

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED:  
**March 31, 2012**

Commission file number:  
**1-15731**

EVEREST RE GROUP, LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Bermuda**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**98-0365432**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**Wessex House – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
45 Reid Street  
PO Box HM 845  
Hamilton HM DX, Bermuda  
441-295-0006**

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code,  
of registrant's principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES ☒ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES ☒ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)			

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES ☐ NO ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<u>Class</u>	Number of Shares Outstanding <u>At May 1, 2012</u>
Common Shares, \$0.01 par value	<b>52,745,175</b>

EVEREST RE GROUP, LTD

Table of Contents  
Form 10-Q

**Page**

PART I

**FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	<a href="#"><u>Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u></a>	1
	<a href="#"><u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u></a>	2
	<a href="#"><u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u></a>	3
	<a href="#"><u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u></a>	4
	<a href="#"><u>Notes to Consolidated Interim Financial Statements (unaudited)</u></a>	5
Item 2.	<a href="#"><u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u></a>	29
Item 3.	<a href="#"><u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></a>	51
Item 4.	<a href="#"><u>Controls and Procedures</u></a>	51

PART II

**OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	<a href="#"><u>Legal Proceedings</u></a>	51
Item 1A.	<a href="#"><u>Risk Factors</u></a>	52
Item 2.	<a href="#"><u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></a>	52
Item 3.	<a href="#"><u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></a>	52
Item 4.	<a href="#"><u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u></a>	52
Item 5.	<a href="#"><u>Other Information</u></a>	52
Item 6.	<a href="#"><u>Exhibits</u></a>	53

## PART I

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### EVEREST RE GROUP, LTD. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
(Dollars and share amounts in thousands, except par value per share)		
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Fixed maturities - available for sale, at market value (amortized cost: 2012, \$11,767,105; 2011, \$11,731,173)	\$ 12,391,745	\$ 12,293,524
Fixed maturities - available for sale, at fair value	64,936	113,606
Equity securities - available for sale, at market value (cost: 2012, \$450,592; 2011, \$463,620)	458,752	448,930
Equity securities - available for sale, at fair value	1,211,337	1,249,106
Short-term investments	943,566	685,332
Other invested assets (cost: 2012, \$574,575; 2011, \$558,232)	574,575	558,232
Cash	472,047	448,651
Total investments and cash	16,116,958	15,797,381
Accrued investment income	125,889	130,193
Premiums receivable	1,045,107	1,077,548
Reinsurance receivables	574,330	580,339
Funds held by reinsureds	271,652	267,295
Deferred acquisition costs	370,355	378,026
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	80,895	85,409
Deferred tax asset	295,107	332,783
Federal income taxes recoverable	44,891	41,623
Other assets	240,216	202,958
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 19,165,400</b>	<b>\$ 18,893,555</b>
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 10,029,343	\$ 10,123,215
Future policy benefit reserve	66,842	67,187
Unearned premium reserve	1,431,046	1,412,778
Funds held under reinsurance treaties	2,456	2,528
Commission reserves	48,306	55,103
Other net payable to reinsurers	49,748	51,564
5.4% Senior notes due 10/15/2014	249,870	249,858
6.6% Long term notes due 5/1/2067	238,355	238,354
Junior subordinated debt securities payable	329,897	329,897
Accrued interest on debt and borrowings	12,092	4,781
Equity index put option liability	63,546	69,729
Other liabilities	312,901	217,186
Total liabilities	12,834,402	12,822,180
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Preferred shares, par value: \$0.01; 50,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common shares, par value: \$0.01; 200,000 shares authorized; (2012) 66,721 and (2011) 66,455 outstanding before treasury shares	667	665
Additional paid-in capital	1,901,322	1,892,988
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred income tax expense (benefit) of \$121,121 at 2012 and \$112,969 at 2011	464,201	366,978
Treasury shares, at cost; 14,096 shares (2012) and 12,719 shares (2011)	(1,198,969)	(1,073,970)
Retained earnings	5,163,777	4,884,714
Total shareholders' equity	6,330,998	6,071,375
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 19,165,400</b>	<b>\$ 18,893,555</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EVEREST RE GROUP, LTD.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	(unaudited)	
REVENUES:		
Premiums earned	\$ 997,978	\$ 1,011,446
Net investment income	152,438	178,705
Net realized capital gains (losses):		
Other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities	(5,888)	(14,767)
Other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities transferred to other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-
Other net realized capital gains (losses)	104,607	26,923
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	98,719	12,156
Net derivative gain (loss)	6,183	7,525
Other income (expense)	(6,194)	(3,387)
Total revenues	1,249,124	1,206,445
CLAIMS AND EXPENSES:		
Incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses	602,466	1,249,776
Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees	237,503	236,457
Other underwriting expenses	48,495	44,956
Corporate expenses	4,661	3,928
Interest, fees and bond issue cost amortization expense	13,178	12,998
Total claims and expenses	906,303	1,548,115
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	342,821	(341,670)
Income tax expense (benefit)	38,117	(25,776)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 304,704	\$ (315,894)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax :		
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) ("URA(D)") on securities arising during the period	80,127	(40,807)
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized losses (gains) included in net income (loss)	242	16,318
Total URA(D) on securities arising during the period	80,369	(24,489)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	15,870	28,822
Pension adjustments	984	746
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	97,223	5,079
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 401,927	\$ (310,815)
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:		
Basic	\$ 5.70	\$ (5.81)
Diluted	5.68	(5.81)
Dividends declared	0.48	0.48

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EVEREST RE GROUP, LTD.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF  
CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
(Dollars in thousands, except share and dividends per share amounts)	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
COMMON SHARES (shares outstanding):		
Balance, beginning of period	53,735,551	54,428,168
Issued during the period, net	266,698	224,303
Treasury shares acquired	(1,377,429)	(428,038)
Balance, end of period	52,624,820	54,224,433
COMMON SHARES (par value):		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 665	\$ 660
Issued during the period, net	2	2
Balance, end of period	667	662
ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL:		
Balance, beginning of period	1,892,988	1,863,031
Share-based compensation plans	8,334	5,122
Balance, end of period	1,901,322	1,868,153
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS),		
NET OF DEFERRED INCOME TAXES:		
Balance, beginning of period	366,978	332,258
Net increase (decrease) during the period	97,223	5,079
Balance, end of period	464,201	337,337
RETAINED EARNINGS:		
Balance, beginning of period	4,884,714	5,069,048
Net income (loss)	304,704	(315,894)
Dividends declared (\$0.48 per share in 2012 and 2011)	(25,641)	(26,045)
Balance, end of period	5,163,777	4,727,109
TREASURY SHARES AT COST:		
Balance, beginning of period	(1,073,970)	(981,480)
Purchase of treasury shares	(124,999)	(37,611)
Balance, end of period	(1,198,969)	(1,019,091)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, END OF PERIOD	\$ 6,330,998	\$ 5,914,170

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EVEREST RE GROUP, LTD.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 304,704	\$ (315,894)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Decrease (increase) in premiums receivable	37,271	(118,423)
Decrease (increase) in funds held by reinsureds, net	(2,266)	16,843
Decrease (increase) in reinsurance receivables	20,782	17,218
Decrease (increase) in federal income taxes recoverable	(3,309)	(57,306)
Decrease (increase) in deferred tax asset	30,005	19,240
Decrease (increase) in prepaid reinsurance premiums	5,993	17,027
Increase (decrease) in reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	(172,164)	546,447
Increase (decrease) in future policy benefit reserve	(345)	(218)
Increase (decrease) in unearned premiums	12,593	(7,131)
Increase (decrease) in other net payable to reinsurers	(3,122)	(22,684)
Change in equity adjustments in limited partnerships	(12,520)	(36,305)
Change in other assets and liabilities, net	26,334	125,238
Non-cash compensation expense	5,722	3,446
Amortization of bond premium (accrual of bond discount)	14,766	12,752
Amortization of underwriting discount on senior notes	13	12
Net realized capital (gains) losses	(98,719)	(12,156)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	165,738	188,106
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from fixed maturities matured/called - available for sale, at market value	410,377	438,264
Proceeds from fixed maturities matured/called - available for sale, at fair value	-	6,900
Proceeds from fixed maturities sold - available for sale, at market value	218,078	530,910
Proceeds from fixed maturities sold - available for sale, at fair value	59,281	32,952
Proceeds from equity securities sold - available for sale, at market value	20,243	27,096
Proceeds from equity securities sold - available for sale, at fair value	243,656	56,667
Distributions from other invested assets	8,219	86,559
Cost of fixed maturities acquired - available for sale, at market value	(612,674)	(954,632)
Cost of fixed maturities acquired - available for sale, at fair value	(3,124)	(8,076)
Cost of equity securities acquired - available for sale, at market value	(6,452)	(87,128)
Cost of equity securities acquired - available for sale, at fair value	(113,345)	(128,642)
Cost of other invested assets acquired	(11,912)	(24,558)
Cost of businesses acquired	-	(63,100)
Net change in short-term investments	(257,705)	132,939
Net change in unsettled securities transactions	38,822	(127,860)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(6,536)	(81,709)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Common shares issued during the period, net	2,611	1,678
Purchase of treasury shares	(124,999)	(37,611)
Revolving credit borrowings	-	(10,000)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(25,641)	(26,045)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(148,029)	(71,978)
<b>EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH</b>	<b>12,223</b>	<b>(8,680)</b>
Net increase (decrease) in cash	23,396	25,739
Cash, beginning of period	448,651	258,408
Cash, end of period	\$ 472,047	\$ 284,147
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Income taxes paid (recovered)	\$ 11,184	\$ 11,924
Interest paid	5,698	5,519
Non-cash transaction:		
Net assets acquired and liabilities assumed from business acquisitions	-	19,130

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

### 1. GENERAL

Everest Re Group, Ltd. ("Group"), a Bermuda company, through its subsidiaries, principally provides reinsurance and insurance in the U.S., Bermuda and international markets. As used in this document, "Company" means Group and its subsidiaries.

### 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the results on an interim basis. Certain financial information, which is normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), has been omitted since it is not required for interim reporting purposes. The December 31, 2011 consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The results for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 included in the Company's most recent Form 10-K filing.

All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Certain reclassifications and format changes have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation.

#### Application of Recently Issued Accounting Standard Changes.

*Intangibles-Goodwill or Other.* In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") amended the authoritative guidance for disclosures on Goodwill Impairment. The amendment allows an entity first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis in determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. This guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company implemented this guidance as of January 1, 2012.

*Presentation of Comprehensive Income.* In June 2011, FASB issued amendments to existing guidance to provide two alternatives for the presentation of comprehensive income. Components of net income and comprehensive income can either be presented within a single, continuous financial statement or be presented in two separate but consecutive financial statements. The Company has chosen to present the components of net income and comprehensive income in a single, continuous financial statement. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company implemented this guidance as of January 1, 2012.

*Common Fair Value Measurement.* In May 2011, FASB issued amendments to existing guidance to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments change wording used to describe many GAAP fair value measurement requirements and disclosures. FASB does not intend for the amendments to cause a change in application of fair value accounting guidance. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company implemented this guidance prospectively as of January 1, 2012.

*Treatment of Insurance Contract Acquisition Costs.* In October 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for the accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. The guidance identifies the incremental direct costs of contract acquisition and costs directly related to acquisition activities that should be capitalized. This guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company implemented this guidance as of January 1, 2012 and determined that \$13,492 thousand of previously deferrable acquisition costs will be expensed during 2012 and the first quarter of 2013, including \$2,646 thousand of previously deferrable acquisition costs expensed in the three months ended March 31, 2012. If the guidance had been applicable for the prior period, the Company would have expensed \$3,046 thousand of deferrable acquisition costs during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

*Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements.* In January 2010, the FASB amended the authoritative guidance for disclosures on fair value measurements. Effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, the guidance requires a new separate disclosure for: significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 and the reasons for the transfers; and provided clarification on existing disclosures to include: fair value measurement disclosures by class of assets and liabilities and disclosure on valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. The Company implemented this guidance effective January 1, 2010. Effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010, the guidance requires another new separate disclosure in regards to Level 3 fair value measurements in that, the period activity will present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. Comparative disclosures shall be required only for periods ending after initial adoption. The Company implemented this guidance beginning with the third quarter of 2010.

### 3. INVESTMENTS

The amortized cost, market value and gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation of available for sale, fixed maturity and equity security investments, carried at market value, are as follows for the periods indicated:

	At March 31, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Appreciation	Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value
(Dollars in thousands)				
Fixed maturity securities				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of				
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 287,062	\$ 11,978	\$ (683)	\$ 298,357
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	1,445,314	96,990	(304)	1,542,000
Corporate securities	3,537,195	218,364	(12,539)	3,743,020
Asset-backed securities	199,085	7,510	(430)	206,165
Mortgage-backed securities				
Commercial	316,313	24,017	(7,039)	333,291
Agency residential	2,075,195	82,127	(3,295)	2,154,027
Non-agency residential	16,349	709	(241)	16,817
Foreign government securities	1,582,020	119,401	(4,316)	1,697,105
Foreign corporate securities	2,308,572	110,710	(18,319)	2,400,963
Total fixed maturity securities	<u>\$ 11,767,105</u>	<u>\$ 671,806</u>	<u>\$ (47,166)</u>	<u>\$ 12,391,745</u>
Equity securities	<u>\$ 450,592</u>	<u>\$ 9,622</u>	<u>\$ (1,462)</u>	<u>\$ 458,752</u>



	At December 31, 2011			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Appreciation	Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value
(Dollars in thousands)				
Fixed maturity securities				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of				
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 284,514	\$ 16,407	\$ (287)	\$ 300,634
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	1,558,615	102,815	(525)	1,660,905
Corporate securities	3,495,761	197,914	(27,054)	3,666,621
Asset-backed securities	186,936	7,020	(550)	193,406
Mortgage-backed securities				
Commercial	310,387	20,942	(9,902)	321,427
Agency residential	2,198,937	86,722	(3,066)	2,282,593
Non-agency residential	53,365	499	(775)	53,089
Foreign government securities	1,555,707	120,900	(8,389)	1,668,218
Foreign corporate securities	2,086,951	91,869	(32,189)	2,146,631
Total fixed maturity securities	<u>\$ 11,731,173</u>	<u>\$ 645,088</u>	<u>\$ (82,737)</u>	<u>\$ 12,293,524</u>
Equity securities	<u>\$ 463,620</u>	<u>\$ 4,060</u>	<u>\$ (18,750)</u>	<u>\$ 448,930</u>

The \$1,697,105 thousand of foreign government securities at March 31, 2012 included \$764,490 thousand of European sovereign securities. Approximately 57.7%, 22.4%, 7.5% and 5.2% of European Sovereign Securities represented securities held in the governments of the United Kingdom, France, Austria, and the Netherlands, respectively. No other countries represented more than 5% of the European sovereign securities. The Company held no sovereign securities of Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece or Spain at March 31, 2012.

In accordance with FASB guidance, the Company reclassified the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairments from retained earnings into accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), on April 1, 2009. The table below presents the pre-tax cumulative unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on those corporate securities, for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Pre-tax cumulative unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 3,469	\$ 2,567

The amortized cost and market value of fixed maturity securities are shown in the following table by contractual maturity. Mortgage-backed securities are generally more likely to be prepaid than other fixed maturity securities. As the stated maturity of such securities may not be indicative of actual maturities, the totals for mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are shown separately.

	At March 31, 2012		At December 31, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Market Value	Amortized Cost	Market Value
(Dollars in thousands)				
Fixed maturity securities – available for sale:				
Due in one year or less	\$ 647,910	\$ 651,754	\$ 494,098	\$ 494,911
Due after one year through five years	5,146,650	5,385,790	5,052,484	5,268,748
Due after five years through ten years	2,202,520	2,370,685	2,188,080	2,325,142
Due after ten years	1,163,083	1,273,216	1,246,886	1,354,208
Asset-backed securities	199,085	206,165	186,936	193,406
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Commercial	316,313	333,291	310,387	321,427
Agency residential	2,075,195	2,154,027	2,198,937	2,282,593
Non-agency residential	16,349	16,817	53,365	53,089
Total fixed maturity securities	<u>\$ 11,767,105</u>	<u>\$ 12,391,745</u>	<u>\$ 11,731,173</u>	<u>\$ 12,293,524</u>

The changes in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) for the Company's investments are derived from the following sources for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
Increase (decrease) during the period between the market value and cost of investments carried at market value, and deferred taxes thereon:		
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 61,387	\$ (36,546)
Fixed maturity securities, other-than-temporary impairment	902	1,164
Equity securities	22,850	(620)
Other invested assets	-	1,435
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation), pre-tax	85,139	(34,567)
Deferred tax benefit (expense)	(4,777)	10,085
Deferred tax benefit (expense), other-than-temporary impairment	7	(7)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation), net of deferred taxes, included in shareholders' equity	\$ 80,369	\$ (24,489)

The Company frequently reviews all of its fixed maturity, available for sale securities for declines in market value and focuses its attention on securities whose fair value has fallen below 80% of their amortized cost at the time of review. The Company then assesses whether the decline in value is temporary or other-than-temporary. In making its assessment, the Company evaluates the current market and interest rate environment as well as specific issuer information. Generally, a change in a security's value caused by a change in the market, interest rate or foreign exchange environment does not constitute an other-than-temporary impairment, but rather a temporary decline in market value. Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). If the Company determines that the decline is other-than-temporary and the Company does not have the intent to sell the security; and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, the carrying value of the investment is written down to fair value. The fair value adjustment that is credit or foreign exchange related is recorded in net realized capital gains (losses) in the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The fair value adjustment that is non-credit related is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, and is included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company's assessments are based on the issuers current and expected future financial position, timeliness with respect to interest and/or principal payments, speed of repayments and any applicable credit enhancements or breakeven constant default rates on mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, as well as relevant information provided by rating agencies, investment advisors and analysts.

The majority of the Company's equity securities available for sale at market value are primarily comprised of mutual fund investments whose underlying securities consist of fixed maturity securities. When a fund's value reflects an unrealized loss, the Company assesses whether the decline in value is temporary or other-than-temporary. In making its assessment, the Company considers the composition of its portfolios and their related markets, reports received from the portfolio managers and discussions with portfolio managers. If the Company determines that the declines are temporary and it has the ability and intent to continue to hold the investments, then the declines are recorded as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). If declines are deemed to be other-than-temporary, then the carrying value of the investment is written down to fair value and recorded in net realized capital gains (losses) in the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Retrospective adjustments are employed to recalculate the values of asset-backed securities. All of the Company's asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities have a pass-through structure. Each acquisition lot is reviewed to recalculate the effective yield. The recalculated effective yield is used to derive a book value as if the new yield were applied at the time of acquisition. Outstanding principal factors from the time of acquisition to the adjustment date are used to calculate the prepayment history for all applicable securities. Conditional prepayment rates, computed with life to date factor histories and weighted average maturities, are used in the calculation of projected prepayments for pass-through security types.

The tables below display the aggregate market value and gross unrealized depreciation of fixed maturity and equity securities, by security type and contractual maturity, in each case subdivided according to length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for the periods indicated:

Duration of Unrealized Loss at March 31, 2012 By Security Type						
	Less than 12 months		Greater than 12 months		Total	
	Gross		Gross		Gross	
	Market Value	Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Unrealized Depreciation
(Dollars in thousands)						
Fixed maturity securities - available for sale						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of						
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 19,039	\$ (166)	\$ 6,169	\$ (517)	\$ 25,208	\$ (683)
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	-	-	5,726	(304)	5,726	(304)
Corporate securities	244,691	(4,658)	105,772	(7,881)	350,463	(12,539)
Asset-backed securities	23,227	(206)	1,698	(224)	24,925	(430)
Mortgage-backed securities						
Commercial	10,096	(521)	36,542	(6,518)	46,638	(7,039)
Agency residential	342,719	(2,759)	44,730	(536)	387,449	(3,295)
Non-agency residential	945	(7)	2,486	(234)	3,431	(241)
Foreign government securities	25,124	(220)	88,749	(4,096)	113,873	(4,316)
Foreign corporate securities	186,029	(2,289)	214,345	(16,030)	400,374	(18,319)
Total fixed maturity securities	\$ 851,870	\$ (10,826)	\$ 506,217	\$ (36,340)	\$ 1,358,087	\$ (47,166)
Equity securities	117,346	(1,459)	12	(3)	117,358	(1,462)
Total	\$ 969,216	\$ (12,285)	\$ 506,229	\$ (36,343)	\$ 1,475,445	\$ (48,628)

Duration of Unrealized Loss at March 31, 2012 By Maturity						
	Less than 12 months		Greater than 12 months		Total	
	Gross		Gross		Gross	
	Market Value	Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Unrealized Depreciation
(Dollars in thousands)						
Fixed maturity securities						
Due in one year or less	\$ 15,653	\$ (198)	\$ 61,764	\$ (7,542)	\$ 77,417	\$ (7,740)
Due in one year through five years	273,642	(3,059)	204,192	(13,679)	477,834	(16,738)
Due in five years through ten years	160,941	(2,789)	124,029	(4,280)	284,970	(7,069)
Due after ten years	24,647	(1,287)	30,776	(3,327)	55,423	(4,614)
Asset-backed securities	23,227	(206)	1,698	(224)	24,925	(430)
Mortgage-backed securities	353,760	(3,287)	83,758	(7,288)	437,518	(10,575)
Total fixed maturity securities	\$ 851,870	\$ (10,826)	\$ 506,217	\$ (36,340)	\$ 1,358,087	\$ (47,166)

The aggregate market value and gross unrealized losses related to investments in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2012 were \$1,475,445 thousand and \$48,628 thousand, respectively. There were no unrealized losses on a single issuer that exceeded 0.02% of the market value of the fixed maturity securities at March 31, 2012. In addition, as indicated on the above table, there was no significant concentration of unrealized losses in any one market sector. The \$10,826 thousand of unrealized losses related to fixed maturity securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than one year were generally comprised of domestic and foreign corporate securities and agency residential mortgage-backed securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$6,209 thousand were related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The \$36,340 thousand of unrealized losses related to fixed maturity securities in an unrealized loss position for more than one year related primarily to domestic and foreign corporate securities, foreign government securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$27,397 thousand related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The non-investment grade securities with unrealized losses were mainly comprised of corporate securities. The gross unrealized depreciation for mortgage-backed securities included \$306 thousand related to sub-prime and alt-A loans. In all instances, there were no projected cash flow shortfalls to recover the full book value of the investments and the related interest obligations. The mortgage-backed securities still have excess credit coverage and are current on interest and principal payments.

The Company, given the size of its investment portfolio and capital position, does not have the intent to sell these securities; and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. In addition, all securities currently in an unrealized loss position are current with respect to principal and interest payments.

The tables below display the aggregate market value and gross unrealized depreciation of fixed maturity and equity securities, by security type and contractual maturity, in each case subdivided according to length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for the periods indicated:

Duration of Unrealized Loss at December 31, 2011 By Security Type						
Less than 12 months		Greater than 12 months		Total		
Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	
(Dollars in thousands)						
Fixed maturity securities - available for sale						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of						
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,452	\$ (287)	\$ 3,452	\$ (287)
Obligations of U.S. states and political subdivisions	-	-	7,518	(525)	7,518	(525)
Corporate securities	512,255	(14,962)	120,064	(12,092)	632,319	(27,054)
Asset-backed securities	20,839	(339)	3,655	(211)	24,494	(550)
Mortgage-backed securities						
Commercial	9,292	(1,267)	54,535	(8,635)	63,827	(9,902)
Agency residential	253,171	(2,524)	43,894	(542)	297,065	(3,066)
Non-agency residential	1,542	(19)	35,679	(756)	37,221	(775)
Foreign government securities	39,534	(1,035)	132,977	(7,354)	172,511	(8,389)
Foreign corporate securities	278,949	(12,287)	259,641	(19,902)	538,590	(32,189)
Total fixed maturity securities	\$ 1,115,582	\$ (32,433)	\$ 661,415	\$ (50,304)	\$ 1,776,997	\$ (82,737)
Equity securities	108,939	(8,499)	204,466	(10,251)	313,405	(18,750)
Total	\$ 1,224,521	\$ (40,932)	\$ 865,881	\$ (60,555)	\$ 2,090,402	\$ (101,487)

Duration of Unrealized Loss at December 31, 2011 By Maturity						
Less than 12 months		Greater than 12 months		Total		
Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	Market Value	Gross Unrealized Depreciation	
(Dollars in thousands)						
Fixed maturity securities						
Due in one year or less						
Due in one year or less	\$ 26,581	\$ (326)	\$ 72,083	\$ (8,953)	\$ 98,664	\$ (9,279)
Due in one year through five years						
Due in one year through five years	421,995	(12,001)	256,698	(15,635)	678,693	(27,636)
Due in five years through ten years						
Due in five years through ten years	337,232	(13,019)	159,476	(8,264)	496,708	(21,283)
Due after ten years						
Due after ten years	44,930	(2,938)	35,395	(7,308)	80,325	(10,246)
Asset-backed securities	20,839	(339)	3,655	(211)	24,494	(550)
Mortgage-backed securities	264,005	(3,810)	134,108	(9,933)	398,113	(13,743)
Total fixed maturity securities	\$ 1,115,582	\$ (32,433)	\$ 661,415	\$ (50,304)	\$ 1,776,997	\$ (82,737)

The aggregate market value and gross unrealized losses related to investments in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011 were \$2,090,402 thousand and \$101,487 thousand, respectively. There were no unrealized losses on a single issuer that exceeded 0.04% of the market value of the fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2011. In addition, as indicated on the above table, there was no significant concentration of unrealized losses in any one market sector. The \$32,433 thousand of unrealized losses related to fixed maturity securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than one year were generally comprised of domestic and foreign corporate securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$17,207 thousand were related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The \$50,304 thousand of unrealized losses related to fixed maturity securities in an unrealized loss position for more than one year related primarily to domestic and foreign corporate securities, foreign government securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Of these unrealized losses, \$34,840 thousand related to securities that were rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. All of the unrealized losses related to foreign corporate and foreign government securities are due to temporary currency exchange rate movements as opposed to market value movements. The non-investment grade securities with unrealized losses were mainly comprised of corporate

and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The gross unrealized depreciation for mortgage-backed securities included \$322 thousand related to sub-prime and alt-A loans. In all instances, there were no projected cash flow shortfalls to recover the full book value of the investments and the related interest obligations. The mortgage-backed securities still have excess credit coverage and are current on interest and principal payments. The unrealized losses related to equity securities represent temporary declines in value of mutual fund investments where the underlying investments are comprised of emerging market debt fixed maturities.

The components of net investment income are presented in the table below for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 124,344	\$ 132,856
Equity securities	17,276	11,863
Short-term investments and cash	169	237
Other invested assets		
Limited partnerships	12,847	36,631
Other	1,518	597
Total gross investment income	156,154	182,184
Interest debited (credited) and other investment expense	(3,716)	(3,479)
Total net investment income	\$ 152,438	\$ 178,705

The Company records results from limited partnership investments on the equity method of accounting with changes in value reported through net investment income. Due to the timing of receiving financial information from these partnerships, the results are generally reported on a one month or quarter lag. If the Company determines there has been a significant decline in value of a limited partnership during this lag period, a loss will be recorded in the period in which the Company identifies the decline.

The Company had contractual commitments to invest up to an additional \$147,398 thousand in limited partnerships at March 31, 2012. These commitments will be funded when called in accordance with the partnership agreements, which have investment periods that expire, unless extended, through 2016.

The components of net realized capital gains (losses) are presented in the table below for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
Fixed maturity securities, market value:		
Other-than-temporary impairments	\$ (5,888)	\$ (14,767)
Gains (losses) from sales	4,067	(10,015)
Fixed maturity securities, fair value:		
Gains (losses) from sales	5,207	(1,515)
Gains (losses) from fair value adjustments	3,032	(3,483)
Equity securities, market value:		
Gains (losses) from sales	512	37
Equity securities, fair value:		
Gains (losses) from sales	22,417	1,904
Gains (losses) from fair value adjustments	69,373	39,994
Short-term investments gain (loss)	(1)	1
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ 98,719	\$ 12,156

The Company recorded as net realized capital gains (losses) in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) both fair value re-measurements and write-downs in the value of securities deemed to be impaired on an other-than-temporary basis as displayed in the table above. The Company had no other-than-temporary impaired securities where the impairment had both a credit and non-credit component.

The proceeds and split between gross gains and losses, from sales of fixed maturity and equity securities, are presented in the table below for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Proceeds from sales of fixed maturity securities	\$ 277,359	\$ 563,862
Gross gains from sales	13,889	17,350
Gross losses from sales	(4,615)	(28,880)
Proceeds from sales of equity securities	\$ 263,899	\$ 83,763
Gross gains from sales	27,513	2,482
Gross losses from sales	(4,584)	(541)

#### 4. DERIVATIVES

The Company sold seven equity index put option contracts, based on two indices, in 2001 and 2005, which are outstanding. The Company sold these equity index put options as insurance products with the intent of achieving a profit. These equity index put option contracts meet the definition of a derivative under FASB guidance and the Company's position in these equity index put option contracts is unhedged. Accordingly, these equity index put option contracts are carried at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

The Company sold six equity index put option contracts, based on the Standard & Poor's 500 ("S&P 500") index, for total consideration, net of commissions, of \$22,530 thousand. At March 31, 2012, fair value for these equity index put option contracts was \$55,808 thousand. These equity index put option contracts each have a single exercise date, with maturities ranging from 12 to 30 years and strike prices ranging from \$1,141.21 to \$1,540.63. No amounts will be payable under these equity index put option contracts if the S&P 500 index is at, or above, the strike prices on the exercise dates, which fall between June 2017 and March 2031. If the S&P 500 index is lower than the strike price on the applicable exercise date, the amount due would vary proportionately with the percentage by which the index is below the strike price. Based on historical index volatilities and trends and the March 31, 2012 S&P 500 index value, the Company estimates the probability that each equity index put option contract of the S&P 500 index falling below the strike price on the exercise date to be less than 37%. The theoretical maximum payouts under the equity index put option contracts would occur if on each of the exercise dates the S&P 500 index value were zero. At March 31, 2012, the present value of these theoretical maximum payouts using a 6% discount factor was \$289,566 thousand.

The Company sold one equity index put option contract based on the FTSE 100 index for total consideration, net of commissions, of \$6,706 thousand. At March 31, 2012, fair value for this equity index put option contract was \$7,737 thousand. This equity index put option contract has an exercise date of July 2020 and a strike price of £5,989.75. No amount will be payable under this equity index put option contract if the FTSE 100 index is at, or above, the strike price on the exercise date. If the FTSE 100 index is lower than the strike price on the exercise date, the amount due will vary proportionately with the percentage by which the index is below the strike price. Based on historical index volatilities and trends and the March 31, 2012 FTSE 100 index value, the Company estimates the probability that the equity index put option contract of the FTSE 100 index will fall below the strike price on the exercise date to be less than 42%. The theoretical maximum payout under the equity index put option contract would occur if on the exercise date the FTSE 100 index value was zero. At March 31, 2012, the present value of the theoretical maximum payout using a 6% discount factor and current exchange rate was \$32,091 thousand.

The fair value of the equity index put options can be found in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)		At	At
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of fair value in balance sheets	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Equity index put option contracts	Equity index put option liability	\$ 63,546	\$ 69,729
Total		\$ 63,546	\$ 69,729

The change in fair value of the equity index put option contracts can be found in the Company's statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)		For the Three Months Ended	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of gain (loss) in statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss)	March 31, 2012	2011
Equity index put option contracts	Net derivative gain (loss)	\$ 6,183	\$ 7,525
Total		\$ 6,183	\$ 7,525

The Company's equity index put option contracts contain provisions that require collateralization of the fair value, as calculated by the counterparty, above a specified threshold, which is based on the Company's financial strength ratings (Moody's Investors Service, Inc.) and/or debt ratings (Standard & Poor's Ratings Services). The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position on March 31, 2012, was \$63,546 thousand for which the Company had posted collateral with a market value of \$48,918 thousand. If on March 31, 2012, the Company's ratings were such that the collateral threshold was zero, the Company's collateral requirement would increase by \$55,000 thousand.

## 5. FAIR VALUE

The Company's fixed maturity and equity securities are primarily managed by third party investment asset managers. The investment asset managers obtain prices from nationally recognized pricing services. These services seek to utilize market data and observations in their evaluation process. They use pricing applications that vary by asset class and incorporate available market information and when fixed maturity securities do not trade on a daily basis the services will apply available information through processes such as benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing. In addition, they use model processes, such as the Option Adjusted Spread model to develop prepayment and interest rate scenarios for securities that have prepayment features.

In limited instances where prices are not provided by pricing services or in rare instances when a manager may not agree with the pricing service, price quotes on a non-binding basis are obtained from investment brokers. The investment asset managers do not make any changes to prices received from either the pricing services or the investment brokers. In addition, the investment asset managers have procedures in place to review the reasonableness of the prices from the service providers and may request verification of the prices. In addition, the Company continually performs analytical reviews of price changes and tests the prices on a random basis to an independent pricing source. No material variances were noted during these price validation procedures. In limited situations, where financial markets are inactive or illiquid, the Company may use its own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates to determine fair value. The Company made no such adjustments at March 31, 2012 and 2011.

The Company internally manages a small public equity portfolio which had a fair value at March 31, 2012 of \$91,476 thousand and all prices were obtained from publically published sources.

Equity securities in U.S. denominated currency are categorized as Level 1, Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets, since the securities are actively traded on an exchange and prices are based on quoted prices from the exchange. Equity securities traded on foreign exchanges are categorized as Level 2 due to potential foreign exchange adjustments to fair or market value.

Fixed maturity securities are generally categorized as Level 2, Significant Other Observable Inputs, since a particular security may not have traded but the pricing services are able to use valuation models with observable market inputs such as interest rate yield curves and prices for similar fixed maturity securities in terms of issuer, maturity and seniority. Valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable (including assumptions about risk) are categorized as Level 3, Significant Unobservable Inputs. These securities include broker priced securities and the Company's equity index put option contracts.

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, all Level 3 fixed maturity securities, were priced using single non-binding broker quotes since prices for these securities were not provided by normal pricing service companies. The single broker quotes are provided by market makers or broker-dealers who are recognized as market participants in the markets in which they are providing the quotes. The prices received from brokers are reviewed for reasonableness by our asset managers and management.

The Company sold seven equity index put option contracts which meet the definition of a derivative. The Company's position in these contracts is unhedged. The Company records the change in fair value of equity index put option contracts in its consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

The fair value was calculated using an industry accepted option pricing model, Black-Scholes, which used the following assumptions:

At March 31, 2012		
	Contracts based on S & P 500 Index	Contract based on FTSE 100 Index
Equity index	1,408.5	5,768.5
Interest rate	2.56% to 4.18%	3.32%
Time to maturity	5.1 to 19.0 yrs	8.3 yrs
Volatility	22.2% to 25.1%	24.5%



The following table presents the fair value measurement levels for all assets and liabilities, which the Company has recorded at fair value (fair and market value) as of the periods indicated:

		Fair Value Measurement Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(Dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2012			
<b>Assets:</b>				
Fixed maturities, market value				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of				
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 298,357	\$ -	\$ 298,357	\$ -
Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions	1,542,000	-	1,542,000	-
Corporate securities	3,743,020	-	3,743,020	-
Asset-backed securities	206,165	-	191,485	14,680
Mortgage-backed securities				
Commercial	333,291	-	333,291	-
Agency residential	2,154,027	-	2,154,027	-
Non-agency residential	16,817	-	16,348	469
Foreign government securities	1,697,105	-	1,697,105	-
Foreign corporate securities	2,400,963	-	2,395,313	5,650
Total fixed maturities, market value	12,391,745	-	12,370,946	20,799
Fixed maturities, fair value	64,936	-	64,936	-
Equity securities, market value	458,752	442,984	15,768	-
Equity securities, fair value	1,211,337	1,088,384	122,953	-
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Equity index put option contracts	\$ 63,546	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,546

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The following table presents the fair value measurement levels for all assets and liabilities, which the Company has recorded at fair value (fair and market value) as of the periods indicated:

		Fair Value Measurement Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011			
<b>Assets:</b>				
Fixed maturities, market value				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of				
U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 300,634	\$ -	\$ 300,634	\$ -
Obligations of U.S. States and political subdivisions	1,660,905	-	1,660,905	-
Corporate securities	3,666,621	-	3,666,621	-
Asset-backed securities	193,406	-	176,469	16,937
Mortgage-backed securities				
Commercial	321,427	-	321,427	-
Agency residential	2,282,593	-	2,282,593	-
Non-agency residential	53,089	-	52,603	486
Foreign government securities	1,668,218	-	1,668,218	-
Foreign corporate securities	2,146,631	-	2,143,587	3,044
Total fixed maturities, market value	12,293,524	-	12,273,057	20,467
Fixed maturities, fair value	113,606	-	113,606	-
Equity securities, market value	448,930	433,278	15,652	-
Equity securities, fair value	1,249,106	1,133,011	116,095	-
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Equity index put option contracts	\$ 69,729	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,729

The following table presents the activity under Level 3, fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs by asset type, for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				Three Months Ended March 31, 2011			
	Asset-backed Securities	Foreign Corporate	Non-agency RMBS	Total	Asset-backed Securities	Foreign Corporate	Non-agency RMBS	Total
(Dollars in thousands)								
Beginning balance	\$ 16,937	\$ 3,044	\$ 486	\$ 20,467	\$ 995	\$ 4,416	\$ 1,500	\$ 6,911
Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized)								
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	56	(4)	36	88	-	-	145	145
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	366	149	(2)	513	(24)	-	135	111
Purchases, issuances and settlements	3,673	2,461	(51)	6,083	137	-	(210)	(73)
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3	(6,352)	-	-	(6,352)	8,237	(3,897)	-	4,340
Ending balance	\$ 14,680	\$ 5,650	\$ 469	\$ 20,799	\$ 9,345	\$ 519	\$ 1,570	\$ 11,434
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date								
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

The following table presents the activity under Level 3, fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs for equity index put option contracts, for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Liabilities:		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 69,729	\$ 58,467
Total (gains) or losses (realized/unrealized)		
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	(6,183)	(7,525)
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-
Purchases, issuances and settlements	-	-
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3	-	-
Balance, end of period	<u>\$ 63,546</u>	<u>\$ 50,943</u>
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to liabilities still held at the reporting date		
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

## 6. CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

On October 14, 2011, the Company renewed its shelf registration statement on Form S-3ASR with the SEC, as a Well Known Seasoned Issuer. This shelf registration statement can be used by Group to register common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, warrants, share purchase contracts and share purchase units; by Holdings to register debt securities and by Everest Re Capital Trust III ("Capital Trust III") to register trust preferred securities.

## 7. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Net income (loss) per common share has been computed as per below, based upon weighted average common basic and dilutive shares outstanding.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)		
Net income (loss) per share:		
Numerator		
Net income (loss)	\$ 304,704	\$ (315,894)
Less: dividends declared-common shares and nonvested common shares	(25,641)	(26,045)
Undistributed earnings	279,063	(341,939)
Percentage allocated to common shareholders <sup>(1)</sup>	99.2%	99.4%
	276,821	(340,055)
Add: dividends declared-common shareholders	25,405	25,864
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 302,225	\$ (314,191)
Denominator		
Denominator for basic earnings per weighted-average common shares	53,047	54,056
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Options	124	186
Denominator for diluted earnings per adjusted weighted-average common shares	53,171	54,242
Per common share net income (loss)		
Basic	\$ 5.70	\$ (5.81)
Diluted	\$ 5.68	\$ (5.81)
<sup>(1)</sup> Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	53,047	54,056
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding and nonvested common shares expected to vest	53,477	54,356
Percentage allocated to common shareholders	99.2%	99.4%

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

The table below presents the options to purchase common shares that were outstanding, but were not included in the computation of earnings per diluted share as they were anti-dilutive, for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Anti-dilutive options	1,761,350	1,575,410

All outstanding options expire on or between September 26, 2012 and February 22, 2022.

## 8. CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in lawsuits, arbitrations and other formal and informal dispute resolution procedures, the outcomes of which will determine the Company's rights and obligations under insurance and reinsurance agreements. In some disputes, the Company seeks to enforce its rights under an agreement or to collect funds owing to it. In other matters, the Company is resisting attempts by others to collect funds or enforce alleged rights. These disputes arise from time to time and are ultimately resolved through both informal and formal means, including negotiated resolution, arbitration and litigation. In all such matters, the Company believes that its positions are legally and commercially reasonable. The Company considers the statuses of these proceedings when determining its reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses.

Aside from litigation and arbitrations related to these insurance and reinsurance agreements, the Company is not a party to any other material litigation or arbitration.

In 1993 and prior, the Company had a business arrangement with The Prudential Insurance Company of America ("The Prudential") wherein, for a fee, the Company accepted settled claim payment obligations of certain property and casualty insurers, and, concurrently, became the owner of the annuity or assignee of the annuity proceeds funded by the property and casualty insurers specifically to fulfill these fully settled obligations. In these circumstances, the Company would be liable if The Prudential, which has an A+ (Superior) financial strength rating from A.M. Best Company ("A.M. Best"), was unable to make the annuity payments. The table below presents the estimated cost to replace all such annuities for which the Company was contingently liable for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
	\$ 143,220	\$ 143,447

Prior to its 1995 initial public offering, the Company purchased annuities from an unaffiliated life insurance company with an A+ (Superior) financial strength rating from A.M. Best to settle certain claim liabilities of the company. Should the life insurance company become unable to make the annuity payments, the Company would be liable for those claim liabilities. The table below presents the estimated cost to replace all such annuities for which the Company was contingently liable for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
	\$ 27,454	\$ 27,634

## 9. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table presents the components of comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of operations for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) ("URA(D)") on securities arising during the period		
URA(D) of investments - temporary	\$ 84,237	\$ (35,731)
URA(D) of investments - non-credit OTTI	902	1,164
Tax benefit (expense) from URA(D) arising during the period	(4,770)	10,078
Total URA(D) on securities arising during the period, net of tax	80,369	(24,489)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	18,722	34,387
Tax benefit (expense) from foreign currency translation	(2,852)	(5,565)
Net foreign currency translation adjustments	15,870	28,822
Pension adjustments	1,513	1,148
Tax benefit (expense) on pension	(529)	(402)
Net pension adjustments	984	746
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	\$ 97,223	\$ 5,079

The following table presents the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, in the consolidated balance sheets for the periods indicated:

	At March 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
URA(D) on securities, net of deferred taxes		
Temporary	\$ 526,694	\$ 447,234
Non-credit, OTTI	3,254	2,345
Total unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, net of deferred taxes	529,948	449,579
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of deferred taxes	(11,196)	(27,066)
Pension adjustments, net of deferred taxes	(54,551)	(55,535)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 464,201	\$ 366,978

## 10. CREDIT FACILITIES

The Company has three credit facilities for a total commitment of up to \$1,300,000 thousand, providing for the issuance of letters of credit and/or unsecured revolving credit lines. The following table presents the costs incurred in connection with the three credit facilities for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
Credit facility fees incurred	\$ 649	\$ 468

The terms and outstanding amounts for each facility are discussed below:

### Group Credit Facility

Effective July 27, 2007, Group, Bermuda Re and Everest International entered into a five year, \$850,000 thousand senior credit facility with a syndicate of lenders referred to as the "Group Credit Facility". Wells Fargo Corporation ("Wells Fargo Bank") is the administrative agent for the Group Credit Facility, which consists of two tranches. Tranche one provides up to \$350,000 thousand of unsecured revolving credit for liquidity and general corporate purposes, and for the issuance of unsecured standby letters of credit. The interest on the revolving loans shall, at the Company's option, be either (1) the Base Rate (as defined below) or (2) an adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a margin. The Base Rate is the higher of (a) the prime commercial lending rate established by Wells Fargo Bank or (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5% per annum. The amount of margin and the fees payable for the Group Credit Facility depends on Group's senior unsecured debt rating. Tranche two exclusively provides up to \$500,000 thousand for the issuance of standby letters of credit on a collateralized basis.

The Group Credit Facility requires Group to maintain a debt to capital ratio of not greater than 0.35 to 1 and to maintain a minimum net worth. Minimum net worth is an amount equal to the sum of \$3,575,381 thousand plus 25% of consolidated net income for each of Group's fiscal quarters, for which statements are available ending on or after January 1, 2007 and for which consolidated net income is positive, plus 25% of any increase in consolidated net worth during such period attributable to the issuance of ordinary and preferred shares, which at March 31, 2012, was \$4,370,777 thousand. As of March 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all Group Credit Facility covenants.

The following table summarizes the outstanding letters of credit and/or borrowings for the periods indicated:

		At March 31, 2012			At December 31, 2011		
Bank		Commitment	In Use	Date of Expiry	Commitment	In Use	Date of Expiry
Wells Fargo Bank Group Credit Facility	Tranche One	\$ 350,000	\$ -		\$ 350,000	\$ -	
	Tranche Two	500,000	437,650	12/31/2012	500,000	446,327	12/31/2012
			63	9/30/2012		127	9/30/2012
Total Wells Fargo Bank Group Credit Facility		\$ 850,000	\$ 437,713		\$ 850,000	\$ 446,454	

### Holdings Credit Facility

Effective August 15, 2011, Holdings entered into a new three year, \$150,000 thousand unsecured revolving credit facility with a syndicate of lenders, replacing the August 23, 2006 five year senior revolving credit facility. Both the August 15, 2011 and August 23, 2006 revolving credit agreements, which have similar terms, are referred to as the "Holdings Credit Facility". Citibank N.A. is the administrative agent for the Holdings Credit Facility. The Holdings Credit Facility may be used for liquidity and general corporate purposes. The Holdings Credit Facility provides for the borrowing of up to \$150,000 thousand with interest at a rate selected by Holdings equal to either, (1) the Base Rate (as defined below) or (2) a periodic fixed rate equal to the Eurodollar Rate plus an applicable margin. The Base Rate means a fluctuating interest rate per annum in effect from time to time to be equal to the higher of (a) the rate of interest publicly announced by Citibank as its base rate, (b) 0.5% per annum above the Federal Funds Rate or (c) 1% above the one month LIBOR, in each case plus the applicable margin. The amount of margin and the fees payable for the Holdings Credit Facility depends upon Holdings' senior unsecured debt rating.

The Holdings Credit Facility requires Holdings to maintain a debt to capital ratio of not greater than 0.35 to 1 and Everest Re to maintain its statutory surplus at \$1,875,000 thousand plus 25% of future aggregate net income and 25% of future aggregate capital contributions after December 31, 2010, which at March 31, 2012, was \$1,932,995 thousand. As of March 31, 2012, Holdings was in compliance with all Holdings Credit Facility covenants.

The following table summarizes outstanding letters of credit and/or borrowings for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands) Bank	At March 31, 2012				At December 31, 2011			
	Commitment	In Use	Date of Loan	Maturity/Expiry Date	Commitment	In Use	Date of Loan	Maturity/Expiry Date
Citibank Holdings Credit Facility	\$ 150,000	\$ -			\$ 150,000	\$ -		
Total revolving credit borrowings		-				-		
Total letters of credit		5,020		12/31/2012		5,020		12/31/2012
Total Citibank Holdings Credit Facility	\$ 150,000	\$ 5,020			\$ 150,000	\$ 5,020		

### Bermuda Re Letter of Credit Facility

Bermuda Re has a \$300,000 thousand letter of credit issuance facility with Citibank N.A. referred to as the "Bermuda Re Letter of Credit Facility", which commitment is reconfirmed annually. The Bermuda Re Letter of Credit Facility provides for the issuance of up to \$300,000 thousand of secured letters of credit to collateralize reinsurance obligations as a non-admitted reinsurer. The interest on drawn letters of credit shall be (A) 0.20% per annum of the principal amount of issued standard letters of credit (expiry of 15 months or less) and (B) 0.25% per annum of the principal amount of issued extended tenor letters of credit (expiry maximum of up to 60 months). The commitment fee on undrawn credit shall be 0.10% per annum.

The following table summarizes the outstanding letters of credit for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands) Bank	At March 31, 2012			At December 31, 2011		
	Commitment	In Use	Date of Expiry	Commitment	In Use	Date of Expiry
Citibank Bilateral Letter of Credit Agreement	\$ 300,000	\$ 3,352	11/24/2012	\$ 300,000	\$ 3,352	11/24/2012
		78,562	12/31/2012		80,770	12/31/2012
		89	7/15/2013		85	7/15/2013
		933	2/15/2014		889	2/15/2014
		20,419	12/31/2014		4,773	12/31/2014
		26,196	6/30/2016		25,510	6/30/2015
		8,875	9/30/2016		8,642	9/30/2015
		10,088	11/22/2016		10,088	11/22/2015
		98,944	12/31/2016		60,752	12/31/2015
Total Citibank Bilateral Agreement	\$ 300,000	\$ 247,458		\$ 300,000	\$ 194,861	

## 11. TRUST AGREEMENTS

Certain subsidiaries of Group, principally Bermuda Re, a Bermuda insurance company and direct subsidiary of Group, have established trust agreements, which effectively use the Company's investments as collateral, as security for assumed losses payable to certain non-affiliated ceding companies. At March 31, 2012, the total amount on deposit in trust accounts was \$209,591 thousand.

## 12. SENIOR NOTES

The table below displays Holdings' outstanding senior notes. Market value is based on quoted market prices, but due to limited trading activity, these senior notes are considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

(Dollars in thousands)	Date Issued	Date Due	Principal Amounts	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
				Consolidated Balance Sheet Amount	Market Value	Consolidated Balance Sheet Amount	Market Value
5.40% Senior notes	10/12/2004	10/15/2014	\$ 250,000	\$ 249,870	\$ 267,423	\$ 249,858	\$ 251,370

Interest expense incurred in connection with these senior notes is as follows for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Interest expense incurred	\$ 3,387	\$ 3,386

## 13. LONG TERM SUBORDINATED NOTES

The table below displays Holdings' outstanding fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes. Market value is based on quoted market prices, but due to limited trading activity, these subordinated notes are considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

(Dollars in thousands)	Date Issued	Original Principal Amount	Maturity Date		March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
			Scheduled	Final	Consolidated Balance Sheet Amount	Market Value	Consolidated Balance Sheet Amount	Market Value
6.6% Long term subordinated notes	04/26/2007	\$ 400,000	05/15/2037	05/01/2067	\$ 238,355	\$ 229,018	\$ 238,354	\$ 210,195

During the fixed rate interest period from May 3, 2007 through May 14, 2017, interest will be at the annual rate of 6.6%, payable semi-annually in arrears on November 15 and May 15 of each year, commencing on November 15, 2007, subject to Holdings' right to defer interest on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years. During the floating rate interest period from May 15, 2017 through maturity, interest will be based on the 3 month LIBOR plus 238.5 basis points, reset quarterly, payable quarterly in arrears on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year, subject to Holdings' right to defer interest on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years. Deferred interest will accumulate interest at the applicable rate compounded semi-annually for periods prior to May 15, 2017, and compounded quarterly for periods from and including May 15, 2017.

Holdings can redeem the long term subordinated notes prior to May 15, 2017, in whole but not in part at the applicable redemption price, which will equal the greater of (a) 100% of the principal amount being redeemed and (b) the present value of the principal payment on May 15, 2017 and scheduled payments of interest that would have accrued from the redemption date to May 15, 2017 on the long term subordinated notes being redeemed, discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at a discount rate equal to the treasury rate plus an applicable spread of either 0.25% or 0.50%, in each case plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holdings may redeem the long term subordinated notes on or after May 15, 2017, in whole or in part at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest; however, redemption on or after the scheduled maturity date and prior to May 1, 2047 is subject to a replacement capital covenant. This covenant is for the benefit of certain senior note holders and it mandates that Holdings receive proceeds



from the sale of another subordinated debt issue, of at least similar size, before it may redeem the subordinated notes.

On March 19, 2009, Group announced the commencement of a cash tender offer for any and all of the 6.60% fixed to floating rate long term subordinated notes. Upon expiration of the tender offer, the Company had reduced its outstanding debt by \$161,441 thousand.

Interest expense incurred in connection with these long term subordinated notes is as follows for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
	\$	\$
Interest expense incurred	3,937	3,937

#### 14. JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBT SECURITIES PAYABLE

The following table displays Holdings' outstanding junior subordinated debt securities due to Everest Re Capital Trust II ("Capital Trust II"), a wholly-owned finance subsidiary of Holdings. Fair value is primarily based on the quoted market price of the related trust preferred securities, and as such, these securities are considered Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy.

(Dollars in thousands)	Date Issued	Date Due	Amount Issued	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
				Consolidated Balance	Fair Value	Consolidated Balance	Fair Value
				Sheet Amount		Sheet Amount	
6.20% Junior subordinated debt securities	03/29/2004	03/29/2034	\$ 329,897	\$ 329,897	\$ 326,697	\$ 329,897	\$ 326,313

Holdings may redeem the junior subordinated debt securities before their maturity at 100% of their principal amount plus accrued interest as of the date of redemption. The securities may be redeemed, in whole or in part, on one or more occasions at any time on or after March 30, 2009; or at any time, in whole, but not in part, within 90 days of the occurrence and continuation of a determination that the Trust may become subject to tax or the Investment Company Act.

Interest expense incurred in connection with these junior subordinated debt securities is as follows for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
	\$	\$
Interest expense incurred	5,113	5,113

Holdings considers that the mechanisms and obligations relating to the trust preferred securities, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Holdings of Capital Trust II's payment obligations with respect to their trust preferred securities.

Capital Trust II will redeem all of the outstanding trust preferred securities when the junior subordinated debt securities are paid at maturity on March 29, 2034. The Company may elect to redeem the junior subordinated debt securities, in whole or in part, at any time on or after March 30, 2009. If such an early redemption occurs, the outstanding trust preferred securities would also be proportionately redeemed.

There are certain regulatory and contractual restrictions on the ability of Holdings' operating subsidiaries to transfer funds to Holdings in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances. The insurance laws of the State of Delaware, where Holdings' direct insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, require regulatory approval before those subsidiaries can pay dividends or make loans or advances to Holdings that exceed certain statutory thresholds. In addition, the terms of Holdings Credit Facility (discussed in Note 10) require Everest Re, Holdings' principal insurance subsidiary, to maintain a certain statutory surplus level as measured at the end

of each fiscal year. At December 31, 2011, \$2,108,692 thousand of the \$2,763,171 thousand in net assets of Holdings' consolidated subsidiaries were subject to the foregoing regulatory restrictions.

## **15. SEGMENT REPORTING**

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company realigned its reporting segments to reflect recent changes in the type and volume of business written. The Company previously reported the results of Marine & Aviation, Surety, Accident and Health ("A&H") Reinsurance and A&H Primary operations as a separate segment—Specialty Underwriting. The A&H primary business, which is a relatively new line of business for the Company, has increased significantly, representing approximately 2% of premiums earned and is projected to continue to grow. The A&H primary business is better aligned with the Insurance reporting segment based on the similarities of this business with those businesses already reflected in the Insurance segment. The other operating units included in the Specialty Underwriting segment would have encompassed less than 5% of the Company's premiums earned and their volume is projected to remain less than 5%. As a result of the size of these remaining operating units and their similarity to the business reported within U.S. Reinsurance, they have been reclassified to the U.S. Reinsurance segment. There has been no change to the International and Bermuda reporting segments. The Company has restated all segment information for prior years to conform to the new reporting segment structure.

The U.S. Reinsurance operation writes property and casualty reinsurance and specialty lines of business, including Marine, Aviation, Surety and A&H business, on both a treaty and facultative basis, through reinsurance brokers, as well as directly with ceding companies primarily within the U.S. The Insurance operation writes property and casualty insurance, including medical stop loss insurance, directly and through general agents, brokers and surplus lines brokers within the U.S. and Canada. The International operation writes non-U.S. property and casualty reinsurance through Everest Re's branches in Canada and Singapore and through offices in Brazil, Miami and New Jersey. The Bermuda operation provides reinsurance and insurance to worldwide property and casualty markets and reinsurance to life insurers through brokers and directly with ceding companies from its Bermuda office and reinsurance to the United Kingdom and European markets through its UK branch and Ireland Re.

These segments are managed independently, but conform with corporate guidelines with respect to pricing, risk management, control of aggregate catastrophe exposures, capital, investments and support operations. Management generally monitors and evaluates the financial performance of these operating segments based upon their underwriting results.

Underwriting results include earned premium less losses and LAE incurred, commission and brokerage expenses and other underwriting expenses. Underwriting results are measured using ratios, in particular loss, commission and brokerage and other underwriting expense ratios, which, respectively, divide incurred losses, commissions and brokerage and other underwriting expenses by premiums earned. The Company utilizes inter-affiliate reinsurance, although such reinsurance does not materially impact segment results, as business is generally reported within the segment in which the business was first produced.

The Company does not maintain separate balance sheet data for its operating segments. Accordingly, the Company does not review and evaluate the financial results of its operating segments based upon balance sheet data.

The following tables present the underwriting results for the operating segments for the periods indicated:

U.S. Reinsurance

(Dollars in thousands)

Gross written premiums  
Net written premiums

Premiums earned  
Incurred losses and LAE  
Commission and brokerage  
Other underwriting expenses  
Underwriting gain (loss)

Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011
\$	369,482	\$ 306,091
	368,231	305,554
\$	357,961	\$ 319,051
	221,980	281,938
	91,555	82,867
	10,752	9,906
\$	33,674	\$ (55,660)

Insurance

(Dollars in thousands)

Gross written premiums  
Net written premiums

Premiums earned  
Incurred losses and LAE  
Commission and brokerage  
Other underwriting expenses  
Underwriting gain (loss)

Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011
\$	211,738	\$ 271,461
	182,065	231,265
\$	179,999	\$ 213,624
	127,124	159,213
	31,473	34,564
	23,496	21,872
\$	(2,094)	\$ (2,025)

International

(Dollars in thousands)

Gross written premiums  
Net written premiums

Premiums earned  
Incurred losses and LAE  
Commission and brokerage  
Other underwriting expenses  
Underwriting gain (loss)

Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011
\$	277,294	\$ 308,847
	277,293	304,501
\$	296,117	\$ 316,335
	148,172	604,728
	71,191	78,430
	6,740	6,439
\$	70,014	\$ (373,262)

Bermuda

(Dollars in thousands)

Gross written premiums  
Net written premiums

Premiums earned  
Incurred losses and LAE  
Commission and brokerage  
Other underwriting expenses  
Underwriting gain (loss)

Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011
\$	187,952	\$ 178,530
	187,273	178,564
\$	163,901	\$ 162,436
	105,190	203,897
	43,284	40,596
	7,507	6,739
\$	7,920	\$ (88,796)

The following table reconciles the underwriting results for the operating segments to income before taxes as reported in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$ 109,514	\$ (519,743)
Net investment income	152,438	178,705
Net realized capital gains (losses)	98,719	12,156
Net derivative gain (loss)	6,183	7,525
Corporate expenses	(4,661)	(3,928)
Interest, fee and bond issue cost amortization expense	(13,178)	(12,998)
Other income (expense)	(6,194)	(3,387)
Income (loss) before taxes	\$ 342,821	\$ (341,670)

The Company produces business in the U.S., Bermuda and internationally. The net income deriving from and assets residing in the individual foreign countries in which the Company writes business are not identifiable in the Company's financial records. Based on gross written premium, the table below presents the largest country, other than the U.S., in which the Company writes business, for the period indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in thousands)		
United Kingdom	\$ 114,444	\$ 119,568

No other country represented more than 5% of the Company's revenues.

## 16. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, share-based compensation awards granted were 233,654 restricted shares and 320,000 options, granted on February 22, 2012, with a grant exercise price of \$88.32 per share and a per option fair value of \$19.20, respectively. The fair value per option was calculated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The following assumptions were used in calculating the fair value of the options granted:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012
Weighted-average volatility	26.16%
Weighted-average dividend yield	2.00%
Weighted-average expected term	6.69 years
Weighted-average risk-free rate	1.33%

## 17. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company maintains both qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans and a retiree health plan for its U.S. employees employed prior to April 1, 2010.

Net periodic benefit cost for U.S. employees included the following components for the periods indicated:

### Pension Benefits

(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 2,342	\$ 2,047
Interest cost	1,979	1,921
Expected return on plan assets	(2,109)	(2,265)
Amortization of prior service cost	13	13
Amortization of net (income) loss	1,426	1,095
ASC 715 settlement charge	-	230
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 3,651	\$ 3,041

### Other Benefits

(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 370	\$ 290
Interest cost	258	234
Amortization of net (income) loss	74	41
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 702	\$ 565

The Company did not make any contributions to the qualified pension benefit plan for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

## 18. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the normal course of business, the Company, through its affiliates, engages in reinsurance and brokerage and commission business transactions with companies controlled by or affiliated with one or more of its outside directors. Such transactions, individually and in the aggregate, are not material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

## 19. INCOME TAXES

The Company is domiciled in Bermuda and has significant subsidiaries and/or branches in Canada, Ireland, Singapore, the United Kingdom, and the United States. The Company's Bermuda domiciled subsidiaries are exempt from income taxation under Bermuda law until 2035. The Company's non-Bermudian subsidiaries and branches are subject to income taxation at varying rates in their respective domiciles.

The Company generally will use the estimated annual effective tax rate approach for calculating its tax provision for interim periods as prescribed by ASC 740-270, Interim Reporting. Under the estimated annual effective tax rate approach, the estimated annual effective tax rate is applied to the interim year-to-date pre-tax income to determine the income tax expense or benefit for the year-to-date period. The tax expense or benefit for a quarter represents the difference between the year-to-date tax expense or benefit for the current year-to-date period less such amount for the immediately preceding year-to-date period. Management considers the impact of all known events in its estimation of the Company's annual pre-tax income and effective tax rate.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company identified an understatement in its Deferred tax asset account of \$12,417 thousand. The understatement resulted from differences between filed and recorded amounts that had accumulated over several prior periods. The Company corrected this understatement in its March 31, 2012 financial statements, resulting in an additional \$12,417 thousand income tax benefit included in the income tax expense (benefit) caption in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) and increased net income for the same amount for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The Company also increased its Deferred tax asset in its Consolidated Balance Sheets by the same amount. The Company believes that this out of period adjustment is immaterial to its March 31, 2012 financial statements and to all prior periods. As such, the Company has not restated any prior period amounts.

## **20. ACQUISITIONS**

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company made several acquisitions to expand its domestic and Canadian insurance operations. Below are descriptions of the transactions.

On January 2, 2011, the Company acquired the entire business and operations of Heartland Crop Insurance, Inc. ("Heartland") of Topeka, Kansas for \$55,000 thousand in cash, plus contingent payments in future periods based upon achievement of performance targets. Heartland is a managing general agent specializing in crop insurance.

On January 28, 2011, the Company acquired the entire business and operations of Premiere Underwriting Services ("Premiere") of Toronto, Canada. Premiere is a managing general agent specializing in entertainment and sports and leisure risks. On January 31, 2011, the Company acquired the renewal rights and operations of the financial lines business of Executive Risk Insurance Services, Ltd. ("Executive Risk") of Toronto, Canada. The financial lines business of Executive Risk mainly underwrites Directors and Officers Liability, Fidelity, and Errors and Omissions Liability.

Overall, the Company recorded \$35,068 thousand of goodwill and \$26,903 thousand of intangible assets related to these acquisitions, which are reported as part of other assets within the consolidated balance sheets. All intangible assets recorded as part of these acquisitions will be amortized on a straight line basis over seven years.

## **21. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The Company has evaluated known recognized and non-recognized subsequent events. The Company does not have any subsequent events to report.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

### Industry Conditions.

The worldwide reinsurance and insurance businesses are highly competitive, as well as cyclical by product and market. As such, financial results tend to fluctuate with periods of constrained availability, high rates and strong profits followed by periods of abundant capacity, low rates and constrained profitability. Competition in the types of reinsurance and insurance business that we underwrite is based on many factors, including the perceived overall financial strength of the reinsurer or insurer, ratings of the reinsurer or insurer by A.M. Best and/or Standard & Poor's, underwriting expertise, the jurisdictions where the reinsurer or insurer is licensed or otherwise authorized, capacity and coverages offered, premiums charged, other terms and conditions of the reinsurance and insurance business offered, services offered, speed of claims payment and reputation and experience in lines written. Furthermore, the market impact from these competitive factors related to reinsurance and insurance is generally not consistent across lines of business, domestic and international geographical areas and distribution channels.

We compete in the U.S., Bermuda and international reinsurance and insurance markets with numerous global competitors. Our competitors include independent reinsurance and insurance companies, subsidiaries or affiliates of established worldwide insurance companies, reinsurance departments of certain insurance companies and domestic and international underwriting operations, including underwriting syndicates at Lloyd's. Some of these competitors have greater financial resources than we do and have established long term and continuing business relationships, which can be a significant competitive advantage. In addition, the lack of strong barriers to entry into the reinsurance business and the potential for securitization of reinsurance and insurance risks through capital markets provide additional sources of potential reinsurance and insurance capacity and competition.

Worldwide insurance and reinsurance market conditions continued to be very competitive, particularly in the casualty lines of business. Generally, there was ample insurance and reinsurance capacity relative to demand. Competition and its effect on rates, terms and conditions vary widely by market and coverage yet continued to be most prevalent in the U.S. casualty insurance and reinsurance markets.

However, during 2011, the industry experienced significant losses from Australian floods, the New Zealand earthquake, the earthquake and tsunami in Japan, storms in the U.S., and the Thailand floods. It is too early to determine the impact on market conditions as a result of these events. While there have been meaningful rate increases for catastrophe coverages in some global catastrophe prone regions, particularly areas impacted by these losses, whether the magnitude of these losses is sufficient to increase rates and improve market conditions for other lines of business remains to be seen.

Overall, we believe that current marketplace conditions, particularly for catastrophe coverages, provide profit opportunities for us given our strong ratings, distribution system, reputation and expertise. We continue to employ our strategy of targeting business that offers the greatest profit potential, while maintaining balance and diversification in our overall portfolio.

## Financial Summary.

We monitor and evaluate our overall performance based upon financial results. The following table displays a summary of the consolidated net income (loss), ratios and shareholders' equity for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Percentage Increase/ (Decrease)
(Dollars in millions)	2012	2011	
Gross written premiums	\$ 1,046.5	\$ 1,064.9	-1.7%
Net written premiums	1,014.9	1,019.9	-0.5%
REVENUES:			
Premiums earned	\$ 998.0	\$ 1,011.4	-1.3%
Net investment income	152.4	178.7	-14.7%
Net realized capital gains (losses)	98.7	12.2	NM
Net derivative gain (loss)	6.2	7.5	-17.8%
Other income (expense)	(6.2)	(3.4)	82.8%
Total revenues	1,249.1	1,206.4	3.5%
CLAIMS AND EXPENSES:			
Incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses	602.5	1,249.8	-51.8%
Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees	237.5	236.5	0.4%
Other underwriting expenses	48.5	45.0	7.9%
Corporate expenses	4.7	3.9	18.7%
Interest, fees and bond issue cost amortization expense	13.2	13.0	1.4%
Total claims and expenses	906.3	1,548.1	-41.5%
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	342.8	(341.7)	-200.3%
Income tax expense (benefit)	38.1	(25.8)	-247.9%
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 304.7	\$ (315.9)	-196.5%
RATIOS:			
			Point Change
Loss ratio	60.4%	123.6%	(63.2)
Commission and brokerage ratio	23.8%	23.4%	0.4
Other underwriting expense ratio	4.8%	4.4%	0.4
Combined ratio	89.0%	151.4%	(62.4)
	At March 31,	At December 31,	Percentage Increase/ (Decrease)
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	2012	2011	
Balance sheet data:			
Total investments and cash	\$ 16,117.0	\$ 15,797.4	2.0%
Total assets	19,165.4	18,893.6	1.4%
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	10,029.3	10,123.2	-0.9%
Total debt	818.1	818.1	0.0%
Total liabilities	12,834.4	12,822.2	0.1%
Shareholders' equity	6,331.0	6,071.4	4.3%
Book value per share	120.30	112.99	6.5%

(NM, not meaningful)

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

## Revenues.

**Premiums.** Gross written premiums decreased by \$18.5 million, or 1.7%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011 reflecting a \$59.7 million decrease in our insurance business, partially offset by a \$41.3 million increase in our reinsurance business. The decrease in insurance premiums was primarily due to the termination and runoff of several large casualty programs and a shift in the seasonality of reporting crop business that will be reflected in subsequent quarters, partially offset by growth in primary medical stop loss insurance. The increase in reinsurance premiums was due to



new property business and higher renewal rates, particularly for catastrophe exposed risks, partially offset by lower reinstatement premiums in the current quarter. Net written premiums decreased \$5.0 million, or 0.5%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in net written premiums relative to the change in gross written premiums was due to a lower level of ceded reinsurance in the Insurance segment. Premiums earned decreased \$13.5 million, or 1.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. The change in premiums earned is comparable with the decrease in net written premiums.

Net Investment Income. Net investment income decreased \$26.3 million, or 14.7%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared with net investment income of \$178.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily as a result of a \$23.8 million decrease in investment income from our limited partnership investments. Net pre-tax investment income, as a percentage of average invested assets, was 4.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to 4.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The variance in this yield was primarily the result of fluctuations in our limited partnership income and to a lesser extent, lower reinvestment rates for the fixed income portfolio.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses). Net realized capital gains were \$98.7 million and \$12.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$98.7 million was comprised of \$72.4 million of gains from fair value re-measurements, \$32.2 million of net realized capital gains from sales on our fixed maturity and equity securities, which was partially offset by \$5.9 million of other-than-temporary impairments. The net realized capital gains of \$12.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were the result of \$36.5 million of gains in fair value re-measurements, partially offset by \$14.8 million of other-than-temporary impairments and \$9.6 million from net realized capital losses from sales on our fixed maturity and equity securities.

Net Derivative Gain (Loss). In 2005 and prior, we sold seven equity index put option contracts, which are outstanding. These contracts meet the definition of a derivative in accordance with FASB guidance and as such, are fair valued each quarter with the change recorded as net derivative gain or loss in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). As a result of these adjustments in value, we recognized net a derivative gain of \$6.2 million and \$7.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The change in the fair value of these equity index put option contracts is indicative of the change in the equity markets and interest rates over the same periods.

Other Income (Expense). We recorded other expense of \$6.2 million and \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The changes were primarily the result of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates for the corresponding periods.

## Claims and Expenses.

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses. The following table presents our incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	Current Year	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Prior Years	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Total Incurred	Ratio %/ Pt Change
<b>2012</b>						
Attritional (a)	\$ 572.6	57.4%	\$ (0.3)	0.0%	\$ 572.3	57.4%
Catastrophes	30.0	3.0%	-	0.0%	30.0	3.0%
A&E	-	0.0%	0.1	0.0%	0.1	0.0%
Total	<u>\$ 602.6</u>	<u>60.4%</u>	<u>\$ (0.2)</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>\$ 602.5</u>	<u>60.4%</u>
<b>2011</b>						
Attritional (a)	\$ 586.1	57.9%	\$ (1.4)	-0.1%	\$ 584.7	57.8%
Catastrophes	665.1	65.8%	-	0.0%	665.1	65.8%
A&E	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total	<u>\$ 1,251.2</u>	<u>123.7%</u>	<u>\$ (1.4)</u>	<u>-0.1%</u>	<u>\$ 1,249.8</u>	<u>123.6%</u>
<b>Variance 2012/2011</b>						
Attritional (a)	\$ (13.5)	(0.5) pts	\$ 1.1	0.1 pts	\$ (12.4)	(0.4) pts
Catastrophes	(635.1)	(62.8) pts	-	- pts	(635.1)	(62.8) pts
A&E	-	- pts	0.1	- pts	0.1	- pts
Total	<u>\$ (648.6)</u>	<u>(63.3) pts</u>	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>0.1 pts</u>	<u>\$ (647.3)</u>	<u>(63.2) pts</u>

(a) Attritional losses exclude catastrophe and Asbestos and Environmental ("A&E") losses.  
(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased by \$647.3 million, or 51.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Of the \$647.3 million decrease, current year catastrophe losses represented \$635.1 million, or 62.8 points, period over period, and attritional losses decreased \$12.4 million due, in part, to lower earned premium in the current quarter and by improved margins, particularly on catastrophe exposed business, which generated lower attritional losses for the current quarter.

Commission, Brokerage, Taxes and Fees. Commission, brokerage, taxes and fees increased slightly by \$1.0 million, or 0.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 due primarily to the adoption of new accounting standards concerning the accounting for acquisition costs, which added \$2.6 million of expense, partially offset by an increase in excess of loss business which carries a lower commission than pro rata business and better terms.

Other Underwriting Expenses. Other underwriting expenses increased slightly to \$48.5 million from \$45.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in other underwriting expenses was mainly due to higher share-based compensation expense and employee benefit plan expenses.

Corporate Expenses. Corporate expenses, which are general operating expenses that are not allocated to segments, were \$4.7 million and \$3.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Interest, Fees and Bond Issue Cost Amortization Expense. Interest, fees and other bond amortization expense was \$13.2 million and \$13.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**Income Tax Expense (Benefit).** We had an income tax expense of \$38.1 million and an income tax benefit of \$25.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our income tax is primarily a function of the statutory tax rates and corresponding pre-tax income in the jurisdictions where we operate, coupled with the impact from tax-preferenced investment income. Variations in our effective tax rate generally result from changes in the relative levels of pre-tax income among jurisdictions with different tax rates. The increase in taxes year over year was primarily attributable to the impact on 2011 taxable income from the previously mentioned catastrophe losses, partially mitigated by a tax reduction in the first quarter of 2012 of \$12.4 million due to correction of an understatement in the deferred tax asset account.

**Net Income (Loss).**

Our net income was \$304.7 million and our net loss was \$315.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase was primarily driven by the decline in catastrophe losses in 2012 compared to the prior period.

**Ratios.**

Our combined ratio decreased by 62.4 points to 89.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to 151.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The loss ratio component decreased 63.2 points for the three months ended March 31, 2012 over the same period last year due to lower catastrophe losses. The other underwriting expense ratio component and the commission and brokerage ratio component both increased slightly over the same period last year due to the increase in expenses explained above.

**Shareholders' Equity.**

Shareholders' equity increased by \$259.6 million to \$6,331.0 million at March 31, 2012 from \$6,071.4 million at December 31, 2011, principally as a result of \$304.7 million of net income, \$80.4 million of unrealized appreciation on investments, net of tax, \$15.9 million of net foreign currency translation adjustments, share-based compensation transactions of \$8.3 million and \$1.0 million of net benefit plan obligation adjustments, partially offset by repurchases of 1.4 million common shares for \$125.0 million and \$25.6 million of shareholder dividends.

**Consolidated Investment Results**

**Net Investment Income.**

Net investment income decreased by 14.7% to \$152.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$178.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to a decrease in income from our limited partnership investments and a decline in income from our fixed maturities, reflective of reducing our municipal bond exposures and declining reinvestment rates. These decreases were partially offset by increased dividend income from equities due to our expanded public equity portfolio and emerging market debt mutual funds.

The following table shows the components of net investment income for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in millions)		
Fixed maturities	\$ 124.3	\$ 132.9
Equity securities	17.3	11.9
Short-term investments and cash	0.2	0.2
Other invested assets		
Limited partnerships	12.8	36.6
Other	1.5	0.6
Total gross investment income	156.2	182.2
Interest debited (credited) and other expense	(3.7)	(3.5)
Total net investment income	\$ 152.4	\$ 178.7

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

The following tables show a comparison of various investment yields for the periods indicated.

	At March 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Imbedded pre-tax yield of cash and invested assets	3.7%	3.9%
Imbedded after-tax yield of cash and invested assets	3.3%	3.4%

  

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012	2011
Annualized pre-tax yield on average cash and invested assets	4.0%	4.8%
Annualized after-tax yield on average cash and invested assets	3.4%	4.2%

### Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses).

The following table presents the composition of our net realized capital gains (losses) for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011	Variance
(Dollars in millions)			
<u>Gains (losses) from sales:</u>			
Fixed maturity securities, market value:			
Gains	\$ 8.5	\$ 17.2	\$ (8.7)
Losses	(4.4)	(27.2)	22.8
Total	4.1	(10.0)	14.0
Fixed maturity securities, fair value:			
Gains	5.4	0.2	5.2
Losses	(0.2)	(1.7)	1.5
Total	5.2	(1.5)	6.7
Equity securities, market value:			
Gains	0.5	0.2	0.3
Losses	-	(0.2)	0.2
Total	0.5	-	0.5
Equity securities, fair value:			
Gains	27.0	2.3	24.7
Losses	(4.6)	(0.4)	(4.2)
Total	22.4	1.9	20.5
Total net realized capital gains (losses) from sales:			
Gains	41.4	19.9	21.5
Losses	(9.2)	(29.5)	20.3
Total	32.2	(9.6)	41.8
<u>Other-than-temporary impairments:</u>	(5.9)	(14.8)	8.9
<u>Gains (losses) from fair value adjustments:</u>			
Fixed maturities, fair value	3.0	(3.5)	6.5
Equity securities, fair value	69.4	40.0	29.4
Total	72.4	36.5	35.9
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ 98.7	\$ 12.2	\$ 86.6

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Net realized capital gains were \$98.7 million and \$12.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recorded \$72.4 million of net realized capital gains due to fair value re-measurements on fixed maturity and equity securities and \$32.2 million of net realized capital gains from sales of fixed maturity and equity securities, partially offset by \$5.9 million of other-than-temporary impairments. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded

\$36.5 million of gains due to fair value re-measurements on fixed maturity and equity securities, partially offset by \$14.8 million of other-than-temporary impairments and \$9.6 million of net realized capital losses from sales of fixed maturity and equity securities. The gains and losses on the sales of fixed maturity securities during the first quarter of 2011 included the impact of selling part of our municipal bond portfolio as credit concerns arose in this market sector.

### **Segment Results.**

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, we realigned our reporting segments to reflect recent changes in the type and volume of business written. We previously reported the results of Marine & Aviation, Surety, A&H Reinsurance and A&H Primary operations as a separate segment—Specialty Underwriting. The A&H primary business, which is a relatively new line of business for us, has increased significantly, representing approximately 2% of premiums earned and is projected to continue to grow. The A&H primary business is better aligned with the Insurance reporting segment based on the similarities of this business with those businesses already reflected in the Insurance segment. The other operating units included in the Specialty Underwriting segment would have encompassed less than 5% of our premiums earned and their volume is projected to remain less than 5%. As a result of the size of these remaining operating units and their similarity to the business reported within U.S. Reinsurance, they have been reclassified to the U.S. Reinsurance segment. There has been no change to the International and Bermuda reporting segments. We have restated all segment information for prior years to conform to the new reporting segment structure.

The U.S. Reinsurance operation writes property and casualty reinsurance and specialty lines of business, including Marine, Aviation, Surety and A&H business, on both a treaty and facultative basis, through reinsurance brokers, as well as directly with ceding companies primarily within the U.S. The Insurance operation writes property and casualty insurance, including medical stop loss insurance, directly and through general agents, brokers and surplus lines brokers within the U.S. and Canada. The International operation writes non-U.S. property and casualty reinsurance through Everest Re's branches in Canada and Singapore and through offices in Brazil, Miami and New Jersey. The Bermuda operation provides reinsurance and insurance to worldwide property and casualty markets and reinsurance to life insurers through brokers and directly with ceding companies from its Bermuda office and reinsurance to the United Kingdom and European markets through its UK branch and Ireland Re.

These segments are managed independently, but conform with corporate guidelines with respect to pricing, risk management, control of aggregate catastrophe exposures, capital, investments and support operations. Management generally monitors and evaluates the financial performance of these operating segments based upon their underwriting results.

Underwriting results include earned premium less losses and LAE incurred, commission and brokerage expenses and other underwriting expenses. We measure our underwriting results using ratios, in particular loss, commission and brokerage and other underwriting expense ratios, which respectively, divide incurred losses, commissions and brokerage and other underwriting expenses by premiums earned. We utilize inter-affiliate reinsurance, although such reinsurance does not materially impact segment results, as business is generally reported within the segment in which the business was first produced.

Our loss and LAE reserves are our best estimate of our ultimate liability for unpaid claims. We re-evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis, including all prior period reserves, taking into consideration all available information and, in particular, recently reported loss claim experience and trends related to prior periods. Such re-evaluations are recorded in incurred losses in the period in which re-evaluation is made.

The following discusses the underwriting results for each of our segments for the periods indicated.

### U.S. Reinsurance.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the U.S. Reinsurance segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2012	2011	Variance	% Change
Gross written premiums	\$ 369.5	\$ 306.1	\$ 63.4	20.7%
Net written premiums	368.2	305.6	62.7	20.5%
Premiums earned	\$ 358.0	\$ 319.1	\$ 38.9	12.2%
Incurred losses and LAE	222.0	281.9	(60.0)	-21.3%
Commission and brokerage	91.6	82.9	8.7	10.5%
Other underwriting expenses	10.8	9.9	0.8	8.5%
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$ 33.7	\$ (55.7)	\$ 89.3	-160.5%
				Point Chg
Loss ratio	62.0%	88.3%		(26.3)
Commission and brokerage ratio	25.6%	26.0%		(0.4)
Other underwriting expense ratio	3.0%	3.1%		(0.1)
Combined ratio	90.6%	117.4%		(26.8)

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

**Premiums.** Gross written premiums increased by 20.7% to \$369.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from \$306.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to increased reinsurance premiums for treaty property business arising from new business and rate increases on renewals, particularly for catastrophe exposed risks, partially offset by the portfolio shift from pro rata to excess of loss contracts and lower reinstatement premiums. Net written premiums increased 20.5% to \$368.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$305.6 million for the same period in 2011, which is in line with the increase in gross written premiums for the quarter. Premiums earned increased 12.2% to \$358.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$319.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The change in premiums earned relative to net written premiums is the result of timing; premiums are earned ratably over the coverage period whereas written premiums are recorded at the initiation of the coverage period.

**Incurred Losses and LAE.** The following tables present the incurred losses and LAE for the U.S. Reinsurance segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	Current Year	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Prior Years	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Total Incurred	Ratio %/ Pt Change
<b>2012</b>						
Attritional	\$ 194.6	54.4%	\$ 2.1	0.6%	\$ 196.7	55.0%
Catastrophes	30.0	8.4%	(4.9)	-1.4%	25.1	7.0%
A&E	-	0.0%	0.1	0.0%	0.1	0.0%
Total segment	\$ 224.6	62.7%	\$ (2.6)	-0.8%	\$ 222.0	62.0%
<b>2011</b>						
Attritional	\$ 167.2	52.4%	\$ (0.3)	-0.1%	\$ 166.9	52.3%
Catastrophes	114.0	35.7%	1.0	0.3%	115.0	36.0%
A&E	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total segment	\$ 281.2	88.1%	\$ 0.7	0.2%	\$ 281.9	88.3%
<b>Variance 2012/2011</b>						
Attritional	\$ 27.4	2.0 pts	\$ 2.4	0.7 pts	\$ 29.8	2.7 pts
Catastrophes	(84.0)	(27.3) pts	(5.9)	(1.7) pts	(89.9)	(29.0) pts
A&E	-	- pts	0.1	- pts	0.1	- pts
Total segment	\$ (56.6)	(25.4) pts	\$ (3.3)	(1.0) pts	\$ (60.0)	(26.3) pts

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses were \$60.0 million (26.3 points) lower at \$222.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$281.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily as a result of the \$84.0 million (27.3 points) decrease in current year catastrophe losses. Conversely, the current year attritional losses increased \$27.4 million, primarily due to the increase in earned premiums and a large marine loss.

**Segment Expenses.** Commission and brokerage expenses increased by 10.5% to \$91.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$82.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to the increase in premiums earned, partially offset by a shift in the mix of business towards excess of loss, which carries a lower commission ratio. Segment other underwriting expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased slightly to \$10.8 million from \$9.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

### **Insurance.**

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the Insurance segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2012	2011	Variance	% Change
Gross written premiums	\$ 211.7	\$ 271.5	\$ (59.7)	-22.0%
Net written premiums	182.1	231.3	(49.2)	-21.3%
Premiums earned	\$ 180.0	\$ 213.6	\$ (33.6)	-15.7%
Incurred losses and LAE	127.1	159.2	(32.1)	-20.2%
Commission and brokerage	31.5	34.6	(3.1)	-8.9%
Other underwriting expenses	23.5	21.9	1.6	7.4%
Underwriting gain (loss)	\$ (2.1)	\$ (2.0)	\$ (0.1)	3.4%
				Point Chg
Loss ratio	70.6%	74.5%		(3.9)
Commission and brokerage ratio	17.5%	16.2%		1.3
Other underwriting expense ratio	13.1%	10.2%		2.9
Combined ratio	101.2%	100.9%		0.3

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

**Premiums.** Gross written premiums decreased by 22.0% to \$211.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$271.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This decrease was primarily driven by the termination and runoff of several large casualty programs and lower crop premium due to a shift in the seasonality of reporting this business, partially offset by an increase in A&H primary business. Net written premiums decreased 21.3% to \$182.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$231.3 million for the same period in 2011 due to the lower gross written premiums. Premiums earned decreased 15.7% to \$180.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$213.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The change in premiums earned is relatively consistent with the decrease in net written premiums.

Incurred Losses and LAE. The following tables present the incurred losses and LAE for the Insurance segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	Current Year	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Prior Years	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Total Incurred	Ratio %/ Pt Change
<u>2012</u>						
Attritional	\$ 127.1	70.6%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 127.1	70.6%
Catastrophes	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total segment	<u>\$ 127.1</u>	<u>70.6%</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>\$ 127.1</u>	<u>70.6%</u>
<u>2011</u>						
Attritional	\$ 159.2	74.5%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 159.2	74.5%
Catastrophes	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total segment	<u>\$ 159.2</u>	<u>74.5%</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>\$ 159.2</u>	<u>74.5%</u>
<u>Variance 2012/2011</u>						
Attritional	\$ (32.1)	(3.9) pts	\$ -	- pts	\$ (32.1)	(3.9) pts
Catastrophes	-	- pts	-	- pts	-	- pts
Total segment	<u>\$ (32.1)</u>	<u>(3.9) pts</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>- pts</u>	<u>\$ (32.1)</u>	<u>(3.9) pts</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased by \$32.1 million, or 20.2%, to \$127.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$159.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This was due to a decrease of \$32.1 million in current year attritional losses driven by the decline in premiums earned and a shift in the mix of business towards short-tail business with lower loss ratios.

Segment Expenses. Commission and brokerage decreased by 8.9% to \$31.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$34.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in net premiums earned. Segment other underwriting expenses increased to \$23.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$21.9 million for the same period in 2011.

#### International.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the International segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2012	2011	Variance	% Change
Gross written premiums	\$ 277.3	\$ 308.8	\$ (31.6)	-10.2%
Net written premiums	277.3	304.5	(27.2)	-8.9%
Premiums earned	\$ 296.1	\$ 316.3	\$ (20.2)	-6.4%
Incurred losses and LAE	148.2	604.7	(456.6)	-75.5%
Commission and brokerage	71.2	78.4	(7.2)	-9.2%
Other underwriting expenses	6.7	6.4	0.3	4.7%
Underwriting gain (loss)	<u>\$ 70.0</u>	<u>\$ (373.3)</u>	<u>\$ 443.3</u>	<u>-118.8%</u>
Loss ratio	50.0%	191.2%		Point Chg (141.2)
Commission and brokerage ratio	24.0%	24.8%		(0.8)
Other underwriting expense ratio	2.4%	2.0%		0.4
Combined ratio	<u>76.4%</u>	<u>218.0%</u>		<u>(141.6)</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)



**Premiums.** Gross written premiums decreased by 10.2% to \$277.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$308.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to a reduction in proportional property business starting in 2011 and continuing into 2012, particularly in regions recently affected by catastrophe losses. Net written premiums decreased by 8.9% to \$277.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$304.5 million for the same period in 2011, principally as a result of the decrease in gross written premiums. Premiums earned decreased 6.4% to \$296.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$316.3 million for the same period in 2011. The change in premiums earned relative to net written premiums is the result of timing; premiums are earned ratably over the coverage period whereas written premiums are recorded at the initiation of the coverage period.

**Incurred Losses and LAE.** The following tables present the incurred losses and LAE for the International segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	Current Year	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Prior Years	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Total Incurred	Ratio %/ Pt Change
<b>2012</b>						
Attritional	\$ 148.6	50.1%	\$ (2.8)	-0.9%	\$ 145.8	49.2%
Catastrophes	-	0.0%	2.3	0.8%	2.3	0.8%
Total segment	<u>\$ 148.6</u>	<u>50.1%</u>	<u>\$ (0.5)</u>	<u>-0.1%</u>	<u>\$ 148.2</u>	<u>50.0%</u>
<b>2011</b>						
Attritional	\$ 165.2	52.3%	\$ (5.0)	-1.6%	\$ 160.2	50.7%
Catastrophes	441.1	139.4%	3.5	1.1%	444.5	140.5%
Total segment	<u>\$ 606.3</u>	<u>191.7%</u>	<u>\$ (1.5)</u>	<u>-0.5%</u>	<u>\$ 604.7</u>	<u>191.2%</u>
<b>Variance 2012/2011</b>						
Attritional	\$ (16.6)	(2.2) pts	\$ 2.2	0.7 pts	\$ (14.4)	(1.5) pts
Catastrophes	(441.1)	(139.4) pts	(1.2)	(0.3) pts	(442.2)	(139.7) pts
Total segment	<u>\$ (457.7)</u>	<u>(141.6) pts</u>	<u>\$ 1.0</u>	<u>0.4 pts</u>	<u>\$ (456.6)</u>	<u>(141.2) pts</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased 75.5% to \$148.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$604.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease was principally due to a \$441.1 million (139.4 points) decrease in current year catastrophe losses. Current years' attritional losses decreased by \$16.6 million due to a decrease in premiums earned and a shift in mix of business towards property catastrophe and excess of loss business, which generally carries a lower loss ratio.

**Segment Expenses.** Commission and brokerage decreased 9.2% to \$71.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$78.4 million for the same period in 2011. This variance was principally due to a decrease in premiums earned. Segment other underwriting expenses increased slightly to \$6.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$6.4 million for the same period in 2011.

## Bermuda.

The following table presents the underwriting results and ratios for the Bermuda segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2012	2011	Variance	% Change
Gross written premiums	\$ 188.0	\$ 178.5	\$ 9.4	5.3%
Net written premiums	187.3	178.6	8.7	4.9%
Premiums earned	\$ 163.9	\$ 162.4	\$ 1.5	0.9%
Incurred losses and LAE	105.2	203.9	(98.7)	-48.4%
Commission and brokerage	43.3	40.6	2.7	6.6%
Other underwriting expenses	7.5	6.7	0.8	11.4%
Underwriting gain (loss)	<u>\$ 7.9</u>	<u>\$ (88.8)</u>	<u>\$ 96.7</u>	<u>-108.9%</u>
Loss ratio	64.2%	125.5%		Point Chg (61.3)
Commission and brokerage ratio	26.4%	25.0%		1.4
Other underwriting expense ratio	4.6%	4.2%		0.4
Combined ratio	<u>95.2%</u>	<u>154.7%</u>		<u>(59.5)</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

**Premiums.** Gross written premiums increased 5.3% to \$188.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$178.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to new business and increased premium on existing business written in our Bermuda office. Net written premiums increased 4.9% to \$187.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$178.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, in line with the increase in gross written premiums. Premiums earned increased 0.9% to \$163.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$162.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The change in premiums earned relative to net written premiums is the result of timing; premiums are earned ratably over the coverage period whereas written premiums are recorded at the initiation of the coverage period.

**Incurred Losses and LAE.** The following tables present the incurred losses and LAE for the Bermuda segment for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	Current Year	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Prior Years	Ratio %/ Pt Change	Total Incurred	Ratio %/ Pt Change
<b>2012</b>						
Attritional	\$ 102.3	62.5%	\$ 0.4	0.2%	\$ 102.7	62.7%
Catastrophes	-	0.0%	2.5	1.5%	2.5	1.5%
A&E	-	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Total segment	<u>\$ 102.3</u>	<u>62.5%</u>	<u>\$ 2.9</u>	<u>1.7%</u>	<u>\$ 105.2</u>	<u>64.2%</u>
<b>2011</b>						
Attritional	\$ 94.5	58.2%	\$ 3.9	2.4%	\$ 98.4	60.6%
Catastrophes	110.0	67.7%	(4.5)	-2.8%	105.5	64.9%
A&E	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total segment	<u>\$ 204.5</u>	<u>125.9%</u>	<u>\$ (0.6)</u>	<u>-0.4%</u>	<u>\$ 203.9</u>	<u>125.5%</u>
<b>Variance 2012/2011</b>						
Attritional	\$ 7.8	4.3 pts	\$ (3.5)	(2.2) pts	\$ 4.3	2.1 pts
Catastrophes	(110.0)	(67.7) pts	7.0	4.3 pts	(103.0)	(63.4) pts
A&E	-	- pts	0.0	- pts	0.0	- pts
Total segment	<u>\$ (102.2)</u>	<u>(63.4) pts</u>	<u>\$ 3.5</u>	<u>2.1 pts</u>	<u>\$ (98.7)</u>	<u>(61.3) pts</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Incurred losses and LAE decreased 48.4% to \$105.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$203.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease was principally due to a \$110.0 million (67.7 points) decrease in current year catastrophe losses.

Segment Expenses. Commission and brokerage increased 6.6% to \$43.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$40.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The commission variance differs from the premiums earned variance primarily due to changes in the mix of business. Segment other underwriting expenses increased slightly to \$7.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$6.7 million for the same period in 2011.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

Cash and Invested Assets. Aggregate invested assets, including cash and short-term investments, were \$16,117.0 million at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$319.6 million compared to \$15,797.4 million at December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily the result of \$165.7 million of cash flows from operations, \$85.1 million of unrealized appreciation, \$81.4 million due to fluctuations in foreign currencies, \$72.4 million in fair value re-measurements, \$38.8 million of unsettled securities and \$12.5 million in equity adjustments of our limited partnership investments, partially offset by \$125.0 million paid for share repurchases and \$25.6 million paid out in dividends to shareholders.

Our principal investment objectives are to ensure funds are available to meet our insurance and reinsurance obligations and to maximize after-tax investment income while maintaining a high quality diversified investment portfolio. Considering these objectives, we view our investment portfolio as having two components: 1) the investments needed to satisfy outstanding liabilities (our core fixed maturities portfolio) and 2) investments funded by our shareholders' equity.

For the portion needed to satisfy global outstanding liabilities, we generally invest in taxable and tax-preferenced fixed income securities with an average credit quality of Aa3. For the U.S. portion of this portfolio, our mix of taxable and tax-preferenced investments is adjusted periodically, consistent with our current and projected U.S. operating results, market conditions and our tax position. This global fixed maturity securities portfolio is externally managed by an independent, professional investment manager using portfolio guidelines approved by internal management.

Our global portfolio included \$1,697.1 million of foreign government securities at March 31, 2012, of which \$764.5 million were European sovereign securities. Approximately 57.7%, 22.4%, 7.5% and 5.2% of European sovereign securities represented securities held in the governments of the United Kingdom, France, Austria and Netherlands, respectively. No other countries represented more than 5% of the European sovereign securities. We held no sovereign securities of Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece or Spain at March 31, 2012.

Over the past few years and particularly in 2010 and 2011, we have expanded the allocation of our investments funded by shareholders' equity to include: 1) a greater percentage of publicly traded equity securities, 2) emerging market fixed maturities through mutual fund structures, 3) high yield fixed maturities, 4) bank loan securities and 5) private equity limited partnership investments. The objective of this portfolio diversification is to enhance the risk-adjusted total return of the investment portfolio by allocating a prudent portion of the portfolio to higher return asset classes, which are also less subject to changes in value with movements in interest rates. We limit our allocation to these asset classes because of 1) the potential for volatility in their values and 2) the impact of these investments on regulatory and rating agency capital adequacy models. We use investment managers experienced in these markets and adjust our allocation to these investments based upon market conditions. At March 31, 2012, the market value of investments in these investment market sectors, carried at both market and fair value, approximated 57.3% of shareholders' equity.

The Company's limited partnership investments are comprised of limited partnerships that invest in private equities. Generally, the limited partnerships are reported on a quarter lag. All of the limited partnerships are required to report using fair value accounting in accordance with FASB guidance. We receive annual audited financial statements for all of the limited partnerships. For the quarterly reports, the Company's staff performs reviews of the financial reports for any unusual changes in carrying value. If the Company becomes aware of a significant decline in value during the lag reporting period, the loss will be recorded in the period in which the Company identifies the decline.

The tables below summarize the composition and characteristics of our investment portfolio as of the dates indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	At March 31, 2012		At December 31, 2011	
Fixed maturities, market value	\$ 12,391.7	76.9%	\$ 12,293.5	77.8%
Fixed maturities, fair value	64.9	0.4%	113.6	0.7%
Equity securities, market value	458.8	2.8%	448.9	2.9%
Equity securities, fair value	1,211.3	7.5%	1,249.1	7.9%
Short-term investments	943.6	5.9%	685.3	4.4%
Other invested assets	574.6	3.6%	558.2	3.5%
Cash	472.0	2.9%	448.7	2.8%
Total investments and cash	<u>\$ 16,117.0</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 15,797.4</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

	At March 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Fixed income portfolio duration (years)	3.0	3.0
Fixed income composite credit quality	Aa3	Aa3
Imbedded end of period yield, pre-tax	3.7%	3.9%
Imbedded end of period yield, after-tax	3.3%	3.4%

The following table provides a comparison of our total return by asset class relative to broadly accepted industry benchmarks for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011
Fixed income portfolio total return	1.4%	4.7%
Barclay's Capital - U.S. aggregate index	0.3%	7.8%
Common equity portfolio total return	7.8%	2.7%
S&P 500 index	12.6%	2.1%
Other invested asset portfolio total return	3.4%	13.5%

The pre-tax equivalent total return for the bond portfolio was approximately 1.5% and 5.1% in March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The pre-tax equivalent return adjusts the yield on tax-exempt bonds to the fully taxable equivalent.

As indicated above, there is a relatively large variation between the total return on our fixed income portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011 versus the Barclay's -U.S. aggregate index for the same period. One of the reasons is that the duration of our portfolio is much shorter than the duration of the index. Historically, our duration has been shorter than the index because we align our investment portfolio with our liabilities. In addition, we have recently shortened our duration in anticipation of a reversing trend in interest rate movements. With interest rates continuing to decline in 2011, the index benefited from its longer duration; however, in the longer term, there will be a benefit from a reduced exposure to unrealized market valuation losses on our fixed income portfolio if interest rates rise. The composition of the index is also different from our portfolio as we hold foreign securities to match our foreign liabilities, while the index is comprised of only U.S. securities.

**Reinsurance Receivables.** Reinsurance receivables for both paid and recoverable on unpaid losses totaled \$574.3 million at March 31, 2012 and \$580.3 million at December 31, 2011. At March 31, 2012, \$205.1 million, or 35.7%, was receivable from C.V. Starr (Bermuda); \$65.8 million, or 11.5%, was receivable from Transatlantic Reinsurance Company; \$57.0 million, or 9.9%, was receivable from Berkley Insurance Company and \$39.2 million, or 6.8%, was receivable from Munich Reinsurance Company. The receivable from C.V. Starr is fully collateralized by a trust agreement. No other retrocessionaire accounted for more than 5% of our receivables.

**Loss and LAE Reserves.** Gross loss and LAE reserves totaled \$10,029.3 million at March 31, 2012 and \$10,123.2 million at December 31, 2011.

The following tables summarize gross outstanding loss and LAE reserves by segment, classified by case reserves and IBNR reserves, for the periods indicated.

At March 31, 2012				
(Dollars in millions)	Case	IBNR	Total	% of
	Reserves	Reserves	Reserves	Total
U.S. Reinsurance	\$ 1,566.1	\$ 1,910.7	\$ 3,476.7	34.7%
Insurance	903.3	1,205.8	2,109.1	21.0%
International	1,295.8	762.1	2,057.9	20.5%
Bermuda	817.5	1,081.6	1,899.1	18.9%
Total excluding A&E	4,582.6	4,960.2	9,542.9	95.1%
A&E	281.4	205.1	486.5	4.9%
Total including A&E	\$ 4,864.1	\$ 5,165.3	\$ 10,029.3	100.0%

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

At December 31, 2011				
(Dollars in millions)	Case	IBNR	Total	% of
	Reserves	Reserves	Reserves	Total
U.S. Reinsurance	\$ 1,556.3	\$ 1,889.3	\$ 3,445.6	34.1%
Insurance	949.2	1,218.5	2,167.7	21.4%
International	1,279.7	857.7	2,137.4	21.1%
Bermuda	805.4	1,067.2	1,872.6	18.5%
Total excluding A&E	4,590.5	5,032.8	9,623.3	95.1%
A&E	289.1	210.9	499.9	4.9%
Total including A&E	\$ 4,879.6	\$ 5,243.6	\$ 10,123.2	100.0%

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

Changes in premiums earned and business mix, reserve re-estimations, catastrophe losses and changes in catastrophe loss reserves and claim settlement activity all impact loss and LAE reserves by segment and in total.

Our loss and LAE reserves represent our best estimate of our ultimate liability for unpaid claims. We continuously re-evaluate our reserves, including re-estimates of prior period reserves, taking into consideration all available information and, in particular, newly reported loss and claim experience. Changes in reserves resulting from such re-evaluations are reflected in incurred losses in the period when the re-evaluation is made. Our analytical methods and processes operate at multiple levels including individual contracts, groupings of like contracts, classes and lines of business, internal business units, segments, legal entities, and in the aggregate. In order to set appropriate reserves, we make qualitative and quantitative analyses and judgments at these various levels. Additionally, the attribution of reserves, changes in reserves and incurred losses among accident years requires qualitative and quantitative adjustments and allocations at these various levels. We utilize actuarial science, business expertise and management judgment in a manner intended to ensure the accuracy and consistency of our reserving practices. Nevertheless, our reserves are estimates, which are subject to variation, which may be significant.

There can be no assurance that reserves for, and losses from, claim obligations will not increase in the future, possibly by a material amount. However, we believe that our existing reserves and reserving methodologies lessen the probability that any such increase would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In this context, we note that over the past 10 years, our calendar year operations have been affected by effects from prior period reserve re-estimates, ranging from a favorable \$30.9 million in 2010, representing 0.4% of the net prior period reserves for the year in which the adjustment was made, to an unfavorable \$249.4 million in 2004, representing 4.8% of the net prior period reserves for the year in which the adjustment was made.

Asbestos and Environmental Exposures. A&E exposures represent a separate exposure group for monitoring and evaluating reserve adequacy. The following table summarizes incurred losses and outstanding loss reserves with respect to A&E reserves on both a gross and net of retrocessions basis for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
(Dollars in millions)		
Gross Basis:		
Beginning of period reserves	\$ 499.9	\$ 554.8
Incurred losses	0.1	-
Paid losses	(13.6)	(19.0)
End of period reserves	<u>\$ 486.5</u>	<u>\$ 535.8</u>
Net Basis:		
Beginning of period reserves	\$ 480.2	\$ 532.9
Incurred losses	0.1	-
Paid losses	(12.7)	(18.2)
End of period reserves	<u>\$ 467.6</u>	<u>\$ 514.7</u>

(Some amounts may not reconcile due to rounding.)

At March 31, 2012, the gross reserves for A&E losses were comprised of \$144.5 million representing case reserves reported by ceding companies, \$96.5 million representing additional case reserves established by us on assumed reinsurance claims, \$40.4 million representing case reserves established by us on direct excess insurance claims, including Mt. McKinley, and \$205.1 million representing IBNR reserves.

With respect to asbestos only, at March 31, 2012, we had gross asbestos loss reserves of \$466.7 million, or 95.9%, of total A&E reserves, of which \$372.9 million was for assumed business and \$93.8 million was for direct business.

Industry analysts use the “survival ratio” to compare the A&E reserves among companies with such liabilities. The survival ratio is typically calculated by dividing a company’s current net reserves by the three year average of annual paid losses. Hence, the survival ratio equals the number of years that it would take to exhaust the current reserves if future loss payments were to continue at historical levels. Using this measurement, our net three year asbestos survival ratio was 5.7 years at March 31, 2012. These metrics can be skewed by individual large settlements occurring in the prior three years and therefore, may not be indicative of the timing of future payments.

Because the survival ratio was developed as a comparative measure of reserve strength and does not indicate absolute reserve adequacy, we consider, but do not rely on, the survival ratio when evaluating our reserves. In particular, we note that year to year loss payment variability can be material. This is due, in part, to our orientation to negotiated settlements, particularly on our Mt. McKinley exposures, which significantly reduces the credibility and utility of this measure as an analytical tool. Such payments, which are non-repetitive, distort downward our three year survival ratio. Adjusting for such settlements, recognizing that total settlements are generally considered fully reserved to an agreed settlement, we consider that our adjusted asbestos survival ratio for net unsettled claims is 8.5 years, which is better than prevailing industry norms. In the first quarter of 2012, we made no asbestos net claim payments to Mt McKinley high profile claimants where the claim was either closed or a settlement had been reached.

Shareholders' Equity. Our shareholders' equity increased to \$6,331.0 million as of March 31, 2012 from \$6,071.4 million as of December 31, 2011. This increase was the result of \$304.7 million of net income, \$80.4 million of unrealized appreciation on investments, net of tax, \$15.9 million of net foreign currency translation adjustments, share-based compensation transactions of \$8.3 million and \$1.0 million of net benefit plan obligation adjustments, partially offset by repurchases of 1.4 million common shares for \$125.0 million and \$25.6 million of shareholder dividends.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Capital. Our business operations are in part dependent on our financial strength and financial strength ratings, and the market's perception of our financial strength, as measured by shareholders' equity, which was \$6,331.0 million at March 31, 2012 and \$6,071.4 million at December 31, 2011. On March 13, 2009, Everest Re and Everest National, wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries of the Company, received notification of a one level ratings downgrade by Standard & Poor's. On April 7, 2010, Standard & Poor's upgraded the Company's debt ratings one level. On January 24, 2012, Moody's affirmed the ratings of the Company's operating subsidiaries but changed the outlook on the ratings from stable to negative reflecting their opinion of the potential direction of the ratings over the medium term (12 to 18 months). Management will continue to work with Moody's over this time to address their concerns but it is not possible to predict the potential outcome. Management does not believe that a one notch downgrade would have a material adverse affect on the Company's business. We continue to possess significant financial flexibility and access to the debt and equity markets as a result of our perceived financial strength, as evidenced by the financial strength ratings as assigned by independent rating agencies.

From time to time, we have used open market share repurchases to adjust our capital position and enhance long term expected returns to our shareholders. On July 21, 2008, our existing authorization to purchase up to 5 million of our shares was amended to authorize the purchase of up to 10 million shares. On February 24, 2010, our existing authorization to purchase up to 10 million of our shares was amended to authorize the purchase of up to 15 million shares. On February 22, 2012, our existing authorization to purchase up to 15 million of our shares was amended to authorize the purchase of up to 20 million shares. As of March 31, 2012, we had repurchased 14.1 million shares under this authorization.

On October 14, 2011, we renewed our shelf registration statement on Form S-3ASR with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), as a Well Known Seasoned Issuer. This shelf registration statement can be used by Group to register common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, warrants, share purchase contracts and share purchase units; by Holdings to register debt securities and by Everest Re Capital Trust III ("Capital Trust III") to register trust preferred securities.

Liquidity. Our principal investment objectives are to ensure funds are available to meet our insurance and reinsurance obligations and to maximize after-tax investment income while maintaining a high quality diversified investment portfolio. Considering these objectives, we view our investment portfolio as having two components: 1) the investments needed to satisfy outstanding liabilities (our core fixed maturities portfolio) and 2) investments funded by our shareholders' equity.

For the portion needed to satisfy global outstanding liabilities, we generally invest in taxable and tax-preferenced fixed income securities with an average credit quality of Aa3. For the U.S. portion of this portfolio, our mix of taxable and tax-preferenced investments is adjusted periodically, consistent with our current and projected U.S. operating results, market conditions and our tax position. This global fixed maturity securities portfolio is externally managed by an independent, professional investment manager using portfolio guidelines approved by internal management.

Over the past few years and particularly in 2010 and 2011, we have expanded the allocation of our investments funded by shareholders' equity to include: 1) a greater percentage of publicly traded equity securities, 2) emerging market fixed maturities through mutual fund structures, 3) high yield fixed maturities, 4) bank loan securities and 5) private equity limited partnership investments. The objective of this portfolio diversification is to enhance the risk-adjusted total return of the investment portfolio by allocating a prudent portion of the portfolio to higher return asset classes, which are also less subject to changes in value with movements in interest rates. We limit our allocation to these asset classes because of 1) the potential for volatility in their values and 2) the impact of these investments on regulatory and rating agency capital adequacy models. We use investment managers experienced in these markets and adjust our allocation to these investments based upon market conditions. At March 31, 2012, the market value of investments in these investment market sectors, carried at both market and fair value, approximated 57.3% of shareholders' equity.

Our liquidity requirements are generally met from positive cash flow from operations. Positive cash flow results from reinsurance and insurance premiums being collected prior to disbursements for claims, which disbursements generally take place over an extended period after the collection of premiums, sometimes a period of many years. Collected premiums are generally invested, prior to their use in such disbursements, and investment income provides additional funding for loss payments. Our net cash flows from operating activities were \$165.7 million and \$188.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, these cash flows reflected net tax payments of \$11.2 million and \$11.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively; net catastrophe loss payments of \$163.9 million and \$113.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively; and net A&E payments of \$12.7 million and \$18.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

If disbursements for claims and benefits, policy acquisition costs and other operating expenses were to exceed premium inflows, cash flow from reinsurance and insurance operations would be negative. The effect on cash flow from insurance operations would be partially offset by cash flow from investment income. Additionally, cash inflows from investment maturities and dispositions, both short-term investments and longer term maturities are available to supplement other operating cash flows.

As the timing of payments for claims and benefits cannot be predicted with certainty, we maintain portfolios of long term invested assets with varying maturities, along with short-term investments that provide additional liquidity for payment of claims. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we held cash and short-term investments of \$1,415.6 million and \$1,134.0 million, respectively. All of our short-term investments are readily marketable and can be converted to cash. In addition to these cash and short-term investments, at March 31, 2012, we had \$651.8 million of available for sale fixed maturity securities maturing within one year or less, \$5,385.8 million maturing within one to five years and \$3,643.9 million maturing after five years. Our \$1,670.1 million of equity securities are comprised primarily of publicly traded securities that can be easily liquidated. We believe that these fixed maturity and equity securities, in conjunction with the short-term investments and positive cash flow from operations, provide ample sources of liquidity for the expected payment of losses in the near future. We do not anticipate selling securities or using available credit facilities to pay losses and LAE but have the ability to do so. Sales of securities might result in realized capital gains or losses. At March 31, 2012 we had \$632.8 million of net pre-tax unrealized appreciation, comprised of \$681.4 million of pre-tax unrealized appreciation and \$48.6 million of pre-tax unrealized depreciation.

Management expects annual positive cash flow from operations, which in general reflects the strength of overall pricing, to persist over the near term, absent any unusual catastrophe activity. Due to the significant catastrophe losses in 2011, cash flow from operations may be impacted in the near term as these losses are paid. In the intermediate and long term, our cash flow from operations will be impacted to the extent by which competitive pressures affect overall pricing in our markets and by which our premium receipts are impacted from our strategy of emphasizing underwriting profitability over premium volume.



Effective July 27, 2007, Group, Bermuda Re and Everest International entered into a five year, \$850.0 million senior credit facility with a syndicate of lenders referred to as the "Group Credit Facility". Wells Fargo Corporation ("Wells Fargo Bank") is the administrative agent for the Group Credit Facility, which consists of two tranches. Tranche one provides up to \$350.0 million of unsecured revolving credit for liquidity and general corporate purposes, and for the issuance of unsecured standby letters of credit. The interest on the revolving loans shall, at the Company's option, be either (1) the Base Rate (as defined below) or (2) an adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a margin. The Base Rate is the higher of (a) the prime commercial lending rate established by Wells Fargo Bank or (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5% per annum. The amount of margin and the fees payable for the Group Credit Facility depends on Group's senior unsecured debt rating. Tranche two exclusively provides up to \$500.0 million for the issuance of standby letters of credit on a collateralized basis.

The Group Credit Facility requires Group to maintain a debt to capital ratio of not greater than 0.35 to 1 and to maintain a minimum net worth. Minimum net worth is an amount equal to the sum of \$3,575.4 million plus 25% of consolidated net income for each of Group's fiscal quarters, for which statements are available ending on or after January 1, 2007 and for which consolidated net income is positive, plus 25% of any increase in consolidated net worth during such period attributable to the issuance of ordinary and preferred shares, which at March 31, 2012, was \$4,370.8 million. As of March 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all Group Credit Facility covenants.

At March 31, 2012, the Group Credit Facility had no outstanding letters of credit under tranche one and \$437.7 million outstanding letters of credit under tranche two. At December 31, 2011, the Group Credit Facility had no outstanding letters of credit under tranche one and \$446.5 million outstanding letters of credit under tranche two.

Effective August 15, 2011, Holdings entered into a new three year, \$150.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a syndicate of lenders, replacing the August 23, 2006 five year senior revolving credit facility. Both the August 15, 2011 and August 23, 2006 revolving credit agreements, which have similar terms, are referred to as the "Holdings Credit Facility". Citibank N.A. is the administrative agent for the Holdings Credit Facility. The Holdings Credit Facility may be used for liquidity and general corporate purposes. The Holdings Credit Facility provides for the borrowing of up to \$150.0 million with interest at a rate selected by Holdings equal to either, (1) the Base Rate (as defined below) or (2) a periodic fixed rate equal to the Eurodollar Rate plus an applicable margin. The Base Rate means a fluctuating interest rate per annum in effect from time to time to be equal to the higher of (a) the rate of interest publicly announced by Citibank as its base rate, (b) 0.5% per annum above the Federal Funds Rate or (c) 1% above the one month LIBOR, in each case plus the applicable margin. The amount of margin and the fees payable for the Holdings Credit Facility depends upon Holdings' senior unsecured debt rating.

The Holdings Credit Facility requires Holdings to maintain a debt to capital ratio of not greater than 0.35 to 1 and Everest Re to maintain its statutory surplus at \$1,875.0 million plus 25% of future aggregate net income and 25% of future aggregate capital contributions after December 31, 2010, which at March 31, 2012, was \$1,933.0 million. As of March 31, 2012, Holdings was in compliance with all Holdings Credit Facility covenants.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no outstanding short-term borrowings from the Holdings Credit Facility revolving credit line. Short-term borrowings can be paid either through renewal with a one, two, three or six month term; or out of available liquidity. In addition, at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Holdings Credit Facility had outstanding letters of credit of \$5.0 million.

Costs incurred in connection with the Group Credit Facility and the Holdings Credit Facility were \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million for March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

### Market Sensitive Instruments.

The SEC's Financial Reporting Release #48 requires registrants to clarify and expand upon the existing financial statement disclosure requirements for derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments and other financial instruments (collectively, "market sensitive instruments"). We do not generally enter into market sensitive instruments for trading purposes.

Our current investment strategy seeks to maximize after-tax income through a high quality, diversified, taxable and tax-preferenced fixed maturity portfolio, while maintaining an adequate level of liquidity. Our mix of taxable and tax-preferenced investments is adjusted periodically, consistent with our current and projected operating results, market conditions and our tax position. The fixed maturity securities in the investment portfolio are comprised of non-trading available for sale securities. Additionally, we have invested in equity securities. We have also written a small number of equity index put option contracts.

The overall investment strategy considers the scope of present and anticipated Company operations. In particular, estimates of the financial impact resulting from non-investment asset and liability transactions, together with our capital structure and other factors, are used to develop a net liability analysis. This analysis includes estimated payout characteristics for which our investments provide liquidity. This analysis is considered in the development of specific investment strategies for asset allocation, duration and credit quality. The change in overall market sensitive risk exposure principally reflects the asset changes that took place during the period.

Interest Rate Risk. Our \$16.1 billion investment portfolio, at March 31, 2012, is principally comprised of fixed maturity securities, which are generally subject to interest rate risk and some foreign currency exchange rate risk, and some equity securities, which are subject to price fluctuations and some foreign exchange rate risk. The overall economic impact of the foreign exchange risks on the investment portfolio is partially mitigated by changes in the dollar value of foreign currency denominated liabilities and their associated income statement impact.

Interest rate risk is the potential change in value of the fixed maturity securities portfolio, including short-term investments, from a change in market interest rates. In a declining interest rate environment, it includes prepayment risk on the \$2,504.1 million of mortgage-backed securities in the \$12,456.7 million fixed maturity portfolio. Prepayment risk results from potential accelerated principal payments that shorten the average life and thus the expected yield of the security.

The table below displays the potential impact of market value fluctuations and after-tax unrealized appreciation on our fixed maturity portfolio (including \$943.6 million of short-term investments) for the period indicated based on upward and downward parallel and immediate 100 and 200 basis point shifts in interest rates. For legal entities with a U.S. dollar functional currency, this modeling was performed on each security individually. To generate appropriate price estimates on mortgage-backed securities, changes in prepayment expectations under different interest rate environments were taken into account. For legal entities with a non-U.S. dollar functional currency, the effective duration of the involved portfolio of securities was used as a proxy for the market value change under the various interest rate change scenarios.

	Impact of Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points				
	At March 31, 2012				
	-200	-100	0	100	200
(Dollars in millions)					
Total Market/Fair Value	\$ 14,106.1	\$ 13,764.7	\$ 13,400.2	\$ 12,999.5	\$ 12,579.2
Market/Fair Value Change from Base (%)	5.3%	2.7%	0.0%	-3.0%	-6.1%
Change in Unrealized Appreciation					
After-tax from Base (\$)	\$ 591.0	\$ 305.8	\$ -	\$ (337.3)	\$ (691.5)

We had \$10,029.3 million and \$10,123.2 million of gross reserves for losses and LAE as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These amounts are recorded at their nominal value, as opposed to present value, which would reflect a discount adjustment to reflect the time value of money. Since losses are paid out over a period of time, the present value of the reserves is less than the nominal value. As interest rates rise, the present value of the reserves decreases and, conversely, as interest rates decline, the

present value increases. These movements are the opposite of the interest rate impacts on the fair value of investments. While the difference between present value and nominal value is not reflected in our financial statements, our financial results will include investment income over time from the investment portfolio until the claims are paid. Our loss and loss reserve obligations have an expected duration of approximately 3.6 years, which is reasonably consistent with our fixed income portfolio. If we were to discount our loss and LAE reserves, net of \$0.6 billion of reinsurance receivables on unpaid losses, the discount would be approximately \$1.4 billion resulting in a discounted reserve balance of approximately \$8.1 billion, representing approximately 60.2% of the market value of the fixed maturity investment portfolio funds.

**Equity Risk.** Equity risk is the potential change in fair and/or market value of the common stock, preferred stock and mutual fund portfolios arising from changing prices. Our equity investments consist of a diversified portfolio of individual securities and mutual funds, which invest principally in high quality common and preferred stocks that are traded on the major exchanges, and mutual fund investments in emerging market debt. The primary objective of the equity portfolio is to obtain greater total return relative to our core bonds over time through market appreciation and income.

The table below displays the impact on fair/market value and after-tax change in fair/market value of a 10% and 20% change in equity prices up and down for the period indicated.

(Dollars in millions)	Impact of Percentage Change in Equity Fair/Market Values				
	At March 31, 2012				
	-20%	-10%	0%	10%	20%
Fair/Market Value of the Equity Portfolio	\$ 1,336.1	\$ 1,503.1	\$ 1,670.1	\$ 1,837.1	\$ 2,004.1
After-tax Change in Fair/Market Value	\$ (248.4)	\$ (124.2)	\$ -	\$ 124.2	\$ 248.4

**Foreign Currency Risk.** Foreign currency risk is the potential change in value, income and cash flow arising from adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Each of our non-U.S./Bermuda ("foreign") operations maintains capital in the currency of the country of its geographic location consistent with local regulatory guidelines. Each foreign operation may conduct business in its local currency, as well as the currency of other countries in which it operates. The primary foreign currency exposures for these foreign operations are the Canadian Dollar, the Singapore Dollar, the British Pound Sterling and the Euro. We mitigate foreign exchange exposure by generally matching the currency and duration of our assets to our corresponding operating liabilities. In accordance with FASB guidance, we translate the assets, liabilities and income of non-U.S. dollar functional currency legal entities to the U.S. dollar. This translation amount is reported as a component of other comprehensive income. As of March 31, 2012, there has been no material change in exposure to foreign exchange rates as compared to December 31, 2011.

**Equity Index Put Option Contracts.** Although not considered material in the context of our aggregate exposure to market sensitive instruments, we have issued six equity index put option contracts based on the Standard & Poor's 500 ("S&P 500") index and one equity index put option contract based on the FTSE 100 index, that are market sensitive and sufficiently unique to warrant supplemental disclosure.

We sold six equity index put option contracts, based on the S&P 500 index, for total consideration, net of commissions, of \$22.5 million. At March 31, 2012, fair value for these equity index put option contracts was \$55.8 million. These equity index put option contracts each have a single exercise date, with maturities ranging from 12 to 30 years and strike prices ranging from \$1,141.21 to \$1,540.63. The S&P 500 index value at March 31, 2012 was \$1,408.47. No amounts will be payable under these equity index put option contracts if the S&P 500 index is at, or above, the strike prices on the exercise dates, which fall between June 2017 and March 2031. If the S&P 500 index is lower than the strike price on the applicable exercise date, the amount due would vary proportionately with the percentage by which the index is below the strike price. Based on historical index volatilities and trends and the March 31, 2012 S&P 500 index value, we estimate the probability that each equity index put option contract of the S&P 500 index falling below the strike price on the exercise date to be less than 37%. The theoretical maximum payouts under the equity index put option contracts would occur if on each of the exercise dates the S&P 500 index value were zero. At March 31, 2012, the present value of these theoretical maximum payouts using a 6% discount factor was \$289.6 million.

We sold one equity index put option contract based on the FTSE 100 index for total consideration, net of commissions, of \$6.7 million. At March 31, 2012, fair value for this equity index put option contract was \$7.7 million. This equity index put option contract has an exercise date of July 2020 and a strike price of £5,989.75. The FTSE 100 index value at March 31, 2012 was £5,768.50. No amount will be payable under this equity index put option contract if the FTSE 100 index is at, or above, the strike price on the exercise date. If the FTSE 100 index is lower than the strike price on the exercise date, the amount due will vary proportionately with the percentage by which the index is below the strike price. Based on historical index volatilities and trends and the March 31, 2012 FTSE 100 index value, we estimate the probability that the equity index put option contract of the FTSE 100 index will fall below the strike price on the exercise date to be less than 42%. The theoretical maximum payout under the equity index put option contract would occur if on the exercise date the FTSE 100 index value was zero. At March 31, 2012, the present value of the theoretical maximum payout using a 6% discount factor and current exchange rate was \$32.1 million.

Because the equity index put option contracts meet the definition of a derivative, we report the fair value of these instruments in our consolidated balance sheets as a liability and record any changes to fair value in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) as a net derivative gain (loss). Our financial statements reflect fair values for our obligations on these equity index put option contracts at March 31, 2012, of \$63.5 million; even though it may not be likely that the ultimate settlement of these transactions would require a payment that would exceed the initial consideration received, or any payment at all.

As there is no active market for these instruments, the determination of their fair value is based on an industry accepted option pricing model, which requires estimates and assumptions, including those regarding volatility and expected rates of return.

The table below displays the impact of potential movements in interest rates and the equity indices, which are the principal factors affecting fair value of these instruments, looking forward from the fair value for the period indicated. As these are estimates, there can be no assurance regarding future market performance. The asymmetrical results of the interest rate and S&P 500 and FTSE 100 indices shift reflect that the liability cannot fall below zero whereas it can increase to its theoretical maximum.

Equity Indices Put Options Obligation – Sensitivity Analysis					
At March 31, 2012					
(Dollars in millions)					
Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points:					
	-200	-100	0	100	200
Total Fair Value	\$ 105.8	\$ 82.1	\$ 63.5	\$ 49.0	\$ 37.7
Fair Value Change from Base (%)	-66.5%	-29.2%	0.0%	22.9%	40.7%
Equity Indices Shift in Points (S&P 500/FTSE 100):					
	-500/-2000	-250/-1000	0	250/1000	500/2000
Total Fair Value	\$ 122.2	\$ 87.6	\$ 63.5	\$ 46.8	\$ 35.0
Fair Value Change from Base (%)	-92.3%	-37.8%	0.0%	26.3%	44.9%
Combined Interest Rate /					
Equity Indices Shift (S&P 500/FTSE 100):					
	-200/-500/-2000	-100/-250/-1000	0/0	100/250/1000	200/500/2000
Total Fair Value	\$ 183.2	\$ 110.3	\$ 63.5	\$ 35.2	\$ 18.9
Fair Value Change from Base (%)	-188.3%	-73.6%	0.0%	44.6%	70.3%

**Safe Harbor Disclosure.**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. federal securities laws. We intend these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements in the federal securities laws. In some cases, these statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as “may”, “will”, “should”, “could”, “anticipate”, “estimate”, “expect”, “plan”, “believe”, “predict”, “potential” and “intend”. Forward-looking statements contained in this report include information regarding our reserves for losses and LAE, the adequacy of our provision for uncollectible balances, estimates of our catastrophe exposure, the effects of catastrophic events on our financial statements, the ability of Everest Re, Holdings, Holdings Ireland and Bermuda Re to pay dividends and the settlement costs of our specialized equity index put option contracts. Forward-looking statements only reflect our expectations and are not guarantees of performance. These statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual events or results may differ materially from our expectations. Important factors that could cause our actual events or results to be materially different from our expectations include those discussed under the caption ITEM 1A, “Risk Factors”. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

**Market Risk Instruments.** See “Liquidity and Capital Resources - Market Sensitive Instruments” in PART I – ITEM 2.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our management carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). Based on their evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. Our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there has been no such change during the quarter covered by this report.

**PART II****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in lawsuits, arbitrations and other formal and informal dispute resolution procedures, the outcomes of which will determine the Company’s rights and obligations under insurance and reinsurance agreements. In some disputes, the Company seeks to enforce its rights under an agreement or to collect funds owing to it. In other matters, the Company is resisting attempts by others to collect funds or enforce alleged rights. These disputes arise from time to time and are ultimately resolved through both informal and formal means, including negotiated resolution, arbitration and litigation. In all such matters, the Company believes that its positions are legally and commercially reasonable. The Company considers the statuses of these proceedings when determining its reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses.

Aside from litigation and arbitrations related to these insurance and reinsurance agreements, the Company is not a party to any other material litigation or arbitration.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

No material changes.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities				
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs <sup>(1)</sup>
January 1 - 31, 2012	0	\$ -	0	2,274,947
February 1 - 29, 2012	587,469	\$ 89.5178	558,400	6,716,547
March 1 - 31, 2012	819,029	\$ 91.5741	819,029	5,897,518
Total	1,406,498	\$ -	1,377,429	5,897,518

(1) On September 21, 2004, the Company's board of directors approved an amended share repurchase program authorizing the Company and/or its subsidiary Holdings to purchase up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 of the Company's common shares through open market transactions, privately negotiated transactions or both. On July 21, 2008, the Company's executive committee of the board of directors approved an amendment to the September 21, 2004 share repurchase program authorizing the Company and/or its subsidiary Holdings to purchase up to an aggregate of 10,000,000 of the Company's common shares (recognizing that the number of shares authorized for repurchase has been reduced by those shares that have already been purchased) in open market transactions, privately negotiated transactions or both. On February 24, 2010, the Company's executive committee of the board of directors approved an amendment to the September 21, 2004, share repurchase program and the July 21, 2008, amendment authorizing the Company and/or its subsidiary Holdings, to purchase up to 15,000,000 of the Company's common shares (recognizing that the number of shares authorized for repurchase has been reduced by those shares that have already been purchased) in open market transactions, privately negotiated transactions or both. On February 22, 2012, the Company's executive committee of the Board of Directors approved an amendment to the September 21, 2004 share repurchase program, the July 21, 2008 amendment and the February 24, 2010 amendment authorizing the Company and/or its subsidiary Holdings, to purchase up to 20,000,000 of the Company's common shares (recognizing that the number of shares authorized for repurchase has been reduced by those shares that have already been purchased) in open market transactions, privately negotiated transactions or both.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Index:

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Joseph V. Taranto
31.2	Section 302 Certification of Dominic J. Addesso
32.1	Section 906 Certification of Joseph V. Taranto and Dominic J. Addesso
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Everest Re Group, Ltd.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Everest Re Group, Ltd.  
(Registrant)

/S/ DOMINIC J. ADDESSO

Dominic J. Addesso  
President and  
Chief Financial Officer

(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: May 10, 2012