



UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

February 10, 2016

**Via E-mail**

Joshua J. Widoff, Esq.  
Executive Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel  
Dividend Capital Diversified Property Fund Inc.  
518 Seventeenth Street, 17th Floor  
Denver, Colorado 80202

**RE: Dividend Capital Diversified Property Fund Inc.  
Schedule TO-I filed February 5, 2016  
Filed by Dividend Capital Diversified Property Fund Inc.  
File No. 5-85609**

Dear Mr. Widoff:

We have reviewed the above-captioned filing and have the following comments. Where indicated, the Schedule TO should be amended in response to these comments. If you disagree, we will consider the explanation as to why a comment is inapplicable or a revision is not necessary. In some of our comments, we may ask for information so we may better understand your disclosure. After reviewing this information, we may raise additional comments.

Please understand that the purpose of our review process is to assist you in your compliance with the applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall disclosure in your filing. We look forward to working with you in these respects. We welcome any questions you may have about our comments or any other aspect of our review. Feel free to call us at the telephone number listed at the end of this letter.

**1. Price; Number of Shares; Expiration Date; Proration**

1. Please revise to expressly state the number of securities sought in the tender offer. At present, the amount of securities sought has only been expressed as a dollar amount on the cover page of the Offer to Purchase as well as this section. The disclosure at page 16 that reads, “[a]t the Purchase Price of \$7.39 per Share, we could purchase approximately 4.1 million Shares if the Offer is fully subscribed,...” is inexact and does not satisfy the issuer’s codified obligation to identify the amount of securities sought in a metric denominated as the total number of securities sought in the offer. See Item 4 of Schedule TO and corresponding Item 1004(a)(1)(i) of Regulation M-A. Refer also to Rule 14e-1(b) of Regulation 14E.

**Priority of Purchases, page 12**

2. Unless the issuer has knowledge that the tender offer is fully subscribed, it is unclear what is meant by the representation that “...we will purchase all other Shares properly tendered and not properly withdrawn on a pro rata basis...” Please revise to remove the implication that

no circumstances exist in which the full amount of securities tendered will not be accepted, or advise.

#### 6. Conditions of the Offer

3. Please revise to indicate that security holders may challenge the issuer's determinations in a court of competent jurisdiction. At present, the disclosure does not account for this possibility and instead indicates that any of the issuer's determinations will be "final and binding."
4. At present, the closing paragraph states that the conditions may be asserted by the issuer "regardless of the circumstances giving rise to any such condition." The inclusion of offer conditions that enable the issuer to terminate the tender offer or its obligation to purchase based on events within its control could render the offer illusory and in contravention of Section 14(e). Please revise to clarify that actions or inactions by the issuer that contribute to the triggering of or failure to satisfy a condition will not serve as adequate grounds upon which the issuer may rely to cancel its obligation to proceed with the tender offer and purchase tendered shares promptly after the expiration date.
5. The representation that the issuer's failure "at any time" to exercise any of the rights conferred by the conditions "will not be deemed a waiver of any right" suggests that the issuer may become aware that an offer condition has been triggered or otherwise has become incapable of being satisfied yet the tender offer may proceed without making a disclosure. As stated elsewhere in the Offer to Purchase, the issuer must amend the offer to disclose material changes. Please be advised that to the extent the issuer becomes aware of any offer condition becoming operative in a way that would enable it to terminate the offer or otherwise cancel its obligation to pay for shares tendered, and the issuer elects to proceed with the offer anyway, we view that decision as being tantamount to a waiver of the condition. To the extent a material condition is waived, a material change has occurred to the offer document within the meaning of Rule 13e-4(c)(3). Please revise the closing paragraph to remove this inconsistency with respect to the issuer's stated understanding of its planned treatment of material changes.
6. The conditions have been characterized as "an ongoing right that may be asserted at any time..." Please revise to conform with the earlier statement that confirms the issuer is aware the tender offer conditions may only be asserted up until the time of tender offer expiration and, by implication, not on a post-expiration basis before payment has been made.

#### Closing Comments

Please amend the filing to comply with our comments. If you do not agree with a comment, please tell us why in your response. If the information you provide in response to our comments materially changes the information already provided to security holders, disseminate the revised materials in a manner reasonably calculated to inform them of the new information.

Dividend Capital Diversified Property Fund Inc.  
c/o Joshua J. Widoff, Esq.  
February 10, 2016  
Page 3

We urge all persons who are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the disclosure in the filings reviewed by the staff to be certain that they have provided all information investors require for an informed decision. Since the issuer and its management are in possession of all facts relating to its disclosure, they are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the disclosures it has made.

In connection with responding to our comments, please provide, in writing, a statement from an authorized representative of the issuer acknowledging that:

- the issuer is responsible for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure in the filing;
- staff comments or changes to disclosure in response to staff comments in the filing reviewed by the staff do not foreclose the Commission from taking any action with respect to the filing; and
- the issuer may not assert staff comments as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Commission or any person under the federal securities laws of the United States.

Please direct any questions to me at (202) 551-3266.

Sincerely,

/s/ Nicholas P. Panos

Nicholas P. Panos  
Senior Special Counsel  
Office of Mergers & Acquisitions

cc: Robert H. Bergdolt, Esq.  
Christopher R. Stambaugh