SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

	FORM 10-Q
[X]	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2001
	OR
]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transition period from to

BERGEN BRUNSWIG CORPORATION

Commission file number 1-5110

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey22-1444512(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4000 Metropolitan Drive, Orange, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

92868-3510 (Zip Code)

(714) 385-4000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Title of each class of Common Stock

Number of Shares Outstanding July 31, 2001

Class A Common Stock - par value \$1.50 per share

135,589,589

INDEX

			Page No.
Part I.	Financial In	formation	
	Item 1.	Financial Statements	
It Part II. C It It Signatures		Consolidated Balance Sheets, June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000	3
		Statements of Consolidated Earnings for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2001 and 2000	5
		Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2001 and 2000	6
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
	Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34
Part II.	Other Inforr	mation	
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	35
	Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	38
Signatures			39
Index to Exl	hibits		40

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BERGEN BRUNSWIG CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2001 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 (dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

ASSETS	June 30, 2001	September 30, 2000			
CURRENT ASSETS:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 60,804	\$	94,032		
Accounts and notes receivable, less allowance					
for doubtful receivables: \$144,289 at June 30,					
2001 and \$183,373 at September 30, 2000	1,280,629		1,232,300		
Inventories	2,619,495		2,067,335		
Income taxes receivable	3,456		72,189		
Deferred income taxes	9,595		29,887		
Prepaid expenses	21,031		21,783		
Total current assets	3,995,010		3,517,526		
PROPERTY - at cost:					
Land	17,058		17,210		
Buildings and leasehold improvements	117,631		115,644		
Equipment and fixtures			225,745		
Total property	365,725		358,599		
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	170,144		150,091		
Property - net	195,581		208,508		
OTHER ADDETS					
OTHER ASSETS: Goodwill - net	663,268		658,640		
Investments	9,608		18,179		
Noncurrent receivables	13,899		16,179		
Deferred income taxes	19,937		25,153		
Deferred charges and other assets	113,070		127,125		
Total other assets	819,782		845,390		
TOTAL ASSETS		\$	4,571,424		

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

BERGEN BRUNSWIG CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2001 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 (dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY	June 30, 2001	September 30, 2000			
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable	2,428,002 232,463 102,470 54,335 1,172 2,818,442	\$ 2,017,130 249,712 161,420 22,364 1,388 2,452,014			
Long-term debt, net of current portion Other long-term obligations, net of current portion Total long-term obligations		1,067,282 28,879 1,096,161			
COMPANY-OBLIGATED MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED SECURITIES OF SUBSIDIARY TRUST HOLDING SOLELY DEBT SECURITIES OF THE COMPANY	300,000	300,000			
SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY: Capital stock: Preferred - authorized: 3,000,000 shares; issued: none Class A Common - authorized: 300,000,000 shares; issued: 138,337,035 shares at June 30, 2001 and 137,899,552 shares at September 30, 2000 Paid-in capital	- 207,506 824,131 15 (199,091)	- 206,849 821,354 13 (279,754)			
TotalTreasury shares at cost: 2,839,125 shares at June 30, 2001 and 3,110,673 shares at September 30, 2000	832,561 (23,052)	748,462 (25,213)			
Total shareowners' equity	809,509	723,249			
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY\$	5,010,373	\$ 4,571,424			

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED EARNINGS FOR THE THREE MONTHS AND NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 AND 2000

JUNE 30, 2001 AND 2000 (in thousands except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

		THREE	MON	THS	NINE	MONT	NTHS	
		2001		2000	2001		2000	
Consolidated earnings: Net sales and other revenues: Excluding bulk shipments to customers' warehouses		5,476,264	\$	4,805,443	\$ 15,240,338	\$	13,888,268	
Bulk shipments to customers' warehouses		1,197,183		993,010	3,187,009		3,109,046	
Total net sales and other revenues		6,673,447		5,798,453	 18,427,347		16,997,314	
Cost of goods sold		6,342,576		5,479,143	17,462,795		16,062,786	
Gross profit Distribution, selling, general		330,871		319,310	964,552		934,528	
and administrative expenses		232,234		245,607	700,264		717,079	
Operating earnings from continuing operations		98,637		73,703	264,288		217,449	
Net interest expense		32,540		31,974	 101,674		80,627	
Earnings from continuing operations before taxes on income and distributions on preferred securities of subsidiary trust		66,097		41,729	162,614		136,822	
Taxes on income from continuing operations		27,387		18,490	 67,319		61,935	
Earnings before distributions on preferred securities of subsidiary trust Distributions on preferred securities of		38,710		23,239	95,295		74,887	
subsidiary trust, net of income tax benefit		(3,526)		(3,526)	 (10,578)		(10,578)	
Earnings from continuing operations Discontinued operations, net of income tax benefit: Loss from operations Loss on dispositions		35,184 - -		19,713 (8,199) (250,962)	84,717 - -		64,309 (20,850) (250,962)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$	35,184	\$	(239,448)	\$ 84,717	\$	(207,503)	
Earnings (loss) per share: Basic:								
Continuing operations Discontinued operations:	\$.26	\$.15	\$.63	\$.48	
Loss from operations Loss on dispositions		-		(.06) (1.87)	 -		(.15) (1.87)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$.26	\$	(1.78)	\$.63	\$	(1.54)	
Diluted: Continuing operations	\$.26	\$.15	\$.62	\$.48	
Discontinued operations: Loss from operations Loss on dispositions		- -		(.06) (1.87)	- -		(.15) (1.87)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$.26	\$	(1.78)	\$.62	\$	(1.54)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding: Basic		135,369		134,507	135,168		134,417	
Diluted		137,327		134,519	136,824		134,491	
Cash dividends declared per share of Class A Common Stock	\$.01	\$.01	\$.03	\$.16	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 AND 2000

(in thousands) (Unaudited)

		2001		2000
Operating Activities				
Earnings from continuing operations	\$	84,717	\$	64,309
Adjustments to reconcile earnings from continuing operations to net cash flows from operating activities:				
Provision for doubtful receivables		36,885		53,546
Depreciation and amortization of property		33,866		34,012
Loss on dispositions of property		1,993		570
Amortization of intangible assets		17,407		27,905
Amortization of debt financing costs		7,839		10,093
Deferred compensation Deferred income taxes		4,248		3,959
Effects of changes on:		25,507		1,483
Receivables		(254,818)		(190,535)
Inventories		(552,160)		(403,925)
Income taxes receivable		68,733		28,667
Prepaid expenses and other assets		4,087		(1,336)
Accounts payable		410,872		400,833
Accrued liabilities		6,151		82,033
Customer credit balances		(58,950)		(3,999)
Net cash flows from operating activities		(163,623)		107,615
Investing Activities				
Property acquisitions		(24,069)		(61,095)
Net proceeds from sale of accounts receivable		171,998		328,996
Other		(9,333)		(10,799)
Net cash flows from investing activities		138,596		257,102
Financing Activities				
Net revolving secured bank loan activity		25,000		55,000
Repayment of secured bank term loan		(959)		(500)
Net revolving unsecured bank loan activity		-		(249,717)
Net commercial paper activity		-		(692,891)
Proceeds from secured bank term loans, net of issuance costs Reduction of other obligations		- (10,382)		668,000 (16,041)
Distributions paid on trust preferred securities		(23,400)		(17,550)
Shareowners' equity transactions:		(20,400)		(17,000)
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted shares		5,314		860
Employee stock purchase plan		280		2,353
Cash dividends paid on Common Stock		(4,054)		(21,499)
Net cash flows from financing activities		(8,201)		(271,985)
Discontinued operations		-		(17,584)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		(33,228) 94,032		75,148 116,356
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	60,804	\$	191,504
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:				
Cash paid (received) during the period for:				
Interest and distributions on trust preferred securities	\$	121,392	\$	142,166
Income taxes - net (refunds) payments	•	(39,181)	•	21,093

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Basis Of Presentation

Bergen Brunswig Corporation, a New Jersey corporation formed in 1956, and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") is a diversified drug and healthcare distribution organization. The Company is one of the nation's largest wholesalers of pharmaceuticals and specialty healthcare products to the managed care and retail pharmacy markets, and also distributes pharmaceuticals to long-term care and workers' compensation patients. The Company provides product distribution, logistics, pharmacy management programs, consulting services, and Internet fulfillment services designed to reduce costs and improve patient outcomes.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, after elimination of the effect of intercompany transactions and balances.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for reporting on Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000. Certain reclassifications have been made in the 2000 consolidated financial statements and notes to conform to fiscal 2001 presentations.

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP necessarily requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions.

In the opinion of management of the Company, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results of the Company and its subsidiaries for the periods shown and such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Results of operations for the first nine months of fiscal 2001 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full fiscal year or any other fiscal period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

2. Discontinued Operations

On June 26, 2000, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement to sell the stock of Bergen Brunswig Medical Corporation ("BBMC") to Allegiance Corporation, a subsidiary of Cardinal Health, Inc., for approximately \$181 million in cash before retention of certain liabilities and subject to post-closing accounting adjustments which the Company does not believe will have a material effect on its Consolidated Financial Statements. The regulatory approvals and other closing conditions were satisfied during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000, and the sale was consummated on August 16, 2000. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000, the net proceeds from this divestiture were used to pay down a portion of the \$200 million Interim Term Loan maturing in October 2001 as required under the Senior Credit Agreement (See Note 8). The Company is in process of negotiating the final disposition price adjustments with the buyer of BBMC and expects a resolution by the end of fiscal 2001.

On July 5, 2000, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement to sell the specialty pharmacy assets of Stadtlander Operating Company, LLC ("Stadtlander") to ProCare Pharmacy, Inc., a subsidiary of CVS Corporation, for approximately \$124 million in cash before retention of certain liabilities and subject to post-closing accounting adjustments, which did not have a material effect on its Consolidated Financial Statements. The transaction was structured as a sale of assets and was consummated on September 18, 2000. In addition, certain assets of the corrections division of Stadtlander, that portion of the business that provides pharmaceuticals to prison inmate populations, were sold to Secure Pharmacy Plus, Inc. for approximately \$8 million in a cash transaction that was consummated on September 20, 2000. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000, the net proceeds from the divestitures of the two Stadtlander businesses were used to pay off the remaining portion of the \$200 million Interim Term Loan and a portion of other debt as required under the Senior Credit Agreement. The Company expects to receive approximately \$132 million of income tax benefits during fiscal 2001 and 2002 in connection with the losses recorded on the Stadtlander divestitures. Approximately \$69 million of such benefits were received during the nine months ended June 30, 2001.

The Company purchased Stadtlander from Counsel Corporation ("Counsel") on January 21, 1999, and is in disagreement with Counsel and Counsel's independent auditors regarding the valuation of the net assets of Stadtlander at that date. Notwithstanding the sale of the two Stadtlander businesses, the Company did not assign to the purchasers of the assets its claims against Counsel. Any amounts realized from Counsel would be recorded as an adjustment to the Company's purchase price. See Part I, Item 3, Section 2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000 as filed with the SEC.

The Company has reclassified both BBMC (formerly the principal component of the Other Businesses segment) and the Stadtlander business segment as discontinued operations for the three and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2000 in the accompanying Statements of Consolidated Earnings.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

The loss from discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2000 includes interest expense allocated based on the expected cash proceeds from the sale of the two businesses and the average bank interest rates incurred during the period, and is detailed as follows:

In thousands	Three Months	Nine Months
Net sales and other revenues Cost of goods sold	\$ 280,820 225,560	\$ 873,816 704,921
Gross profit Distribution, selling, general and administrative expenses	55,260 61,065	168,895 184,853
Operating loss Net interest expense	(5,805) 7,498	(15,958) 18,101
Loss before income tax benefit Income tax benefit	(13,303) (5,104)	(34,059) (13,209)
Loss from operations	\$ (8,199)	\$ (20,850)

The Company recognized a loss on the pending dispositions of BBMC and Stadtlander of approximately \$251 million, net of income tax benefits of approximately \$132 million, in the three months ended June 30, 2000. Substantially all of the loss is related to the non-cash write-off of goodwill. Also included in the loss from dispositions are estimated operating losses of BBMC and Stadtlander, including interest expense allocations, from July 1, 2000 through the anticipated transaction closing dates. The loss on dispositions at June 30, 2000 is detailed as follows:

In thousands

Net assets in excess of estimated net proceeds of sale	\$ (369,466)
Estimated future operating results through transaction closing dates Write-off of unamortized debt financing costs	(8,536) (5,394)
Pre-tax loss on dispositions Income tax benefits	(383,396) (132,434)
Net loss on dispositions	\$ (250,962)

3. Comprehensive Income

The Company has adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income", which establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in financial statements. This statement defines comprehensive income as all changes in equity during a period from non-owner sources. The Company has no material differences between net earnings and comprehensive income. Therefore, statements of comprehensive income have not been presented.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

4. Impairment of Goodwill and Other Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses the recoverability of goodwill using a fair value approach, based on discounted future operating cash flows, considering sales and operating earnings trends and other operating factors. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000, the Company's PharMerica, Inc. subsidiary ("PharMerica") recorded a \$505.3 million impairment charge to write down the amount of recorded goodwill to its fair value.

The Company assesses the impairment of long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted future cash flows are not sufficient to recover the assets' carrying amounts. An impairment loss is measured by comparing the asset's carrying amount to its fair value, generally using a discounted cash flow analysis.

5. Revenue Recognition

The Company records revenues when product is shipped and title passes, or services are provided to its customers. Revenues as reflected in the accompanying Statements of Consolidated Earnings are net of sales returns and allowances.

Along with other companies in the drug distribution industry, the Company reports as revenues the gross dollar amount of bulk shipments to customers' warehouses and the related costs in cost of goods sold. Bulk shipment transactions are arranged by the Company with its suppliers at the express direction of the customer, and involve either shipments from the supplier directly to customers' warehouse sites or shipments from the supplier to Company warehouses for immediate shipment to customers' warehouse sites. Gross profit earned by the Company on bulk shipments was not material in any period presented.

6. Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141") and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 141 applies to all business combinations completed after June 30, 2001 and requires the use of the purchase method of accounting. SFAS 141 also establishes new criteria for determining whether intangible assets should be recognized separately from goodwill. SFAS 142 provides that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives will not be amortized against earnings, but rather will be tested for impairment on an annual basis. SFAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. However, companies with fiscal years beginning after March 15, 2001 may elect to early adopt the statement. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SFAS 141 will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. If the pending merger between the Company and AmeriSource Health Corporation ("AmeriSource") (see Note 15) is not consummated, the Company expects to early adopt SFAS 142 on October 1, 2001. While the Company has not fully evaluated all the provisions of SFAS No. 142, it would be expected to eliminate the ongoing amortization of goodwill. During the three months ended June 30, 2001, the amortization of goodwill was approximately \$4.7 million.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

Effective October 1, 2000, the Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133") which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives), and for hedging activities. SFAS 133 was later amended by SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 138. This standard requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value. The adoption of this standard has not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 1999, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101 ("SAB 101"), "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." SAB 101 provides guidance on applying generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition issues in financial statements. The Company will be required to adopt SAB 101 in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2001. The Company does not believe that the adoption of SAB 101 will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2000, the FASB issued SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140"), a replacement of SFAS No. 125 which has the same title. SFAS 140 revises the standards for securitizations and other transfers of financial assets and revises the disclosure requirements for such transactions, but it carries over most of SFAS No. 125's provisions without change. Under SFAS 140, consistent standards are provided for distinguishing financial asset transfers which are sales from financial asset transfers which are secured borrowings. The provisions of SFAS 140 are effective for transfers of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities occurring after March 31, 2001, and have been applied prospectively. The adoption of SFAS 140 has not resulted in a change in the Company's treatment of financial asset transfers under its Receivables Securitization program, which are accounted for as sales.

In September 2000, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force released its discussion on EITF Issue No. 00-10, "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs". EITF No. 00-10 sets forth guidance on how a seller of goods should classify in the income statement (a) amounts billed to a customer for shipping and handling and (b) costs incurred for shipping and handling. The consensus guidance must be adopted by the fourth quarter of the Company's fiscal year 2001. Management believes that any effect from the adoption of this standard will generally be limited to the form and content of the Company's financial statement disclosures.

7. Accounts Receivable Securitization

On December 20, 2000, the Company replaced its Receivables Securitization program by entering into a new Receivables Securitization agreement with a financial institution. The new agreement, which has a five-year term, provides for a longer commitment by the financial institution than did the prior agreement, which had a one-year term. In addition, the new agreement is designed to give the Company additional availability, improved pricing and more flexibility in the timing of receivable sales. Availability is subject to specified percentages of eligible receivables, as defined in the agreement. The initial maximum availability under the program is \$350 million, but the Company has the option to increase the maximum up to \$450 million upon payment of an additional fee. If the Company increases

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

the maximum availability above \$358 million, the Company will make a corresponding reduction in maximum availability of bank borrowings pursuant to the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement (see Note 8).

Through the new Receivables Securitization program, Bergen Brunswig Drug Company ("BBDC") sells, on an ongoing basis, its accounts receivable to Blue Hill II ("Blue Hill"), a 100%-owned special purpose subsidiary. Blue Hill, in turn, sells an undivided percentage ownership interest in such receivables to various investors. The program qualifies for treatment as a sale of assets under SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities". Sales are recorded at the estimated fair value of the receivables sold, reflecting discounts for the time value of money based on specified interest rates and estimated credit losses; the weighted average rate for the program was approximately 4.73% at June 30, 2001.

As of June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000, the Company had outstanding net proceeds of \$340.0 million and \$168.0 million, respectively, from the sale of such receivables under the Receivables Securitization program, and accounts receivable has been reduced by these amounts in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. After the maximum limit of receivables sold has been reached and as sold receivables are collected, additional receivables may be sold up to the maximum amount available under the program. Aggregate discount and fees of approximately \$4.7 million and \$5.4 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$15.6 million and \$10.4 million for the nine-month periods, respectively, on the sold receivables are included in net interest expense in the accompanying Statements of Consolidated Earnings.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

8. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt at June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000 consisted of the following:

Dollars in thousands	June 30, 2001	September 30, 2000
7 3/8% senior notes due 2003	\$ 149,827	\$ 149,744
7 1/4% senior notes due 2005	99,863	99,837
8 3/8% senior subordinated notes due 2008 Revolving credit facilities averaging	308,119	308,119
4.91% and 7.12%, respectively Term loan due 2005, at 7.31% and	80,000	55,000
9.21%, respectively Term loan due 2006, at 8.47% and	254,637	254,637
10.03%, respectively 7% convertible subordinated	189,968	190,927
debentures due 2006 6 7/8% exchangeable subordinated	20,609	20,609
debentures due 2011	8,425	8,425
Other	1,352	2,348
Total	1,112,800	1,089,646
Less current portion (see below)	54,335	22,364
Total	\$ 1,058,465	\$ 1,067,282

The Company's \$1.5 billion Senior Credit Agreement originally consisted of an \$800 million revolving facility maturing in April 2003, a \$200 million interim term loan maturing in October 2001 ("Interim Term Loan"), a \$300 million term loan maturing in March 2005 ("Term A Loan") and a \$200 million term loan maturing in March 2006 ("Term B Loan"). In August and September 2000, the Company used the proceeds of the BBMC and Stadtlander dispositions to fully repay the Interim Term Loan and to repay an aggregate \$54 million of the Term A and Term B Loans. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The availability of revolving loans under the Senior Credit Agreement is tied to a borrowing base formula and certain covenants; the maximum amount of revolving loans outstanding may not exceed specified percentages of the Company's eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory. Revolving loans of \$25 million were outstanding at June 30, 2001. There were no outstanding revolving loans at September 30, 2000. Interest accrues at specified rates based on the Company's debt ratings; such rates range from 2.5% to 3.5% over LIBOR or 1.5% to 2.5% over prime, with a weighted average rate of approximately 7.74% at June 30, 2001. The Company pays quarterly commitment fees to maintain the availability of revolving loans under the Senior Credit Agreement. The Senior Credit Agreement has loan covenants which require the Company to maintain certain financial statement ratios and places certain limitations on, among other things, acquisitions, investments, methods of operation, dividend payments and capital expenditures. Effective September 29, 2000, the Senior Credit Agreement was amended to exclude the

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

effect of certain special items recorded by the Company in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000 from the calculation of the required ratios and covenants.

Aggregate borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement amounted to approximately \$470 million and \$501 million at June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000, respectively. An aggregate of \$53 million of such outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2001 has been classified in the current portion of long-term debt based on the scheduled future repayments within the next year as required under the Senior Credit Agreement.

One of the Company's subsidiaries has a \$55 million bank revolving credit facility which expires on May 20, 2002. Borrowings under the facility, which were \$55 million at both June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000, bear interest at 0.35% above LIBOR and are secured by a standby letter of credit under the Senior Credit Agreement for which the Company incurs a fee of 2.75%.

Certain of the Company's debt will be restructured if the pending merger with AmeriSource is consummated (see Note 15).

9. Preferred Securities of Trust

In May 1999, Bergen Capital I (the "Trust"), a wholly-owned subsidiary trust of the Company, issued 12,000,000 shares of 7.80% Trust Originated Preferred Securities (SM) (TOPrS(SM)) (the "Preferred Securities") at \$25 per security. The proceeds of such issuances were invested by the Trust in \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the Company's 7.80% Subordinated Deferrable Interest Notes due June 30, 2039 (the "Subordinated Notes"). The Subordinated Notes represent the sole assets of the Trust and bear interest at the annual rate of 7.80% per annum, payable quarterly, and are redeemable at the option of the Company beginning in May 2004 at 100% of the principal amount thereof. The obligations of the Trust related to the Preferred Securities are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company.

Holders of the Preferred Securities are entitled to cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate of 7.80% of the liquidation amount of \$25 per security. The Preferred Securities will be redeemable upon any repayment of the Subordinated Notes at 100% of the liquidation amount beginning in May 2004.

The Subordinated Notes and the related Trust investment in the Subordinated Notes have been eliminated in consolidation and the Preferred Securities are reflected as outstanding in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

10. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted Earnings Per Share ("EPS") for the three and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

	Three Months			Nine Months				
In thousands, except EPS		2001		2000		2001		2000
Numerator for both basic and diluted EPS: Earnings from continuing operations Discontinued operations: Loss from operations Loss on dispositions	\$	35,184 - -	\$	19,713 (8,199) (250,962)	\$	84,717 - -		64,309 (20,850) 250,962)
Net earnings (loss)	\$	35,184	\$	(239,448)	\$	84,717	\$ (2	207,503)
Denominator: Denominator for basic EPS - weighted average shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding Effects of dilutive employees' stock options (dilutive potential		135,369		134,507		135,168		134,417
common shares)		1,958		12		1,656		74
Denominator for diluted EPS - adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions		137,327		134,519		136,824		134,491
Earnings (loss) per share: Basic: Continuing operations Discontinued operations: Loss from operations Loss on dispositions Net earnings (loss)	\$.26 - - - .26	\$.15 (.06) (1.87) (1.78)	\$.63 - - .63	\$.48 (.15) (1.87) (1.54)
Diluted: Continuing operations Discontinued operations: Loss from operations Loss on dispositions	\$.26 - -	\$.15 (.06) (1.87)	\$.62 - -	\$.48 (.15) (1.87)
Net earnings (loss)	\$.26	\$	(1.78)	\$.62	\$	(1.54)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

11. Dividends

On August 9, 2001, the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.01 per share on the Company's Common Stock that will be paid on September 3, 2001 to shareowners of record on August 20, 2001. The Company also paid dividends of \$0.01 per share in each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2001. During fiscal 2000 the Company paid dividends of \$.075 per share in each of the first and second quarters and \$0.01 per share in each of the third and fourth quarters.

12. Business Segment Information

The Company is organized based upon the products and services it provides to its customers. The Company's continuing operating segments have been aggregated into three reportable segments: Pharmaceutical Distribution, PharMerica and Other Businesses.

The Pharmaceutical Distribution segment includes Bergen Brunswig Drug Company ("BBDC") and Bergen Brunswig Specialty Group ("BBSG"), which consists of ASD Specialty Healthcare, Inc. ("ASD"), Integrated Commercialization Solutions, Inc. ("ICS") and The Lash Group, Inc. ("Lash"). BBDC sells pharmaceuticals, over-the-counter medicines, health and beauty aids, and other health-related products to hospitals, managed care facilities, independent and chain retail pharmacies, food/drug combination stores, and mail order pharmacies. ASD sells specialty pharmaceutical products to physicians, clinics and other providers in the nephrology, oncology, plasma and vaccines sectors. BBDC and ASD also provide promotional, inventory management and information services to their customers. ICS provides commercial outsourcing services to healthcare product manufacturers, while Lash provides healthcare reimbursement consulting services.

The PharMerica segment consists solely of the Company's PharMerica operations. PharMerica provides institutional pharmacy products and services to patients in long-term care and alternate site settings, including skilled nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, and residential living communities. It also provides mail order and on-line pharmacy services to injured workers who are receiving workers' compensation benefits, homebound catastrophically-ill patients, and other consumers.

The Other Businesses segment consists solely of Choice Systems Inc. ("Choice Systems"), which sells inventory management software to hospitals and other healthcare providers.

The segment structure described herein is different from that previously reported in the three and nine months ended June 30, 2000 due to realignment of several of the Company's smaller subsidiaries. All fiscal 2000 data shown below has been restated to be consistent with the new organizational structure.

All of the Company's operations are located in the United States of America or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

The following tables present segment information for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2001 and 2000 (in thousands):

	Three	Mon	iths	Nine Months			
Net Sales and Other Revenues	2001		2000	2001	2000		
Pharmaceutical Distribution PharMerica Other Businesses Corporate Intersegment Eliminations	\$ 5,315,849 336,783 228 235 (176,831)	\$	4,658,310 320,929 262 (4) (174,054)	\$ 14,792,711 1,011,873 594 873 (565,713)	\$ 13,450,480 950,429 934 574 (514,149)		
Revenue excluding bulk shipments Bulk shipments of pharmaceuticals to customers' warehouses	5,476,264 1,197,183		4,805,443 993,010	15,240,338 3,187,009	13,888,268 3,109,046		
Total net sales and other revenues	\$ 6,673,447	\$	5,798,453	\$ 18,427,347	\$ 16,997,314		

Management evaluates segment performance based on revenues excluding bulk shipments to customers' warehouses. For further information regarding the nature of bulk shipments, which only occur in the Pharmaceutical Distribution segment, see Note 5.

Intersegment Eliminations represent the elimination of the Pharmaceutical Distribution segment's sales to PharMerica (BBDC is the principal supplier of pharmaceuticals to PharMerica).

	Three Months					Nine Months			
Operating Earnings (Loss)		2001		2000		2001		2000	
Pharmaceutical Distribution - FIFO Basis LIFO charges	\$	107,488 (5,000)	\$	92,727 (1,250)	\$	283,290 (7,500)	\$	257,551 (3,750)	
Pharmaceutical Distribution - LIFO Basis PharMerica Other Businesses Corporate		102,488 16,002 (938) (18,915)		91,477 (1,684) (834) (15,256)		275,790 47,267 (2,694) (56,075)		253,801 14,550 (2,457) (48,445)	
Total operating earnings, LIFO Basis	\$	98,637	\$	73,703	\$	264,288	\$	217,449	

Certain corporate office expenses of a direct operational nature are charged to the segments, but general corporate overhead is not allocated. Also, interest expense is not allocated to the segments.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

13. Restructuring Charges

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000, the Company recorded a \$10.7 million restructuring charge representing the estimated cost of (a) consolidating four BBDC distribution centers into larger existing facilities during fiscal 2001, (b) outsourcing certain BBDC delivery functions and (c) streamlining certain BBDC and BBSG selling and administrative functions. The Albuquerque division was consolidated into the Phoenix facility in October 2000, the Portland division was consolidated into the Seattle facility in December 2000 and the South Bend division was consolidated into the Williamston facility in June 2001. During the nine months ended June 30, 2001, the Company recorded net charges of approximately \$4.3 million against the restructuring liability. These charges consisted primarily of severance payments to terminated employees.

14. Business Acquisition

On February 20, 2001, the Company acquired an additional ownership interest in an entity for a cash price of \$10 million, giving the Company a majority ownership interest. Previously, the Company's investment in the entity was accounted for under the equity method. The Company has recorded its acquisition of a majority interest using the purchase accounting method. The Company's aggregate investment was allocated to the identifiable net assets of the entity, and the excess of such investment over such identifiable net assets was recorded as goodwill of approximately \$19 million, which is being amortized over 30 years. The operating results of the entity have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements beginning with the date of the February 2001 investment. Had the Company acquired a majority ownership position at the beginning of fiscal year 2000, the proforma inclusion of the entity's operating results would not have had a material effect on the Company's consolidated revenues or earnings during fiscal year 2000 or 2001. The entity, which provides services to healthcare providers, is included in the Company's Pharmaceutical Distribution segment.

The interest of the minority owners in the entity's equity is included in other liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet, and their interest in the entity's earnings is included in net interest expense on the accompanying Statement of Consolidated Earnings. Such amounts were not material in the third guarter or first nine months of fiscal 2001.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

15. Pending Merger

On March 16, 2001, the Company signed a definitive merger agreement with AmeriSource, a distributor of pharmaceuticals and related healthcare products and services, headquartered in Valley Forge, Pennsylvania. The combined company will be known as AmerisourceBergen Corporation ("AmerisourceBergen"), with headquarters in Valley Forge, Pennsylvania. Under the terms of the merger agreement, which has been unanimously approved by the respective Board of Directors of the Company and AmeriSource, shareowners of the Company will receive 0.37 share of AmerisourceBergen common stock in exchange for each outstanding share of the Company's Class A Common Stock, while each share of AmeriSource common stock will be converted into one share of AmerisourceBergen common stock. AmerisourceBergen will have approximately 103 million shares outstanding, with current AmeriSource shareholders owning approximately 51% of the combined company and the Company's current shareowners owning approximately 49%. Based on closing stock prices on March 16, 2001, AmerisourceBergen would have a pro forma market capitalization of approximately \$5 billion and approximately \$2 billion of debt. The merger of the two companies has been structured as a tax-free transaction for both the Company's shareowners and AmeriSource's shareholders. The merger transaction will be accounted for as a purchase for financial reporting purposes, and the combined entity will use the new guidelines for business combinations as set forth in SFAS 141 and SFAS 142 (see Note 6).

The merger is currently expected to be completed in late August 2001, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including approvals by the Company's shareowners and AmeriSource's shareholders and the receipt of certain regulatory approvals. On May 7, 2001, the Company announced that, as anticipated, it had received a request for additional information and documentary material from the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 ("HSR") with respect to the merger. On July 27, 2001, the Company and AmeriSource announced that as of that date, each of the companies had certified compliance with the FTC's request for additional information in connection with the merger. Pursuant to HSR, the FTC's 30-day review period will expire at midnight EDT on Monday, August 27, 2001, unless the waiting period is otherwise terminated or extended prior to that date. Termination or expiration of the review period is a condition to the consummation of the merger. The Company and AmeriSource have scheduled their special shareholder meetings for August 29, 2001 to vote on the proposed merger.

On July 17, 2001, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, PharMerica, initiated a cash tender offer (the "Offer") to purchase all of PharMerica's outstanding 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due in 2008 (the "Notes") and consent solicitation. The purchase price for each \$1,000 principal amount of Notes tendered pursuant to the Offer is \$1,010, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the purchased Notes up to, but not including, the payment date. The consent solicitation seeks consents from holders of the Notes to eliminate substantially all of the restrictive covenants contained in the Note's governing indenture. The consent payment for each \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for which a consent is validly delivered during the consent solicitation period is \$5.00. Holders who tender their Notes are required to give their consent in the consent solicitation. Any holder tendering Notes after the consent solicitation period but prior to the expiration of the tender offer period will not be entitled to receive the consent payment. This tender offer is expected to remain open until 9:00 A.M. EDT on August 14, 2001. The consent solicitation remained open until August 9, 2001, the date upon which consents were received from holders of a majority (in principal amount) of the outstanding Notes and a

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

supplemental indenture was executed by PharMerica, the subsidiary guarantors and the trustee, two of the conditions described in the paragraph below. Tenders of Notes and deliveries of consents became irrevocable when the consent solicitation period expired, unless the Offer is subsequently terminated without any Notes being purchased.

The tender offer and consent solicitation are contingent upon a number of conditions, including: obtaining consents from holders of a majority (in principal amount) of the outstanding Notes to the removal of substantially all of the restrictive covenants in the governing indenture; consummation of the pending merger between the Company and AmeriSource; consummation of a bond financing by the combined AmerisourceBergen Corporation yielding net proceeds of at least \$300 million (see paragraph below); execution of a supplemental indenture containing the proposed amendments; and other general and customary conditions. No assurance can be given that any or that all of these conditions will be satisfied and, accordingly, that the purchase of the Notes pursuant to the Offer will be consummated. Since PharMerica is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, no filings are required to be made with the SEC in connection with this tender offer and consent solicitation. This disclosure is neither an offer to purchase nor a solicitation of an offer to sell securities. The tender offer is made only by the Offer to Purchase and Consent Solicitation Statement, which is dated July 17, 2001, and in connection with the Offer, no holder of the Notes should rely on any information except as may be contained in such Offer to Purchase and Consent Solicitation Statement, subject to any qualifications set forth therein.

On August 9, 2001, the Company and AmeriSource jointly announced plans for AmerisourceBergen Corporation to issue \$500 million 8.125% Senior Notes due 2008 (the "2008 Notes"), contingent upon completion of the pending merger of the Company and AmeriSource. The 2008 Notes will be issued in a private placement and are expected to be resold by the initial purchasers to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. The proceeds from the sale of the 2008 Notes will be used together with proceeds expected from a new credit facility to repay the Company's and AmeriSource's existing senior secured credit facilities, to pay fees and expenses associated with the merger, to repay certain of the Company's other indebtedness, and for general corporate purposes. The 2008 Notes to be offered have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. This disclosure shall not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy such 2008 Notes in any jurisdiction in which such an offer or sale would be unlawful and is issued pursuant to Rule 135-c under the Securities Act of 1933.

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

PORTIONS OF MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS PRESENTED BELOW, CONSISTING OF THOSE STATEMENTS WHICH ARE NOT HISTORICAL IN NATURE (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE COMPANY'S EXPECTATIONS REGARDING MARGINS, SALES MIX, COST REDUCTION EFFORTS, NURSING HOME ADMISSIONS, CASH AND CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS), MAY CONSTITUTE "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS" WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. STATEMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO MATERIALLY DIFFER FROM THOSE PROJECTED OR IMPLIED. THE MOST SIGNIFICANT OF SUCH RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS ARE DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 99(A) TO THE COMPANY'S ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000. IN MANY CASES, THE COMPANY CANNOT PREDICT WHAT FACTORS WOULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE INDICATED BY THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. THE COMPANY DISCLAIMS ANY OBLIGATION TO UPDATE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's revenues excluding bulk shipments and its earnings from continuing operations increased 14% and 78%, respectively, during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2001 (the third quarter of fiscal year 2001) compared to the third quarter last year. The Company's revenues excluding bulk shipments and its earnings from continuing operations increased 10% and 32%, respectively, during the nine months ended June 30, 2001 (the first nine months of fiscal year 2001) compared to the corresponding period in the prior year. Net earnings percentage changes were not meaningful for the third quarter and nine months, respectively, primarily because the prior year's results included losses from discontinued operations.

The following table summarizes key line items from the Company's Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the aforementioned periods:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				%	Nine Months Ended June 30,				%
Dollars in millions, except for per share amounts		2001		2000	Change	2001		2000		Change
Revenues excluding bulk shipments	\$ 5	5,476.2	\$	4,805.5	14%	\$	15,240.3	\$	13,888.3	10%
Earnings from continuing operations Discontinued operations:	\$	35.2	\$	19.7	78%	\$	84.7	\$	64.3	32%
Loss from operations Loss on dispositions		-		(8.2) (250.9)			-		(20.9) (250.9)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$	35.2	\$	(239.4)		\$	84.7	\$	(207.5)	
Diluted earnings per share: Earnings from continuing operations Discontinued operations:	\$.26	\$.15	73%	\$.62	\$.48	29%
Loss from operations Loss on dispositions		-		(.06) (1.87)			-		(.15) (1.87)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$.26	\$	(1.78)		\$.62	\$	(1.54)	

Losses from discontinued operations represent losses incurred during the prior year by BBMC and Stadtlander, which were sold by the Company in August and September 2000, respectively. Such losses included an allocation of interest expense based on the consolidated average bank borrowing rate and the expected proceeds from the dispositions, which approximated the amount of debt retired. For further discussion, see the "Discontinued Operations" section herein.

Changes in the Company's operating results between the current and prior year quarters and nine-month periods were not significantly affected by acquisitions.

CONTINUING OPERATIONS

The 78% and 32% increases in earnings from continuing operations for the quarter and nine months, respectively, were principally due to increases in operating earnings and a lower effective income tax rate, partially offset by higher net interest expense. The following table provides a summarized statement of continuing operations on a consolidated basis, including key line item percentage changes and ratios:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			%			Nine Months June 3	%		
Dollars in millions		2001	2000	Char	Change		2001	2000	Change	
Revenues excluding bulk shipments Bulk shipments	\$	5,476.2 \$ 1,197.2	4,805.5 993.0	14 21	% %	\$	15,240.3 \$ 3,187.0	13,888.3 3,109.0	10 3	% %
Net sales and other revenues	\$	6,673.4 \$	5,798.5	15	%	\$	18,427.3 \$	16,997.3	8	%
Continuing operations: Gross profit, LIFO basis Operating expenses	\$	330.9 \$ 232.3	319.3 245.6	4 (5)	% %	\$	964.6 \$ 700.3	934.5 717.1	3 (2)	% %
Operating earnings Net interest expense		98.6 32.5	73.7 32.0	34 2	% %		264.3 101.7	217.4 80.6	22 26	% %
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and distributions Income taxes Distributions on trust preferred securities Earnings from continuing operations	\$	66.1 27.4 3.5 35.2 \$	41.7 18.5 3.5 19.7	59 48 78	% %	\$	162.6 67.3 10.6 84.7 \$	136.8 61.9 10.6 64.3	19 9 32	% %
Percentage of revenues excluding bulk shipments: Gross profit, LIFO basis Operating expenses Operating earnings		6.04% 4.24% 1.80%	6.64% 5.11%		_	-	6.33% 4.60% 1.73%	6.73% 5.16% 1.57%		

Revenues excluding bulk shipments increased 14% in the quarter and 10% in the nine months, respectively, due to growth in both the Pharmaceutical Distribution and PharMerica segments, as described in the "Segment Information" section below.

Along with other companies in its industry, the Company reports bulk shipments of pharmaceuticals in revenues and cost of goods sold. Bulk shipment transactions are arranged by the Company with its suppliers at the express direction of the customer, and involve either shipments from the supplier directly to customers' warehouse sites or shipments from the supplier to Company

warehouses for immediate shipment to customers' warehouse sites. Bulk sales of pharmaceuticals do not impact the Company's inventory since the Company simply processes the orders that it receives from its suppliers directly to the customers' warehouses. The Company serves as an intermediary by paying the supplier and billing the customer for the goods. Due to the insignificant margins generated through bulk shipments, fluctuations in such revenues have only an immaterial impact on the Company's operating earnings.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenues excluding bulk shipments ("gross margin") was 6.04% and 6.64% in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively, and 6.33% and 6.73% in the respective nine-month periods. The 60 and 40 basis-point decreases were primarily attributable to lower selling margins and sales mix changes in the Pharmaceutical Distribution and PharMerica segments, as described in the "Segment Information" section below.

Operating expenses consist of distribution, selling, general and administrative expenses ("DSG&A"). Operating expenses as a percentage of revenues excluding bulk shipments were 4.24% and 5.11% in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively, and 4.60% and 5.16% in the respective nine-month periods. The 87 and 56 basis-point decreases were principally due to operating expense improvements in the Pharmaceutical Distribution and PharMerica segments, as described in the "Segment Information" section below.

Operating expenses include the amortization of goodwill, only a portion of which is tax-deductible. Goodwill amortization decreased by approximately \$3.3 million during each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2001, substantially all of which resulted from lower amortization of PharMerica goodwill following the \$505.3 million goodwill impairment charge recorded in September 2000. The table below provides a summary of goodwill amortization from continuing operations by segment and its effect on net earnings and diluted earnings per share.

	Thr	ee Mont June	ded	Nine Months Ended June 30,				
Dollars in millions	20	01	20	00	20	001	20	00
Pharmaceutical Distribution PharMerica	\$	2.0 2.7	\$	1.9 6.1	\$	5.9 8.1	\$	5.7 18.1
Total goodwill amortization Tax benefit of deductible portion		4.7 (1.0)		8.0 (1.0)		14.0 (3.0)		23.8 (3.0)
Reduction of net earnings	\$	3.7	\$	7.0	\$	11.0	\$	20.8
Reduction of diluted earnings per share	\$.03	\$.05	\$.08	\$.15

Segment Information

Following is a summary of revenues and operating earnings for the Company's business segments:

Dollars in millions

	Three Months Ended June 30,			%						
Revenues Excluding Bulk Shipments	2	001	20	00	Change		2001		2000	Change
Pharmaceutical Distribution PharMerica	\$ 5	5,315.8 336.8		320.9	14 % 5 %	\$	14,792.7	\$	950.4	10% 6%
Other Businesses Corporate		.2 .2		.3			.6 .9		.9 .6	
Intersegment Eliminations		(176.8)	((174.0)	2 %		(565.8)		.5 (514.1)	10%
Total	\$ 5	5,476.2			14_%	\$	15,240.3	\$		10%
	Thre	e Month	_	nded		Ni	ne Montl	_		
Operating Earnings (Loss) -	June 30,			·	%					
LIFO Basis	2	001	20	00	Change		2001		2000	Change
Pharmaceutical Distribution PharMerica Other Businesses	\$	102.5 16.0 (1.0)	\$	91.5 (1.7) (.8)	12 %	\$	275.8 47.3 (2.7)	\$	253.8 14.6 (2.5)	9% 225%
Corporate		(18.9)		(15.3)	(24) %		(56.1)		(48.5)	(16)%
Total	\$	98.6	\$	73.7	34 %	\$	264.3	\$	217.4	22%
Percentages of revenues excluding bulk shipments:										
Pharmaceutical Distribution: Gross profit		4.01%	,	4.34%			4.08%	6	4.31%	
Operating expenses		2.08%		2.38%			2.22%		2.42%	
Operating earnings		1.93%	0	1.96%			1.86%	6	1.89%	
PharMerica: Gross profit		34.80%	, 0	36.43%			35.49%	6	37.14%	
Operating expenses		30.05%		36.95%			30.829	6	35.61%	
Operating earnings		4.75%	0	(.52)%			4.67%	6	1.53%	

Pharmaceutical Distribution:

Revenues increased 14% and 10% in the third quarter and nine-month period, respectively, representing growth by both BBDC and BBSG. BBDC's revenues increased 12% and 8%, respectively, reflecting strong growth in the retail category principally due to the addition or expansion of business with several large regional drug store chains and food/drug chains. Health systems revenues in the nine-month period declined due to the loss of business from some of the healthcare providers that are members of Novation, a large group purchasing organization that had previously recognized BBDC as an authorized distributor. In the third quarter of fiscal 2001, health systems revenues were higher than the prior year quarter as shipments to a new mail order pharmacy customer and higher shipments to other customers more than offset the loss of Novation business. BBSG's revenues increased 41% and 34%, respectively, primarily representing growth in its oncology business. The BBDC and BBSG increases were comprised of a larger volume of shipments to existing customers as well as to a significant number of new customers. National industry economic conditions were also favorable, with increases in prescription drug sales and higher pharmaceutical prices contributing to this segment's revenue growth.

Operating earnings increased by 12% for the third quarter and 9% for the nine months. As a percentage of revenues, operating earnings on a LIFO basis were 1.93% and 1.96% of revenues in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively, and 1.86% and 1.89% in the respective ninemonth periods. The small basis-point changes represent the net effect of reductions in gross margins which were approximately offset by reductions in operating expense ratios.

Gross margin on a LIFO basis was 4.01% and 4.34% in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively, and 4.08% and 4.31% in the respective nine-month periods. The 33 and 23 basis-point reductions in the third quarter and nine months, respectively, primarily reflect lower BBDC gross margins. BBDC's margins declined mainly due to intense price competition within the industry, as well as to a change in BBDC's sales mix, with a greater proportion of revenues coming from high-volume, low-margin customers. This selling price erosion was partially offset by an increase in buyside margins resulting from a higher level of inventory investment buying in fiscal 2001. In the third quarter of fiscal 2000, BBDC's gross margins were adversely impacted because BBDC did not fully participate in investment buying activity due to the limited availability of funds preceding the refinancing of the Company's revolving credit facility in April 2000 (see Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). In comparison with the respective prior year periods, BBSG's gross margins were essentially flat for both the third quarter and the nine months.

In the Company's wholesale distribution businesses, it is customary to pass on manufacturers' price increases to customers. Investment buying enables distributors such as the Company to benefit by purchasing goods in advance of anticipated manufacturers' price increases. Consequently, the rate or frequency of future price increases by manufacturers, or the lack thereof, affects the profitability of the Company.

Management anticipates further downward pressure on sell-side gross margins in the pharmaceutical distribution businesses during the remainder of fiscal 2001 because of continued selling price competition influenced by high-volume customers. Management expects that these pressures may be offset to some extent by an increased sales mix of more profitable products and services and continued reduction of operating expenses as a percentage of revenues. However, no assurance can be given that such improved sales mix or expense reduction can be achieved since many of the factors that impact such results (e.g. the effect of group purchasing agreements, competitive inroads, market conditions, etc.) are outside of the Company's control.

Operating expenses as a percentage of revenues excluding bulk shipments were 2.08% and 2.38% in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively, and 2.22% and 2.42% in the respective nine-month periods. The 30 and 20 basis-point reductions in the third quarter and nine months, respectively, were primarily attributable to continued operating efficiencies and the spreading of fixed costs over a larger revenue base. This segment's distribution infrastructure has been able to process increasing volume without a proportionate increase in operating expenses. Contributing to this efficiency in fiscal 2001 was the consolidation of three distribution centers, the outsourcing of deliveries, and the streamlining of administrative functions pursuant to BBDC's restructuring plan announced in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000 (see Note 13 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). Also, the aforementioned shift in the distribution businesses' mix towards high-volume customers reduced the operating expense ratios because these customers are less costly to serve due to economies of scale in transportation and certain other functions.

PharMerica:

PharMerica's revenues increased 5% and 6% in the third quarter and nine-month period, respectively. These increases were mainly attributable to growth in the workers' compensation services business, which reported revenue increases of 37% and 36% for the quarter and nine-month period, respectively. Long-term care revenues were 3% lower for the third quarter and were essentially flat for the nine months. Long-term care revenues were affected by the sale of two large unprofitable pharmacies in February 2001. Additionally, PharMerica's long-term care business has tightened its credit and pricing policies during the past year, which has slowed its growth rate. In early May 2001, PharMerica's agreement with its largest long-term care customer, Beverly Enterprises, Inc., was renewed for a five-year term with an opportunity to continue the agreement for an additional five years thereafter.

PharMerica's operating earnings, as a percentage of revenues, were 4.75% and (.52)% in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and fiscal 2000, respectively, and 4.67% and 1.53% for the respective nine-month periods. The significant improvements resulted from a reduction in operating expense ratios of approximately 700 and 500 basis points in each of the three and nine-month periods, respectively, partially offset by an approximate 200 basis-point reduction in gross margin in each period. These ratios were significantly impacted by a change in the sales mix, with a greater proportion of PharMerica's revenues coming from the workers' compensation business, which has lower gross margins and lower operating expenses than the long-term care business. The reduction in gross margin also reflects continued selling price pressures, partially offset by the benefits of PharMerica's increased participation in Bergen's purchasing programs.

The decrease in the operating expense ratio is primarily attributable to significantly lower bad debt provisions in fiscal 2001; this improvement is partially due to the benefits derived from the new credit policies implemented in late fiscal 2000, as well as from improvements in billing and collection practices effected throughout fiscal 2001. The lower operating expense ratio also reflects lower employee costs and other efficiencies resulting from the consolidation or sale of several pharmacies, the outsourcing of deliveries, the conversion of a majority of disparate pharmacy computer systems to a common AS400 platform, and other programs which have been implemented to streamline operations. Approximately 100 basis points of the operating expense ratio reduction is attributable to the aforementioned \$3.3 million decrease in goodwill amortization during each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2001.

Over the past two years, PharMerica's revenues and gross margins have been adversely affected by negative industry trends resulting from dramatically lower reimbursement to nursing homes for Medicare patients under the Prospective Payment System ("PPS"). The Company has seen stabilization of PPS reimbursement in both fiscal 2000 and fiscal 2001. However, lower Medicaid reimbursement levels resulting from the previously-disclosed reductions in Average Wholesale Prices and Federal Upper Limit prices will continue to adversely affect PharMerica's gross margins in the foreseeable future.

Other Businesses:

Revenues were lower in the third quarter and the nine-month period, while operating losses increased by \$0.2 million in each of these periods. Choice Systems continues to operate at an unprofitable level.

Corporate:

Corporate expenses, net of minor revenue, increased \$3.6 million and \$7.6 million in the third quarter and nine months, respectively. The unusually large increase in the third quarter reflects a combination of factors, including higher litigation-related legal expense and higher costs associated with certain corporate benefit and administrative programs.

Intersegment Eliminations:

These amounts represent the elimination of the Pharmaceutical Distribution segment's sales to PharMerica (BBDC is the principal supplier of pharmaceuticals to PharMerica). The increases are generally attributable to the higher volume of intersegment shipments necessary to support PharMerica's growth.

Interest Expense and Distributions on Preferred Securities

The Company's financing expenses for its continuing operations are comprised of two line items on the Statements of Consolidated Earnings:

	Three I End June	ded	%	Nine N En Jun	%		
Dollars in millions	2001	2000	Change	2001	2000	Change	
Net interest expense (pre-tax)	\$ 32.5	\$ 32.0	2 %	\$ 101.7	\$ 80.6	26 %	
Distributions on preferred securities of subsidiary trust (before tax benefit)	5.8	5.8		17.6	17.6		
Total financing expenses for continuing operations	\$ 38.3	\$ 37.8	1 %	\$ 119.3	\$ 98.2	21 %	

Total financing expenses increased \$0.5 million and \$21.1 million in the third quarter and nine-month period, respectively. For the third quarter of fiscal 2001, the effect of higher borrowings was largely offset by the effect of lower market interest rates, resulting in a small net increase in financing expenses. The increase in the nine-month period was primarily due to both higher interest rates and higher borrowings for continuing operations on the Company's bank credit facilities and Receivables Securitization program. The higher rates principally reflect higher interest rates and fees associated with the Senior Credit Agreement, which became effective in April 2000, as compared to the previous credit facilities. Borrowings were higher primarily because the Company was able to use the increased availability of funds under the Senior Credit Facility and Receivables Securitization program to participate more fully in inventory investment buying activity.

Taxes on Income

Taxes on income, excluding the tax benefit on distributions on the Company's Preferred Securities, were 41.4% and 44.3% of pre-tax earnings in the third quarters of fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively, and 41.4% and 45.3% in the respective nine-month periods. The reductions in the effective tax rates are primarily attributable to the aforementioned decrease in nondeductible PharMerica goodwill amortization.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On June 26, 2000, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement to sell the stock of BBMC to Allegiance Corporation, a subsidiary of Cardinal Health, Inc., for approximately \$181 million in cash before retention of certain liabilities and subject to post-closing accounting adjustments. The regulatory approvals and other closing conditions were satisfied during the fourth quarter, and the sale was consummated on August 16, 2000. The net proceeds from this divestiture were used to pay down the \$200 million Interim Term Loan maturing in October 2001.

On July 5, 2000, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement to sell the specialty pharmacy assets of Stadtlander to ProCare Pharmacy, Inc., a subsidiary of CVS Corporation, for approximately \$124 million in cash before retention of certain liabilities and subject to post-closing accounting adjustments. The transaction was structured as a sale of assets and was consummated on September 18, 2000. In addition, certain assets of the corrections division of Stadtlander, that portion of the business that provides pharmaceuticals to prison inmate populations, were sold to Secure Pharmacy Plus, Inc. for approximately \$8 million in a cash transaction which was consummated on September 20, 2000. The net proceeds from the divestitures of the two Stadtlander businesses were used to pay off the remaining portion of the \$200 million Interim Term Loan and a portion of other debt.

The Company has reclassified both BBMC and Stadtlander as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. The \$8.2 million and \$20.9 million losses from discontinued operations in the third quarter and first nine months, respectively, of fiscal 2000 principally reflect losses at Stadtlander, which experienced unprofitable operations due to low gross margins, high bad debt provisions, and costs associated with its restructuring. BBMC reported a small loss in each period.

The Company also recognized a loss on the dispositions of BBMC and Stadtlander of approximately \$251 million, net of income tax benefits of approximately \$132 million, in the quarter ended June 30, 2000. Substantially all of the loss was related to the non-cash write-off of goodwill.

For further information on Discontinued Operations, see Note 2 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Following is a summary of the Company's capitalization at the end of the most recent quarter and fiscal year:

	June 30, 2001	September 30, 2000
Debt, net of cash	49%	49%
Equity, including the Preferred Securities	51%	51%

The debt and equity percentages at June 30, 2001 were unchanged from the most recent fiscal year-end because a small increase in net debt, which resulted mainly from lower cash balances and higher borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility, was offset by an increase in equity, which resulted mainly from the Company's net earnings for the first nine months of fiscal 2001. The Company also increased its utilization of the Receivables Securitization program (described below) by \$172 million in order to finance higher seasonal inventory purchases.

The Company's \$1.5 billion Senior Credit Agreement originally consisted of an \$800 million revolving facility maturing in April 2003, a \$200 million interim term loan maturing in October 2001 ("Interim Term Loan"), a \$300 million term loan maturing in March 2005 ("Term A Loan") and a \$200 million term loan maturing in March 2006 ("Term B Loan"). In August and September 2000, the Company used the proceeds of the BBMC and Stadtlander dispositions to fully repay the Interim Term Loan and to repay an aggregate \$54 million of the Term A and Term B Loans. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The availability of revolving loans under the Senior Credit Agreement is tied to a borrowing base formula and certain covenants; the maximum amount of revolving loans outstanding may not exceed specified percentages of the Company's eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory. Borrowings of \$25 million against the revolving facility were outstanding at June 30, 2001. There were no outstanding revolving loans at September 30, 2000. Interest accrues at specified rates based on the Company's debt ratings; such rates range from 2.5% to 3.5% over LIBOR or 1.5% to 2.5% over prime, with a weighted average rate of approximately 7.74% on outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2001. The Company pays quarterly commitment fees to maintain the availability of revolving loans under the Senior Credit Agreement. The Senior Credit Agreement has loan covenants which require the Company to maintain certain financial statement ratios and places certain limitations on, among other things, acquisitions, investments, methods of operation, dividend payments and capital expenditures. Effective September 29, 2000, the Senior Credit Agreement was amended to exclude the effect of certain special items recorded by the Company in the fourth guarter of fiscal 2000 from the calculation of the required ratios and covenants.

One of the Company's subsidiaries has a \$55 million bank revolving credit facility which expires on May 20, 2002. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at 0.35% above LIBOR and are secured by a standby letter of credit under the Senior Credit Agreement for which the Company incurs a fee of 2.75%.

On December 20, 2000, the Company replaced its Receivables Securitization program by entering into a new Receivables Securitization agreement with a financial institution. The new agreement, which has a five-year term, provides for a longer commitment by the financial institution than did the prior agreement, which had a one-year term. In addition, the new agreement is designed to give the Company additional availability, improved pricing and more flexibility in the timing of receivable sales. Availability is subject to specified percentages of eligible receivables, as defined in the agreement. The initial maximum availability under the program is \$350 million, but the Company has the option to increase the maximum up to \$450 million upon payment of an additional fee. If the Company increases the maximum availability above \$358 million, the Company will make a corresponding reduction in maximum availability of bank borrowings pursuant to the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement.

Through the new Receivables Securitization program, BBDC sells, on an ongoing basis, its accounts receivable to Blue Hill, a 100%-owned special purpose subsidiary. Blue Hill, in turn, sells an undivided percentage ownership interest in such receivables to various investors. The program qualifies for treatment as a sale of assets under SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities". As of June 30, 2001, the Company had outstanding net proceeds of \$340 million from the sale of such receivables under the new Receivables Securitization program, and accounts receivable was reduced by this amount in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet.

On May 26, 1999, the Company's Trust issued 12,000,000 shares of its Preferred Securities at \$25 per security. The proceeds of such issuances were invested by the Trust in \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the Company's Subordinated Notes due June 30, 2039. The Subordinated Notes represent the sole assets of the Trust and bear interest at the rate of 7.80% per annum, payable quarterly, and are redeemable at the option of the Company beginning in May 2004 at 100% of the principal amount thereof. The obligations of the Trust related to the Preferred Securities are guaranteed by the Company.

See Notes 7, 8 and 9 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Receivables Securitization program, the Senior Credit Agreement and the Preferred Securities.

Certain of the Company's debt will be restructured if the pending merger with AmeriSource is consummated (see Note 15).

On August 9, 2001, the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.01 per share on the Company's Common Stock that will be paid on September 3, 2001 to shareowners of record on August 20, 2001. The Company also paid dividends of \$0.01 per share in each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2001. During fiscal 2000, the Company paid dividends of \$.075 per share in each of the first and second quarters and \$0.01 per share in each of the third and fourth quarters.

The Company's cash flows during the first nine months of fiscal 2001 and 2000 are summarized in the following table:

	Nine Months Ended June 30,					
Dollars in millions		2001		2000		
Earnings from continuing operations, excluding non-cash charges Increases in operating assets and liabilities	\$	212.5 (376.1)	\$	195.9 (88.3)		
Net cash flows from operating activities Property acquisitions Net proceeds from sale of accounts receivable Net revolving secured bank loan activity Proceeds from secured bank term loans Net repayment of debt and other obligations Cash dividends on Common Stock Distributions paid on Preferred Securities Discontinued operations Other - net		(163.6) (24.1) 172.0 25.0 - (11.3) (4.1) (23.4) - (3.7)		107.6 (61.1) 329.0 55.0 668.0 (959.1) (21.5) (17.6) (17.6) (7.6)		
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$	(33.2)	\$	75.1		

For the nine months ended June 30, 2001, the Company generated \$163.6 million of negative cash flows from operations, compared with \$107.6 million of positive cash flows from operations in the comparable period in fiscal 2000. The negative cash flows in fiscal 2001 were primarily attributable to BBDC's increased inventory levels due to a higher participation in inventory investment buying activity. In the prior year, BBDC did not fully participate in buying activity primarily due to the limited availability of funds preceding the refinancing of the Company's revolving credit facility in April 2000.

The Company believes that internally generated cash flows, funding available under the Senior Credit Agreement, the Receivables Securitization program, trade credit extended by suppliers in the ordinary course of business, and funds potentially available in the private and public capital markets will be sufficient to meet anticipated cash and capital requirements. However, actual results could differ from this forward-looking statement as a result of, among other things, unanticipated capital requirements, changes in supplier trade credit terms, or an inability to access the capital markets on acceptable terms when, and if, necessary. The Company's debt ratings are an important factor in its ability to access capital on acceptable terms.

Property acquisitions relate principally to the purchase of warehouse, pharmacy and data processing equipment, and included the purchase of the Company's previously-leased Corporate headquarters building in October 1999.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For a discussion of new accounting pronouncements impacting the Company, see Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

PENDING MERGER

On March 16, 2001, the Company signed a definitive merger agreement with AmeriSource, a distributor of pharmaceuticals and related healthcare products and services, The combined company will be known as headquartered in Valley Forge, Pennsylvania. AmerisourceBergen Corporation ("AmerisourceBergen"), with headquarters in Valley Forge, Pennsylvania. Under the terms of the merger agreement, which has been unanimously approved by the respective Board of Directors of the Company and AmeriSource, shareowners of the Company will receive 0.37 share of AmerisourceBergen common stock in exchange for each outstanding share of the Company's Class A Common Stock, while each share of AmeriSource common stock will be converted into one share of AmerisourceBergen common stock. AmerisourceBergen will have approximately 103 million shares outstanding, with current AmeriSource shareholders owning approximately 51% of the combined company and the Company's current shareowners owning approximately 49%. Based on closing stock prices on March 16, 2001, AmerisourceBergen would have a pro forma market capitalization of approximately \$5 billion and approximately \$2 billion of debt. The merger of the two companies has been structured as a tax-free transaction for both the Company's shareowners and AmeriSource's shareholders. The merger transaction will be accounted for as a purchase for financial reporting purposes, and the combined entity will use the new guidelines for business combinations as set forth in SFAS 141 and SFAS 142 (see Note 6).

The merger is currently expected to be completed in late August 2001, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including approvals by the Company's shareowners and AmeriSource's shareholders and the receipt of certain regulatory approvals. On May 7, 2001, the Company announced that, as anticipated, it had received a request for additional information and documentary material from the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 ("HSR") with respect to the merger. On July 27, 2001, the Company and AmeriSource announced that as of that date, each of the companies had certified compliance with the FTC's request for additional information in connection with the merger. Pursuant to HSR, the FTC's 30-day review period will expire at midnight EDT on Monday, August 27, 2001, unless the waiting period is otherwise terminated or extended prior to that date. Termination or expiration of the review period is a condition to the consummation of the merger. The Company and AmeriSource have scheduled their special shareholder meetings for August 29, 2001 to vote on the proposed merger.

On July 17, 2001, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, PharMerica, initiated a cash tender offer (the "Offer") to purchase all of PharMerica's outstanding 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due in 2008 (the "Notes") and consent solicitation. The purchase price for each \$1,000 principal amount of Notes tendered pursuant to the Offer is \$1,010, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the purchased Notes up to, but not including, the payment date. The consent solicitation seeks consents from holders of the Notes to eliminate substantially all of the restrictive covenants contained in the Note's governing indenture. The consent payment for each \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for which a consent is validly delivered during the consent solicitation period is \$5.00. Holders who tender their Notes are required to give their consent in the consent solicitation. Any holder tendering Notes after the consent solicitation period but prior to the expiration of the tender offer period will not be entitled to

receive the consent payment. This tender offer is expected to remain open until 9:00 A.M. EDT on August 14, 2001. The consent solicitation remained open until August 9, 2001, the date upon which consents were received from holders of a majority (in principal amount) of the outstanding Notes and a supplemental indenture was executed by PharMerica, the subsidiary guarantors and the trustee, two of the conditions described in the paragraph below. Tenders of Notes and deliveries of consents became irrevocable when the consent solicitation period expired, unless the Offer is subsequently terminated without any Notes being purchased.

The tender offer and consent solicitation are contingent upon a number of conditions, including: obtaining consents from holders of a majority (in principal amount) of the outstanding Notes to the removal of substantially all of the restrictive covenants in the governing indenture; consummation of the pending merger between the Company and AmeriSource; consummation of a bond financing by the combined AmerisourceBergen Corporation yielding net proceeds of at least \$300 million (see paragraph below); execution of a supplemental indenture containing the proposed amendments; and other general and customary conditions. No assurance can be given that any or that all of these conditions will be satisfied and, accordingly, that the purchase of the Notes pursuant to the Offer will be consummated. Since PharMerica is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, no filings are required to be made with the SEC in connection with this tender offer and consent solicitation. This disclosure is neither an offer to purchase nor a solicitation of an offer to sell securities. The tender offer is made only by the Offer to Purchase and Consent Solicitation Statement, which is dated July 17, 2001, and in connection with the Offer, no holder of the Notes should rely on any information except as may be contained in such Offer to Purchase and Consent Solicitation Statement, subject to any qualifications set forth therein.

On August 9, 2001, the Company and AmeriSource jointly announced plans for AmerisourceBergen Corporation to issue \$500 million 8.125% Senior Notes due 2008 (the "2008 Notes"), contingent upon completion of the pending merger of the Company and AmeriSource. The proceeds from the sale of the 2008 Notes will be used together with proceeds expected from a new credit facility to repay the Company's and AmeriSource's existing senior secured credit facilities, to pay fees and expenses associated with the merger, to repay certain of the Company's other indebtedness, and for general corporate purposes.

For further information on the tender offer of PharMerica's Notes and the issuance of the 2008 Notes see also Note 15 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's most significant "market risk" exposure is the effect of changing interest rates. The Company manages its interest expense by using a combination of fixed and variable-rate debt and proceeds from its Receivables Securitization program. At June 30, 2001, the Company had approximately \$588.2 million of fixed-rate debt with a weighted average interest rate of 7.86%, \$524.6 million of variable-rate debt (consisting principally of bank borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement) with a weighted average interest rate of 7.37% and \$340.0 million of net proceeds from its Receivables Securitization program with a weighted average rate of 4.73%. The amount of the variable-rate debt and Receivables Securitization program proceeds fluctuates during the year based on the Company's cash requirements. If interest rates on the Senior Credit Agreement, the other revolving credit facility and the Receivables Securitization program were to increase by 63 basis points (one-tenth of the aggregate rate of 6.33% for all these facilities at June 30, 2001), the impact on pre-tax earnings from continuing operations during the three months ended June 30, 2001 would be a decrease of approximately \$1.4 million.

The Company periodically evaluates various financial instruments that could mitigate a portion of its exposure to variable interest rates. However, there are no assurances that such instruments will be available on terms acceptable to the Company.

The Company also believes that its interest rate exposure could be somewhat mitigated due to the favorable effect which inflation may have on the Company, specifically, manufacturers' price inflation which may accelerate concurrently with a general increase in interest rates, to the extent that the Company can take advantage of such inflation in purchasing and selling inventory. The Company's ability to take advantage of such inflation is contingent upon various factors, including, but not limited to, the availability of inventory and capital on terms acceptable to the Company.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There have been no new material developments or new material matters in the legal proceedings as previously reported in Part II, Item 1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2001 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, except as otherwise might be set forth below.

Section 1.

1.1 State Antitrust Actions

1.1.1 As previously reported, between August 3, 1993 and February 14, 1994, the Company, along with various other pharmaceutical industry-related companies, was named as a defendant in eight separate state antitrust actions in three courts in California. These lawsuits are more fully detailed in "Item 1 - Legal Proceedings" of Part II of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the guarter ended June 30, 1994 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is incorporated herein by reference. In April 1994, these California state actions were all coordinated as Pharmaceutical Cases I, II and III, and assigned to a single judge in San Francisco Superior Court. On August 22, 1994, a Consolidated Amended Complaint ("California Complaint"), which supersedes and amends the eight prior complaints, was filed in these actions. The California Complaint alleges the Company and 35 other pharmaceutical industry-related companies violated California's Cartwright Act, Unfair Practices Act, and the Business and Professions Code unfair competition statute. The California Complaint alleges the defendants jointly and separately engaged in secret rebating, price fixing and price discrimination between plaintiffs and plaintiffs' alleged competitors who sell pharmaceuticals to patients or retail customers. Plaintiffs seek, on behalf of themselves and a class of similarly situated California pharmacies, injunctive relief and treble damages in an amount to be determined at trial. The judge struck the class allegations from the Unfair Practices Act claims, and on June 26, 1995, granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification of the consolidated actions. On September 8, 1995, the court entered an order staying all proceedings in the consolidated actions pending resolution of the federal action. On May 21, 2001, the Court granted the parties' request to decertify the class and dismiss the action with prejudice pursuant to a settlement of all claims. The Company was not required to contribute any funds for the settlement. In addition, on November 13, 2000, the Company was sued in Santa Clara Superior Court in the State of California, along with 24 pharmaceutical manufacturers and 2 other wholesalers, in an antitrust suit under California state law entitled Paradise Drugs, Inc. et al. v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., No. CV 793852. The complaint alleges essentially the same claims as those raised in the above-described California Complaint on behalf of a group of retail pharmacies that opted out of both the federal and state class actions. This action was coordinated with the other state actions discussed above before the same judge in San Francisco Superior Court. The matter is currently stayed pending the first status conference to be held on August 31, 2001. No responsive pleading has been filed. Similar actions were also filed against the Company and other wholesalers and manufacturers in Mississippi, Montgomery Drug v. UpJohn, et. al., No. 97-0103, and in Tennessee, Graves v. Abbott, et. al., No. 25,109-II. In March of 2001, the action in Tennessee was voluntarily dismissed. The class claims were stricken in the Mississippi action and no trial date has been set.

1.2 Federal Opt Out Antitrust Actions

- 1.2.1 As previously reported, in addition to the above-mentioned state court actions in Section 1.1, the Company and other wholesale defendants have been added as defendants in a series of related federal antitrust lawsuits brought by certain independent pharmacies who have opted out of class action cases that have now been dismissed. After a successful motion by the Company and other wholesalers, the damage period in these cases was limited to October 1993 to the present. On July 17, 2000, the wholesaler defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. On November 6, 2000, the court granted the motion, dismissing all claims against the wholesalers. An Arkansas group of opt out plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration, requesting that their Arkansas state law claims not be dismissed. That motion was granted on January 9, 2001. The plaintiffs in these opt out actions have filed a notice of appeal of the entry of judgment on behalf of the wholesaler defendants on the Sherman Act claims. Briefing in the Seventh Circuit is complete and the parties are awaiting a hearing date.
- 1.2.2 On October 21, 1994, the Company entered into a sharing agreement with five other wholesalers and 26 pharmaceutical manufacturers. Among other things, the agreement provides that: (a) if a judgment is entered against both the manufacturer and wholesaler defendants, the total exposure for joint and several liability of the Company is limited to the lesser amount of either 1% of each shared judgment or an aggregate amount of \$1 million on all shared judgments in all actions; (b) if a settlement is entered into by, between, and among the manufacturer and wholesaler defendants, the Company has no monetary exposure for such settlement amount; (c) the six wholesaler defendants will be reimbursed by the 26 pharmaceutical defendants for related legal fees and expenses in an amount agreed to by the manufacturers (of which the Company will receive a proportionate share); and (d) the Company is to release certain claims which it might have had against the manufacturer defendants for the claims presented by the plaintiffs in these cases. The agreement covers all antitrust actions raising the issues described above and which allege joint and several liability with at least one manufacturer, including the cases described in this Section.

1.3 PharMerica Securities Litigation

- 1.3.1 As previously reported, in November 1998 and February 1999, two putative securities class actions were filed against PharMerica and certain individuals ("Defendants") in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The Court consolidated the actions into one putative class action and appointed two groups as co-lead Plaintiffs for the proposed class, who filed a Consolidated Amended Complaint. The proposed classes consist of all persons who purchased or acquired stock of PharMerica between January 7, 1998 and July 24, 1998. The Defendants' filed a Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint. On April 18, 2001, the Magistrate issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that Defendants' Motion be granted and the plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint be dismissed without prejudice for failure to satisfy the elements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. This recommendation was adopted by the trial court and the action was dismissed without prejudice.
- 1.3.2 On May 14, 2001, plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint. The Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint seeks monetary damages but does not specify any amount. In general, the Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint alleges that the Defendants made material misrepresentations with respect to an alleged violation of generally accepted accounting principles, and omissions relating to integration. The Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint alleges claims under Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company believes the Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint is also meritless and filed a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint on June 18, 2001. The parties are briefing the issues for presentation to an appointed Magistrate Judge. A decision on the Motion is not expected until the next fiscal year.

1.4 Serostim Litigation

1.4.1 On April 20, 2001, a First Amended Complaint was filed in the Superior Court of California for the County of San Diego (Robert Lynn, et al. v. Serono, Inc., et al., Case No. GIC761598) (the "Amended Complaint") against the manufacturer of a drug product commonly known as Serostim, a recombinant human growth hormone used for the treatment of HIV wasting syndrome. The Company is not aware of the filing date of the original complaint. The Amended Complaint seeks class action status and damages for the alleged unlawful distribution of misbranded and adulterated Serostim. In addition to naming the manufacturer of Serostim as a defendant, the Amended Complaint named a competitor of the Company as well as two non-affiliated pharmacies as defendants. In June 2001, the Company and two additional competitors were named as Doe defendants. The Company tendered the Amended Complaint to its insurance carrier who has undertaken the Company's defense. An answer to the Amended Complaint has not yet been filed on behalf of the Company. On July 27, 2001, the Court struck the plaintiffs' class action allegations from the Amended Complaint.

Although the amount of liability at June 30, 2001 with respect to the referenced proceedings in Section 1 above cannot be ascertained, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to management, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. This statement represents a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from management's opinion based on a variety of factors, including the uncertainties involved in the proof of legal and factual matters in complex legal proceedings.

Section 2.

2.1 Bergen Securities, Trust and Derivative Actions

2.1.1 As previously disclosed, the Company reached a global settlement agreement with plaintiffs of the pending Bergen securities, Trust securities, and derivative actions, as well as with its directors' and officers' liability insurance carriers. On July 9, 2001, the Honorable Alicemarie Stotler, United States District Judge for the Central District of California, approved such global settlement of the Bergen securities, Trust securities, and derivative actions, dismissing with prejudice all pending federal securities class and derivative actions filed against Bergen and its officers and directors arising out of Bergen's acquisition of Stadtlander Drug Co., Inc. in January 1999 and its merger with PharMerica, Inc. in April 1999. Bergen's cash contribution to the settlement was immaterial to its operations, with Bergen's insurers funding the vast majority of the settlement proceeds. The settlement was achieved without any admission of liability by Bergen or its officers and directors.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION (Continued)

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) <u>EXHIBITS</u>

- *2 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 16, 2001, among AABB Corporation, AmeriSource Health Corporation, Bergen Brunswig Corporation, A-Sub Acquisition Corp. and B-Sub Acquisition Corp. is set forth as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 19, 2001, and is incorporated by reference in Part I, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report.
- *99 Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information is set forth as Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000.
- * Document has heretofore been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof.

(b) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K:

On July 30, 2001, a Current Report on Form 8-K, dated July 26, 2001, was filed reporting events specified under Items 5 and 7 of such form that the Company issued a press release with respect to its financial results for the quarter ended June 30, 2001, the third quarter of fiscal 2001.

On July 18, 2001, a Current Report on Form 8-K, dated July 17, 2001, was filed reporting events specified under Items 5 and 7 of such form that the Company issued a press release relating to the initiation of a cash tender offer by its wholly owned subsidiary, PharMerica, to purchase all of PharMerica's outstanding 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2008 and consent solicitation.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BERGEN BRUNSWIG CORPORATION

By /s/ Robert E. Martini

Robert E. Martini Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Neil F. Dimick

Neil F. Dimick Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

August 10, 2001

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT <u>NUMBER</u>		PAGE <u>NUMBER</u>
*2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 16, 2001, among AABB Corporation, AmeriSource Health Corporation, Bergen Brunswig Corporation, A-Sub Acquisition Corp. and B-Sub Acquisition Corp. is set forth as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 19, 2001, and is incorporated by reference in Part I, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report.	
*99	Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information is set forth as Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000.	
*	Document has heretofore been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof.	