

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-32508



**LUCAS ENERGY, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Nevada**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**20-2660243**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**3555 Timmons Lane, Suite 1550, Houston, Texas 77027**

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

**(713) 528-1881**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", "non-accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	29,981,181 (as of February 7, 2014)

# LUCAS ENERGY, INC.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
ITEM 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2013	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	5
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
ITEM 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	26
ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures	26
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings	27
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors	27
ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales Of Equity Securities And Use Of Proceeds	27
ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	27
ITEM 5. Other Information	27
ITEM 6. Exhibits	29
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	29
<b>EXHIBIT INDEX</b>	30

**PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**LUCAS ENERGY, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>December 31</b>	<b>March 31,</b>
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2013</b>
	<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Cash	\$ 1,450,756	\$ 450,691
Cash - Restricted	150,000	-
Accounts Receivable	701,651	832,801
Inventories	112,677	64,630
Other Current Assets	433,795	337,860
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>2,848,879</b>	<b>1,685,982</b>
<b>Property and Equipment</b>		
Oil and Gas Properties (Full Cost Method)	49,192,699	44,709,800
Other Property and Equipment	360,779	552,154
<b>Total Property and Equipment</b>	<b>49,553,478</b>	<b>45,261,954</b>
Accumulated Depletion, Depreciation and Amortization	(10,786,811)	(9,204,649)
<b>Total Property and Equipment, Net</b>	<b>38,766,667</b>	<b>36,057,305</b>
<b>Other Assets</b>	389,636	-
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 42,005,182</b>	<b>\$ 37,743,287</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Accounts Payable	\$ 2,609,729	\$ 3,696,848
Common Stock Payable	18,280	17,502
Accrued Expenses	332,391	501,809
Advances From Working Interest Owners	-	1,384,085
Asset Retirement Obligation, current	-	73,621
Notes Payable	2,000,194	875,000
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>4,960,594</b>	<b>6,548,865</b>
<b>Asset Retirement Obligation</b>	939,297	851,873
<b>Long-term Notes Payable</b>	5,398,503	-
<b>Commitments and Contingencies (see Note 10)</b>		
<b>Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Preferred Stock Series A, 2,000 Shares Authorized of \$0.001 Par, 2,000 Shares Issued and Outstanding	3,095,600	3,095,600
Common Stock, 100,000,000 Shares Authorized of \$0.001 Par, 30,002,874 Shares Issued and 29,965,974 Outstanding Shares at December 31, 2013 and 26,751,407 Issued and 26,714,507 Outstanding Shares at March 31, 2013, respectively	30,002	26,751
Additional Paid in Capital	52,965,728	48,970,509
Accumulated Deficit	(25,335,383)	(21,701,152)
Common Stock Held in Treasury, 36,900 Shares, at Cost	(49,159)	(49,159)
<b>Total Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>30,706,788</b>	<b>30,342,549</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 42,005,182</b>	<b>\$ 37,743,287</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**LUCAS ENERGY, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
*(Unaudited)*

	<b>Three Months Ended December 31,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Operating Revenues</b>				
Crude Oil	\$ 1,360,131	\$ 1,898,863	\$ 4,070,060	\$ 6,314,279
Natural Gas	-	29,032	-	64,230
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>\$ 1,360,131</b>	<b>\$ 1,927,895</b>	<b>\$ 4,070,060</b>	<b>\$ 6,378,509</b>
<b>Operating Expenses</b>				
Lease Operating Expenses	553,678	1,002,920	1,741,664	3,085,826
Severance and Property Taxes	69,545	101,688	220,225	329,068
Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization, and Accretion	601,950	884,010	1,700,962	2,882,338
General and Administrative	951,332	2,338,493	3,206,589	5,233,542
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<b>2,176,505</b>	<b>4,327,111</b>	<b>6,869,440</b>	<b>11,530,774</b>
<b>Operating Loss</b>	<b>\$ (816,374)</b>	<b>\$ (2,399,216)</b>	<b>\$ (2,799,380)</b>	<b>\$ (5,152,265)</b>
<b>Other Expense (Income)</b>				
Interest Expense	304,592	343,969	855,119	1,035,498
Other Expense (Income), Net	9,968	4,081	(20,268)	(11,806)
<b>Total Other Expenses</b>	<b>314,560</b>	<b>348,050</b>	<b>834,851</b>	<b>1,023,692</b>
<b>Net Loss</b>	<b>\$ (1,130,934)</b>	<b>\$ (2,747,266)</b>	<b>\$ (3,634,231)</b>	<b>\$ (6,175,957)</b>
<b>Net Loss Per Share</b>				
Basic and Diluted	<b>\$ (0.04)</b>	<b>\$ (0.10)</b>	<b>\$ (0.13)</b>	<b>\$ (0.25)</b>
<b>Weighted Average Shares Outstanding</b>				
Basic and Diluted	<b>29,961,338</b>	<b>26,735,814</b>	<b>28,133,842</b>	<b>24,557,335</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**LUCAS ENERGY, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
*Unaudited*

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>		
Net Loss	\$ (3,634,231)	\$ (6,175,957)
Adjustments to reconcile net losses to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization and Accretion	1,700,962	2,882,338
Share-Based Compensation	365,608	625,283
Share-Based Compensation Related to Purchase of Stock Options	-	83,657
Amortization of Discount on Notes	191,161	-
Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs	160,687	-
Settlement of Debt	(104,993)	-
Gain on Sale of Property and Equipment	(1,000)	(2,065)
Changes in Components of Working Capital and Other Assets		
Accounts Receivable	131,150	392,094
Inventories	(48,047)	(2,898)
Other Current Assets	(95,935)	(138,763)
Accounts Payable, Accrued Expenses and Interest Payable	(606,773)	(2,631,881)
Advances from Working Interest Owners	(1,384,085)	302,592
<b>Net Cash Used in Operating Activities</b>	<b>(3,325,496)</b>	<b>(4,665,600)</b>
<b>Investing Cash Flows</b>		
Additions of Oil and Gas Properties	(5,106,804)	(3,379,572)
Additions of Other Property and Equipment	(146,370)	(60,809)
Proceeds from Sale of Other Property and Equipment	326,000	4,069,948
Payments Received on Notes Receivable	-	14,600
<b>Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Investing Activities</b>	<b>(4,927,174)</b>	<b>644,167</b>
<b>Financing Cash Flows</b>		
Net Proceeds from Exercises of Warrants	-	412,501
Net Proceeds from the Sale of Common Stock	3,328,057	6,826,740
Proceeds from Issuance of Notes Payable	10,750,000	-
Change in Restricted Cash to be used in Financing Activities	(150,000)	-
Deferred Financing Costs	(550,322)	-
Repayment of Borrowings	(4,125,000)	(248,684)
<b>Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities</b>	<b>9,252,735</b>	<b>6,990,557</b>
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	1,000,065	2,969,124
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of the Period	450,691	683,979
<b>Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of the Period</b>	<b>\$ 1,450,756</b>	<b>\$ 3,653,103</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**LUCAS ENERGY, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Unaudited)

***NOTE 1 - GENERAL***

***History of the Company.*** Incorporated in Nevada in December 2003 under the name Panorama Investments Corp., the Company changed its name to Lucas Energy, Inc. effective June 9, 2006.

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Lucas Energy, Inc., together with its subsidiary (collectively, "Lucas" or the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto contained in Lucas's annual report filed with the SEC on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods presented have been reflected herein. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements which would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the audited financial statements for the most recent fiscal year 2013 as reported in the Form 10-K have been omitted.

The Company's fiscal year ends on the last day of March of the calendar year. The Company refers to the twelve-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 as its 2014 and 2013 fiscal years, respectively.

Certain reclassifications of prior year balances have been made to conform such amounts to current year classifications. These reclassifications have no impact on net income.

***NOTE 2 - LIQUIDITY***

At December 31, 2013, the Company's Total Current Liabilities of \$4.9 million exceeded its Total Current Assets of \$2.8 million, resulting in a working capital deficit of approximately \$2.1 million. At March 31, 2013, the Company's total current liabilities of \$6.5 million exceeded its total current assets of \$1.7 million, resulting in a working capital deficit of \$4.8 million. The \$2.7 million reduction in the working capital deficit is primarily related to the Company effectively accessing the capital markets in connection with the sale of both equity and debt during the nine months ended December 31, 2013. On August 13, 2013, the Company secured a long-term Loan for \$7.5 million. A portion of the funds raised in connection with the Loan were used to repay the \$3.25 million in outstanding current Notes issued in April and May 2013 (as defined and described in Note 6). On September 6, 2013, the Company closed a registered direct offering of \$3,451,500 (approximately \$3.2 million net, after deducting commissions and other expenses) in shares of common stock to certain institutional investors. The Company used the funds raised in the offerings to pay down expenses related to drilling, lease operating, workover activities and for general corporate purposes, including general and administrative expenses and legal settlements.

The Company believes its undeveloped acreage and continued ability to access the capital markets in both equity and debt provides a sufficient means to conduct its current operations, meet its contractual obligations and undertake a forward outlook on future development of its current fields.

***NOTE 3 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES***

The Company has provided a discussion of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments in its 2013 Annual Report. There have been no changes to the Company's significant accounting policies since March 31, 2013.

#### **NOTE 4 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

##### ***Oil and Gas Properties***

Lucas uses the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas producing activities. Costs to acquire mineral interests in oil and gas properties, to drill and equip exploratory wells used to find proved reserves, and to drill and equip development wells including directly related overhead costs and related asset retirement costs are capitalized. Properties not subject to amortization consist of acquisition, exploration and development costs, which are evaluated on a property-by-property basis. Amortization of these unproved property costs begins when the properties become proved or their values become impaired and the corresponding costs are added to the capitalized costs subject to amortization. Costs of oil and gas properties are amortized using the units of production method. Amortization expense calculated per equivalent physical unit of production amounted to \$38.11 per barrel of oil equivalent (“BOE”) for the three months ended December 31, 2013, and was \$40.41 per BOE for the three months ended December 31, 2012. Amortization expense calculated per equivalent physical unit of production amounted to \$37.14 per BOE for the nine months ended December 31, 2013, and was \$40.73 per BOE for the nine months ended December 31, 2012.

In applying the full cost method, Lucas performs an impairment test (ceiling test) at each reporting date, whereby the carrying value of property and equipment is compared to the “estimated present value,” of its proved reserves discounted at a 10-percent interest rate of future net revenues, based on current economic and operating conditions at the end of the period, plus the cost of properties not being amortized, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties included in costs being amortized, less the income tax effects related to book and tax basis differences of the properties. If capitalized costs exceed this limit, the excess is charged as an impairment expense. As of December 31, 2013, no impairment of oil and gas properties was indicated.

All of Lucas's oil and gas properties are located in the United States. Below are the components of Lucas's oil and gas properties recorded at:

	<b>December 31, 2013</b>	<b>March 31, 2013</b>
Proved leasehold costs	\$ 11,426,670	\$ 10,002,828
Costs of wells and development	37,020,832	33,961,775
Capitalized asset retirement costs	745,197	745,197
Total oil and gas properties	49,192,699	44,709,800
Accumulated depreciation and depletion	(10,614,194)	(9,077,997)
Net capitalized costs	<u>\$ 38,578,505</u>	<u>\$ 35,631,803</u>

##### ***Other Property and Equipment***

On March 21, 2013, Lucas entered into an agreement to sell its Gonzales County, Texas office building for \$325,000. A non-reimbursable down payment of \$32,500 was paid on June 26, 2013, resulting in the carrying amount of the building to be reduced by the down payment amount. On September 20, 2013, Lucas was paid an additional \$30,000 non-reimbursable payment and on November 14, 2013 the final payment of \$262,500 was made completing the sale. As of December 31, 2013, the building is no longer recognized in the Company's financial statements.

#### **NOTE 5 – ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

The following table presents the reconciliation of the beginning and ending aggregate carrying amounts of long-term legal obligations associated with the retirement of oil and gas property and equipment for the nine-month period ended December 31, 2013. Lucas does not have short-term asset retirement obligations as of December 31, 2013.

Carrying amount at beginning of period - March 31, 2013	\$	925,494
Liabilities settled		(52,149)
Accretion		65,952
Carrying amount at end of period – December 31, 2013	\$	<u>939,297</u>

#### **NOTE 6 – NOTE PAYABLE**

Effective April 4, 2013, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement with various lenders (the “April 2013 Loan Agreement”) pursuant to which such lenders loaned the Company an aggregate of \$2,750,000 to be used for general working capital. The lenders included entities beneficially owned by our chairman, Ken Daraie (which entity loaned us \$2,000,000) and director, W. Andrew Krusen, Jr. (which entities loaned us \$250,000), as well as an unrelated third party which loaned the Company \$500,000.

Effective May 31, 2013, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement with various lenders (the “May 2013 Loan Agreement”) and together with the April 2013 Loan Agreement, the “Loan Agreements”), pursuant to which such lenders loaned the Company an aggregate of \$500,000 to be used for general working capital and to pay amounts the Company owed to Nordic Oil USA I, LLLP (“Nordic”). The lenders were third parties, unaffiliated with the Company, and one lender who previously loaned the Company funds in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement provided the Company an additional \$300,000 loan in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement included substantially similar terms as the April 2013 Loan Agreement and was approved by the prior lenders in the April 2013 Loan Agreement, who also waived their right to be repaid from the proceeds from the loans.

The loans provided pursuant to the Loan Agreements were documented by Promissory Notes (the “Notes”) which accrued interest at the rate of 14% per annum, with such interest payable monthly in arrears (beginning June 1, 2013 in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement and July 1, 2013 in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement) and were due and payable on October 4, 2013 in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement and April 4, 2014 in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement. The Notes could be prepaid at any time without penalty. In the event any amounts were not paid when due under the Notes and/or in the event any event of default occurred and was continuing under the Notes, the Notes accrued interest at the rate of 17% per annum. The Note holders were each paid their pro rata portion of a commitment fee (\$55,000 in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement and \$15,000 in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement) and were each granted their pro rata portion of warrants to purchase 325,000 shares of the Company’s common stock which were evidenced by Common Stock Purchase Warrants (the “Warrants”). The Warrants have an exercise price of \$1.50 per share and a term of five years from the grant date.

Effective on August 13, 2013, Lucas entered into a Letter Loan Agreement with Louise H. Rogers (the “Letter Loan”). In connection with the Letter Loan and a Promissory Note entered into in connection therewith, Ms. Rogers loaned the Company \$7.5 million (the “Loan”). The Loan accrues interest at the rate of 12% per annum (18% upon the occurrence of an event of default), can be prepaid by Lucas at any time without penalty after November 13, 2013 and is due and payable on August 13, 2015, provided that \$75,000 in interest only payments are due on the Loan during the first six months of the term (which have been escrowed by Lucas) and beginning on March 13, 2014, Lucas is required to make monthly amortization principal payments equivalent to the sum of fifty-percent of the Loan during months seven through twenty-four of the term. An escrow deposit of \$450,000 for the first six months interest was recorded as restricted cash within the balance sheet, with \$150,000 being outstanding on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. Lucas is also required to make mandatory prepayments of the loan in the event the collateral securing the Loan does not meet certain thresholds and coverage ratios. The repayment of the Loan is secured by a security interest in substantially all of Lucas’s assets which was evidenced by a Security



Agreement and a Mortgage, Deed of Trust, Assignment, Security Agreement, Financing Statement and Fixture Filing. Lucas agreed to pay a \$15,000 quarterly administrative fee in connection with the Loan and grant the administrator a warrant to purchase up to 279,851 shares of Lucas's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.35 per share and a term continuing until the earlier of (a) August 13, 2018; and (b) three years after the payment in full of the Loan. A portion of the funds raised in connection with the Loan were used to repay the \$3.25 million in outstanding Notes issued in April and May 2013 as described above. The Company also capitalized approximately \$480,000 in deferred financing costs in relation to expenses incurred in the execution of the Letter Loan.

The Company accounts for the warrant valuations in accordance with ASC 470-20, Debt with Conversion and Other Options. Therefore, the Company records the fair value of warrants issued in connection with those instruments and amortizes the discount through non-cash interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the debt. The fair value of the 275,000 April 2013 Warrants was recorded as a \$137,118 debt discount and the fair value of the 50,000 May 2013 Warrants was recorded as a \$27,383 debt discount. As the Notes have been fully repaid, the Company has fully amortized the total debt discount of \$164,501 for the Notes. The fair value of the 279,851 Letter Loan warrants was recorded as a \$127,963 debt discount, of which, \$26,660 has been amortized as of December 31, 2013.

Additionally, Lucas had paid \$147,194 in cash interest on the April 2013 Notes and \$14,972 in cash interest on the May 2013 Notes. These amounts were for total interest paid up to the Loan repayment date of August 16, 2013. Lucas also has fully recognized \$70,000 in amortization expenses in relation to deferred financing costs for the Notes. The Company also paid \$300,000 in cash interest and amortized approximately \$90,000 in deferred financing cost for the Letter Loan reducing the deferred financing cost asset base to approximately \$390,000.

## ***NOTE 7 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY***

### ***Preferred Stock***

As of December 31, 2013, Lucas had 2,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding. The shares of preferred stock were issued for property acquisitions and were recorded at the fair value of the shares on the date of issuance. Each share of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock and has no liquidation preference and no maturity date. Additionally, the conversion rate of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock adjusts automatically in connection with and in proportion to any dividends payable by the Company in common stock.

## Common Stock

The following summarizes Lucas's common stock activity during the nine-month period ended December 31, 2013:

	Common Shares			
	Amount	Issued		Outstanding
Per Share		Shares	Treasury	
Balance at March 31, 2013		26,751,407	(36,900)	26,714,507
Private Offering - July (1)	\$ 250,000	\$ 1.35 185,185	-	185,185
Registered Direct Offering - September (2)	3,209,168	1.09 2,950,000	-	2,950,000
Share-Based Compensation	148,370	1.28 116,282	-	116,282
Balance at December 31, 2013		<u>30,002,874</u>	<u>(36,900)</u>	<u>29,965,974</u>

- (1) In July 2013, Meson Capital Partners LP, an affiliate of Ryan J. Morris, a director of the Company, purchased 185,185 restricted shares of common stock directly from the Company in a private transaction for consideration of \$250,000 or \$1.35 per share (\$0.01 above the closing sales price of the Company's common stock on July 17, 2013). The Company did not pay any commission in connection with the offering. The Company used the funds raised in the offering to pay down expenses related to drilling, lease operating, workover activities and for general corporate purposes, including general and administrative expenses.
- (2) In September 2013, the Company closed a registered direct offering of \$3,451,500 (approximately \$3,200,000 net, after deducting commissions and other expenses) of shares of common stock to certain institutional investors. In total, the Company sold 2.95 million shares of common stock at a price of \$1.17 per share. The Company used the funds raised in the offering to pay down expenses related to drilling, lease operating, workover activities and for general corporate purposes, including general and administrative expenses.

See Note 9 – Share-Based Compensation for information on common stock activity related to Share-Based Compensation, including shares granted to the board of directors, officers and employees.

## Warrants

During the nine months ended December 31, 2013, warrants to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.00 per share expired unexercised. These warrants were granted in connection with the sale of units in the Company's unit offering in September 2012. As discussed in Note 6, the Company granted warrants to purchase 325,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.50 per share and a term of five years in conjunction with the issuance of the April 2013 and May 2013 Notes as well as warrants to purchase 279,851 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.35 per share and a term of five years in conjunction with the Letter Loan. The warrants are indexed to the Company's stock and per ASC Topics 480-10-25 and 815-40 treated as an equity instrument since the exercise price and shares are known and fixed at the date of issuance, and no other clause in the agreement requires the warrants to be treated as a liability.

The following is a summary of the Company's outstanding warrants at December 31, 2013:

Warrants Outstanding	Exercise Price (\$)	Expiration Date	Intrinsic Value at December 31, 2013
150,630 <sup>(1)</sup>	2.98	July 4, 2014	\$ -
2,510,506 <sup>(2)</sup>	2.86	July 4, 2016	-
1,032,500 <sup>(3)</sup>	2.30	October 18, 2017	-
275,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	1.50	April 4, 2018	-
50,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	1.50	May 31, 2018	-
279,851 <sup>(6)</sup>	1.35	August 13, 2018	-
<u>4,298,487</u>			<u>\$ -</u>

- (1) Placement agent warrants granted in connection with the sale of units in the Company's unit offering in December 2010. The warrants became exercisable on July 4, 2011 and will remain exercisable thereafter until July 4, 2014.
- (2) Series B Warrants issued in connection with the sale of units in the Company's unit offering in December 2010. The Series B Warrants became exercisable on July 4, 2011 and will remain exercisable thereafter until July 4, 2016.
- (3) Warrants issued in connection with the sale of units in the Company's unit offering in April 2012. The warrants became exercisable on October 18, 2012, and will remain exercisable thereafter until October 18, 2017.
- (4) Warrants issued in connection with the issuance of the April 2013 Notes. The warrants were exercisable on the grant date (April 4, 2013) and remain exercisable until April 4, 2018.
- (5) Warrants issued in connection with the issuance of the May 2013 Notes. The warrants were exercisable on the grant date (May 31, 2013) and remain exercisable until May 31, 2018.
- (6) Warrants issued in connection with the Letter Loan. The warrants were exercisable on the grant date (August 13, 2013) and remain exercisable until the earlier of (a) August 13, 2018; and (b) three years after the payment in full of the Loan.

#### **NOTE 8 – INCOME TAXES**

The Company has estimated that its effective tax rate for U.S. purposes will be zero for the 2014 fiscal year and consequently, recorded no provision or benefit for income taxes for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013.

#### **NOTE 9 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION**

In accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, Lucas measures the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

#### **Common Stock**

During the nine-month period ended December 31, 2013, Lucas awarded 107,917 shares of its common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$132,355, which was recognized as stock compensation expense. The common stock share awards were valued based on the trading value of Lucas's common stock on the date of grant, according to the employment agreements with certain officers and other managerial personnel. Of the 107,917 shares of common stock awarded, only 92,710 shares had been issued as of December 31, 2013. The remaining 15,207 shares had been awarded but not issued as of December 31, 2013; therefore, \$18,280 was accrued in common stock payable, representing the fair value of Lucas's common stock on the grant date.

## Stock Options

Of the Company's outstanding options, 305,200 expired, were exercised, or forfeited during the nine months ended December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth stock option activity for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2013		Nine Months Ended December 31, 2012	
	Number of Stock Options	Weighted Average Grant Price	Number of Stock Options	Weighted Average Grant Price
Outstanding at March 31	819,668	\$ 1.55	456,000	\$ 2.88
Granted	400,000	1.13	760,400	1.46
Expired/Cancelled	(305,200)	1.49	(305,600)	3.33
Outstanding at December 31	<u>914,468</u>	\$ 1.39	<u>910,800</u>	\$ 1.54

Lucas granted stock options to purchase shares of common stock during the nine months ended December 31, 2013 to an officer as employee based compensation. Effective April 1, 2013, the officer was granted stock options to purchase 125,000 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$66,635 to be amortized and recognized as compensation expense over the service period. The exercise price for the options equaled the closing price of the Company stock on March 28, 2013. All issuances were valued at fair value on the date of grant based on the market value of Lucas's common stock using the Black Scholes option pricing model. On September 30, 2013, the officer resigned, thereby canceling the options to purchase 125,000 shares of common stock, which had not yet vested. Of the options to purchase 125,000 shares of common stock granted to the officer, 75,000 were to vest on the one year anniversary of the grant date and the remaining 50,000 were to vest on the two year anniversary of the grant date.

The Company also granted a director stock options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$17,507 to be amortized and recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period in July 2013. The 50,000 options vest at the rate of 1/12 of such options over the period from July 2013 to June 2014. The options have a two year exercise period and the exercise price for the options equaled the closing price of the Company stock on July 2, 2013. All issuances were valued at fair value on the date of grant based on the market value of Lucas's common stock using the Black Scholes option pricing model.

Additionally, during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, Lucas granted the directors of the Company stock options to purchase an aggregate of 225,000 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$45,652 to be amortized and recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. Of the 225,000 options granted, 200,000 vest at a rate of 1/12 of such options over the period from January 2014 to December 2014 while the remaining 25,000 vest at a rate of 1/6 of such options over the period from July 2014 to December 2014. The options have a three year exercise period and the exercise price for the options equaled the closing price of the Company stock on December 24, 2013 (\$0.98 per share). All issuances were valued at fair value on the date of grant based on the market value of Lucas's common stock using the Black Scholes option pricing model.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2013, 305,200 options were cancelled due to employee resignations.

Compensation expense related to stock options during the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 was \$113,368 and \$365,608, respectively.

Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 had no intrinsic value. The intrinsic value is based upon the difference between the market price of Lucas's common stock on the date of exercise and the grant price of the stock options.

The following tabulation summarizes the remaining terms of the options outstanding:

Exercise Price (\$)	Remaining Life (Yrs.)	Options Outstanding	Options Exercisable
1.15	1.00	216,668	216,668
2.07	6.80	72,000	72,000
1.63	3.80	100,800	25,200
1.74	3.80	150,000	100,000
1.61	4.00	50,000	-
1.58	4.10	50,000	-
1.28	1.50	50,000	20,835
0.98	3.00	225,000	-
	Total	<u>914,468</u>	<u>434,703</u>

As of December 31, 2013, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to all non-vested stock options was \$231,057, which is being recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.4 years.

In prior periods, the shareholders of the Company approved the Company's 2012 and 2010 Stock Incentive Plans (the Plans). The Plans are intended to secure for the Company the benefits arising from ownership of the Company's common stock by the employees, officers, directors and consultants of the Company, all of whom are and will be responsible for the Company's future growth. The Plans provide an opportunity for any employee, officer, director or consultant of the Company to receive incentive stock options (to eligible employees only), nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, stock awards and shares in performance of services. There were 535,206 shares available for issuance under the Plans as of December 31, 2013.

#### **NOTE 10 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*Legal Proceedings.* From time to time, we may become party to litigation or other legal proceedings that we consider to be a part of the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently involved in any legal proceedings that we believe could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations, other than the below. We may become involved in material legal proceedings in the future.

On October 13, 2011, Lucas entered into a purchase and sale agreement with Nordic Oil USA I, LLLP (“Nordic”), whereby effective July 1, 2011, Lucas purchased all of Nordic’s right, title and interest in certain oil, gas and mineral leases located in Gonzales, Karnes and Wilson Counties, Texas. The transaction officially closed on November 18, 2011. Lucas agreed to pay Nordic \$22 million, payable in the form of a senior secured promissory note (with recourse only to the properties acquired), which accrued interest at the rate of 6% per annum (the “Note”), the payment of which was secured by a Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Financing Statement and Assignment of Production on the property acquired (the “Deed of Trust”). Lucas failed to pay the note when it was due on November 17, 2012, and the parties were unable to come to terms on a settlement of the debt. Subsequently in December 2012, Nordic filed a lawsuit against Lucas pursuant to which Nordic made claims for the payment of damages in connection with liens attached to the property, the proceeds from alleged wrongful assignments of the property acquired in the transaction, pre-and-post judgment interest, a foreclosure and sale of the property, plus attorney’s fees in the amount of 10% of the principal and interest then owing on the note (as allegedly allowed pursuant to the terms of the Note), and sought damages for breach of contract and attorney’s fees. On March 29, 2013, and effective March 31, 2013 (the “Effective Date”), Lucas entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement with Nordic (the “Settlement Agreement”), pursuant to which the parties agreed to settle and terminate the purchase and sale agreement, Lucas agreed to pay \$1,125,000 to Nordic (which has been paid to date), assigned certain properties and completed certain fieldwork stipulated in the Settlement and Release Agreement. Additionally, the parties agreed to mutually release each other and each other’s affiliates and assigns from all claims, causes of actions, damages and liabilities relating to any events which occurred prior to the effective date, whether as a result of the purchase of the properties, the note or otherwise,

and to further indemnify each other from any claims associated therewith. On September 19, 2013, Lucas made the final \$125,000 payment per the Settlement Agreement. On November 13, 2013, Nordic filed a Notice of Dismissal with Prejudice dismissing all of Nordic's claims against Lucas.

On October 5, 2012, Knight Capital Americas LLC (as successor in interest to Knight Capital America, L.P. ("Knight")), filed suit against the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 157012/2012). The Company previously engaged Knight as a broker/dealer in connection with a proposed fund raise. The suit alleges causes of actions for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, breach of implied covenants, tortious interference and seeks declaratory relief in connection with the Company allegedly failing to pay Knight fees in connection with its right of first refusal to provide broker/dealer services in connection with a subsequently completed fund raise undertaken by the Company. On September 18, 2013, Lucas entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement with Knight, pursuant to which the parties agreed to settle the litigation, and Lucas agreed to pay Knight an aggregate of \$220,000, which amount has been paid to date (with the final \$33,334 payment being made on December 16, 2013), and Knight subsequently dismissed the lawsuit with prejudice.

On April 8, 2013, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement with Seidler Oil & Gas, L.P. ("Seidler") on a lawsuit claiming a refund on previous investments with Lucas. The Company settled the outstanding balance and paid Seidler \$1.3 million plus legal fees. Seidler released the Company, its current and past officers, directors and agents from associated claims and Seidler agreed to dismiss the previously filed lawsuit with prejudice. In addition, certain private investors also agreed to release the Company, Seidler, and their respective past and present affiliates from any and all claims.

#### ***NOTE 11 – POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS***

Lucas maintains a matched defined contribution savings plan for its employees. During the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013, Lucas's total costs recognized for the savings plan were \$8,051 and \$23,007, respectively. During the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2012, Lucas's total costs recognized for the savings plan were \$10,800 and \$28,941, respectively.

**NOTE 12 – SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

Net cash paid for interest and income taxes was as follows for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Interest	\$ 465,771	\$ 27,164
Income taxes	15,000	-

Non-cash investing and financing activities for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 included the following:

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Increase in asset retirement obligations	\$ -	\$ 202,500
Net assumption of note payable		
in acquisition of oil and gas properties	-	450,000
Discounts on notes payable	292,464	-
Extinguishment of note receivable in		
exchange of certain oil and gas properties	-	470,812
Conversion of preferred stock to		
common stock	-	5,163,930
Accrued capital expenditures included in		
accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,589,962	-
Extinguishment of note payable in		
exchange of certain oil and gas properties	-	(269,163)

## **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.**

### **CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the "Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act"). These forward-looking statements are generally located in the material set forth below under the headings "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" but may be found in other locations as well. For a more detailed description of the risks and uncertainties involved, the following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with management's discussion and analysis contained in Lucas's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 (the "2013 Annual Report") and related discussion of our business and properties contained therein.

These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. You should not unduly rely on these statements. Factors, risks, and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements which include, among others:

- our growth strategies;
- anticipated trends in our business;
- our ability to make or integrate acquisitions;
- our ability to repay outstanding loans and satisfy our outstanding liabilities;
- our liquidity and ability to finance our exploration, acquisition and development strategies;
- market conditions in the oil and gas industry;
- the timing, cost and procedure for proposed acquisitions;
- the impact of government regulation;
- estimates regarding future net revenues from oil and natural gas reserves and the present value thereof;
- legal proceedings and/or the outcome of or negative perceptions associated therewith;
- planned capital expenditures (including the amount and nature thereof);
- increases in oil and gas production;
- the number of wells we anticipate drilling in the future;
- estimates, plans and projections relating to acquired properties;
- the number of potential drilling locations; and
- our financial position, business strategy and other plans and objectives for future operations.

We identify forward-looking statements by use of terms such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "hope," "plan," "believe," "predict," "envision," "intend," "will," "continue," "potential," "should," "confident," "could" and similar words and expressions, although some forward-looking statements may be expressed differently. You should be aware that our actual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements. You should consider carefully the statements under the "Risk Factors" section of this report and other sections of this report which describe factors that could cause our actual results to differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements, and the following factors:

- the possibility that our acquisitions may involve unexpected costs;
- the volatility in commodity prices for oil and gas;
- the accuracy of internally estimated proved reserves;
- the presence or recoverability of estimated oil and gas reserves;
- the ability to replace oil and gas reserves;
- the availability and costs of drilling rigs and other oilfield services;
- environmental risks; exploration and development risks;
- competition;
- the inability to realize expected value from acquisitions;



- the ability of our management team to execute its plans to meet its goals; and
- other economic, competitive, governmental, legislative, regulatory, geopolitical and technological factors that may negatively impact our businesses, operations and pricing.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report or the date of any document incorporated by reference in this report. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## Overview

Lucas Energy, Inc., a Nevada corporation, is an independent oil and natural gas company based in Houston, Texas (herein the “Company”, “Lucas”, “Lucas Energy” or “we”). We are engaged in the acquisition and development of crude oil and natural gas from various known productive geological formations, including the Austin Chalk, Eagle Ford and Buda formations, primarily in Gonzales, Wilson and Karnes counties south of the city of San Antonio; and the Eaglebine, Buda, and Glen Rose formations in Leon and Madison counties north of the city of Houston, Texas.

We are nearing the end of our fiscal year 2014, which has been a time of great difficulties and re-focus for Lucas. Over the course of the prior calendar year, the Company has resolved numerous outstanding legal actions and reduced associated debt, which resulted in a vastly improved balance sheet for the Company. Additionally, we sold off non-strategic assets and completed modest capital raises in an effort to stabilize the Company’s financial structure.

We believe that our strategy to operate with sound judgment and lower costs has been successful. This process resulted in significant decreases in both operating and general and administrative expenses over the course of the preceding nine months. However, the minimal capital outlay for development has curbed the expected decline in costs on a per barrel basis.

The Company initiated a work-over program in the fall of 2013 in an effort to stabilize rapidly declining production. These efforts stemmed the production decline to a degree, and while improvements have been made in overall field operating and general and administrative expenses, the Company maintains flat production and continues to make minimal progress in increasing operating profits.

The Company’s strategy had been to access modest sums of relatively-cheap capital to provide for limited development activity and to re-enter the capital markets upon an improvement in the Company’s financial condition. To date we have been unable to locate long-term investors to raise capital for the Company in connection with this deliberate, low-impact grow-over-time stance. The Company believes it must now establish a sustained program of asset development, and specifically, begin to develop its Eagle Ford reserves.

As we have continually reviewed our position and the Company’s prospects for future growth, we have noted that the markets place a higher value on size and market capitalization. Measures such as return on equity, liquidity and stock multiples have led us to conclude that the market in general views small-cap and mid-cap exploration and production companies as having greater potential than micro-caps. Companies of larger size and scope in general have access to more favorable debt financing, receive greater analyst coverage, trade with greater liquidity and consequently, often have higher share prices; which are factors leading us to shift to a more aggressive posture going forward.

Specifically, in December 2013, the Company stated that it is actively reviewing a number of opportunities for strategic partnership, acquisitions, and mergers with a focus on development of reserves, increasing revenue, and improving shareholder value. We have escalated these activities in the first quarter of calendar 2014 and engaged an investment banking firm to assist in that process and are actively discussing a number of potential transactions with a simple objective: create an entity of the necessary size and financial mass to develop the significant reserves at our disposal. We have not entered into any pending or definitive transactions to date.

We plan to advance the objective of becoming a contributing player in our core areas by growing from corporate transactions and asset development activities over the first half calendar of 2014. The goal and planned end result of our near-term activity will be to create a company with a sturdy platform capable of delivering on the long expected conversion of reserves to enhance shareholder value.

Our website address is <http://www.lucasenergy.com>. Our fiscal year ends on the last day of March of each year. The information on, or that may be accessed through, our website is not incorporated by reference into this report and should not be considered a part of this report. We refer to the twelve-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013 as our 2014 Fiscal Year and 2013 Fiscal Year, respectively.

At December 31, 2013, the Company had leasehold interests (working interests) in approximately 17,700 gross acres. The Company's total net developed and undeveloped acreage as measured from the surface to the base of the Austin Chalk formation was approximately 14,300 net acres. In deeper formations, the Company has approximately 4,200 net acres in the Eagle Ford oil window and 1,596 net acres in the Eaglebine, Buda and Glen Rose oil bearing formations.

At the end of December 2013, Lucas was producing an average of approximately 150 net barrels of oil equivalent per day (BOEPD) from 59 active well bores, of which 16 wells accounted for more than 80% of our production. The ratio between the gross and net production varies due to varied working interests and net revenue interests in each well. An affiliate of Marathon Oil Corporation operates the only two Eagle Ford horizontal wells in our Gonzales leases, of which we have a 15% working interest on each well. Our production sales totaled 14,541 and 41,362 barrels of oil equivalent, net to our interest, for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013, respectively.

At March 31, 2013, Lucas Energy's total estimated net proved reserves were 5.6 million barrels of oil equivalent (BOE), of which 5.1 million barrels (BBLs) were crude oil reserves, and 2.6 billion cubic feet (BCF) were natural gas reserves (see Supplemental Information to Consolidated Financial Statements). As of December 31, 2013, Lucas employed 12 full-time employees. We also utilized over six contractors on an "as-needed" basis to carry out various functions of the Company, including but not limited to field operations, land administration, corporate activity and information technology maintenance.

## **Industry Segments**

Lucas Energy's operations are all crude oil and natural gas exploration and production related.

## **Operations and Oil and Gas Properties**

We operate in known productive areas in order to decrease geological risk. Our holdings are located in an increased area of current industry activity in Gonzales, Wilson, Karnes, Atascosa, Leon and Madison counties in Texas. We concentrate on three vertically adjoining formations in Gonzales, Wilson and Karnes counties: the Austin Chalk, Eagle Ford and Buda formations, listed in the order of increasing depth measuring from land surface. The recent development of the Eagle Ford as a high potential producing zone has heightened industry interest and success. Lucas Energy's acreage position is in the oil window of the Eagle Ford trend and has amassed over 12,000 gross acres in the Gonzales and Wilson County, Texas area.

### ***Austin Chalk***

The Company's original activity started in Gonzales County by acquiring existing shut-in and stripper wells and improving production from those wells. Most of the wells had produced from the Austin Chalk. The Austin Chalk is a dense limestone, varying in thickness along its trend from approximately 200 feet to more than 800 feet. It produces by virtue of localized fractures within the formation.

### ***Eagle Ford***

On Lucas's leases, the Eagle Ford is a porous limestone with organic shale matter. The Eagle Ford formation directly underlies the Austin Chalk formation and is believed to be the primary source of oil and gas produced from the Austin Chalk. Reservoir thickness in the area of the Company's leases varies from approximately 60 feet to 80 feet.

## ***Buda***

The Buda limestone underlies the Eagle Ford formation separated by a 10 foot to 20 foot inorganic shale barrier. Its thickness varies from approximately 100 feet to more than 150 feet in this area. The Buda produces from natural fractures and matrix porosity and is prospective across this whole area. There are a number of Buda wells with cumulative production of more than 100,000 barrels of oil.

## ***Eaglebine***

The Eaglebine is so named because the Eagle Ford formation overlies the Woodbine formation. This is a continuation of the Eagle Ford trend that is productive from south Texas to the northeast of Houston, Texas. The Woodbine formation is best known as the prolific reservoir in the famous East Texas Oil Field. There has been increased interest and activity in the Eaglebine formation in the Leon, Houston, and Madison county areas. There is established production from horizontal and vertical wells to the east and south of Lucas's holdings and numerous permits for horizontal wells have been filed for additional exploratory and development drilling.

## **Our Strengths**

We believe our strengths will help us successfully execute our business strategies:

**We benefit from the increasing value, attention and activity in the Eagle Ford.** We benefit from the increasing number of wells drilled and the corresponding data available from public and governmental sources surrounding our acreage. This activity and data has defined the geographic extent of the Austin Chalk, Eagle Ford, and Buda formations, which we believe will assist us in evaluating future leasehold acquisitions and development operations. In addition, leading operators have developed drilling and completion technologies that have significantly reduced production risk and decreased per unit drilling and completion costs.

**Our size, industry knowledge, and contacts allow us to pursue a broader range of acquisition opportunities.** Our size provides us with the opportunity to acquire smaller acreage blocks that may be less attractive to larger operators in the area. We believe that our acquisition of these smaller blocks will have a meaningful impact on our overall acreage position.

**Experienced management team with proven acquisition, operating and financing capabilities.** We benefit from having an experienced management team with proven acquisition, operating and financing capabilities. Mr. Anthony Schnur, our Chief Executive Officer, has over twenty years of extensive oil and gas and financial management experience. He has developed strategic business plans, raised debt and equity capital, and provided asset management, cash flow forecasts, transaction modeling and development planning for both start-ups and special situations. On three separate occasions Mr. Schnur has been asked to lead work-out/turn-around initiatives in the E&P space. Further, the Company has attracted new talent in its operations, reservoir analysis, land and accounting functions and it believes it has brought together a professional and dedicated team to deliver value to Lucas shareholders.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements of Lucas Energy and notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. As used below, the abbreviations "Bbls" stands for barrels, "NGL" stands for natural gas liquids, "Mcf" for thousand cubic feet and "Boe" for barrels of oil equivalent on the basis of six Mcf per barrel. The majority of the numbers presented below are rounded numbers and should be considered as approximate.

**Three Months Ended December 31, 2013 vs. Three Months Ended December 31, 2012**

We reported a net loss for the three months ended December 31, 2013 of \$1.1 million, or \$0.04 per share. For the same period a year ago, we reported a net loss of \$2.7 million, or \$0.10 per share. Although our total revenues decreased by \$0.6 million over the same period, our net loss decreased by \$1.6 million primarily due to a decrease in total operating expenses of \$2.2 million including a 45% reduction in lease operating expenses and a 56% reduction in general and administrative expenses.

The following table sets forth the operating results and production data for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Increase (Decrease)
	2013	2012		
<b>Sale Volumes:</b>				
Crude Oil (Bbls)	14,541	18,969	(4,428)	(23%)
Natural Gas & NGL (Mcf)	-	4,785	(4,785)	(100%)
Total (Boe)	14,541	19,767	(5,226)	(26%)
Crude Oil (Bbls per day)	158	206	(48)	(23%)
Natural Gas (Mcf per day)	-	52	(52)	(100%)
Total (Boe per day)	158	215	(57)	(27%)
<b>Average Sale Price:</b>				
Crude Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 93.54	\$ 100.10	\$ (6.56)	(7%)
Natural Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$ -	\$ 6.07	\$ (6.07)	(100%)
<hr/>				
<b>Net Operating Revenues:</b>				
Crude Oil	\$ 1,360,131	\$ 1,898,863	\$ (538,732)	(28%)
Natural Gas & NGL	-	29,032	(29,032)	(100%)
Total Revenues	\$ 1,360,131	\$ 1,927,895	\$ (567,764)	(29%)

**Oil and Gas Revenues**

Total crude oil and natural gas revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$0.5 million, or 28%, to \$1.4 million from \$1.9 million for the same period a year ago due primarily to an unfavorable crude oil volume variance of \$0.4 million and an unfavorable price variance of \$0.1 million. The production decline is primarily related to the Company having a reduced property base due to the sale of the Baker DeForest property in October 2012 and the assignment of certain Company properties to Nordic per the Nordic Settlement Agreement just prior to the previous fiscal year end (see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies in the attached financial statements). When compared to the prior period the reduced property base from the property and assignment sales represented an approximately \$0.4 million decrease in production sales. Additionally, in the prior reporting period, the Company had additional production sales of approximately \$0.5 million due to new drilling and lateral programs with higher front-end production when compared to the current period.

## Operating and Other Expenses

The following table summarizes our production costs and operating expenses for the periods indicated:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Increase</b>	<b>%Increase</b>
	<b>December 31,</b>			
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>(Decrease)</b>	<b>(Decrease)</b>
Direct lease operating expense	\$ 230,830	\$ 488,796	\$ (257,966)	(53%)
Workover expense	293,410	462,993	(169,583)	(37%)
Other	29,438	51,131	(21,693)	(42%)
<b>Total Lease Operating Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 553,678</b>	<b>\$ 1,002,920</b>	<b>\$ (449,242)</b>	<b>(45%)</b>
Severance and Property Taxes	69,545	101,688	(32,143)	(32%)
Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization and Accretion	601,950	884,010	(282,060)	(32%)
General and Administrative (G&A)	\$ 837,964	\$ 1,909,317	\$ (1,071,353)	(56%)
Share-Based Compensation	113,368	429,176	(315,808)	(74%)
<b>Total G&amp;A Expense</b>	<b>\$ 951,332</b>	<b>\$ 2,338,493</b>	<b>\$ (1,387,161)</b>	<b>(59%)</b>
Interest Expense	304,592	343,969	(39,377)	(11%)
Other Expense (Income), Net	9,968	4,081	5,887	144%

### Lease Operating Expenses

Lease operating expenses decreased \$0.4 million for the current quarter as compared to the prior year period principally due to less production from prior period asset sales and assignments and a decline in direct lease operating and workover expenses from the Company's expanding effort to improve operating efficiencies.

### Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization and Accretion (DD&A)

DD&A decreased \$0.3 million primarily due to a decrease in production of 5,226 Boe compared to the previous period. The unit DD&A rate per Boe also decreased from \$40.41 to \$38.11 for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012.

### Total General and Administrative Expenses

Total G&A expense decreased by \$1.4 million primarily due to the Company's overall focus in improving the efficiency of the daily operating activities within the Company and a reduction in employee wage expenses and bonuses as well as less consulting, contracting and outsourcing expenses.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended December 31, 2013 consisted of interest payments of approximately \$0.3 million made in relation to the Notes issued in April 2013 and May 2013 and the Letter Loan entered into in August 2013 (described below under "Liquidity and Capital Resources" – "Financing"). When compared to the same period a year ago, which primarily related to incurred interest expense of approximately \$0.3 million on the Nordic note, there is no significant change.

### Other Expense (Income), Net

Other Expense (Income) for the three months ended December 31, 2013, primarily consisted of \$15,000 in financing fees offset by \$3,500 in discounts from accounts payable settlements and a \$1,000 gain from the sale of old vehicles and approximately \$500 in rental income from our Gonzales County office before it was sold.

***Nine Months Ended December 31, 2013 vs. Nine Months Ended December 31, 2012***

We reported a net loss for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 of \$3.6 million, or \$0.13 per share. For the same period a year ago, we reported a net loss of \$6.2 million, or \$0.25 per share. Although our total revenues decreased by \$2.3 million, our net loss decreased by \$2.5 million primarily due to a decrease in total operating expenses of \$4.7 million with an additional decrease in other expenses of \$0.1 million.

The following table sets forth the operating results and production data for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

	<b>Nine Months Ended December 31,</b>		<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>% Increase (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>		
<b>Sale Volumes:</b>				
Crude Oil (Bbls)	41,362	65,250	(23,888)	(37%)
Natural Gas & NGL (Mcf)	-	10,574	(10,574)	(100%)
Total (Boe)	41,362	67,012	(25,650)	(38%)
Crude Oil (Bbls per day)	150	237	(87)	(37%)
Natural Gas (Mcf per day)	-	38	(38)	(100%)
Total (Boe per day)	150	243	(93)	(38%)
<b>Average Sale Price:</b>				
Crude Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 98.40	\$ 96.77	\$ 1.63	2%
Natural Gas (\$/Mcf)	\$ -	\$ 6.07	\$ (6.07)	(100%)
<b>Net Operating Revenues:</b>				
Crude Oil	\$ 4,070,060	\$ 6,314,279	\$ (2,244,219)	(36%)
Natural Gas & NGL	-	64,230	(64,230)	(100%)
Total Revenues	<u>\$ 4,070,060</u>	<u>\$ 6,378,509</u>	<u>\$ (2,308,449)</u>	(36%)

***Oil and Gas Revenues***

Total crude oil and natural gas revenues for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$2.2 million, or 36%, to \$4.1 million compared to \$6.3 million for the same period a year ago due primarily to an unfavorable crude oil volume variance of \$2.3 million. There was also a minimal favorable price variance, which had no significant impact during the periods. The production decline is primarily related to the Company having a reduced property base due to the sale of the Baker DeForest property in October 2012 and the assignment of certain Company properties to Nordic per the Nordic Settlement Agreement just prior to the previous fiscal year end (see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies in the attached financial statements). When compared to the prior period the reduced property base from the property and assignment sales represented an approximately \$1.2 million decrease in production sales. Additionally, in the prior reporting period, the Company had additional production sales of approximately \$1.1 million due to new drilling and lateral programs with higher front-end production when compared to the current period.

## Operating and Other Expenses

The following table summarizes our production costs and operating expenses for the periods indicated:

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>		<b>Increase</b>	<b>%Increase</b>
	<b>December 31,</b>			
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>(Decrease)</b>	<b>(Decrease)</b>
Direct lease operating expense	\$ 757,333	\$ 1,640,914	\$ (883,581)	(54%)
Workovers expense	891,378	1,285,689	(394,311)	(31%)
Other	92,953	159,223	(66,270)	(42%)
<b>Total Lease Operating Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 1,741,664</b>	<b>\$ 3,085,826</b>	<b>\$ (1,344,162)</b>	<b>(44%)</b>
Severance and Property Taxes	220,225	329,068	(108,842)	(33%)
Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization and Accretion	1,700,962	2,882,338	(1,181,376)	(41%)
General and Administrative (G&A)	\$ 2,840,981	\$ 4,608,259	\$ (1,767,278)	(38%)
Share-Based Compensation	365,608	625,283	(259,675)	(42%)
<b>Total G&amp;A Expense</b>	<b>\$ 3,206,589</b>	<b>\$ 5,233,542</b>	<b>\$ (2,026,953)</b>	<b>(39%)</b>
Interest Expense	855,119	1,035,498	(180,379)	(17%)
Other Expense (Income), Net	(20,268)	(11,806)	(8,462)	72%

### Lease Operating Expenses

Lease operating expenses decreased \$1.3 million for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the prior year period principally due to less production from prior period asset sales and assignments and a decline in direct lease operating and workover expenses from the Company's expanding effort to improve operating efficiencies.

### Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization and Accretion (DD&A)

DD&A decreased \$1.2 million primarily due to a decrease in production of 25,650 Boe compared to the previous period. The unit DD&A rate per Boe also decreased from \$40.73 to \$37.14 for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the nine months ended December 31, 2012.

### Total General and Administrative Expenses

Total G&A expense decreased by \$2.0 million primarily due to the Company's overall focus in improving the efficiency of the daily operating activities within the Company and a reduction in employee wage expenses and bonuses as well as less consulting, contracting and outsourcing expenses.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 consisted of interest payments of approximately \$0.9 million made in relation to the Notes issued in April 2013 and May 2013 and the Letter Loan entered into in August 2013 (described below under "Liquidity and Capital Resources" – "Financing"). When compared to the same period a year ago, which primarily related to incurred interest expense of approximately \$1.0 million on the Nordic note, there is a \$0.2 million decrease.

### Other Expense (Income), Net

Other Expense (Income) for the nine months ended December 31, 2013, primarily consisted of approximately \$88,000 in financing fees offset by approximately \$105,000 in discounts from accounts payable settlements, approximately \$2,000 in office space rental income from our Gonzales County office and a \$1,000 gain from the sale of old vehicles.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The primary sources of cash for Lucas during the nine months ended December 31, 2013 were funds generated from sales of crude oil and borrowings and funds raised through the sale of common stock. The primary uses of cash were funds used in operations and repayment of other borrowings.

### *Working Capital*

At December 31, 2013, the Company's Total Current Liabilities of \$4.9 million exceeded its Total Current Assets of \$2.8 million, resulting in a working capital deficit of approximately \$2.1 million. At March 31, 2013, the Company's total current liabilities of \$6.5 million exceeded its total current assets of \$1.7 million, resulting in a working capital deficit of \$4.8 million. The \$2.7 million reduction in the working capital deficit is primarily related to the Company effectively accessing the capital markets in connection with the sale of both equity and debt during the nine months ended December 31, 2013. On August 13, 2013, the Company secured a long-term Loan for \$7.5 million. A portion of the funds raised in connection with the Loan were used to repay the \$3.25 million in outstanding current Notes issued in April and May 2013 (as described below). On September 6, 2013, the Company closed a registered direct offering of \$3,451,500 (approximately \$3.2 million net, after deducting commissions and other expenses) in shares of common stock to certain institutional investors. The Company used the funds raised in the offerings to pay down expenses related to drilling, lease operating, workover activities and for general corporate purposes, including general and administrative expenses and legal settlements.

The Company believes its undeveloped acreage and continued ability to access the capital markets in both equity and debt provides a sufficient means to conduct its current operations, meet its contractual obligations and undertake a forward outlook on future development of its current fields.

### *Cash Flows*

	<b>Nine Months Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Cash flows used in operating activities	(3,325,496)	(4,665,600)
Cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities	(4,927,174)	644,167
Cash flows provided by financing activities	9,252,735	6,990,557
<b>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>1,000,065</b>	<b>2,969,124</b>

Net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$3.3 million for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$4.7 million for the same period a year ago. The decrease in net cash used in operating activities of \$1.4 million was due primarily to a \$2.5 million reduction of net loss and the paying down of all the outstanding advances to working interest owners via the settlement of the Seidler lawsuit (see Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies in the attached financial statements).

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$5.0 million for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$0.6 million for the same period a year ago. The decrease in net cash provided by investing activities was primarily due to a \$1.7 million increase of additions to oil and gas properties offset by a \$3.7 million decrease in the proceeds from the sale of oil and gas properties in the prior period.

Net cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$9.3 million for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of 7.0 million for the same period a year ago. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities was primarily related to approximately \$2.3 million of additional loan and equity proceeds, net of repayments, from the current period when compared to equity sales and loan repayment amounts from the same period a year ago.



## *Financing*

Effective April 4, 2013, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement with various lenders (the “April 2013 Loan Agreement”) pursuant to which such lenders loaned the Company an aggregate of \$2,750,000 to be used for general working capital. The lenders included entities beneficially owned by our chairman, Ken Daraie (which entity loaned us \$2,000,000) and director, W. Andrew Krusen, Jr. (which entities loaned us \$250,000), as well as an unrelated third party which loaned the Company \$500,000.

Effective May 31, 2013, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement with various lenders (the “May 2013 Loan Agreement” and together with the April 2013 Loan Agreement, the “Loan Agreements”), pursuant to which such lenders loaned the Company an aggregate of \$500,000 to be used for general working capital and to pay amounts the Company owed to Nordic Oil USA I, LLLP (“Nordic”). The lenders were third parties, unaffiliated with the Company, provided that one lender who previously loaned the Company funds in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement provided the Company an additional \$300,000 loan in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement. The May 2013 Loan Agreement included substantially similar terms as the April 2013 Loan Agreement and was approved by the prior lenders, who also waived their right to be repaid from the proceeds from the loans.

The loans provided pursuant to the Loan Agreements were documented by Promissory Notes (the “Notes”) which accrued interest at the rate of 14% per annum, with such interest payable monthly in arrears (beginning June 1, 2013 in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement and July 1, 2013 in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement) and were due and payable on October 4, 2013 in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement and April 4, 2014 in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement. The Notes could be prepaid at any time without penalty. In the event any amounts were not paid when due under the Notes and/or in the event any event of default occurred and was continuing under the Notes, the Notes accrued interest at the rate of 17% per annum. The Note holders were each paid their pro rata portion of a commitment fee (\$55,000 in connection with the April 2013 Loan Agreement and \$15,000 in connection with the May 2013 Loan Agreement) and were each granted their pro rata portion of warrants to purchase 325,000 shares of the Company’s common stock which were evidenced by Common Stock Purchase Warrants (the “Warrants”).

On July 17, 2013, Meson Capital Partners LP (which is indirectly beneficially owned by one of our directors, Ryan J. Morris), purchased 185,185 restricted shares of our common stock in a private transaction for consideration of \$250,000 or \$1.35 per share (\$0.01 above the closing sales price of our common stock on July 17, 2013).

Effective on August 13, 2013, Lucas entered into a Letter Loan Agreement with Louise H. Rogers (the “Letter Loan”). In connection with the Letter Loan and a Promissory Note entered into in connection therewith, Ms. Rogers loaned the Company \$7.5 million (the “Loan”). The Loan accrues interest at the rate of 12% per annum (18% upon the occurrence of an event of default), can be prepaid by Lucas at any time without penalty after November 13, 2013 and is due and payable on August 13, 2015, provided that \$75,000 in interest only payments are due on the Loan during the first six months of the term (which have been escrowed by Lucas) and beginning on March 13, 2014, Lucas is required to make monthly amortization principal payments of equivalent to the sum of fifty-percent of the Loan during months seven through twenty-four of the term. The \$450,000 escrow deposit for the first six months interest has been recorded as restricted cash within the balance sheet, with \$150,000 being recognized on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. Lucas is also required to make mandatory prepayments of the loan in the event the collateral securing the Loan does not meet certain thresholds and coverage ratios. The repayment of the Loan is secured by a security interest in substantially all of Lucas’s assets which was evidenced by a Security Agreement and a Mortgage, Deed of Trust, Assignment, Security Agreement, Financing Statement and Fixture Filing. Lucas agreed to pay a \$15,000 quarterly administrative fee in connection with the Loan and grant the administrator a warrant to purchase up to 279,851 shares of Lucas’s common stock at an exercise price of \$1.35 per share and a term continuing until the earlier of (a) August 13, 2018; and (b) three years after the payment in full of the Loan. A portion of the funds raised in connection with the Loan were used to repay the \$3.25 million in outstanding Notes issued in April and May 2013 as described above.

On September 6, 2013, the Company closed a registered direct offering of \$3,451,500 (approximately \$3.2 million net, after deducting commissions and other expenses) of shares of common stock to certain institutional

investors. In total, the Company sold 2.95 million shares of common stock at a price of \$1.17 per share.

Lucas plans to continue to focus a substantial portion of its capital expenditures in various known prolific and productive geological formations, including the Austin Chalk, Eagle Ford and Buda formations, primarily in Gonzales, Wilson, and Karnes counties south of the city of San Antonio, Texas and in the Eaglebine, Buda, and Glen Rose formations in Madison and Leon counties north of the city of Houston, Texas. Lucas expects capital expenditures to be greater than cash flow from operating activities for the remainder of the 2014 fiscal year and into fiscal 2015. To cover the anticipated shortfall, our business plan includes establishing a reserve-based line of credit, initiate bank or private borrowings, and/or issue equity or debt offerings similar to the above; provided that the Company is also actively reviewing a number of opportunities for strategic partnership, acquisitions, and mergers with a focus on development of reserves, increasing revenue, and improving shareholder value as discussed above.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. We are exposed to risks related to increases in the prices of fuel and raw materials consumed in exploration, development and production. We do not engage in commodity price hedging activities.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

#### *Disclosure Controls and Procedures.*

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)) are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer and principal financial officer), to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer and principal financial officer), evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer and principal financial officer) concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

#### *Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

For information regarding these and other legal matters, refer to Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this Quarterly Report.

Lucas is periodically named in legal actions arising from normal business activities. Lucas evaluates the merits of these actions and, if it determines that an unfavorable outcome is probable and can be reasonably estimated, Lucas will establish the necessary reserves. Described below is information in regards to two proceedings which did not arise from Lucas's normal business activities and which Lucas believes are material to the Company, which have either been settled or are in the process of being settled:

The Company filed a lawsuit against the holder of the Company's 2,000 outstanding shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, on May 9, 2013, seeking a declaratory judgment that the 2,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock should be cancelled, injunctive relief prohibiting the holder from selling or transferring the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, and attorney's fees. To date, both parties have reached an agreement for a joint dismissal.

On October 5, 2012, Knight Capital Americas LLC (as successor in interest to Knight Capital America, L.P. ("Knight")), filed suit against the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 157012/2012). The Company previously engaged Knight as a broker/dealer in connection with a proposed fund raise. The suit alleged causes of actions for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, breach of implied covenants, tortious interference and seeks declaratory relief in connection with the Company allegedly failing to pay Knight fees in connection with its right of first refusal to provide broker/dealer services in connection with a subsequently completed fund raise undertaken by the Company. On September 18, 2013, Lucas entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement with Knight, pursuant to which the parties agreed to settle the litigation, and Lucas agreed to pay Knight an aggregate of \$220,000, which amount has been paid to date (with the final \$33,334 payment being made on December 16, 2013), and Knight subsequently dismissed the lawsuit with prejudice.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013 (the "2013 Annual Report"), as filed with the SEC on June 28, 2013. The information in such risk factors, in addition to the other information set forth in this quarterly report, could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we deem to be immaterial could also materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

### **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.**

None.

### **ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.**

Not Applicable.

### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.**

On September 18, 2013, Lucas entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement with Knight, pursuant to which the parties agreed to settle the litigation, and Lucas agreed to pay Knight an aggregate of \$220,000, which amount has been paid to date (with the final \$33,334 payment being made on December 16, 2013), and Knight subsequently dismissed the lawsuit with Knight with prejudice.

Effective November 11, 2013, Ken Daraie was appointed as the Chairman of the Board of Directors replacing Ryan J. Morris, who has served in the capacity since December 2012.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2013, Lucas granted the directors of the Company stock options to purchase an aggregate of 225,000 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$45,652 to be amortized and recognized as compensation expenses over the vesting period. Of the 225,000 options granted, 200,000 vest at a rate of 1/12 of such options over the period from January 2014 to December 2014 while the remaining 25,000 vest at a rate of 1/6 of such options over the period from July 2014 to December 2014. The options have a three year exercise period and the exercise price for the options equaled the closing price of the Company stock on December 24, 2013 (\$0.98 per share).

Effective January 1, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved the following cash compensation for the Board of Directors: (a) each member of the Board of Directors will be paid \$5,000 per calendar quarter (\$20,000 per year) for services to the Board of Directors; (b) the Chairman of the Board of Directors (currently Mr. Daraie) will receive an additional \$1,000 per quarter; (c) the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee will receive an additional \$500 per quarter; and (d) the Chairman of the Audit Committee will receive an additional \$1,000 per quarter.

## **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.**

See the Exhibit Index following the signature page to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a list of exhibits filed or furnished with this report, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**LUCAS ENERGY, INC.**  
(Registrant)

*/s/ Anthony C. Schnur*

Anthony C. Schnur  
Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial  
Officer  
(Principal Executive and Principal  
Accounting/Financial Officer)

Date: February 14, 2014

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b><u>Exhibit No.</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
1.1(1)	Placement Agency Agreement by and between the Company and Euro Pacific Capital, Inc. dated as of August 30, 2013
4.1(2)	Common Stock Purchase Warrant (Robertson Global Credit, LLC)(3)
10.1(1)	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement by and between the Company and each investor dated as of September 3, 2013
10.2(2)	Letter Loan Agreement (Louise H. Rogers)(August 13, 2013)(3)
10.3(2)	Promissory Note (\$7.5 million)(Louise H. Rogers)(August 13, 2013)
10.4(2)	Security Agreement (Louise H. Rogers)(August 13, 2013)
10.5(2)	Mortgage, Deed of Trust, Assignment, Security Agreement, Financing Statement, and Fixture Filing (Louise H. Rogers)(August 13, 2013)
10.6(4)	Meson Capital Partners LP Subscription Agreement (July 17, 2013)
* 31.1	Section 302 Certification of Periodic Report of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer.
** 32.1	Section 906 Certification of Periodic Report of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer.
*** 101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
*** 101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document.
*** 101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document.
*** 101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.
*** 101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document.

\* Filed herewith.

(1) Filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on September 4, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) Filed as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, filed with the Commission on August 14, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference.

(3) The Common Stock Purchase Warrant which forms Exhibit A to the Letter Loan Agreement has been filed separately as Exhibit 4.1.

(2) Filed as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, filed with the Commission on November 14, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference.

\*\* Furnished herewith.

\*\*\* Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2013, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations - Three and Nine Months Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Nine Months Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012; and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

**CERTIFICATION**

I, Anthony C. Schnur, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended December 31, 2013, of Lucas Energy, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 14, 2014

/s/ Anthony C. Schnur

Anthony C. Schnur

Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer)



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Lucas Energy, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the three months ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Anthony C. Schnur, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Acting Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to the best of my knowledge and belief: (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

February 14, 2014

*/s/ Anthony C. Schnur*

Anthony C. Schnur

Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer)