direct and indirect wholly owned and partially owned subsidiaries of the Parent Guarantor (the "Other Subsidiaries"), none of which guarantee any indebtedness of the Subsidiary Issuer. The tables also include the consolidating adjustments necessary to present the condensed financial statements on a consolidated basis. The following condensed consolidating financial information may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations, financial position or cash flows had the subsidiaries operated as independent entities (in millions):

		1	hree mon	ths ended .	une	30, 2012		
	arent arantor		ubsidiary Issuer	Other Subsidiaries		Consolidating adjustments	Consoli	dated
Operating revenues	\$ _	\$	_	\$ 2,58	1 \$	6)	\$ 2	2,575
Cost and expenses	21		1	2,76	5	(6)	2	2,781
Loss on impairment	-		—	(1	2)	_		(12)
Loss on disposal of assets, net	_			5	5			55
Operating loss	(21)		(1)	(14	1)	_		(163)
Other income (expense), net								
Interest expense, net	(4)		(139)	(2	7)	_		(170)
Equity in earnings	(279)		(186)	-	-	465		_
Other, net	_		18	(2	4)	_		(6)
	(283)		(307)	(5	1)	465		(176)
Loss from continuing operations before income tax expense	(304)		(308)	(19	2)	465		(339)
Income tax benefit	_		_	(2	9)	_		(29)
Loss from continuing operations	(304)		(308)	(16	3)	465		(310)
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	_		_		7	_		7
Net Loss	(304)		(308)	(15	6)	465		(303)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_		1	_		1
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	(304)		(308)	(15	7)	465		(304)
Other comprehensive income before income taxes	1		7		6	_		14
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income	_		_		1	_		1
Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes	1		7		7	—		15
Total comprehensive loss	(303)		(301)	(14	9)	465		(288)
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(000)			,	,, 1			1
Total comprehensive loss attributable to controlling interest	\$ (303)	\$	(301)	\$ (15	0) \$		\$	(289)

Parent Guarantor Subsidiary Issuer Su Operating revenues \$ - \$ - \$ Cost and expenses 32 2 Loss on impairment - - Gain on disposal of assets, net - - Operating income (loss) (32) (2) Other income (expense), net - - Interest expense, net (7) (273) Equity in earnings (255) (16) Other, net - 9 (cos) (262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income from continuing operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest - - Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest - -		Consolidating adjustments (11) (11) (11)	Consolidated \$ 4,912 4,668 (239) 51
Operating revenues \$ - \$ - \$ Cost and expenses 32 2 Loss on impairment Gain on disposal of assets, net Operating income (loss) (32) (2) Other income (expense), net (17) (273) Interest expense, net (7) (273) Equity in earnings (255) (16) Other, net 9 (262) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income tax benefit - Income from continuing operations, net of tax - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest -	4,923 \$ 4,645 (239) 51	\$ (11) (11) —	\$ 4,912 4,668 (239) 51
Cost and expenses322Loss on impairment––Gain on disposal of assets, net––Operating income (loss)(32)(2)Other income (expense), net(32)(2)Interest expense, net(7)(273)Equity in earnings(255)(16)Other, net–9(262)(280)Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense(294)(282)Income from continuing operations(294)(282)Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax––Net Income (loss)(294)(282)Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest––-–––	4,645 (239) 51	(11)	4,668 (239) 51
Loss on impairmentGain on disposal of assets, netOperating income (loss)(32)(2)Other income (expense), net(7)(273)Equity in earnings(7)(273)Equity in earnings(255)(16)Other, net-9(262)(280)Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense(294)(282)Income from continuing operations(294)(282)Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxNet Income (loss)(294)(282)Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(239) 51		(239) 51
Gain on disposal of assets, netOperating income (loss)(32)(2)Other income (expense), net(7)(273)Equity in earnings(255)(16)Other, net-9(262)(280)Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense(294)(282)Income from continuing operations(294)(282)Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxNet Income (loss)(294)(282)Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	51	_	51
Operating income (loss) (32) (2) Other income (expense), net (7) (273) Interest expense, net (7) (273) Equity in earnings (255) (16) Other, net - 9 (262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -			
Other income (expense), net Interest expense, net (7) (273) Equity in earnings (255) (16) Other, net - 9 (262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	90	_	
Interest expense, net (7) (273) Equity in earnings (255) (16) Other, net - 9 (262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -			56
Interest expense, net (7) (273) Equity in earnings (255) (16) Other, net - 9 (262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -			
Other, net - 9 (262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income tax benefit - - Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	(55)	_	(335)
(262) (280) Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income tax benefit - - Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	_	271	_
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (294) (282) Income tax benefit - - Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	(33)	_	(24)
Income tax benefit – – Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax – – Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest – –	(88)	271	(359)
Income tax benefit - - Income from continuing operations (294) (282) Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	2	271	(303)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	(12)	_	(12)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax - - Net Income (loss) (294) (282) Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest - -	14	271	(291)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	6	_	6
M.	20	271	(285)
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest (294) (282)	9	_	9
	11	271	(294)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes (4) —	3	_	(1)
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income (loss) — — —	(2)	_	(2)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes (4) —	1	_	(3)
Total comprehensive income (loss) (298) (282)	21	271	(288)
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	9		(200)
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interest \$ (298) \$ (282) \$	/		\$ (297)

			Three months ended June 30, 2011						
		rent rantor	Subsidi Issue		Other Subsidiaries		Consolidating adjustments	Consolidated	
Operating revenues	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 2,339) \$	(5) \$	2,334	
Cost and expenses	Ý	14	Ψ	1	1,943		(5) (5)	1,953	
Loss on impairment		_		_	(25		(0)	(25)	
Loss on disposal of assets, net		_		_	(1	· ·	_	(1)	
Operating income (loss)		(14)		(1)	370)	_	355	
Other income (expense), net									
Interest expense, net		_		(130)	(12	2)	_	(142)	
Equity in earnings		139		283	2	2	(422)	2	
Other, net		(1)		(9)	3		_	(7)	
		138		144	(7	')	(422)	(147)	
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense		124		143	363	}	(422)	208	
Income tax expense		_		_	77		_	77	
Income from continuing operations		124		143	286)	(422)	131	
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax		_		_	2		—	2	
Net income		124		143	288	}	(422)	133	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest		_		_	9)	_	9	
Net income attributable to controlling interest		124		143	279)	(422)	124	
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes		2		3	(4)	_	1	
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income (loss)		_		_	_		_	_	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes		2		3	(4)		1	
Total comprehensive income		126		146	284	Ļ	(422)	134	
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest		_		_	3	;	_	3	
Total comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$	126	\$	146	\$ 281	\$	(422)	\$ 131	

		Six m	ontl	hs ended June	e 30, 2	2011	
	rent rantor	Subsidia Issuer	У	Other Subsidiaries		olidating	Consolidated
Operating revenues	\$ —	\$	—		\$	(9) \$	
Cost and expenses	24		2	3,734		(9)	3,751
Loss on impairment	—		—	(25)		—	(25)
Gain on disposal of assets, net	_		_	7			7
Operating income (loss)	(24)		(2)	735		_	709
Other income (expense), net							
Interest expense, net	_	(2	61)	(11)		_	(272)
Equity in earnings	468	7	71	4		(1,239)	4
Other, net	(1)		(32)	27		_	(6)
	467	Z	78	20		(1,239)	(274)
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	443	Z	76	755		(1,239)	435
Income tax expense	_		_	143		_	143
Income from continuing operations	443	L	76	612		(1,239)	292
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	_		_	174		_	174
Net income	443	L	76	786		(1,239)	466
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_	23		_	23
Net income attributable to controlling interest	443	Z	76	763		(1,239)	443
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	(1)		(2)	7		_	4
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income (loss)			(2)	(2)		_	(2)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(1)		(2)	5		_	2
Total comprehensive income	442		74	791		(1,239)	468
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	44Z	2	74	21		(1,237)	400
	\$ 442	¢ /	74		\$	(1,239)	
Total comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$ 44Z	¢ 2	74	» //U	¢	(1,237)	ə 447

	June 30, 2012									
		Parent uarantor		bsidiary ssuer		Other osidiaries		nsolidating justments	Con	solidated
Assets										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	19	\$	2,206	\$	1,739	\$	-	\$	3,964
Other current assets		10		2,536		2,684		(1,827)		3,403
Total current assets		29		4,742		4,423		(1,827)		7,367
Property and equipment, net		1		_		22,206		_		22,207
Goodwill				_		3,099		_		3,099
Investment in affiliates		16,101		27,989				(44,090)		_
Other assets		3		1,620		19,296		(19,150)		1,769
Total assets		16,134		34,351		49,024		(65,067)		34,442
Liabilities and equity										
Debt due within one year		—		2,198		602		—		2,800
Other current liabilities		110		312		5,331		(1,827)		3,926
Total current liabilities		110		2,510		5,933		(1,827)		6,726
Long-term debt		370		16,191		12,628		(19,150)		10,039
Other long-term liabilities		31		381		1,656		(17,150)		2,068
Total long-term liabilities		401		16,572		14,284		(19,150)		12,107
								(,)		,
Commitments and contingencies										
Total equity		15,623		15,269		28,807		(44,090)		15,609
Total liabilities and equity	\$	16,134	\$	34,351	\$	49,024	\$	(65,067)	\$	34,442
						ber 31, 20				
		Parent uarantor		De bsidiary ssuer		ber 31, 20 Other osidiaries	Cor	nsolidating	Con	solidated
				bsidiary		Other	Cor		Con	solidated
Assets	<u> </u>	uarantor	<u>!</u>	bsidiary ssuer	Sut	Other osidiaries	Cor adj	justments		
Cash and cash equivalents		uarantor3	<u>!</u>	bsidiary ssuer 2,793		Other osidiaries	Cor	justments	<u>Con</u>	4,017
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8	<u>!</u>	2,793 784	Sut	Other osidiaries 1,221 4,465	Cor adj	justments 		4,017 3,508
Cash and cash equivalents	<u> </u>	uarantor3	<u>!</u>	bsidiary ssuer 2,793	Sut	Other osidiaries	Cor adj	justments		4,017
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577	Sut	Other osidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686	Cor adj	justments 		4,017 3,508 7,525
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8	<u>!</u>	2,793 784	Sut	Other osidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532	Cor adj	justments 		4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 —	Sut	Other osidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686	Cor adj	<u></u> (1,749) (1,749) 		4,017 3,508 7,525
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill	<u> </u>	<u>uarantor</u> 3 8 11 1 -	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217	Cor adj	justments 		4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 16,439	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646	Sut	Other bsidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 16,439 	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368	Sut	Other osidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 — 19,065	Cor adj	(1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749)		4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 16,439 	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591	Sut	Other bsidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500	Cor adj	(1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749) (1,749)		4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 16,439 16,451	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 — 27,646 1,368 32,591	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 16,439 16,451	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429	Cor adj	 (1,749) (1,749) (44,085) (18,676) (64,510) (64,510) (1,749)		4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 16,439 16,451	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 — 27,646 1,368 32,591	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 16,439 16,451 294 294	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 — 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 222,532 3,217 — 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term debt	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 6,439 16,451 1 6,451 294 294 495	<u>!</u>	bisidiary ssuer 2,793 784 3,577 — 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060 14,308	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 222,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term debt Other long-term liabilities	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 16,439 16,451 16,451 294 294 495 25	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 — 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222 1,948	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349 2,412
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term debt	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 1 6,439 16,451 1 6,451 294 294 495	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060 14,308 439	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 222,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term debt Other long-term liabilities	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 16,439 16,451 16,451 294 294 495 25	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060 14,308 439	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222 1,948	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349 2,412
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term debt Other long-term liabilities Total long-term liabilities	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 16,439 16,451 16,451 294 294 495 25	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060 14,308 439	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222 1,948	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349 2,412
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term liabilities Cother long-term liabilities Commitments and contingencies Redeemable noncontrolling interest	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 16,439 16,451 16,451 294 294 294 294 295 25 520	<u>!</u>	bisidiary ssuer 2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060 14,308 439 14,747	Sut	Other ssidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222 1,948 17,170 116	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349 2,412 13,761 116
Cash and cash equivalents Other current assets Total current assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Investment in affiliates Other assets Total assets Liabilities and equity Debt due within one year Other current liabilities Total current liabilities Long-term debt Other long-term liabilities Commitments and contingencies	<u> </u>	uarantor 3 8 11 1 16,439 16,451 16,451 294 294 495 25	<u>!</u>	2,793 784 3,577 27,646 1,368 32,591 1,693 367 2,060 14,308 439	Sut	Other psidiaries 1,221 4,465 5,686 22,532 3,217 19,065 50,500 494 4,429 4,923 15,222 1,948 17,170	Cor adj			4,017 3,508 7,525 22,533 3,217 1,757 35,032 2,187 3,341 5,528 11,349 2,412 13,761

	Six months ended June 30, 2012								
	arent arantor		idiary uer		Other sidiaries		solidating istments	Cons	solidated
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ (31)	\$	(570)	\$	1,600	\$	_	\$	999
Cash flows from investing activities									
Capital expenditures	_		_		(496)		_		(496)
Proceeds from disposal of assets, net	_		_		185		_		185
Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net	_		_		17		_		17
Investing activities with affiliates, net	_		(1,816)		(2,269)		4,085		_
Other, net	_		19		6		_		25
Net cash used in investing activities	_		(1,797)		(2,557)		4,085		(269)
Cash flows from financing activities Changes in short-term borrowings, net	_		_		(260)		_		(260)
Repayments of debt	_		(30)		(200)		_		(320)
Proceeds from restricted cash investments	_		(00)		192		_		192
Deposits to restricted cash investments	_				(116)		_		(116)
Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital	(278)		_		_		_		(278)
Financing activities with affiliates, net	325		1,819		1,941		(4,085)		_
Other, net	_		(9)		8		_		(1)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	47		1,780		1,475		(4,085)		(783)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	16		(587)		518		_		(53)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3		2,793		1,221		_		4,017
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 19	\$	2,206	\$	1,739	\$	_	\$	3,964

		S	Six month	ns en	ded June	30, 20)11		
	arent arantor		sidiary suer		Other sidiaries		lidating tments	Cons	solidated
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ (24)	\$	(485)	\$	1,239	\$	_	\$	730
Cash flows from investing activities									
Capital expenditures	_		_		(533)		_		(533)
Proceeds from disposal of assets, net	_		_		18		_		18
Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net	—		_		259		_		259
Investing activities with affiliates, net	—		(268)		(748)		1,016		_
Other, net	—		(29)		(4)		_		(33)
Net cash used in investing activities	_		(297)		(1,008)		1,016		(289)
Cash flows from financing activities									
Changes in short-term borrowings, net	—		56		_		—		56
Proceeds from debt	-				5		_		5
Repayments of debt	_		(177)		(72)		—		(249)
Distribution of qualifying paid-in capital	(254)		_		_		-		(254)
Financing activities with affiliates, net	256		748		12	((1,016)		—
Other, net	_		(4)		_		_		(4)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2		623		(55)	((1,016)		(446)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(22)		(159)		176		_		(5)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	38		2,041		1,275		_		3,354
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 16	\$	1,882	\$	1,451	\$	_	\$	3,349

(Unaudited)

Note 20—Subsequent Events

Drilling Fleet—Subsequent to June 30, 2012, we completed the sale of the Standard Jackup *Trident 17*. Also subsequent to June 30, 2012, we entered into agreements to sell the Deepwater Floater *Discoverer 534* and the Standard Jackup *GSF Rig 103*, and we reclassified the drilling units and related equipment, having an aggregate carrying amount of \$87 million, to assets held for sale.

Macondo well incident-insurance coverage—Our second layer of excess insurers filed an interpleader action on July 31, 2012. Like the interpleader actions filed by the first layer of excess insurers, the second layer of excess insurers contend that they face multiple, and potentially competing, claims to the relevant insurance proceeds. In this action, the insurers effectively ask the court to manage disbursement of the funds to the alleged claimants, as appropriate, and discharge the insurers of any additional liability. The court has not yet issued any rulings on this action.

Brazil Frade field incident—On July 31, 2012, trial resumed in the federal court in Rio de Janeiro on the order denying the federal prosecutor's request for a preliminary injunction preventing Chevron and us from operating in Brazil. The reporting judge was not present; however, the remaining two members of the panel granted the preliminary injunction. The terms of the preliminary injunction have not been disclosed to us. The preliminary injunction cannot become effective until finalized by the reporting judge, which we expect will take until at least September 2012. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously against any claims that are brought based on the incident. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, if the ruling is upheld, it could have a material adverse effect on our future consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Information

The statements included in this annual report regarding future financial performance and results of operations and other statements that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements in this annual report include, but are not limited to, statements about the following subjects:

- the impact of the Macondo well incident and related matters,
- our results of operations and cash flow from operations, including revenues and expenses,
- the offshore drilling market, including the impact of enhanced regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate, supply and demand, utilization rates, dayrates, customer drilling programs, commodity prices, stacking of rigs, reactivation of rigs, effects of new rigs on the market and effects of declines in commodity prices and the downturn in the global economy or market outlook for our various geographical operating sectors and classes of rigs,
- customer contracts, including contract backlog, force majeure provisions, contract commencements, contract extensions, contract terminations, contract option exercises, contract revenues, contract awards and rig mobilizations,
- liquidity and adequacy of cash flows for our obligations,
- debt levels, including impacts of the financial and economic downturn,
- uses of excess cash, including the payment of dividends and other distributions and debt retirement,
- newbuild, upgrade, shipyard and other capital projects, including completion, delivery and commencement of operation dates, expected downtime and lost revenue, the level of expected capital expenditures and the timing and cost of completion of capital projects,
- the cost and timing of acquisitions and the proceeds and timing of dispositions,
- tax matters, including our effective tax rate, changes in tax laws, treaties and regulations, tax assessments and liabilities for tax issues, including those associated with our activities in Brazil, Norway and the United States ("U.S."),
- legal and regulatory matters, including results and effects of legal proceedings and governmental audits and assessments, outcomes and effects of internal and governmental investigations, customs and environmental matters,
- insurance matters, including adequacy of insurance, renewal of insurance, insurance proceeds and cash investments of our wholly owned captive insurance company,
- effects of accounting changes and adoption of accounting policies, and
- investments in recruitment, retention and personnel development initiatives, pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan contributions, the timing of severance payments and benefit payments.

Forward-looking statements in this annual report are identifiable by use of the following words and other similar expressions:

•	"anticipates"	•	"could"	•	"forecasts"	•	"might"	•	"projects"
•	"believes"	•	"estimates"	•	"intends"	•	"plans"	•	"scheduled"
•	"budgets"	•	"expects"	•	"may"	-	"predicts"	•	"should"

Such statements are subject to numerous risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including, but not limited to:

- those described under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011,
- the adequacy of and access to sources of liquidity,
- our inability to obtain contracts for our rigs that do not have contracts,
- our inability to renew contracts at comparable dayrates,
- operational performance,
- the impact of regulatory changes,
- the cancellation of contracts currently included in our reported contract backlog,
- increased political and civil unrest,
- the effect and results of litigation, regulatory matters, settlements, audits, assessments and contingencies, and
- other factors discussed in this annual report and in our other filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), which are available free of charge on the SEC website at <u>www.sec.gov</u>.

The foregoing risks and uncertainties are beyond our ability to control, and in many cases, we cannot predict the risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated by the forward-looking statements. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those indicated.

All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or to persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by reference to these risks and uncertainties. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

Business

Transocean Ltd. (together with its subsidiaries and predecessors, unless the context requires otherwise, "Transocean," the "Company," "we," "us" or "our") is a leading international provider of offshore contract drilling services for oil and gas wells. As of July 18, 2012, we owned or had partial ownership interests in and operated 129 mobile offshore drilling units. As of this date, our fleet consisted of 50 High-Specification Floaters (Ultra-Deepwater, Deepwater and Harsh Environment semisubmersibles and drillships), 25 Midwater Floaters, 10 High-Specification Jackups, 43 Standard Jackups and one swamp barge. In addition, we had two Ultra-Deepwater drillships and three High-Specification Jackups under construction.

We have two reportable segments: (1) contract drilling services and (2) drilling management services, formerly a component of our other operations segment. Contract drilling services, our primary business, involves contracting our mobile offshore drilling fleet, related equipment and work crews primarily on a dayrate basis to drill oil and gas wells. We specialize in technically demanding regions of the offshore drilling business with a particular focus on deepwater and harsh environment drilling services. We believe our drilling fleet is one of the most versatile fleets in the world, consisting of floaters, jackups and other rigs used in support of offshore drilling activities and offshore support services on a worldwide basis.

Our contract drilling operations are geographically dispersed in oil and gas exploration and development areas throughout the world. Although rigs can be moved from one region to another, the cost of moving rigs and the availability of rig-moving vessels may cause the supply and demand balance to fluctuate somewhat between regions. Still, significant variations between regions do not tend to persist long term because of rig mobility. Our fleet operates in a single, global market for the provision of contract drilling services. The location of our rigs and the allocation of resources to build or upgrade rigs are determined by the activities and needs of our customers.

Our drilling management services segment provides oil and gas drilling management services on either a dayrate basis or a completed-project, fixed-price (or "turnkey") basis, as well as drilling engineering and drilling project management services. We provide drilling management services through Applied Drilling Technology Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, and through ADT International, a division of one of our United Kingdom ("U.K"). subsidiaries (together, "ADTI").

Significant Events

Transocean Pacific Drilling Inc.—On February 29, 2012, Quantum Pacific Management Limited ("Quantum") exercised its right, pursuant to a put option agreement, to exchange its interest in Transocean Pacific Drilling Inc. ("TPDI") for our shares or cash, and, on March 29, 2012, Quantum elected to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares, net of Quantum's share of TPDI's indebtedness. On May 31, 2012, we issued 8.7 million shares to Quantum in a non-cash exchange for its interest in TPDI. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Sources and Uses of Liquidity."

Fleet expansion—During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we completed construction of the High-Specification Jackup *Transocean Honor* and, in May 2012, the drilling unit commenced operations under its contract. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources— Drilling Fleet."

Dispositions—During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we completed the sale of the Standard Jackups *GSF Adriatic II, GSF Rig 136, Roger W. Mowell, Transocean Nordic* and *Transocean Shelf Explorer* and related equipment. In connection with the sales, we received aggregate net cash proceeds of \$179 million. In July 2012, we entered into agreements to sell the Deepwater Floater *Discoverer 534* and the Standard Jackup *GSF Rig 103.* See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Drilling Fleet."

Discontinued operations—In April 2012, we completed the sale of Challenger Minerals Inc. See "—Operating Results— Discontinued Operations."

Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital—In May 2011, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved the distribution of additional paid-in capital in the form of a U.S. dollar denominated dividend of \$3.16 per outstanding share, payable in four equal installments of \$0.79 per outstanding share, subject to certain limitations. On March 21, 2012, we paid the final installment in the aggregate amount of \$278 million to shareholders of record as of February 24, 2012. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Sources and Uses of Liquidity."

Outlook

Drilling market—We expect commodity pricing, underlying the exploration and production programs of our customers, to continue to support an increase in contracting opportunities for all asset classes within our drilling fleet for the remainder of this year and into 2013. Utilization and dayrates continue to improve, having reached levels not experienced since 2009, and we expect this trend to continue into 2013. As of July 18, 2012, our contract backlog was \$22.9 billion compared to \$20.6 billion as of April 18, 2012.

Following the Macondo well incident, the U.S. government implemented enhanced regulations related to offshore drilling in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, which require operators to submit applications for new drilling permits that demonstrate compliance with such enhanced regulations. The enhanced regulations require independent third-party inspection, certification of well design and well control equipment and emergency response plans in the event of a blowout, among other requirements. Since their inception in the first quarter of 2011, the permitting under these enhanced regulations has steadily increased, and as of July 18, 2012, authorities have approved 66 new drilling permits and 61 new exploration plans under these enhanced regulations to customers utilizing our rigs in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The voluntary application by some of our customers of such third-party inspections and certifications of well control equipment operating outside the U.S. Gulf of Mexico has caused and may continue to cause us to experience additional out of service time and incur additional maintenance costs. Although the enhanced regulations have affected our revenues, costs and out of service time, we are unable to predict, with certainty, the magnitude with which the enhanced regulations will continue to impact our operations.

Fleet status—As of July 18, 2012, uncommitted fleet rates for the remainder of 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 were as follows:

	2012	2013	2014	2015
Uncommitted fleet rate (a)				
High-Specification Floaters	13%	23%	51%	71%
Midwater Floaters	32%	52%	71%	88%
High-Specification Jackups	6%	35%	62%	67%
Standard Jackups	30%	55%	78%	94%

(a) The uncommitted fleet rate is the number of uncommitted days as a percentage of the total number of available rig calendar days in the period.

As of July 18, 2012, we had 10 existing contracts with fixed-price or capped options to extend the contract terms that are exercisable, at the customer's discretion, any time through their expiration dates. Customers are more likely to exercise fixed-price options when dayrates are higher on new contracts relative to existing contracts, and customers are less likely to exercise fixed-price options when dayrates are lower on new contracts relative to existing contracts. Given the continued improvement in market conditions, we expect that a number of these options will be exercised by our customers in 2012. Additionally, well-in-progress or similar provisions of our existing contracts may delay the start of higher or lower dayrates in subsequent contracts, and some of the delays could be significant.

High-Specification Floaters—Our Ultra-Deepwater Floater fleet has two remaining Ultra-Deepwater Floaters with availability in 2012. During the second quarter 2012, nine Ultra-Deepwater Floater contracts were entered into worldwide, including the five-year contract extension that we recently entered into for *Deepwater Nautilus*. We expect continued customer demand to support the high utilization of our Ultra-Deepwater Floater fleet for the remainder of 2012 and into 2013 and to drive improved dayrates for this fleet. Additionally, we expect increased demand for Deepwater Floaters to continue to improve in 2012, recently indicated by one new contract and a contract extension for our Deepwater Floater. As of July 18, 2012, we had 41 of our 50 High-Specification Floaters contracted through the end of 2012. We believe continued exploration successes in the major deepwater offshore provinces and the emerging markets will generate additional demand and support our long-term positive outlook for our High-Specification Floater fleet.

Midwater Floaters—Customer demand for our Midwater Floater fleet, which includes 25 semisubmersible rigs, has continued to increase with multiple customers interested in available rigs, particularly in the U.K. and West Africa. We entered into nine new contracts for our Midwater Floater fleet in the second quarter 2012. Based on customer demand, we believe that we could have new opportunities to extend the contracts on our active fleet. With the improvement in market conditions, we expect that moored Deepwater Floaters previously competing in the midwater market sector will now be contracted for deepwater opportunities.

High-Specification Jackups—Our High-Specification Jackup fleet continues to benefit from the interest of our customers, evidenced by increased tendering and contracting activity that we expect to continue through the remainder of 2012 and into 2013. We believe that the currently high utilization rates will continue to prevail during this period. In May 2012, *Transocean Honor* commenced operations under its two-year contract. We recently entered into a one-year contract for our last available High-Specification Jackup, which was previously stacked in the U.K. North Sea, and we expect it to commence operations in the third quarter of 2012. As of July 18, 2012, one of our existing 10 High-Specification Jackups was available in 2012.

Standard Jackups—Driven by the high utilization rates of the High-Specification Jackups, customers are showing increased interest in the Standard Jackups, resulting in improvements in utilization and opportunities to reactivate some of the idle capacity. We expect this trend to continue through 2012, resulting in new opportunities for our Standard Jackups. As of July 18, 2012, we had 12 of our 43 Standard Jackups stacked. In 2012, we expect increasing demand to provide opportunities to extend the contracts on our available fleet and to reactivate a few of our Standard Jackups that require relatively lower reactivation costs.

Operating results—We expect our total revenues for the year ending December 31, 2012 to be higher than our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to fewer expected out of service and idle days, increased activity produced by the addition of two Harsh Environment, Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles acquired in the Aker Drilling ASA ("Aker Drilling") acquisition, and the commencement of operations of our newbuild units delivered in 2011 and to be delivered in 2012. We are unable to predict, with certainty, the full impact that the enhanced regulations, described under "—Drilling market", will have on our operations for the year ending December 31, 2012 and beyond.

We expect our total operating and maintenance expenses for the year ending December 31, 2012 to be higher than our total operating and maintenance expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to increased operating costs resulting from the additional rigs acquired in the Aker Drilling acquisition and higher personnel costs resulting from increased salaries and increased drilling activity associated with our newbuild units delivered in 2011 and 2012. Our projected operating and maintenance expenses for the year ending December 31, 2012 are subject to change and could be affected by actual activity levels, rig reactivations, the enhanced regulations described under "—Drilling market", the Macondo well incident and related contingencies, exchange rates and cost inflation, as well as other factors.

Although we are unable to estimate the full direct and indirect effect that the Macondo well incident will have on our business, the incident has had and could continue to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Our business has been negatively impacted by the loss of revenue from Deepwater Horizon. The backlog associated with the Deepwater Horizon drilling contract, which terminated at the time of the incident, was approximately \$590 million. In the two years ended December 31, 2011, we estimate that the Macondo well incident had a direct and indirect effect of greater than \$1.0 billion in lost revenues and incremental costs and expenses associated with extended shipyard projects and increased downtime, both as a result of complying with the enhanced regulations and our customers' requirements. In December 2011, such increased downtime resulted in the termination of one of our contracts, which represented backlog of approximately \$470 million. We have recognized estimated losses of \$2.0 billion, \$0.8 billion of which was recognized in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and recorded in operating and maintenance expense, in connection with loss contingencies associated with the Macondo well incident that we believe are probable and for which a reasonable estimate can be made. Additionally, in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we incurred incremental costs, primarily associated with legal expenses for lawsuits and investigations, in the amount of \$140 million and \$139 million, respectively, and in the six months ended June 30, 2012, we incurred an additional \$47 million of such costs. Collectively, the lost contract backlog from the incident and from the termination in December 2011, the lost revenues and incremental expenses from extended shipyard projects and increased downtime, the loss contingencies associated with the incident and other incremental costs have had an effect of greater than \$4.0 billion. See "-Contingencies-Insurance matters."

In accordance with our critical accounting policies, we review our property and equipment for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of our assets held and used may not be recoverable, and we conduct impairment testing for our goodwill annually and when events and circumstances indicate that the fair value of a reporting unit may have fallen below its carrying amount. As of October 1, 2011, we determined that the goodwill associated with our contract drilling services reporting unit was impaired due to a decline in projected cash flows and market valuations for this reporting unit, and upon completion of our measurement, we recognized a total loss on impairment of goodwill in the amount of \$5.3 billion (see "—Results of Operations" and "— Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates"). If we determine that the fair value of our contract drilling services reporting unit has, again, declined below its carrying amount, we may be required to recognize additional losses on impairment of goodwill. If we are unable to secure new or extended contracts for our active units or the reactivation of any of our stacked units, or if we experience declines in actual or anticipated dayrates or other impairment indicators, especially with respect to our High-Specification Jackup fleet or our Standard Jackup fleet, we may be required to recognize losses in future periods as a result of an impairment of the carrying amount of one or more of our asset groups. At June 30, 2012, the carrying amount of our property and equipment was \$2.2 billion, representing 64 percent of our total assets. The carrying amount of our goodwill was \$3.1 billion, representing nine percent of our total assets. See "—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

Performance and Other Key Indicators

Contract backlog—Our contract backlog for our contract drilling services segment was as follows:

	July 18, 2012		1	April 18, 2012	Fel	oruary 14, 2012
Contract backlog (a)			(in	millions)		
High-Specification Floaters						
Ultra-Deepwater Floaters	\$	12,398	\$	11,843	\$	12,232
Deepwater Floaters		2,489		2,064		2,228
Harsh Environment Floaters		2,366		2,057		2,188
Total High-Specification Floaters		17,253		15,964		16,648
Midwater Floaters		2,852		2,121		2,249
High-Specification Jackups		1,333		1,034		1,051
Standard Jackups		1,450		1,475		1,434
Swamp Barge		13		19		24
Total	\$	22,901	\$	20,613	\$	21,406

(a) Contract backlog is calculated by multiplying the full contractual operating dayrate by the number of days remaining in the firm contract period, excluding revenues for mobilization, demobilization and contract preparation or other incentive provisions, which are not expected to be significant to our contract drilling revenues.

Our contract backlog includes only firm commitments for our contract drilling services segment, which are represented by signed drilling contracts or, in some cases, by other definitive agreements awaiting contract execution. Our contract backlog includes amounts associated with our newbuild units that are currently under construction. The contractual operating dayrate may be higher than the actual dayrate we ultimately receive or an alternative contractual dayrate, such as a waiting-on-weather rate, repair rate, standby rate or force majeure rate, may apply under certain circumstances. The contractual operating dayrate may also be higher than the actual dayrate we ultimately receive because of a number of factors, including rig downtime or suspension of operations. In certain contracts, the dayrate may be reduced to zero if, for example, repairs extend beyond a stated period of time.

Fleet average daily revenue—The average daily revenue for our contract drilling services segment was as follows:

	Three months ended							
	June 30, March 31, 2012 2012			_	June 30, 2011			
Average daily revenue (a)								
High-Specification Floaters								
Ultra-Deepwater Floaters	\$	537,000	\$	534,900	\$	516,600		
Deepwater Floaters		379,200		357,800		396,400		
Harsh Environment Floaters		433,200		478,600		430,100		
Total High-Specification Floaters		481,600		488,800		479,900		
Midwater Floaters		295,800		275,600		333,000		
High-Specification Jackups		138,400		116,900		110,300		
Standard Jackups		89,900		91,200		111,700		
Swamp Barge		77,800		73,300		76,400		
Total fleet average daily revenue		305,400		301,100		312,100		

(a) Average daily revenue is defined as contract drilling revenue earned per revenue earning day. A revenue earning day is defined as a day for which a rig is contracted to earn a dayrate during the firm contract period after commencement of operations.

Our total fleet average daily revenue rises as we stack and declines as we reactivate drilling units within our Midwater Floaters, High-Specification Jackups and Standard Jackups fleets since these rig types are typically contracted at lower dayrates compared to the High-Specification Floaters. We include newbuilds in the calculation when the rigs commence operations upon acceptance by the customer. Utilization—The utilization rates for our contract drilling services segment were as follows:

	Thr	ee months ended	
	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2011
<u>Utilization</u> (a)			
High-Specification Floaters			
Ultra-Deepwater Floaters	87%	83%	80%
Deepwater Floaters	59%	47%	41%
Harsh Environment Floaters	96%	84%	93%
Total High-Specification Floaters	79%	71%	69%
Midwater Floaters	52%	56%	54%
High-Specification Jackups	84%	81%	56%
Standard Jackups	55%	47%	43%
Swamp Barge	100%	98%	50%
Total fleet average utilization	66%	61%	55%

(a) Utilization is the total actual number of revenue earning days as a percentage of the total number of calendar days in the period.

Our utilization rates decline as a result of idle and stacked rigs to the extent these rigs are not earning revenues and increase as we reactivate or dispose of rigs that are idle or stacked. We include newbuilds in the calculation when the rigs commence operations upon acceptance by the customer.

Operating Results

Three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to three months ended June 30, 2011

Following is an analysis of our operating results. See "—Performance and Other Key Indicators—Fleet average daily revenue" for a definition of revenue earning days and average daily revenue. See "—Performance and Other Key Indicators—Utilization" for a definition of utilization.

	Three months	s ende	ed June 30,			
	2012		2011		Change	% Change
	(In r	nillion	s, except day amo	ounts a	nd percentages)	
Revenue earning days	7,789		6,683		1,106	17%
Utilization	66%		55%			
Average daily revenue	\$ 305,400	\$	312,100	\$	(6,700)	(2)%
Contract drilling revenues	\$ 2,390	\$	2,096	\$	294	14%
Other revenues	 185		238		(53)	(22)%
	2,575		2,334		241	10%
Operating and maintenance expense	(2,357)		(1,528)		(829)	54%
Depreciation and amortization	(345)		(359)		14	(4)%
General and administrative expense	(79)		(66)		(13)	20%
Loss on impairment	(12)		(25)		13	(52)%
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets, net	 55	_	(1)		56	n/m
Operating income (loss)	(163)		355		(518)	n/m
Other income (expense), net						
Interest income	13		5		8	n/m
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(183)		(147)		(36)	24%
Other, net	(6)		(5)		(1)	20%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	 (339)		208		(547)	n/m
Income tax (expense) benefit	29		(77)		106	n/m
Income (loss) from continuing operations	 (310)		131		(441)	n/m
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	7		2		5	n/m
Net income (loss)	 (303)	_	133		(436)	n/m
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1		9		(8)	(89)%
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ (304)	\$	124	\$	(428)	n/m

"n/a" means not applicable

"n/m" means not meaningful

Operating revenues—Contract drilling revenues increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to the following: (a) approximately \$140 million of increased contract drilling revenue due to greater revenue earning days as a result of shipyard, mobilization, maintenance, repair, and equipment certification projects, a significant portion of which was associated with the post-Macondo regulatory and operating environment in 2011, (b) approximately \$100 million of increased contract drilling revenues from the operations of our two Harsh Environment Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles, acquired in our acquisition of Aker Drilling and (c) approximately \$55 million of increased drilling activity as a result of rig reactivations in 2012 and 2011 associated with previously stacked or idle rigs.

Other revenues decreased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to decreased revenues of approximately \$45 million associated with our drilling management services.

Costs and expenses—Operating and maintenance expenses increased for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to the following: (a) approximately \$750 million of increased costs and expenses associated with the estimated loss contingencies related to the Macondo well incident, (b) approximately \$40 million of increased costs and expenses due to increased activities resulting from the operations of our two Harsh Environment, Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles acquired in our acquisition of Aker Drilling and (c) approximately \$15 million of increased costs and expenses associated with rigs undergoing shipyard, maintenance and repair.

Depreciation and amortization decreased primarily due to the following: (a) \$12 million related to asset disposals subsequent to June 30, 2011, (b) \$10 million related to the useful life extensions of two Midwater Floaters and three Standard Jackups and (c) \$10 million related to rig equipment that has been fully depreciated. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases resulting from the following: (a) \$10 million related to our two Harsh Environment Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles, acquired in our acquisition of Aker Drilling in October 2011, (b) \$6 million of additional depreciation expense associated with two newbuilds, one Ultra-Deepwater Floater and one High Specification Jackup, which commenced operations in 2011 and 2012, respectively, and (c) \$3 million due to normal operations of our contract drilling services.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized an aggregate loss on impairment in the amount of \$12 million primarily associated with *GSF Adriatic II*, which was formerly classified as an asset held for sale and was sold in May 2012. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized an aggregate loss on impairment in the amount of \$25 million associated with *GSF Britannia*, *George H. Galloway* and *GSF Labrador*, each classified as held for sale at the time of impairment.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a net gain on disposal of assets of \$55 million. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized a net loss on disposal of other unrelated assets of \$1 million.

Other income and expense—Interest expense increased in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to \$56 million of increased interest expense associated with debt issued in November 2011 and debt assumed in our acquisition of Aker Drilling in October 2011. Partially offsetting these increases was \$21 million of decreased interest expense associated with debt repaid or repurchased subsequent to June 30, 2011.

Income tax expense—We operate internationally and provide for income taxes based on the tax laws and rates in the countries in which we operate and earn income. The annual effective tax rates were 29.6 percent and 24.6 percent at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, based on income from continuing operations before income taxes, excluding the effect of certain items, such as losses on impairment, and gains and losses on certain asset disposals. The tax effect, if any, of the excluded items as well as settlements of prior year tax liabilities, expiration of statutes of limitations and changes in prior year tax estimates are all treated as discrete period tax expenses or benefits. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the effect of the various discrete period tax items was a net tax benefit of \$145 million, primarily related to the expiration of the statutes of limitations in various jurisdictions, and a net tax expense of \$13 million, respectively. These discrete tax items, coupled with the excluded income and expense items noted above, resulted in effective tax rates of 8.6 percent and 37.0 percent on income from continuing operations before income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and expense items noted above, resulted in effective tax rates of 8.6 percent and 37.0 percent on income from continuing operations before income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The relationship between our provision for or benefit from income taxes and our income before income taxes can vary significantly from period to period considering, among other factors, (a) the overall level of income before income taxes, (b) changes in the blend of income that is taxed based on gross revenues versus income before taxes, (c) rig movements between taxing jurisdictions and (d) our rig operating structures. Generally, our annual marginal tax rate is lower than our annual effective tax rate. Consequently, our income taxes typically lead to higher effective tax rates, while significant increases in income before income taxes can lead to lower effective tax rates, subject to the other factors impacting income tax expense noted above. With respect to the annual effective tax rate calculation for the three months ended June 30, 2012, a significant portion of our income tax expense was generated in countries in which income taxes are imposed on gross revenues, with the most significant of these countries being Angola, India, Nigeria, Indonesia, and Ghana. Conversely, the most significant countries in which we operated during this period that impose income taxes based on income before income tax included Switzerland, Norway, Brazil and the U.S.

Our rig operating structures further complicate our tax calculations, especially in instances where we have more than one operating structure for the particular taxing jurisdiction and, thus, more than one method of calculating taxes depending on the operating structure utilized by the rig under the contract. For example, two rigs operating in the same country could generate significantly different provisions for income taxes if they are owned by two different subsidiaries that are subject to differing tax laws and regulations in the respective country of incorporation.

Six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to six months ended June 30, 2011

Following is an analysis of our operating results. See "—Performance and Other Key Indicators—Fleet average daily revenue" for a definition of revenue earning days and average daily revenue. See "—Performance and Other Key Indicators—Utilization" for a definition of utilization.

	Six months	ended	I June 30,			
	 2012	_	2011		Change	% Change
	(In	million	s, except day am	ounts a	nd percentages)	
Revenue earning days	15,124		13,347		1,777	13%
Utilization	64%		55%			
Average daily revenue	\$ 303,300	\$	302,400	\$	900	n/m
Contract drilling revenues	\$ 4,610	\$	4,056	\$	554	14%
Other revenues	 302		422		(120)	(28)%
	4,912		4,478		434	10%
Operating and maintenance expense	(3,820)		(2,905)		(915)	31%
Depreciation and amortization	(700)		(713)		13	(2)%
General and administrative expense	(148)		(133)		(15)	11%
Loss on impairment	(239)		(25)		(214)	n/m
Gain on disposal of assets, net	 51		7		44	n/m
Operating income	56		709		(653)	(92)%
Other income (expense), net						
Interest income	28		20		8	40%
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(363)		(292)		(71)	24%
Other, net	(24)		(2)		(22)	n/m
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	(303)		435		(738)	n/m
Income tax (expense) benefit	12		(143)		155	n/m
Income (loss) from continuing operations	 (291)		292		(583)	n/m
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	6		174		(168)	(97)%
Net income (loss)	(285)		466		(751)	n/m
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	9		23		(14)	(61)%
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ (294)	\$	443	\$	(737)	n/m

"n/a" means not applicable

"n/m" means not meaningful

Operating revenues—Contract drilling revenues increased for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to the following: (a) approximately \$195 million of increased contract drilling revenues from the operations of our two Harsh Environment, Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles, acquired in our acquisition of Aker Drilling, (b) approximately \$175 million of increased contract drilling revenues due to greater revenue earning days as a result of shipyard, mobilization, maintenance and repair projects, partly resulting from additional shipyard time required to comply with enhanced regulations in 2011, (c) approximately \$120 million of increased contract drilling revenue associated with our newbuilds that commenced operations during 2012 and 2011, and (d) approximately \$85 million of increased drilling activity as a result of rig reactivations in 2012 and 2011 associated with previously stacked or idle rigs.

Other revenues decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to decreased revenues of approximately \$109 million associated with our drilling management services.

Costs and expenses—Operating and maintenance expenses increased for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to the following: (a) approximately \$750 million of increased costs and expenses associated with the estimated loss contingencies related to the Macondo well incident, (b) approximately \$75 million of increased costs and expenses due to increased activities resulting from the operations of our two Harsh Environment, Ultra-Deepwater semisubmersibles acquired in our acquisition of Aker Drilling, (c) approximately \$45 million of increased costs and expenses associated with rigs undergoing shipyard, maintenance, repair, and (d) approximately \$25 million of increased costs and expenses associated with our newbuilds that commenced operations during 2012 and 2011. These increases were partially offset by approximately \$25 million of decreased costs and expenses associated with our drilling management services.

Depreciation and amortization decreased primarily due to the following: (a) \$24 million related to rig equipment that has been fully depreciated, (b) \$22 million related to asset disposals subsequent to June 30, 2011 and (c) \$11 million related to the useful life extensions of two Midwater Floaters and three Standard Jackups. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases resulting from the following: (a) \$21 million related to two rigs acquired in connection with our acquisition of Aker Drilling in October 2011, (b) \$12 million of additional depreciation expense associated with two newbuilds, one Ultra-Deepwater Floater and one High Specification Jackup, which commenced operations in 2011 and 2012, respectively, and (c) \$8 million due to normal operations of our contract drilling services.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a loss of \$118 million associated with completing our measurement of the impairment of goodwill associated with our contract drilling services reporting unit. We had previously recognized an estimated loss of \$5.2 billion, in the year ended December 31, 2011, due to a decline in projected cash flows and market valuations for this reporting unit. Additionally, we recognized a loss of \$92 million on impairment of the trade name and customer relationship intangible assets associated with our drilling management services reporting unit due to a declining market outlook for these services in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico as well as the increased regulatory environment for obtaining drilling permits and the diminishing demand for our drilling management services, all of which have led to our decision to exit the region to pursue opportunities in other markets, including Africa and the Far East. In the six months ended June 30, 2012, we also recognized a loss of \$19 million and \$10 million on impairment of *GSF Rig 136* and *GSF Adriatic II*, respectively, which were classified as assets held for sale at the time of impairment. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized an aggregate loss on impairment in the amount of \$25 million associated with *GSF Britannia*, *George H. Galloway* and *GSF Labrador*, each classified as held for sale at the time of impairment.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a net gain on disposal of assets of \$51 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized a net gain on disposal of assets of \$7 million.

Other income and expense—Interest expense increased for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to \$114 million of increased interest expense associated with debt issued in November 2011 and debt assumed in our acquisition of Aker Drilling in October 2011. Partially offsetting these increases was \$44 million of decreased interest expense associated with debt repaid or repurchased subsequent to June 30, 2011.

Income tax expense—We operate internationally and provide for income taxes based on the tax laws and rates in the countries in which we operate and earn income. The estimated annual effective tax rates at June 30, 2012 and 2011 were 29.6 percent and 24.6 percent, respectively, based on projected 2012 and 2011 annual income before income tax expense, excluding the effect of certain items such as losses on impairment, losses on litigation matters, losses on debt retirements and certain asset disposals. The tax effect, if any, of the excluded items as well as settlements of prior year tax liabilities, expiration of the statutes of limitations and changes in prior year tax estimates are all treated as discrete period tax expenses or benefits. The impact of the various discrete period tax items, including certain immaterial adjustments related to prior period tax expense, was a net tax benefit of \$174 million, primarily related to the expiration of the statutes of limitations in various jurisdictions, and a net tax expense of \$31 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These discrete tax items, coupled with the excluded income and expense items noted above, resulted in effective tax rates of 4.0 percent and 32.9 percent on income from continuing operations before income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The relationship between our provision for or benefit from income taxes and our income before income taxes can vary significantly from period to period considering, among other factors, (a) the overall level of income before income taxes, (b) changes in the blend of income that is taxed based on gross revenues versus income before taxes, (c) rig movements between taxing jurisdictions and (d) our rig operating structures. Generally, our annual marginal tax rate is lower than our annual effective tax rate. Consequently, our income taxes typically lead to higher effective tax rates, while significant increases in income before income taxes can lead to lower effective tax rates, subject to the other factors impacting income tax expense noted above. With respect to the estimated annual effective tax rate calculation for the six months ended June 30, 2012, a significant portion of our income tax expense was generated in countries in which income taxes are imposed on gross revenues, with the most significant of these countries being Angola, India, Nigeria and Ghana. The most significant countries in which we conducted business during this period that impose income taxes based on income before income tax include Switzerland, Brazil and the U.S.

Our rig operating structures further complicate our tax calculations, especially in instances where we have more than one operating structure for the particular taxing jurisdiction and, thus, more than one method of calculating taxes depending on the operating structure utilized by the rig under the contract. For example, two rigs operating in the same country could generate significantly different provisions for income taxes if they are owned by two different subsidiaries that are subject to differing tax laws and regulations in the respective country of incorporation.

Discontinued Operations

Oil and gas properties—During the six months ended June 30, 2011, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we engaged an unaffiliated advisor to coordinate the sale of the assets of our oil and gas properties reporting unit, a component of our other operations segment, which comprises the exploration, development and production activities performed by Challenger Minerals Inc. and Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited. In October 2011, we completed the sale of Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited. In April 2012, we completed the sale of the assets of Challenger Minerals Inc. for net cash proceeds of \$7 million. In May 2012, we received an additional \$10 million of cash proceeds from the buyer of Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited and recognized a gain on the disposal of discontinued operations of \$10 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Caspian Sea operations—During the six months ended June 30, 2011, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we sold the subsidiary that owns the High-Specification Jackup *Trident 20*, located in the Caspian Sea. The disposal of this subsidiary, a component of our contract drilling services segment, reflects our decision to discontinue operations in the Caspian Sea. As a result of the sale, we received net cash proceeds of \$259 million and recognized a gain on the disposal of the discontinued operations of \$169 million. Through June 2011, we continued to operate *Trident 20* under a bareboat charter to perform services for the customer and the buyer reimbursed us for the approximate cost of providing these services. Additionally, we provided certain transition services to the buyer through September 2011.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9—Discontinued Operations.

Correction of Errors in Previously Reported Consolidated Financial Statements

We perform assessments of our contingencies and corresponding assets for insurance recoveries on an ongoing basis to evaluate the appropriateness of our balances and disclosures for such contingencies and insurance recoveries. We establish liabilities for estimated loss contingencies when we believe a loss is probable and the amount of the probable loss can be reasonably estimated. We recognize corresponding assets for those loss contingencies that we believe are probable of being recovered through insurance. In performing these assessments in the three months ended June 30, 2012, we identified an error in our previously issued financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 related to the recognition of assets for insurance recoveries related to legal and other costs totaling \$67 million and \$37 million, respectively, which we have concluded should not have been recorded because they were not probable of recovery. We have corrected these errors by adjusting the previously reported amounts.

In addition to the adjustments in 2011 and 2012 related to the assets for insurance recoveries, we recorded other adjustments related to the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 to correct for immaterial errors for repair and maintenance costs, income taxes, discontinued operations, and the allocation of net income attributable to noncontrolling interest.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 4—Correction of Errors in Previously Reported Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and uses of cash

At June 30, 2012, we had \$4.0 billion in cash and cash equivalents. At any given time, we may require a significant portion of our cash on hand for working capital and other needs related to the operation of our business. We currently estimate this amount to be approximately \$1.0 billion. As a result, this portion of cash is not generally available to us for other uses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our primary sources of cash were our cash flows from operating activities and proceeds from disposals of assets. Our primary uses of cash were capital expenditures, primarily associated with our newbuild projects, and the final installment of our distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital to shareholders and scheduled repayments of debt.

	Six months ended June 30,					
	2012 2011			Change		
	(In millions)					
Cash flows from operating activities						
Net income (loss)	\$	(285)	\$	466	\$	(751)
Amortization of drilling contract intangibles		(23)		(20)		(3)
Depreciation and amortization		700		713		(13)
Loss on impairment		239		25		214
Gain on disposal of assets, net		(51)		(7)		(44)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net		(10)		(169)		159
Other non-cash items		55		122		(67)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net		374		(400)		774
	\$	999	\$	730	\$	269

Net cash provided by operating activities increased primarily due to less cash generated from net income partially offset by a decrease in cash used in working capital.

		Six month June				
	2	2012 2011			Ch	ange
			(In n	nillions)		
Cash flows from investing activities						
Capital expenditures	\$	(496)	\$	(533)	\$	37
Proceeds from disposal of assets, net		185		18		167
Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net		17		259		(242)
Other, net		25		(33)		58
	\$	(269)	\$	(289)	\$	20

Net cash used in investing activities decreased primarily due to proceeds from the disposal of our Caspian Sea operations received in the six months ended June 30, 2011, partially offset by proceeds from disposals of assets in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

	Six	Six months ended June 30,				
	2012	2012 2011			Cł	nange
		(In millions)				
Cash flows from financing activities						
Change in short-term borrowings, net	\$ (2	60)	\$	56	\$	(316)
Proceeds from debt		_		5		(5)
Repayments of debt	(3	20)		(249)		(71)
Proceeds from restricted cash investments	1	92		_		192
Deposits to restricted cash investments	(1	16)		_		(116)
Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital	(2	78)		(254)		(24)
Other, net		(1)		(4)		3
	\$ (7	83)	\$	(446)	\$	(337)

Net cash used in financing activities increased primarily due to increased repayments of debt and short-term borrowings in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the prior year period.

Drilling fleet

Expansion—From time to time, we review possible acquisitions of businesses and drilling rigs and may make significant future capital commitments for such purposes. We may also consider investments related to major rig upgrades or new rig construction. Any such acquisition, upgrade or new rig construction could involve the payment by us of a substantial amount of cash or the issuance of a substantial number of additional shares or other securities.

Capital expenditures, including capitalized interest of \$25 million, totaled \$496 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, substantially all of which related to our contract drilling services segment. The following table presents the historical and projected capital expenditures and other capital additions, including capitalized interest, for our recently completed and ongoing major construction projects conducted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 (in millions):

	Total costsExpected coststhroughfor theJune 30,remainder of20122012		timated costs ereafter	es C	Total timated osts at npletion	
Transocean Honor (a)	\$	262	\$ _	\$ _	\$	262
Deepwater Asgard (b)		171	48	506		725
Deepwater Invictus (b)		166	43	526		735
Transocean Andaman (c)		148	12	65		225
Transocean Siam Driller (c)		148	73	4		225
Transocean Ao Thai (d)		125	27	78		230
Total	\$	1,020	\$ 203	\$ 1,179	\$	2,402

(a) *Transocean Honor*, a PPL Pacific Class 400 design High-Specification Jackup, commenced operations in May 2012.

(b) *Deepwater Asgard* and *Deepwater Invictus*, two Ultra-Deepwater drillships under construction at the Daewoo Shipbuilding & Marine Engineering Co. Ltd. shipyard in Korea, are expected to be ready to commence operations in the second quarter of 2014.

(c) *Transocean Siam Driller* and *Transocean Andaman*, two Keppel FELS Super B class design High-Specification Jackups, under construction at Keppel FELS' yard in Singapore, are expected to commence operations in the first quarter of 2013.

(d) *Transocean Ao Thai*, a Keppel FELS Super B class design High-Specification Jackup, under construction at Keppel FELS' yard in Singapore, is expected to commence operations in the fourth quarter of 2013.

For the year ending December 31, 2012, we expect capital expenditures to be approximately \$1.2 billion, approximately \$203 million of which is associated with our major construction projects. The ultimate amount of our capital expenditures is partly dependent upon financial market conditions, the actual level of operational and contracting activity, the costs associated with the new regulatory environment and customer requested capital improvements and equipment for which the customer agrees to reimburse us.

As with any major shipyard project that takes place over an extended period of time, the actual costs, the timing of expenditures and the project completion date may vary from estimates based on numerous factors, including actual contract terms, weather, exchange rates, shipyard labor conditions, availability of suppliers to recertify equipment and the market demand for components and resources required for drilling unit construction.

We intend to fund the cash requirements relating to our capital expenditures through available cash balances, cash generated from operations and asset sales. We also have available credit under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility (see "—Sources and Uses of Liquidity") and may utilize other commercial bank or capital market financings. Economic conditions could impact the availability of these sources of funding.

Dispositions—From time to time, we review the possible disposition of drilling units. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, in connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets, we completed the sales of the Standard Jackups *GSF Adriatic II, GSF Rig 136, Roger W. Mowell, Transocean Nordic* and *Transocean Shelf Explorer* and related equipment. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we received aggregate net cash proceeds of \$145 million and \$179 million, respectively, and recognized an aggregate gain on disposal of the Standard Jackups of \$64 million. In July 2012, we completed the sale of the Standard Jackup *Trident 17.*

Assets held for sale—At July 24, 2012, the Deepwater Floater *Discoverer 534* and the Standard Jackup *GSF Rig 103* were classified as assets held for sale with an aggregate carrying amount of \$87 million.

Sources and uses of liquidity

Overview—We expect to use existing cash balances, internally generated cash flows, borrowings under bank credit agreements and proceeds from the disposal of assets and discontinued operations to fulfill anticipated obligations, such as scheduled debt maturities or other payments, repayment of debt due within one year, including the expected repurchase of any Series C Convertible Senior Notes that the noteholders may require us to repurchase in December 2012, capital expenditures and working capital and other needs in our operations. Subject in each case to then existing market conditions and to our then expected liquidity needs, among other factors, we may continue to use a portion of our internally generated cash flows and proceeds from asset sales to reduce debt prior to scheduled maturities through debt repurchases, either in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, through debt redemptions or tender offers, or through repayments of bank borrowings. At any given time, we may require a significant portion of our cash on hand for working capital and other needs related to the operation of our business. We currently estimate this amount to be approximately \$1.0 billion. As a result, this portion of cash is not generally available to us for other uses. From time to time, we may also use borrowings under bank credit agreements to maintain liquidity for short-term cash needs.

In May 2011, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved the distribution of additional paid-in capital in the form of a U.S. dollar denominated dividend of \$3.16 per outstanding share, payable in four equal installments of \$0.79 per outstanding share, subject to certain limitations. On March 21, 2012, we paid the final installment of \$278 million to shareholders of record as of February 24, 2012. See "—Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital." The Board of Directors did not propose a distribution at the 2012 annual general meeting.

On June 28, 2010, we received a letter from the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") asking us to meet with them to discuss our financial responsibilities in connection with the Macondo well incident and requesting that we provide them certain financial and organizational information. The letter also requested that we provide the DOJ advance notice of certain corporate actions involving the transfer of cash or other assets outside the ordinary course of business. We have engaged in discussions with the DOJ and have responded to their document requests, and we expect these discussions to continue. We can give no assurance that the DOJ investigation and other matters arising out of the Macondo well incident will not adversely affect our liquidity in the future.

Our access to debt and equity markets may be limited due to a variety of events, including, among others, credit rating agency downgrades of our debt, potential liability related to the Macondo well incident, industry conditions, general economic conditions, market conditions and market perceptions of us and our industry. The economic downturn and related financial market instability, as well as uncertainty related to our potential liabilities from the Macondo well incident, have had, and could continue to have, an impact on our business and our financial condition. Our ability to access such markets may be severely restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to access such markets, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. The economic downturn could have an impact on the lenders participating in our credit facilities or on our customers, causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us. Uncertainty related to our potential liabilities from the future.

Our internally generated cash flow is directly related to our business and the market sectors in which we operate. Should the drilling market deteriorate, or should we experience poor results in our operations, cash flow from operations may be reduced. We have, however, continued to generate positive cash flow from operating activities over recent years and expect that such cash flow will continue to be positive over the next year.

Bank credit agreement—In November 2011, we entered into the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated November 1, 2011, as amended, which established a \$2.0 billion five-year revolving credit facility that is scheduled to expire on November 1, 2016 (the "Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility"). The Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility includes a \$1.0 billion sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit, and all borrowings under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility are guaranteed by Transocean Ltd. Among other things, the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility includes limitations on creating liens, incurring subsidiary debt, transactions with affiliates, sale/leaseback transactions, mergers and the sale of substantially all assets. The Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility also includes a covenant imposing a maximum debt to tangible capitalization ratio of 0.6 to 1.0. As of June 30, 2012, our debt to tangible capitalization ratio, as defined, was 0.5 to 1.0. In order to borrow or have letters of credit issued under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility, we must, at the time of the borrowing request, not be in default under the bank credit agreement and make certain representations and warranties, including with respect to compliance with laws and solvency, to the lenders, but we are not required to make any representation to the lenders as to the absence of a material adverse effect. Borrowings under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility are subject to acceleration upon the occurrence of an event of default. We are also subject to various covenants under the indentures pursuant to which our public debt was issued, including restrictions on creating liens, engaging in sale/leaseback transactions and engaging in certain merger, consolidation or reorganization transactions. A default under our public debt indentures, our bank credit agreements, our capital lease contract or any other debt owed to unaffiliated entities that exceeds \$125 million could trigger a default under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility Agreement and, if not waived by the lenders, could cause us to lose access to the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility. Our commitment fee and lending margin under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility are subject to change based on our credit rating. If our credit rating falls below investment grade, the commitment fee will increase from 0.275 percent to 0.325 percent and the lending margin will increase from 1.625 percent to 2.0 percent. At July 24, 2012, we had \$24 million in letters of credit issued, we had \$2.0 billion available borrowing capacity and we had no borrowings outstanding under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility.

Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility—Aker Drilling has a credit facility established by the Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility Agreement dated February 21, 2011 (the "Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility"), comprised of a \$500 million revolving credit facility (the "Aker Revolving Credit Facility") and a \$400 million term loan (the "Aker Term Loan"), which is secured by *Transocean Spitsbergen* and *Transocean Barents* and guaranteed by Transocean Ltd. The Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility bears interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a margin of 2.50 percent and mandatory costs, as defined, and requires scheduled quarterly installments on the term loan. The Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility expires in December 2015 and may be prepaid in whole or in part without premium or penalty. The Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility includes covenants requiring Aker Drilling, our wholly owned subsidiary, a maximum leverage ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio, a minimum current ratio, and a minimum equity ratio, as defined. The acceleration of our obligations under the Five-Year Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility Agreement or any other debt of Transocean Ltd. could trigger the acceleration of the obligations under the Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we prepaid \$138 million of borrowings under the Aker Term Loan, and we recognized a loss on the retirement of debt in the amount of less than \$1 million. At July 24, 2012, we had \$173 million of borrowings outstanding under the Aker Term Loan and no borrowings outstanding under the Aker Revolving Credit Facility. On July 24, 2012, the weighted-average interest rate of borrowings under the Aker Term Loan was 3.0 percent.

TPDI Credit Facilities—TPDI has a bank credit agreement for a \$1.265 billion secured credit facility (the "TPDI Credit Facilities"), comprised of a \$1.0 billion senior term loan, a \$190 million junior term loan and a \$75 million revolving credit facility, which was established to finance the construction of and is secured by *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1* and *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2*. One of our subsidiaries participates in the term loan with an aggregate commitment of \$595 million. The senior term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 1.45 percent and requires quarterly payments with a final payment in March 2015. The junior term loan and the revolving credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 2.25 percent and 1.45 percent, respectively, and are due in full in March 2015. The TPDI Credit Facilities may be prepaid in whole or in part without premium or penalty. The TPDI Credit Facilities have covenants that require TPDI to maintain a minimum cash balance and available liquidity, a minimum debt service ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. If Transocean Inc.'s long-term unsecured, unguaranteed and unsubordinated indebtedness is assigned a credit rating less than Baa3 or BBB- by Moody's Investor Service or Standard & Poor's Ratings Service, respectively, TPDI would be required to obtain insurance from a source other than our wholly owned captive insurance company within 10 business days. At July 24, 2012, \$875 million was outstanding under the TPDI Credit Facilities, of which \$437 million was due to one of our subsidiaries and was eliminated in consolidation. The weighted-average interest rate on July 24, 2012 was 2.1 percent.

TPDI has an outstanding letter of credit in the amount of \$60 million to satisfy its liquidity requirements under the TPDI Credit Facilities. Additionally, TPDI is required to maintain certain cash balances in restricted accounts for the payment of the scheduled installments on the TPDI Credit Facilities. At July 24, 2012, TPDI had restricted cash investments of \$23 million.

ADDCL Credit Facilities—ADDCL has a senior secured bank credit agreement for a credit facility (the "ADDCL Primary Loan Facility") comprised of Tranche A and Tranche C for \$215 million and \$399 million, respectively, which was established to finance the construction of and is secured by *Discoverer Luanda*. Unaffiliated financial institutions provide the commitment for and borrowings under Tranche A and one of our subsidiaries provides the commitment for Tranche C. The ADDCL Primary Loan Facility contains covenants that

require ADDCL to maintain certain cash balances to service the debt and also limits ADDCL's ability to incur additional indebtedness, to acquire assets, or to make distributions or other payments. At July 24, 2012, \$177 million was outstanding under Tranche A at a weighted-average interest rate of 1.5 percent. At July 24, 2012, \$399 million was outstanding under Tranche C, which was eliminated in consolidation.

Additionally, ADDCL has a secondary bank credit agreement for a \$90 million credit facility (the "ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility"), for which one of our subsidiaries provides 65 percent of the total commitment. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin, ranging from 3.125 percent to 5.125 percent, depending on certain milestones. The ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility is payable in full in December 2015, and it may be prepaid in whole or in part without premium or penalty. Borrowings under the ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility are subject to acceleration by the unaffiliated financial institution upon the occurrence of certain events of default, including the occurrence of a credit rating assignment of less than Baa3 or BBB- by Moody's Investors Service or Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, respectively, for Transocean Inc.'s long-term, unsecured, unguaranteed and unsubordinated indebtedness. In addition, upon such credit rating assignment, ADDCL would be required to obtain insurance from a source other than our wholly owned captive insurance company within 10 business days. At July 24, 2012, \$80 million was outstanding under the ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility, of which \$52 million was provided by one of our subsidiaries and was eliminated in consolidation. The weighted-average interest rate on July 24, 2012 was 3.6 percent.

ADDCL is required to maintain certain cash balances in restricted accounts for the payment of the scheduled installments on the ADDCL Credit Facilities. At July 24, 2012, ADDCL had restricted cash investments of \$22 million.

Capital lease contract—*Petrobras 10000* is held by one of our subsidiaries under a capital lease contract that requires scheduled monthly payments of \$6 million through its stated maturity on August 4, 2029, at which time our subsidiary will have the right and obligation to acquire *Petrobras 10000* from the lessor for one dollar. Upon the occurrence of certain termination events, our subsidiary is also required to purchase *Petrobras 10000* and pay a termination amount determined by a formula based upon the total cost of the drillship. The capital lease contract includes limitations on creating liens on *Petrobras 10000* and requires our subsidiary to make certain representations in connection with each monthly payment, including with respect to the absence of pending or threatened litigation or other proceedings against our subsidiary or any of its affiliates, which, if determined adversely, could have a material adverse effect on our subsidiary's ability to perform its obligations under the capital lease contract. Additionally, Transocean Inc. has guaranteed the obligations under the capital lease contract. In the event Transocean Inc. does not satisfy this covenant at the end of any fiscal quarter, it is required to deposit the deficit amount, determined as the difference between \$5.0 billion and the adjusted net worth for such fiscal quarter, into an escrow account for the benefit of the lessor. At July 24, 2012, \$667 million was outstanding under the capital lease contract.

Convertible Senior Notes—Holders of our Series C Convertible Senior Notes may elect to convert their notes under certain circumstances. Upon conversion, we will deliver, in lieu of shares, cash up to the aggregate principal amount of notes to be converted and shares in respect of the remainder, if any, of our conversion obligation in excess of the aggregate principal amount of the notes being converted. The conversion rate is subject to increase upon the occurrence of certain fundamental changes and adjustment upon certain other corporate events, such as the distribution of cash to our shareholders. At July 24, 2012, the rate at which the Series C Convertible Senior Notes may be converted was 6.2905 shares per \$1,000 note, equivalent to a conversion price of \$158.97 per share. At July 24, 2012, none of the circumstances giving rise to potential conversion were present.

Holders of the Series C Convertible Senior Notes have the right to require us to repurchase their notes on December 14, 2012, December 15, 2017, December 15, 2022, December 15, 2027 and December 15, 2032, and upon the occurrence of a fundamental change, at a repurchase price in cash equal to 100 percent of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. At July 24, 2012, the aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes outstanding was \$1.7 billion.

Redeemable noncontrolling interest—On October 18, 2007, one of our subsidiaries acquired a 50 percent interest in TPDI, an entity formed to operate two Ultra-Deepwater Floaters, *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1* and *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2* (the "TPDI Rigs"). Until May 31, 2012, Quantum held the remaining 50 percent interest in TPDI. We presented Quantum's interest in TPDI as redeemable noncontrolling interest on our condensed consolidated balance sheets since Quantum had the unilateral right to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares or cash, at its election, measured at an amount based on an appraisal of the fair value of the drillships that are owned by TPDI, subject to certain adjustments.

On February 29, 2012, Quantum exercised its rights under the put option agreement to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares or cash, at its election. On March 29, 2012, Quantum elected to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares, net of Quantum's share of TPDI's indebtedness, as defined in the put option agreement. Quantum had the right, prior to closing of this exchange, to change its election to cash, net of Quantum's share of TPDI's indebtedness. On May 31, 2012, we issued 8,695,351 shares to Quantum in a non-cash exchange for its interest in TPDI, and as a result, TPDI became our wholly owned subsidiary. The put option agreement, among other things, restricts Quantum's sale of our shares until May 29, 2013.

In accordance with the terms of the put option agreement, the final cash settlement relating to TPDI's working capital is due, unless otherwise disputed, within 65 days of closing the exchange transaction. At June 30, 2012, the carrying amount of our liability for the final cash settlement was \$72 million, recorded in other current liabilities.

Distribution of qualifying additional paid-in capital—In May 2011, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved the distribution of additional paid-in capital in the form of a U.S. dollar denominated dividend of \$3.16 per outstanding share, payable in four equal installments of \$0.79 per outstanding share, subject to certain limitations. On March 21, 2012, we paid to shareholders of record as of February 24, 2012 the final installment in the aggregate amount of \$278 million.

Share repurchase program—In May 2009, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved and authorized our board of directors, at its discretion, to repurchase an amount of our shares for cancellation with an aggregate purchase price of up to CHF 3.5 billion, which is equivalent to approximately \$3.5 billion at an exchange rate as of the close of trading on July 24, 2012 of \$1.00 to CHF 1.00. On February 12, 2010, our board of directors authorized our management to implement the share repurchase program. We intend to fund any repurchases using available cash balances and cash from operating activities. In the six months ended June 30, 2012, we did not purchase shares under our share repurchase program.

We may decide, based upon our ongoing capital requirements, the price of our shares, matters relating to the Macondo well incident, regulatory and tax considerations, cash flow generation, the amount and duration of our contract backlog, general market conditions and other factors, that we should retain cash, reduce debt, make capital investments or acquisitions or otherwise use cash for general corporate purposes, and consequently, repurchase fewer or no additional shares under this program. Decisions regarding the amount, if any, and timing of any share repurchases would be made from time to time based upon these factors.

Any shares repurchased under this program are expected to be purchased from time to time either, with respect to the U.S. market, from market participants that have acquired those shares on the open market and that can fully recover Swiss withholding tax resulting from the share repurchase or, with respect to the Swiss market, on the second trading line for our shares on the SIX Swiss Exchange ("SIX"). Repurchases could also be made by tender offer, in privately negotiated transactions or by any other share repurchase method. Any repurchased shares would be held by us for cancellation by the shareholders at a future annual general meeting. The share repurchase program could be suspended or discontinued by our board of directors or company management, as applicable, at any time.

Under Swiss corporate law, the right of a company and its subsidiaries to repurchase and hold its own shares is limited. A company may repurchase such company's shares to the extent it has freely distributable reserves as shown on its Swiss statutory balance sheet in the amount of the purchase price and the aggregate par value of all shares held by the company as treasury shares does not exceed 10 percent of the company's share capital recorded in the Swiss Commercial Register, whereby for purposes of determining whether the 10 percent threshold has been reached, shares repurchased under a share repurchase program for cancellation purposes authorized by the company's shareholders are disregarded. As of July 24, 2012, Transocean Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, held as treasury shares approximately three percent of our issued shares. At the annual general meeting in May 2009, the shareholders approved the release of CHF 3.5 billion of additional paid-in capital to other reserves, or freely available reserves as presented on our Swiss statutory balance sheet, to create the freely available reserve necessary for the CHF 3.5 billion share repurchase program for the purpose of the cancellation of shares (the "Currently Approved Program"). At the May 2011 annual general meeting, our shareholders approved the reallocation of 3.2 billion shares, which is the remaining amount authorized under the share repurchase program, from free reserve to legal reserve, reserve from capital contributions. This amount will continue to be available for Swiss federal withholding tax-free share repurchases. We may only repurchase shares to the extent freely distributable reserves are available. Our board of directors could, to the extent freely distributable reserves are available, authorize the repurchase of additional shares for purposes other than cancellation, such as to retain treasury shares for use in satisfying our obligations in connection with incentive plans or other rights to acquire our shares. Based on the current amount of shares held as treasury shares, approximately seven percent of our issued shares could be repurchased for purposes of retention as additional treasury shares. Although our board of directors has not approved such a share repurchase program for the purpose of retaining repurchased shares as treasury shares, if it did so, any such shares repurchased would be in addition to any shares repurchased under the Currently Approved Program.

Contractual obligations—As of June 30, 2012, there have been no material changes from the contractual obligations as previously disclosed in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, except as noted below.

For the year ending December 31, 2012, the minimum funding requirement for our U.S. defined benefit pension plans is approximately \$99 million. In April 2012, we contributed \$99 million in satisfaction of this funding requirement. For the year ending December 31, 2012, the minimum funding requirement for our non-U.S. defined benefit plans is approximately \$31 million.

As of June 30, 2012, the total liability for unrecognized tax benefit related to uncertain tax positions was \$631 million. Due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of future cash outflows associated with the liabilities recognized in this balance, we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authorities.

Commercial commitments—As of June 30, 2012, there have been no material changes from the commercial commitments as previously disclosed in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Derivative instruments

Our board of directors has approved policies and procedures for derivative instruments that require the approval of our Chief Financial Officer prior to entering into any derivative instruments. From time to time, we may enter into a variety of derivative instruments in connection with the management of our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and currency exchange rates. We do not enter into derivative transactions for speculative purposes; however, we may enter into certain transactions that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting. See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13—Derivatives and Hedging.

Contingencies

Except as noted in this report, including in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 8—Income Taxes, Note 15— Contingencies and Note 20—Subsequent Events, there have been no material changes to those actions, claims and other matters pending as discussed in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 15—Commitments and Contingencies and Note 28—Subsequent Events and in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contingencies— Macondo well incident" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, we were also involved in a number of lawsuits which have arisen in the ordinary course of our business and for which we do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these lawsuits to have a material adverse effect on our current consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. There can be no assurance that our expectations as to the outcome or effect of any lawsuit or other matters will prove correct and the eventual outcome of these matters could materially differ from management's current estimates.

Macondo well incident

On April 22, 2010, the Ultra-Deepwater Floater *Deepwater Horizon* sank after a blowout of the Macondo well caused a fire and explosion on the rig. Eleven persons were declared dead and others were injured as a result of the incident. At the time of the explosion, *Deepwater Horizon* was located approximately 41 miles off the coast of Louisiana in Mississippi Canyon Block 252 and was contracted to BP America Production Co. The rig has been declared a total loss.

Although we are unable to estimate the full direct and indirect effect that the Macondo well incident will have on our business, the incident has had and could continue to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Our business has been negatively impacted by the loss of revenue from Deepwater Horizon. The backlog associated with the Deepwater Horizon drilling contract, which terminated at the time of the incident, was approximately \$590 million. In the two years ended December 31, 2011, we estimate that the Macondo well incident had a direct and indirect effect of greater than \$1.0 billion in lost revenues and incremental costs and expenses associated with extended shipyard projects and increased downtime, both as a result of complying with the enhanced regulations and our customers' requirements. In December 2011, such increased downtime resulted in the termination of one of our contracts, which represented backlog of approximately \$470 million. We have recognized estimated losses of \$2.0 billion, \$0.8 billion of which was recognized in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and recorded in operating and maintenance expense, in connection with loss contingencies associated with the Macondo well incident that we believe are probable and for which a reasonable estimate can be made. Additionally, in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we incurred incremental costs, primarily associated with legal expenses for lawsuits and investigations, in the amount of \$140 million and \$139 million, respectively, and in the six months ended June 30, 2012, we incurred an additional \$47 million of such costs. Collectively, the lost contract backlog from the incident and from the termination in December 2011, the lost revenues and incremental expenses from extended shipyard projects and increased downtime, the loss contingencies associated with the incident and other incremental costs have had an effect of greater than \$4.0 billion. See "-Contingencies-Insurance matters."

We are currently unable to estimate the full impact the Macondo well incident will have on us. We have recognized a liability for estimated loss contingencies that we believe are probable and for which a reasonable estimate can be made. As of June 30, 2012, we have recognized a liability for such loss contingencies in the amount of \$2.0 billion. This liability takes into account certain events related to the litigation and investigations arising out of the incident. There are loss contingencies related to the Macondo well incident that we believe are reasonably possible and for which not believe a reasonable estimate can be made. These contingencies could increase the liabilities we ultimately recognize. As of June 30, 2012, we have also recognized an asset of \$235 million associated with the portion of our estimated losses that we believe is recoverable from insurance. Although we have available policy limits that could result in additional amounts recoverable from insurance, we are not currently able to estimate the amount of such additional recoverable amounts. Our estimates involve a significant amount of judgment. As a result of new information or future developments, we may adjust our estimated loss contingencies arising out of the Macondo well incident, and the resulting liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. As of December 31, 2011, the amount of the estimated liability was \$1.2 billion, and the estimated recoverable amount was \$237 million.

Many of the Macondo well related claims are pending in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana (the "MDL Court"). The first phase of a three-phase trial was scheduled to commence on March 5, 2012. However, on March 2, 2012, BP and the Plaintiff's Steering Committee (the "PSC") announced that they had agreed to a partial settlement related primarily to private party environmental and economic loss claims as well as response effort related claims (the "BP/PSC Settlement"). The BP/PSC Settlement agreement was filed with the MDL Court on April 28, 2012 and provides that (a) the BP/PSC Settlement is subject to court approvals, (b) to the extent permitted by law, BP will assign to the settlement class certain of BP's claims, rights and recoveries against us for damages with

protections such that the settlement class is barred from collecting any amounts from us unless it is finally determined that we cannot recover such amounts from BP, and (c) the settlement class releases all claims for compensatory damages against us but purports to retain claims for punitive damages against us. On May 2, 2012, the MDL Court granted preliminary approval of the economic and property damage class settlement between BP and the PSC and has scheduled a fairness hearing for approval of the settlement for November 8, 2012. After giving consideration to the BP/PSC Settlement, the MDL Court ordered that the first phase of the trial, at which liability will be determined, be rescheduled for January 14, 2013. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of the trial, as to the timing of any phase of trial, that we will not enter into a settlement as to some or all of the matters related to the Macondo well incident, including those to be determined at a trial, or the timing or terms of any such settlement.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15—Contingencies.

Insurance matters

Our hull and machinery and excess liability insurance program is comprised of commercial market and captive insurance policies. We periodically evaluate our insurance limits and self-insured retentions. As of June 30, 2012, the insured value of our drilling rig fleet was approximately \$31.7 billion, excluding our rigs under construction.

Hull and machinery coverage—We completed the renewal of our hull and machinery insurance coverage and insurance for worldwide war perils, effective May 1, 2012, with updated rig insured values, primarily based on fair market value appraisals, and with similar terms as previous policies. Under the hull and machinery program, we generally maintain a \$125 million per occurrence deductible, limited to a maximum of \$200 million per policy period. Subject to the same shared deductible, we also have coverage for costs incurred to mitigate damage to a rig up to an amount equal to 25 percent of a rig's insured value. Also subject to the same shared deductible, we have additional coverage for wreck removal for up to 25 percent of a rig's insured value, with any excess generally covered to the extent of our remaining excess liability coverage. However, we generally retain the risk for all hull and machinery exposures for our Standard Jackups and swamp barge, which are self-insured through our wholly owned captive insurance company.

Excess liability coverage—We completed the renewal of our excess liability insurance coverage with some policies effective May 1, 2012. We carry \$775 million of commercial market excess liability coverage, exclusive of deductibles and self-insured retention, noted below, which generally covers offshore risks such as personal injury, third-party property claims, and third-party non-crew claims, including wreck removal and pollution. Our excess liability coverage has (1) separate \$10 million per occurrence deductibles on collision liability claims and (2) separate \$5 million per occurrence deductibles on crew personal injury claims and on other third-party non-crew claims. Through our wholly owned captive insurance company, we have retained the risk of the primary \$50 million excess liability coverage. In addition, we generally retain the risk for any liability losses in excess of \$825 million.

Other insurance coverage—We also carry \$100 million of additional insurance that generally covers expenses that would otherwise be assumed by the well owner, such as costs to control the well, redrill expenses and pollution from the well. This additional insurance provides coverage for such expenses in circumstances in which we have legal or contractual liability arising from our gross negligence or willful misconduct.

We have elected to self-insure operators extra expense coverage for ADTI. This coverage provides protection against expenses related to well control, pollution and redrill liability associated with blowouts. ADTI's customers assume, and indemnify ADTI for, liability associated with blowouts in excess of a contractually agreed amount, generally \$50 million.

We generally do not have commercial market insurance coverage for loss of revenues, unless it is contractually required, or for losses for physical damage to our fleet, including liability for wreck removal expenses, and caused by named windstorms in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15—Contingencies.

Tax matters

We are a Swiss corporation, and we operate through our various subsidiaries in a number of countries throughout the world. Our provision for income taxes is based on the tax laws and rates applicable in the jurisdictions in which we operate and earn income. The relationship between our provision for or benefit from income taxes and our income or loss before income taxes can vary significantly from period to period considering, among other factors, (a) the overall level of income before income taxes, (b) changes in the blend of income that is taxed based on gross revenues rather than income before taxes, (c) rig movements between taxing jurisdictions and (d) our rig operating structures. Generally, our annual marginal tax rate is lower than our annual effective tax rate.

U.S. tax investigations—With respect to our 2004 U.S. federal income tax return, the U.S. tax authorities withdrew all of their previously proposed tax adjustments, including all claims related to transfer pricing. In January 2012, a judge in the U.S. Tax Court entered a decision of no deficiency for the 2004 tax year and cancelled the trial previously scheduled to take place in February 2012. With respect to our 2005 U.S. federal income tax returns, the U.S. tax authorities have withdrawn all of their previously proposed tax adjustments.

In May 2010, we received an assessment from the U.S. tax authorities related to our 2006 and 2007 U.S. federal income tax returns. In July 2010, we filed a protest letter with the U.S. tax authorities responding to this assessment. The significant issues raised in the assessment relate to transfer pricing for certain charters of drilling rigs between our subsidiaries and the creation of intangible assets resulting from the performance of engineering services between our subsidiaries. These two items would result in net adjustments of approximately \$278 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Furthermore, if the authorities were to continue to pursue these positions with respect to subsequent years and were successful in such assertions, our effective tax rate on worldwide earnings with respect to years following 2007 could increase substantially, and our earnings and cash flows from operations could be materially and adversely affected. We believe our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against all such claims.

In addition, the May 2010 assessment included adjustments related to a series of restructuring transactions that occurred between 2001 and 2004. These restructuring transactions impacted our basis in our former subsidiary, TODCO, which we disposed of in 2004 and 2005. The authorities are disputing the amount of capital losses that resulted from the disposition of TODCO. We utilized a portion of the capital losses to offset capital gains on our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2009. The majority of the capital losses were unutilized and expired on December 31, 2009. The adjustments would also impact the amount of certain net operating losses and other carryovers in 2006 and later years. The authorities are also contesting the characterization of certain amounts of income received in 2006 and 2007 as capital gain and thus the availability of the capital loss to offset such gains. These claims with respect to our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2009 could result in net tax adjustments of approximately \$295 million, excluding interest and penalties. An unfavorable outcome on these potential adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We believe that our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against any potential claims.

The May 2010 assessment also included certain claims with respect to withholding taxes and certain other items resulting in net tax adjustments of approximately \$160 million, excluding interest. In addition, the tax authorities assessed penalties associated with the various tax adjustments for the 2006 and 2007 audits in the aggregate amount of approximately \$88 million, excluding interest. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We believe that our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against potential claims.

In February 2012, we received an assessment from the U.S. tax authorities related to our 2008 and 2009 U.S. federal income tax returns. The significant issues raised in the assessment relate to transfer pricing for certain charters of drilling rigs between our subsidiaries and the creation of intangible assets resulting from the performance of engineering services between our subsidiaries. These items would result in net adjustments of approximately \$473 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Furthermore, if the authorities were to continue to pursue these positions with respect to subsequent years and were successful in such assertions, our effective tax rate on worldwide earnings with respect to years following 2009 could increase substantially, and could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations and cash flows. We believe our U.S. federal income tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against all such claims.

Norway tax investigations—Norwegian civil tax and criminal authorities are investigating various transactions undertaken by our subsidiaries in 2001 and 2002 as well as the actions of certain employees of our former external tax advisors on these transactions. The authorities issued tax assessments of approximately \$115 million, plus interest, related to the migration of a subsidiary that was previously subject to tax in Norway, approximately \$70 million, plus interest, related to a 2001 dividend payment, and approximately \$7 million, plus interest, related to certain foreign exchange deductions and dividend withholding tax. We have filed or expect to file appeals to these tax assessments. We have provided a parent company guarantee in the amount of approximately \$117 million with respect to one of these tax disputes. Furthermore, we may be required to provide some form of additional financial security, in an amount up to \$206 million, including interest and penalties, for other assessed amounts as these disputes are appealed and addressed by the Norwegian courts. The authorities have indicated that they plan to seek penalties of 60 percent on most but not all matters. In June 2011, the Norwegian authorities issued criminal indictments against two of our subsidiaries alleging misleading or incomplete disclosures in Norwegian tax returns for the years 1999 through 2002, as well as inaccuracies in Norwegian statutory financial statements for the years ended December 31, 1996 through 2001. The criminal trial has been scheduled for December 2012. Two employees of our former external tax advisors were also issued indictments with respect to the disclosures in our tax returns. In October 2011, the Norwegian authorities issued criminal indictments against a Norwegian tax attorney related to certain of our restructuring transactions and to the 2001 dividend payment. The indicted Norwegian tax attorney worked for us in an advisory capacity on these transactions. We believe the charges brought against us are without merit and do not alter our technical assessment of the underlying claims. In January 2012, the Norwegian authorities supplemented the previously issued criminal indictments by issuing a financial claim of approximately \$309 million, jointly and severally, against our two subsidiaries, the two external advisors and the external tax attorney. This compensation claim directly overlaps with an existing civil tax assessment and does not represent an incremental financial exposure to us. In February 2012, the authorities dropped the previously existing tax assessment related to a certain restructuring transaction. In April 2012, the Norwegian tax authorities supplemented the previously issued criminal indictments against our two subsidiaries by extending a criminal indictment against a

third subsidiary on the same matter, alleging misleading or incomplete disclosures in Norwegian tax returns for the years 2001 and 2002. We believe our Norwegian tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously contest any assertions to the contrary by the Norwegian civil and criminal authorities in connection with the various transactions being investigated. An unfavorable outcome on the Norwegian civil or criminal tax matters could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Brazil tax investigations—Certain of our Brazilian income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2004 are currently under examination. The Brazilian tax authorities have issued tax assessments totaling \$98 million, plus a 75 percent penalty in the amount of \$74 million and interest through December 31, 2011 in the amount of \$143 million. We believe our returns are materially correct as filed, and we are vigorously contesting these assessments. On January 25, 2008, we filed a protest letter with the Brazilian tax authorities, and we are currently engaged in the appeals process. An unfavorable outcome on these proposed assessments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 8—Income Taxes.

Regulatory matters

For a discussion of regulatory matters, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Other matters

In addition, from time to time, we receive inquiries from governmental regulatory agencies regarding our operations around the world, including inquiries with respect to various tax, environmental, regulatory and compliance matters. To the extent appropriate under the circumstances, we investigate such matters, respond to such inquiries and cooperate with the regulatory agencies. We have received and responded to an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") concerning our previous operations in Myanmar and a follow-up administrative subpoena from OFAC with questions relating to the previous Myanmar operations subpoena response and the self-reported shipment through Iran matter. We are cooperating with OFAC and believe that all of our operations fully comply with applicable laws. Although we are unable to predict the outcome of any of these matters, we do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these inquiries to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements. This discussion should be read in conjunction with disclosures included in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements related to estimates, contingencies, and other accounting policies. Significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011.

To prepare financial statements, we are required to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to our allowance for doubtful accounts, materials and supplies obsolescence, investments, property and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes, defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement employee benefits, contingent liabilities, and share-based compensation. These estimates require significant judgments, assumptions and estimates. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

For a discussion of the critical accounting policies and estimates that we use in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. We have discussed the development, selection and disclosure of these critical accounting policies and estimates with the audit committee of our board of directors. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there have been no material changes to the types of judgments, assumptions and estimates, upon which our critical accounting estimates are based.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of the new accounting pronouncements, see Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements— Note 3—New Accounting Pronouncements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Overview

We are exposed to interest rate risk and currency exchange rate risk, primarily associated with our restricted cash investments, our long-term and short-term debt, and our derivative instruments. For our restricted cash investments and debt instruments, the following table presents the principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates by contractual maturity date. For our derivative instruments, including interest rate swaps and cross-currency swaps, the following table presents the notional amounts and weighted-average interest rates by contractual maturity dates. The information is stated in U.S. dollar equivalents. The instruments are denominated in either U.S. dollars or Norwegian kroner, as indicated. The following table presents information for the twelve-month periods ending June 30 (in millions, except interest rate percentages):

					Sched	uled	Maturity Da	te (a)				F	air Value
		2013		2014	 2015		2016		2017	Th	ereafter	Total		(b)
Restricted cash investment	ts													
Fixed rate (NOK)	\$	142	\$	142	\$ 142	\$	143	\$	143	\$	107	\$ 819	\$	862
Average interest rate		4.15 %		4.15 %	4.15 %		4.15 %		4.15 %		4.15 %			
Debt														
Fixed rate (USD)	\$	2,490	\$	21	\$ 23	\$	1,124	\$	1,026	\$	6,259	\$ 10,943	\$	(12,155)
Average interest rate		2.65 %		7.76 %	7.76 %		5.01 %		5.12 %		6.78 %			
Fixed rate (NOK)	\$	142	\$	142	\$ 143	\$	236	\$	143	\$	107	\$ 913	\$	(962)
Average interest rate		4.15 %		4.15 %	4.15 %		6.87 %		4.15 %		4.15 %			
Variable rate (USD)	\$	160	\$	152	\$ 298	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 610	\$	(610)
Average interest rate		2.50 %		2.48 %	2.17 %		— %		— %		— %			
Variable rate (NOK)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$	158	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 158	\$	(167)
Average interest rate		— %		— %	— %		9.34 %		— %		— %			
Debt of consolidated varial	ole ii	nterest ent ⁱ	ities											
Variable rate (USD)	\$	28	\$	29	\$ 31	\$	62	\$	36	\$	19	\$ 205	\$	(205)
Average interest rate		1.47 %		1.47 %	1.47 %		2.44 %		1.47 %		1.47 %			
Interest rate swaps														
Fixed to variable (USD)	\$	750	\$	_	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 750	\$	7
Average pay rate		3.64 %		— %	— %		— %		— %		— %			
Average receive rate		5.17 %		— %	— %		— %		— %		— %			
Variable to fixed (USD)	\$	57	\$	70	\$ 293	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 420	\$	(15)
Average pay rate		2.37 %		2.34 %	2.34 %		— %		— %		— %			
Average receive rate		0.46 %		0.46 %	0.46 %		— %		— %		— %			
Cross-currency swaps														
Receive NOK / pay USD (c)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$	102	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 102	\$	(7)
Average pay rate		— %		— %	— %		8.93 %		— %		— %			
Average receive rate		— %		— %	— %		11.00 %		— %		— %			

(a) Expected maturity amounts are based on the face value of debt.

In preparing the scheduled maturities of our debt, we assumed the noteholders will exercise their option to require us to repurchase the 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes in December 2012.

We have engaged in certain hedging activities designed to reduce our exposure to interest rate risk and currency exchange rate risk. We also hold certain derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13—Derivatives and Hedging.

(b) Amounts represent the fair value of the asset (liability) as of June 30, 2012.

(c) The cross-currency swaps have fixed rates on both the pay and the receive sides of the derivative instruments.

Interest Rate Risk

At June 30, 2012, the face value of our consolidated variable-rate debt, including the effect of our hedging activities, was approximately \$1.3 billion, representing 10 percent of the face value of our total debt. Excluding the effect of our hedging activities, our variable-rate debt at June 30, 2012 consisted of the FRN Callable Bonds and borrowings under the TPDI Credit Facilities, the ADDCL Credit Facilities and the Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility. At December 31, 2011, the face value of our consolidated variable-rate debt, including the effect of our hedging activities, was approximately \$2.5 billion, representing 19 percent of the face value of our total debt. Excluding the effect of our hedging activities, our variable-rate debt at December 31, 2011 consisted of the FRN Callable Bonds and borrowings under the TPDI Credit Facilities, the ADDCL Credit Facilities and the Aker Revolving Credit and Term Loan Facility. Based upon variable-rate debt balances outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a hypothetical one percentage point change in annual interest rates would result in a corresponding change in annual interest expense of approximately \$13 million and \$25 million, respectively.

A large portion of our cash investments is subject to variable interest rates and would earn commensurately higher rates of return if interest rates increase. Based upon the amounts of our cash investments as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a hypothetical one percentage point change in interest rates would result in a corresponding change in annual interest income of approximately \$40 million.

Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We are exposed to currency exchange rate risk associated with our international operations and with some of our long-term and short-term debt. We may engage in hedging activities to mitigate our exposure to currency exchange risk in certain instances through the use of foreign exchange derivative instruments, including forward exchange contracts, or spot purchases. A forward exchange contract obligates us to exchange predetermined amounts of specified currencies at a stated exchange rate on a stated date or to make a U.S. dollar payment equal to the value of such exchange.

For our international operations, our primary currency exchange rate risk management strategy involves structuring customer contracts to provide for payment in both U.S. dollars, which is our functional currency, and local currency. The payment portion denominated in local currency is based on our anticipated local currency needs over the contract term. Due to various factors, including customer acceptance, local banking laws, other statutory requirements, local currency convertibility and the impact of inflation on local costs, actual local currency needs may vary from those anticipated in the customer contracts, resulting in partial exposure to currency exchange rate risk. The effect of fluctuations in currency exchange rates caused by our international operations generally have not had a material impact on our overall operating results. In situations where local currency receipts do not equal local currency requirements, we may use foreign exchange derivative instruments, including forward exchange contracts, or spot purchases, to mitigate currency exchange rate risk.

At June 30, 2012, we had NOK 6.4 billion aggregate principal amount of debt obligations, all of which were assumed in connection with our acquisition of Aker Drilling. Certain of these kroner-denominated debt instruments are secured by a corresponding amount of restricted cash investments that are also denominated in Norwegian kroner. Additionally, we assumed certain cross-currency swaps, which have been designated as a cash flow hedge of certain bonds denominated in Norwegian kroner. After consideration of these currency exchange rate risk management strategies, we have approximately NOK 940 million aggregate principal amount of debt obligations that are not hedged. Based on kroner-denominated debt instruments outstanding as of June 30, 2012, a hypothetical one percentage point change in the currency exchange rates would result in a corresponding change in annual interest expense of less than \$1 million.

For a discussion of our foreign exchange risk, see "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. With the exception of the foregoing, there have been no material changes to these previously reported matters during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures—We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15, were effective, as of June 30, 2012, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is (1) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and (2) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Internal controls over financial reporting—In preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2012, we identified an immaterial error in our previously issued consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Correcting prior year financial statements for immaterial errors does not require our previously filed reports to be amended, but rather these corrections will be made the next time we file the prior period consolidated financial statements.

In connection with the error, we evaluated the deficiency in our internal controls over financial reporting and determined that it did not represent a material weakness as of December 31, 2011.

In the quarter ended June 30, 2012, we initiated improvements to our internal controls associated with evaluating insurance recoveries. These modifications to our internal controls have not materially affected, nor are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting. Other than the modifications to our internal controls associated with evaluating insurance recoveries, there were no significant changes to our internal controls during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We have certain actions, claims and other matters pending as discussed and reported in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15—Contingencies and "Part I. Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contingencies—Macondo well incident" in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15—Commitments and Contingencies and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contingencies—Macondo well incident" and "Item 3. Legal Proceedings" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. We are also involved in various tax matters as described in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 8—Income Taxes, in "Part I. Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contingencies—Tax matters" in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contingencies—Tax matters" in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contingencies—Tax matters" in our annual report Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, we were also involved in a number of lawsuits which have arisen in the ordinary course of our business and for which we do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these lawsuits to have a material adverse effect on our current consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any of the matters referred to above or of any such other pending or threatened litigation or legal proceedings. There can be no assurance that our beliefs or expectations as to the outcome or effect of any lawsuit or other matters will prove correct and the eventual outcome of these matters could materially differ from

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in "Item 1A Risk Factors" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

On May 31, 2012, we issued 8,695,351 shares to Quantum Pacific Management Limited in a non-cash exchange for its 50 percent interest in Transocean Pacific Drilling Inc. The shares were issued in a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

 Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2) (in millions)				
April 2012	5,107	\$ 50.39	_	\$	3,940			
May 2012	_	\$ _	_	\$	3,940			
June 2012	8,056	\$ 41.55		\$	3,940			
Total	13,163	\$ 44.98		\$	3,940			

(1) Total number of shares purchased in the second quarter of 2012 consists of 13,163 shares withheld by us through a broker arrangement and limited to statutory tax in satisfaction of withholding taxes due upon the vesting of restricted shares granted to our employees under our Long-Term Incentive Plan.

(2) In May 2009, at the annual general meeting of Transocean Ltd., our shareholders approved and authorized our board of directors, at its discretion, to repurchase an amount of our shares for cancellation with an aggregate purchase price of up to CHF 3.5 billion, which is equivalent to approximately \$3.7 billion at an exchange rate as of June 30, 2012 of USD 1.00 to CHF 0.95. On February 12, 2010, our board of directors authorized our management to implement the share repurchase program. We may decide, based upon our ongoing capital requirements, the price of our shares, matters relating to the Macondo well incident, regulatory and tax considerations, cash flow generation, the relationship between our contract backlog and our debt, general market conditions and other factors, that we should retain cash, reduce debt, make capital investments or acquisitions or otherwise use cash for general corporate purposes, and consequently, repurchase fewer or no shares under this program. Decisions regarding the amount, if any, and timing of any share repurchases would be made from time to time based upon these factors. Through June 30, 2012, we have repurchased a total of 2,863,267 of our shares under this share repurchase program at a total cost of \$240 million (\$83.74 per share). See "Part I. Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Sources and Uses of Liquidity—Overview."

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed in connection with this Report:

Number Description

- 3.1 Articles of Association of Transocean Ltd., as of May 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference from Transocean Ltd.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (Commission File No. 000-53533) filed on June 5, 2012)
- 10.1 First Amendment to Agreement with Gregory L. Cauthen (incorporated by reference from Transocean Ltd.'s Current Report on Form 8-K (Commission File No. 000-53533) filed on July 2, 2012)
- t 31.1 CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- t 31.2 CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- t 32.1 CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- t 32.2 CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- † 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- † 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- † 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- † 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- † 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- † 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

† Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on August 3, 2012.

TRANSOCEAN LTD.

- By: <u>/s/ Gregory L. Cauthen</u> Gregory L. Cauthen Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
- By: <u>/s/ David A. Tonnel</u> David A. Tonnel Senior Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

CEO CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Steven L. Newman, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Transocean Ltd.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 3, 2012

<u>/s/ Steven L. Newman</u> Name: Steven L. Newman President and Chief Executive Officer

CFO CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Gregory L. Cauthen, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Transocean Ltd.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 3, 2012

<u>/s/ Gregory L. Cauthen</u> Name: Gregory L. Cauthen Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (SUBSECTIONS (a) AND (b) OF SECTION 1350, CHAPTER 63 OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE)

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code), I, Steven L. Newman, Chief Executive Officer of Transocean Ltd., a Swiss corporation (the "Company"), hereby certify, to my knowledge, that:

- (1) the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 3, 2012

/s/ Steven L. Newman

Name: Steven L. Newman President and Chief Executive Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Transocean Ltd. and will be retained by Transocean Ltd. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (SUBSECTIONS (a) AND (b) OF SECTION 1350, CHAPTER 63 OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE)

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code), I, Gregory L. Cauthen, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Transocean Ltd., a Swiss corporation (the "Company"), hereby certify, to my knowledge, that:

- (1) the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 3, 2012

/s/ Gregory L. Cauthen

Name: Gregory L. Cauthen Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Transocean Ltd. and will be retained by Transocean Ltd. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.