
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005 or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-25621

E-LOAN, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

77-0460084

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

**6230 Stoneridge Mall Road
Pleasanton, California 94588**

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

(925) 847-6200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

As of July 29, 2005, 65,788,623 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share, were issued and outstanding.



E-LOAN, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2005
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>Page No.</u>
Item 1. Interim Financial Statements (unaudited):	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005	<u>2</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005	<u>3</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005	<u>4</u>
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements	<u>5</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>22</u>
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>41</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>41</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>42</u>
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity in Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>42</u>
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>42</u>
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	<u>42</u>
Item 5. Other Information	<u>43</u>
Item 6. Exhibits	<u>43</u>
Signatures	<u>45</u>

PART I -- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

E-LOAN, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) (IN THOUSANDS)

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2004</u>	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2005</u>
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (includes \$2,350 of restricted cash).....	\$ 55,066	\$ 62,517
Loans held-for-sale.....	17,505	33,236
Accounts receivable, prepaids and other assets.....	19,014	26,346
Fixed assets, net.....	15,860	15,121
Retained interests in auto loans - trading.....	13,954	634
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 121,399</u>	<u>\$ 137,854</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Warehouse and other lines payable.....	\$ 14,735	\$ 25,057
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.....	20,476	22,615
Total liabilities.....	<u>35,211</u>	<u>47,672</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14):		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, 5,000,000 \$0.001 par value shares authorized at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005; 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005.....	--	--
Common stock, 150,000,000 \$0.001 par value shares authorized at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005; 64,427,930 and 65,740,549 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005.....	64	66
Additional paid-in capital.....	268,894	270,258
Accumulated deficit.....	(182,770)	(180,142)
Total stockholders' equity.....	<u>86,188</u>	<u>90,182</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity.....	<u>\$ 121,399</u>	<u>\$ 137,854</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

E-LOAN, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Revenues (Note 12).....	\$ 33,399	\$ 39,876	\$ 64,030	\$ 78,261
Operating expenses:				
Operations (Note 13).....	16,264	19,237	31,206	36,832
Sales and marketing.....	12,506	14,558	23,638	27,967
Technology.....	2,091	2,320	4,257	4,795
General and administrative.....	2,358	3,361	5,874	6,027
Total operating expenses.....	<u>33,219</u>	<u>39,476</u>	<u>64,975</u>	<u>75,621</u>
Income (loss) from operations.....	180	400	(945)	2,640
Other income, net.....	<u>10</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>34</u>
Income (loss) before taxes.....	190	422	(920)	2,674
Income taxes.....	--	(13)	--	(46)
Net income (loss).....	<u>\$ 190</u>	<u>\$ 409</u>	<u>\$ (920)</u>	<u>\$ 2,628</u>
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic.....	<u>\$ 0.00</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.04</u>
Diluted.....	<u>\$ 0.00</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.04</u>
Weighted-average shares - basic.....	<u>62,915</u>	<u>65,407</u>	<u>62,620</u>	<u>65,250</u>
Weighted-average shares - diluted.....	<u>65,784</u>	<u>68,017</u>	<u>62,620</u>	<u>68,158</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

E-LOAN, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2004	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss).....	\$ (920)	\$ 2,628
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of fixed assets.....	3,032	3,145
Non-cash compensation expense.....	636	--
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Loans held-for-sale.....	25,546	(15,731)
Retained interests in auto loans - trading.....	(2,481)	13,320
Accounts receivable, prepaids, and other assets.....	7,191	(7,187)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other payables.....	5,923	2,139
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities.....	38,927	(1,686)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of fixed assets.....	(6,346)	(2,406)
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,346)	(2,406)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net.....	1,298	1,221
Proceeds from warehouse and other lines payable.....	2,229,796	2,350,980
Repayments of warehouse and other lines payable.....	(2,251,659)	(2,340,658)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities.....	(20,565)	11,543
Net increase in cash.....	12,016	7,451
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period.....	29,123	52,716
Change in restricted cash.....	2,500	--
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period.....	43,639	60,167
Restricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period.....	2,350	2,350
Total cash and cash equivalents, end of period.....	\$ 45,989	\$ 62,517

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

E-LOAN, INC.
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS

1. THE COMPANY

E-LOAN, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated on August 26, 1996 and began marketing its services in June 1997. The Company is a consumer direct lender of first and second mortgage loans, home equity loans and home equity lines of credit and auto loans. The Company operates as a single operating segment.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Interim Financial Information (unaudited)

The accompanying financial statements as of June 30, 2004 and 2005 are unaudited. The unaudited interim financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of June 30, 2004 and 2005. These financial statements and notes thereto are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, as amended May 2, 2005. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the expected results for the year ending December 31, 2005.

Principles of Consolidation

These condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of E-LOAN and its wholly owned subsidiary, Escrow Closing Services, Inc. ("ECS"). ECS was incorporated on February 27, 2003 and began marketing its services in November 2003. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid monetary instruments with an original maturity of three months or less from the date of purchase, including documentary drafts, to be cash equivalents. The Company is required to maintain a minimum cash and cash equivalents balance of \$15 million related to its warehouse lines. The following summarizes cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	December 31,	June 30,
	2004	2005
Cash.....	\$ 51,252	\$ 58,475
Certificates of deposit, unrestricted.....	75	77
Documentary drafts.....	1,389	1,615
Restricted cash.....	2,350	2,350
	<u>\$ 55,066</u>	<u>\$ 62,517</u>

Documentary drafts

The Company originates auto loans directly to consumers through the use of a documentary draft process. This process requires the borrower and the auto dealer to provide the Company with a series of supporting documents and vehicle information to complete the loan. For some borrowers, the vehicle also must meet certain model year and loan-to value restrictions.

Cash is allocated to satisfy documentary drafts presented by borrowers before the required supporting documents are reviewed by the Company to complete the loan. If the required documentation is not provided as agreed to by the borrower and auto dealer, then the allocation of cash is reversed and the cash balance is restored. The Company's documentary draft process allows for at least two business days to process the required supporting documentation prior to determining whether to reverse or honor the original presentment by the auto dealer.

Escrow deposits

The Company administers escrow deposits as a service to its customers. Escrow deposits totaled \$7.9 million and \$15.1 million at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, respectively, which were held at third party financial institutions. Escrow deposits are not considered assets of the Company and, therefore, are not included in the accompanying balance sheet. However, the Company remains contingently liable for the disposition of these deposits.

Restricted Cash

The Company has a line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The Company is required to provide restricted cash in the amount of \$2.35 million as collateral for this line of credit. This restricted cash is being held in certificates of deposit which mature in less than 90 days and is classified as an asset on the Company's accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. This line of credit is being utilized to support letters of credit related to the Company's Pleasanton, California facility as well as a letter of credit related to the Company's surety bonds.

Derivative instruments

On January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133"), as subsequently amended by Statements of Financial Accounting No. 138 and No. 149. In accounting for its derivative financial instruments, SFAS 133 requires an entity to recognize all qualifying derivative assets or liabilities in the statement of financial condition and measure those instruments at fair value. The accounting for changes in fair value of the derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. An entity that elects to apply hedge accounting is required to establish at the inception of the hedge, the method it will use in assessing the effectiveness of the hedging derivative and the measurement approach for determining the ineffectiveness of the hedge. These methods must be consistent with the

entity's approach to managing risk. The Company is a party to mortgage rate lock commitments to fund mortgage loans at interest rates previously agreed (locked) by both the Company and the borrower for specified periods of time. When the borrower locks their interest rate, the Company effectively extends a put option to the borrower, whereby the borrower is not obligated to enter into the loan agreement, but the Company must honor the interest rate for the specified time period. Under SFAS 133, qualifying mortgage interest rate locks are derivatives. The Company is exposed to interest rate risk during the accumulation of interest rate lock commitments and loans prior to sale of the underlying loan. The Company utilizes either a best efforts sell forward commitment or a mandatory sell forward commitment to economically hedge the changes in fair value of the loan due to changes in market interest rates. Both best efforts and mandatory sell forward commitments are derivatives under SFAS 133. Throughout the lock period the changes in the market value of interest rate lock commitments, best efforts and mandatory sell forward commitments are recorded as unrealized gains and losses and are included in the statement of operations in mortgage revenue.

The Company's management has made complex judgments in their application of SFAS 133. The judgments include the identification of hedging instruments, hedged items, nature of the risk being hedged, and how the hedging instrument's effectiveness will be measured. The Company designates forward delivery commitments, where the Company intends to deliver the underlying loan into the commitment, as a fair value SFAS 133 hedge at the commitment date. In addition, the Company designates all best efforts sell forward commitments as fair value SFAS 133 hedges for underlying loans at funding date. The Company does not designate mandatory sell forward agreements as SFAS 133 hedges but does utilize them to economically hedge the changes in fair value of rate lock commitments with borrowers for which a best effort sell forward commitment has not been obtained. The Company did not have a material gain or loss representing the amount of hedge ineffectiveness related to designated SFAS 133 hedges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005. The net effect on the Company's income statement from marking to market the locked pipeline and forward commitments was a \$0.9 million gain and \$3.5 million loss, included in mortgage revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The effect on the Company's income statement from marking to market the locked pipeline and forward commitments was a \$0.2 million gain and \$1.6 million loss, included in mortgage revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

The Company also hedges its interest rate risk on auto loan approvals. When the Company issues an auto loan approval, the Company extends a put option to the borrower, whereby the borrower is not obligated to enter into the loan agreement, but the Company must honor the interest rate for up to a 45-day period. Under SFAS 133, auto loan approvals are not considered derivatives. However, the company is still exposed to interest rate risk from issuance of approval to loan sale. The Company enters into amortizing swap contracts to economically hedge the interest rate changes in auto loan approvals. These swap contracts are considered derivatives under SFAS 133 and are recorded at fair value. Subsequent changes in the value of outstanding swap contracts are recorded as unrealized gains and losses and included in the statement of operations in auto revenue. The effect on the Company's income statement from applying SFAS 133 was a \$32,000 loss and \$3,000 gain, included in auto revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The effect on the Company's income statement from applying SFAS 133 was a \$30,000 gain and \$15,000 loss, included in auto revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

Sale of auto loans to qualified special purpose entity

The Company sells auto loan receivables to a qualified special purpose entity ("QSPE"). Sales of assets to a QSPE involve the Company surrendering control over these assets to assure that the sold assets have been isolated from the Company and its creditors. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," ("SFAS 140") and Financial Interpretation No 46(R), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities—an interpretation of ARB No.51" ("FIN 46(R)"), the assets and liabilities of the QSPE are not consolidated in the financial statements of the Company. The Company recognizes a gain on the sale of auto loan receivables in the period the sale occurred, which represents the difference between the sale proceeds to the Company and the Company's net carrying value of the receivables. The Company retains an interest in the future cash flows from the loans. Such cash flows are distributed to the Company by the QSPE monthly. The retained interest is recorded on the balance sheet at fair value as a trading asset, and is

marked to market at each subsequent reporting period in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" ("SFAS 115"). The fair value of the retained interest is based upon a cash flow model of the estimated future cash flows to be received by the Company over the life of the loans. The model entails the use of various assumptions in order to determine the fair value of the estimated future excess cash flows to be generated by the auto loans sold to the QSPE. The most significant assumptions are the cumulative credit losses to be incurred on the pool of auto loan receivables sold and prepayment rates of the auto loans. The assumptions used represent the Company's best estimates, and the use of different assumptions could produce different financial results.

From June 2002 to March 2005, the Company sold auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC, a QSPE. On March 30, 2005, Merrill Lynch Bank USA purchased substantially all of the auto loans held by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC. The Company received net proceeds associated with the liquidation of E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC's assets, which resulted in an increase in the fair value of the Company's retained interest in the purchased auto loans. As the retained interest asset was classified as a trading asset, the fair value adjustment was included in current period income as a component of auto revenue. As of the purchase date, E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC was no longer a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) as there were no external beneficial interest holders remaining. In accordance with EITF 2002-09, "Accounting for Changes That Result in a Transferor Regaining Control of Financial Assets Sold", the Company deferred gain recognition on the auto loans retained by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC and those assets were consolidated in the Company's financial statements as of June 30, 2005.

In June 2005, the Company created and began selling auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC, a QSPE. E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC has obtained a secured borrowing facility from Merrill Lynch Commercial Finance Corp. to finance all purchases of loans from the Company. The retained interest asset is classified as a trading asset and any fair value adjustments will be included in current period income as a component of auto revenue.

Loans held-for-sale

Mortgage loans held-for-sale consists of residential property mortgages having maturities up to 30 years as well as second mortgages on residential properties. Pursuant to the mortgage terms, the borrowers have pledged the underlying real estate as collateral for the loans. It is the Company's practice to sell these loans to mortgage loan purchasers shortly after they are funded. Mortgage loans held-for-sale are recorded at the lower of cost or aggregate market value. Cost generally consists of loan principal balance adjusted for net deferred origination income and SFAS 133 adjustments. The net deferred origination income is recognized at the time of sale.

Auto loans held-for-sale consists of automobile loans having maturities up to 72 months. Pursuant to the loan terms, the borrowers have pledged the automobile as collateral for the loan. It is the Company's practice to sell these loans shortly after they are funded. Auto loans held-for-sale are recorded at the lower of cost or aggregate market value.

Fixed assets

Fixed assets are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over their useful lives, which is generally three years for computers and software and five years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the remaining life of the lease. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, and improvements and betterments are capitalized. The Company assesses impairment of its fixed assets when there has been an event that might indicate that the undiscounted future cash flows from the asset may not be recoverable. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flows are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of the asset, the carrying value of the asset is written down to its estimated fair value. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations in the period realized.

In 1999, the Company adopted SOP 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use", which requires that the Company expense computer software costs as they are incurred in the preliminary project stage. Once the capitalization criteria of the SOP have been met, external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining internal-use computer software and payroll related costs

for employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the internal-use computer software are capitalized. Capitalized costs are generally amortized over one to three years on a straight-line basis.

Revenue

Mortgage Revenues

The Company's mortgage revenues are derived from the origination and sale of loans. Mortgage loans are funded through the Company's warehouse lines of credit and sold to mortgage loan purchasers typically within thirty days. Mortgage loan revenues consist of proceeds in excess of the carrying value of the loan, origination fees less certain direct origination costs and other processing fees. These revenues are recognized at the time the loan is sold. The Company sells these loans to loan purchasers on a servicing released basis without recourse, subject to certain representations and warranties. Additionally, the Company is responsible for a minimum number of payments to be made on these loans. As such, the Company records reserves as a contra-revenue at the time a loan is sold, based on certain assumptions in anticipation of future losses (see Note 11).

Interest Income on Mortgage and Home Equity Loans

The Company generates revenues from interest income on mortgage and home equity loans. The revenues realized are based on the loan amount multiplied by the contractual interest rate from the time of funding by the Company through time of sale. These revenues are recognized as earned during the period from funding to sale.

Home Equity Revenues

Home equity revenues are derived from the origination and sale of loans. Home equity loans are funded through the Company's warehouse lines of credit and sold to home equity loan purchasers in approximately forty days from funding. Home equity loan revenues consist of proceeds recorded at settlement in excess of the carrying value of the loan, origination fees less certain direct origination costs and other processing costs. These revenues are recognized at the time the loan is sold. The Company sells these loans to loan purchasers on a servicing released basis without recourse, subject to certain representations and warranties. Additionally, the Company is responsible for a minimum number of payments to be made on these loans. As such, the Company records reserves as a contra-revenue at the time a loan is sold, based on certain assumptions in anticipation of future losses (see Note 11).

Auto Revenues

The Company funds prime auto loans using a line of credit and sells them either via whole loan sales or to a QSPE. Revenues from sales to the QSPE consist of the estimated future cash flows net of pre-payments and credit losses. Revenues on prime auto loan sales to the QSPE are recognized when the loan is sold to the QSPE. In addition, in exchange for the loans sold, the Company records a retained interest. The retained interest is considered an interest-earning asset. The difference between the estimated discounted cash flows and the actual cash flows received over time will be accreted and included as auto revenue.

From June 2002 to March 2005, the Company sold auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC, a QSPE. On March 30, 2005, Merrill Lynch Bank USA purchased substantially all of the auto loans held by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC. The Company received net proceeds associated with the liquidation of E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC's assets, which resulted in an increase in the fair value of the Company's retained interest in the purchased auto loans. As the retained interest asset was classified as a trading asset, the fair value adjustment was included in current period income as a component of auto revenue. As of the purchase date, E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC was no longer a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) as there were no external beneficial interest holders remaining. In accordance with EITF 2002-09, "Accounting for Changes That Result in a Transferor Regaining Control of Financial Assets Sold", the Company deferred gain recognition on the auto loans retained by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC

and those assets were consolidated in the Company's financial statements as of June 30, 2005.

In June 2005, the Company created and began selling auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC, a QSPE. The retained interest asset is classified as a trading asset and any fair value adjustments will be included in current period income as a component of auto revenue.

In July 2004, the Company began selling a portion of its prime auto loan production to Merrill Lynch Bank USA pursuant to a Purchase and Sale agreement. The revenue on these loans is based on a fee derived from the composition of the loans being sold, and is recognized at time of transfer. During the quarter ended June 30, 2005 the majority of prime auto loan production was being sold under this agreement.

Closing Services Revenues

In the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company formed Escrow Closing Services, Inc. ("ECS") a wholly-owned subsidiary, which provides mortgage closing services, including HUD-1 and document preparation and signing, disbursement and recording services for a portion of our home equity business. In the first quarter of 2005, ECS began offering appraisal services for a portion of the company's mortgage business.

Advertising and marketing costs

Advertising and marketing costs related to various media content advertising such as television, direct mail and radio are charged to operating expenses as incurred. These costs include the cost of production as well as the cost of any airtime.

Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes ("SFAS 109"). Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized. The Company's deferred tax asset arises principally from net operating loss carryforward (NOLs). There are significant judgments and estimates involved in projecting the recoverability of the deferred tax asset, particularly with respect to the existence of future taxable income sufficient to realize NOLs.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The Company computes net income (loss) per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128 basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) available to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common and potential dilutive shares outstanding during the period, to the extent such potential dilutive shares are dilutive. Potential dilutive shares are composed of incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants. Anti-dilutive shares in the amounts of 5.0 million and 3.3 million were excluded from the dilutive shares outstanding for the three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Anti-dilutive shares in the amounts of 12.4 million and 2.7 million were excluded from the dilutive shares outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The following table sets forth the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Numerator:				
Net income (loss).....	\$ 190	\$ 409	\$ (920)	\$ 2,628
Denominator:				
Weighted average shares, basic.....	62,915	65,407	62,620	65,250
Effect of potentially dilutive securities:				
Warrants.....	530	146	--	157
Employee Stock Option Plan.....	2,339	2,464	--	2,751
Weighted average number of shares assuming dilution.....	65,784	68,017	62,620	68,158
Diluted net income (loss) per share.....	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.04

Comprehensive Income

The Company adopted SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, during 1998. The Company classifies items of "other comprehensive income" by their nature in the financial statements and displays the accumulated balance of other comprehensive income separately from retained earnings and additional paid-in capital in the equity section of the balance sheet. To date, the Company has not had any transactions that are required to be reported in other comprehensive income.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On December 12, 2003, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants issued Statement of Position No. 03-3, "Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer" (SOP 03-3). SOP 03-3 requires acquired impaired loans for which it is probable that the investor will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable to be recorded at the present value of amounts expected to be received and prohibits carrying over or creation of valuation allowances in the initial accounting for these loans. SOP 03-3 is effective for loans acquired in fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2004. SOP 03-3 does not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In March 2004, Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 105 was issued, which provides guidance regarding loan commitments that are accounted for as derivative instruments under FASB No. 133 (as amended), "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". In this Bulletin, the SEC ruled that the amount of the expected servicing rights should not be included when determining the fair value of derivative interest rate lock commitments. This guidance must be applied to rate locks initiated after March 31, 2004. Our previous method used to estimate the fair value of interest rate lock commitments is consistent with SAB No. 105; therefore, SAB No. 105 has had no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In March, 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 03-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments" (EITF 03-1). EITF 03-1 provides recognition and measurement guidance regarding when impairments of equity and debt securities are considered other-than-temporary thereby requiring a charge to earnings. EITF 03-1 also requires additional annual disclosures for investments in unrealized loss positions. The additional annual disclosure requirements were previously issued by the EITF in November 2003 and were effective for the year ended December 31, 2003. In September 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) EITF 03-1-1, which delays the recognition and measurement provisions of

EITF 03-1 pending the issuance of further implementation guidance. EITF 03-1 and EITF 03-1-1 do not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In June 2004, the FASB released EITF 03-6, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128" (EITF 03-6). This pronouncement provides guidance on when to apply the two-class method for computing basic and diluted earnings per share for participating securities. A participating security is a security that participates in undistributed earnings with common stock regardless of whether the participation is dependent upon the occurrence of a specific event. EITF 03-6 did not impact the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which establishes standards for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 - Share-Based Payment, which provides interpretive guidance related to SFAS No. 123(R). SFAS No. 123(R) requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. This eliminates the exception to account for such awards using the intrinsic method previously allowable under APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123(R) will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2005, and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2005. The impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) is addressed in Note 4 and the impact of adopting SFAS No. 123(R) is still being assessed by management.

On June 7, 2005, the FASB issued Statement No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements" (FAS 154). FAS 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for, and reporting of, a change in accounting principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles were required to be recognized by way of a cumulative effect adjustment within net income during the period of the change. FAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. FAS 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005; however, the Statement does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements. We do not believe adoption of FAS 154 will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operation.

4. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and related interpretations, and complies with the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting For Stock-Based Compensation -- Transition And Disclosure -- An Amendment Of SFAS No. 123*. Under APB No. 25, compensation expense is based on the excess of the estimated fair value of the Company's stock over the exercise price, if any, on the date of grant. Deferred stock-based compensation is then amortized over the vesting period of the option on an accelerated basis using the multiple option approach as defined in paragraph 24 of FIN 28. The Company accounts for stock issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and the Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus in Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Non-Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services*.

In December, 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004) "Share-based Payment" (SFAS 123R) which eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," (APB 25) and generally requires that such transactions be accounted for using a fair value-based method with the resulting compensation cost recognized over the period that the employee is required to provide service in order to receive their compensation. SFAS 123R also amends SFAS No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows," requiring the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as currently required. SFAS No. 123(R) will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2005, and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2005.

The impact of the adoption of SFAS 123 is addressed below and the impact of adopting SFAS 123R is still being assessed by management.

The following pro forma net income (loss) information has been prepared as if the Company had followed the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Reported net income (loss).....	\$ 190	\$ 409	\$ (920)	\$ 2,628
Add: Employee stock compensation expense included in reported net income (loss), net of taxes.....	--	--	636	--
Less: Employee stock based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all employee stock option awards, net of tax.....	(713)	(459)	(1,274)	(965)
Pro forma net income (loss).....	<u>\$ (523)</u>	<u>\$ (50)</u>	<u>\$ (1,558)</u>	<u>\$ 1,663</u>
Reported basic net income (loss) per share.....	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.04
Reported diluted net income (loss) per share.....	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.04
Pro-forma basic net income (loss) per share.....	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.03
Pro-forma diluted net income (loss) per share.....	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.02
Risk free interest rate - stock options.....	3.2%	3.8%	2.6%	3.7%
Risk free interest rate - ESPP.....	2.1%	--	2.1%	--
Average expected life - stock options.....	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.2
Average expected life - ESPP.....	2.0	--	2.0	--
Dividend yield.....	--	--	--	--
Expected volatility - stock options.....	55.3%	64.7%	62.1%	64.0%
Expected volatility - ESPP.....	76.6%	--	76.6%	--

5. WARRANTS

In February 2001, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of common stock to Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc. in connection with a warehouse credit agreement at an exercise price of \$1.55 per share until February 23, 2006. In July 2003, Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc. assigned a portion of the warrant to an institutional investor in the amount of 150,000 common shares. At June 30, 2005, both warrants remain outstanding.

In June 2002, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 800,000 shares of common stock to Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital, Inc. in connection with a line of credit agreement at an exercise price of \$1.22 per share until June 1, 2005. In January 2005, Merrill exercised the warrant on a net exercise basis and 505,752 shares of common stock were issued to complete the settlement.

In April 2005, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock to Greenwich Capital, in connection with the renewal of a warehouse agreement, at an exercise price of \$2.70 per share. The warrant expires

April 1, 2009.

6. LOANS HELD-FOR-SALE

The inventory of mortgage and home equity loans consists primarily of first and second trust deed mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit on residential properties located throughout the United States. Also included are amounts disbursed into escrow on a borrower's behalf where the mortgage documents are signed generally the subsequent day. All mortgage and home equity loans held-for-sale are pledged as collateral for borrowings at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (see Note 10).

The inventory of auto loans consists primarily of loans against new and used automobiles located throughout the United States. All of the auto loans held-for-sale are pledged as collateral for borrowings at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (see Note 10). The following summarizes loans held-for-sale at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2004	June 30, 2005
Mortgage.....	\$ 12,356	\$ 23,354
Home equity.....	2,586	2,263
Auto.....	2,558	7,595
Net deferred origination cost.....	5	24
	<u>\$ 17,505</u>	<u>\$ 33,236</u>

Included in loans held-for-sale is a SFAS 133 fair value adjustment amount of \$0.1 million at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005. The basis adjustment amount represents the change in fair value from rate lock commitment date to funding of those loans held-for-sale. The total fair value of loans held-for-sale, including the expected embedded gain on those loans, which would be received at the time of cash settlement, is \$17.8 million and \$33.8 million at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

7. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE, PREPAIDS AND OTHER ASSETS

The following summarizes accounts receivable, prepaids and other assets at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2004	June 30, 2005
Prepays.....	\$ 1,491	\$ 2,873
Accounts receivable.....	15,484	21,023
Interest receivable.....	1,647	1,985
Other assets.....	392	465
	<u>\$ 19,014</u>	<u>\$ 26,346</u>

Included in prepaids at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 are prepayments for sales and marketing in the amounts of \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Included in accounts receivable is the receivable due to the Company from sales of mortgage loans on its sale agreement with Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc. ("Greenwich Capital") in the amounts of \$12.6 million and \$18.0 million at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, respectively (see Note 10). Sensitivities associated with our retained interest in loans sold under the Greenwich

Capital agreement were insignificant at all periods presented due to the short-term nature of the asset (amounts received generally within sixty days or less). Also included in accounts receivable at June 30, 2005, was a receivable in the amount of \$1.1 million related to the proceeds received in connection with the liquidation of E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC (See Note 8). Included in other assets is an amount related to the fair value of SFAS 133 derivatives of \$0.3 million at December 31, 2004 and \$0.3 million at June 30, 2005.

8. RETAINED INTERESTS IN AUTO LOANS

Since June 2002, the Company has sold auto loan receivables to a QSPE. The Company recognizes a gain on the sale of the auto loan receivables to the QSPE in the period the sale occurs and retains an interest in the future cash flows from the loans. The retained interest represents the Company's portion of the net present value of the expected excess cash flows over the life of the loans, remaining after payment of interest, servicing fees and credit losses. Included in the retained interest is a contributed basis in the loans sold to the QSPEs of 0.5%. The contributed basis is the difference between the loan amount and the cash sale proceeds received from the QSPE of 99.5% (of the unpaid principal balance), which represented over collateralization in those loans. The contributed basis is returned to the Company as part of the defined distribution of cash flows from the QSPE. Cash flows are distributed by the QSPE in the following manner: first to the hedge counterparty, second to the servicer, custodian and administrator, third to the lender for interest expense, fourth to the lender for principal payments, fifth to the Company and the lender any remaining cash flows, to be distributed in accordance with the agreement. The servicing fee is passed through to a sub-servicer of the Company, and as such the Company does not capitalize a servicing asset for the loans sold to the QSPE since the cost to sub-service equals the servicing fee.

From June 2002 to March 2005, the Company sold auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC, a QSPE. On March 30, 2005, Merrill Lynch Bank USA purchased \$651 million in auto loans held by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC. The Company received \$16.4 million in net proceeds associated with the liquidation of E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC's assets, which resulted in a \$2.7 million increase in the fair value of the Company's retained interest in the purchased auto loans. As the retained interest asset is classified as a trading asset, the fair value adjustment is included in current period income as a component of auto revenue. As of the March 30, 2005 purchase date, E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC was no longer a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) as there were no external beneficial interest holders remaining. As a result, in accordance with EITF 2002-09, "Accounting for Changes That Result in a Transferor Regaining Control of Financial Assets Sold", the Company deferred gain recognition on approximately \$475 thousand in auto loans retained by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC at the time of the transaction and consolidated the assets in the Company's financial statements. As of June 30, 2005, the remaining deferred balance was approximately \$300 thousand.

The following table provides a summary of activity in the retained interests in auto loans related to E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC (in thousands):

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>
Beginning Balance January 1,	\$ 11,658	\$ 13,954
Contributed basis in loans sold to E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC	600	174
Non-cash gain on sale	2,410	836
Accretion of present value discount	339	346
Excess cash flows received	(1,584)	(1,612)
Fair value adjustment	(45)	2,688

Sale proceeds received from E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC	--	<u>(16,386)</u>
---	----	-----------------

Ending Balance March 31,	<u>\$13,378</u>	<u>\$-----</u>
--------------------------	-----------------	----------------

In June 2005, the Company created and began selling auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC, a QSPE. The following table provides a summary of activity in the retained interests in auto loans related to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC (in thousands):

Beginning Balance May 1, 2005 (inception)	\$ 0
Contributed basis in loans sold to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC	116
Non-cash gain on sale	518
Accretion of present value discount	0
Excess cash flows received	0
Fair value adjustment	0
Ending Balance June 30, 2005	<u>\$ 634</u>

The auto loan receivables sold to the QSPE in June were valued in a manner consistent with the majority of loans sold in the three months ended June 30, 2005, under a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Merrill Lynch Bank USA. Going forward, the fair value of the retained interest asset will be adjusted accordingly. A market discount rate is determined by assessing the risk related to the asset to account for the present value of the cash flows to be received over the life of the loans. As a result, the retained interest asset is an interest-earning asset, with interest income accreted over time at the discount rate and included in Auto Revenues. The weighted average loss rate is based on actual loss experience to date from the seasoned portions of the portfolio. The prepayment speed projects prepayments on a monthly percentage of the original loan balance.

9. NOTES PAYABLE

On June 30, 2003, the Company obtained a \$5 million line of credit from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. to support working capital needs. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is based on LIBOR plus 2% and is payable monthly. The line of credit was originally scheduled to expire on June 30, 2004. However, on December 11, 2003, the agreement was amended to reduce the line of credit to \$2,350,000 and extend the expiration date to June 30, 2005. As part of the amendment, the Company was required to provide restricted cash as collateral for this line of credit (see Note 3). Subsequently, the line of credit was amended to extend the expiration date to June 30, 2006. The line of credit is being utilized to support letters of credit related to the Pleasanton, California facility as well as a letter of credit related to the Company's surety bonds. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the six months ended and at June 30, 2005. No balance was outstanding on this line as of June 30, 2005.

10. WAREHOUSE AND OTHER LINES PAYABLE

The Company has a warehouse line of credit with GMAC Mortgage Corporation for borrowings of up to \$10 million for the interim financing of mortgage loans. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is variable based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. Borrowings are collateralized by the related mortgage loans held-for-sale. This warehouse line of credit agreement generally requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash balance of \$15.0 million. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005. The committed line of credit expires on May 31, 2006.

The Company has an agreement with Greenwich Capital to finance up to \$500 million of mortgage loan inventory pending sale of these loans to the ultimate mortgage loan purchasers. Of this amount, \$200 million is available in committed funds. This loan inventory financing is secured by the related mortgage loans. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. As part of this agreement the Company had to pay a commitment fee of \$1.0 million for the period March 31, 2003 through March 30, 2004. The commitment fee was reduced to \$750,000 for the period March 15, 2004 through March 14, 2005. The commitment fee was reduced to \$600,000 for the period March 15, 2005 through March 13, 2006. This agreement includes various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash and cash equivalents balance of \$15 million. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005. The line will expire on March 13, 2006.

In addition, the Company has a mortgage loan purchase and sale agreement with Greenwich Capital. Under the terms of this agreement, mortgage loans which are allocated to a mandatory sell forward commitment between the Company and a loan purchaser, but have not yet been settled, may be sold to Greenwich Capital subject to the accompanying trade assignment. Revenue, in the form of a retained interest, derived from sales under this agreement is included as a receivable on the balance sheet until the transaction is settled (see Note 7). The balance of loans sold related to these receivables was \$337.8 million and \$485.8 million as of December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

The Company has a \$10 million line of credit facility with Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital, Inc. to support the interim funding of auto loans prior to their sale to the ultimate auto loan purchaser. The interest rate charged on this line is based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. This facility requires the Company to pay an unused line fee equal to 0.50% per year on the average daily unused line balance. This facility expires on July 13, 2007. This line includes various financial and non-financial covenants. The Company had to obtain a waiver as a result of failure to meet a quick ratio covenant for the month ended February 28, 2005, but was in compliance with these covenants for all other periods during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

The Company has an uncommitted warehouse line of credit agreement with Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital Inc. for borrowings of up to \$100 million for the interim financing of mortgage loans. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is variable based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. Borrowings are collateralized by the related mortgage loans held-for-sale. The uncommitted warehouse line of credit expires on February 4, 2006. This line of credit agreement requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum unrestricted cash balance of \$12.5 million. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

In January 2005, the Company entered into an agreement for a warehouse line of credit for borrowings of up to \$150 million for the interim financing of mortgage loans with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is variable based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. Borrowings are collateralized by the related mortgage loans held-for-sale. The committed line of credit expires on January 3, 2006. This line of credit agreement generally requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash balance of \$12.5 million. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

The following summarizes warehouse and other lines payable at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (in

thousands):

	December 31, 2004	June 30, 2005
Warehouse lines - GMAC.....	\$ 210	\$ --
Warehouse lines - Greenwich.....	10,040	16,868
Warehouse lines - Merrill (Mortgage).....	877	457
Warehouse lines - JPMorgan Chase.....	--	3,456
Line of credit - Merrill (Auto).....	3,608	4,276
	<u>\$ 14,735</u>	<u>\$ 25,057</u>

11. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER LIABILITIES

The following summarizes accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2004	June 30, 2005
Accounts payable.....	\$ 9,421	\$ 9,077
Accrued compensation.....	2,433	2,890
Income tax payable.....	(21)	14
Other liabilities.....	5,670	6,881
Reserves.....	2,333	2,772
Interest payable.....	640	981
	<u>\$ 20,476</u>	<u>\$ 22,615</u>

Included in other liabilities is an amount related to the fair value of SFAS 133 derivatives of \$0.2 million and \$1.9 million at December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

Included in the accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities is an amount related to reserves the Company established for representation and warranties and premium repayment liability. The Company sells loans to loan purchasers on a servicing released basis subject to certain representations and warranties from the Company to the purchaser. As such, the risk of loss or default by the borrower has generally been assumed by these purchasers. However, the Company is generally required by these purchasers to make certain representations relating to borrower creditworthiness, loan documentation and collateral. To the extent that the Company does not comply with such representations, or there are early payment defaults, the Company may be required to repurchase loans or indemnify these purchasers for any losses from borrower defaults. In connection with a majority of its loan sale agreements, the Company is also responsible for ensuring that the borrower makes a minimum number of payments to be made on each loan, or else the Company may be required to refund the premium paid to it by the loan purchaser. As such, the Company records reserves based on certain assumptions in anticipation of future losses as a result of current sales activity.

Loss reserves due to breaches of representations and warranties are recorded based on a percentage of current month sales. The Company currently calculates this loss rate exposure based on its historical loss rates as well as similar lending portfolios. Once the Company has endured a complete economic cycle, the rate will be adjusted based on actual losses as a percentage of origination volume on a historical basis. Premium repayment reserves, generated through early loan prepayments related to loans sold to loan purchasers, are recorded based on a rate determined by calculating actual prepayments as a percentage of sales on a historical basis applied to current month sales. The

following illustrates the changes in the reserve balance for the six months ended June 30, 2005 by product (in thousands):

	<u>Mortgage</u>	<u>Home Equity</u>	<u>Auto</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance at 1/1/05.....	\$ 994	\$ 1,279	\$ 60	\$ 2,333
Increase to reserve.....	175	313	162	650
Losses incurred.....	(167)	(251)	--	(418)
Balance at 3/31/05.....	<u>1,002</u>	<u>1,341</u>	<u>222</u>	<u>2,565</u>
Increase to reserve.....	49	1,009	--	1,058
Losses incurred.....	(138)	(701)	(12)	(851)
Balance at 06/30/05.....	<u>\$ 913</u>	<u>\$ 1,649</u>	<u>\$ 210</u>	<u>\$ 2,772</u>

12. REVENUES AND OTHER INCOME, NET

The following table provides the components of revenues (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Revenues:				
Mortgage.....	\$ 17,089	\$ 18,109	\$ 32,597	\$ 34,895
Interest income on mortgage loans.....	2,428	3,124	3,687	5,861
Home equity.....	8,738	11,825	18,293	22,072
Interest income on home equity loans.....	944	2,255	1,424	3,645
Auto.....	2,921	2,474	5,983	7,968
Closing services.....	984	1,804	1,435	3,241
Other.....	295	285	611	579
Total revenues.....	<u>\$ 33,399</u>	<u>\$ 39,876</u>	<u>\$ 64,030</u>	<u>\$ 78,261</u>

The following table provides the components of other income, net (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Interest income on short-term investments.....	\$ 22	\$ 31	\$ 45	\$ 51
Interest expense on non-warehouse facility borrowings.....	(7)	(3)	(15)	(8)
Other.....	(5)	(6)	(5)	(9)
	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 22</u>	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>

13. OPERATING EXPENSES

The following table provides the components of operating expenses (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Compensation and benefits.....	\$ 13,248	\$ 14,534	\$ 27,311	\$ 28,684
Processing costs.....	3,235	3,822	6,037	7,054
Advertising and marketing.....	11,334	13,392	21,270	25,709
Occupancy and administration.....	3,942	4,369	7,985	8,165
Interest expense on warehouse borrowings.....	1,460	3,359	2,372	6,009
Total operating expenses.....	<u>\$ 33,219</u>	<u>\$ 39,476</u>	<u>\$ 64,975</u>	<u>\$ 75,621</u>

Commissions and bonus compensation comprised 25% and 23% of total compensation and benefits in the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to 25% and 22% for the same periods in 2004. Net occupancy costs comprised 10% and 10% of total occupancy and administration in the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to 24% and 22% for the same periods in 2004. Included in compensation and benefits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 is a charge related to the resignation of the Company's prior President and Chief Operating Officer of approximately \$1.0 million.

The following table provides detail of the operations component of total operating expenses classified by the following revenue-related categories (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Mortgage	\$ 6,877	\$ 7,678	\$ 13,525	\$ 14,604
Interest expense on mortgage loans.....	1,004	2,015	1,606	3,826
Home equity.....	5,247	4,847	10,317	9,819
Interest expense on home equity loans.....	435	1,191	718	1,977
Auto.....	1,836	2,025	3,670	4,026
Closing services.....	865	1,481	1,370	2,580
Total operations.....	<u>\$ 16,264</u>	<u>\$ 19,237</u>	<u>\$ 31,206</u>	<u>\$ 36,832</u>

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Loan commitments and hedging activities

The Company originates mortgage loans and sells them primarily through whole loan sales. The market values of mortgage loans are sensitive to changes in market interest rates and product demand. If interest rates rise between the time the Company enters into a rate lock with the borrower, the subsequent funding of the loan and the time the mortgage loans are committed for sale, there may be a decline in the market value of the mortgage loans. To protect against such possible declines, the Company has adopted an appropriate macroeconomic hedging strategy.

Individual mortgage loan risks are aggregated by loan type and pipeline production status and matched, based on estimated duration, with the appropriate hedging instrument, thus attempting to mitigate interest rate risk until closing and delivery. The Company currently hedges its mortgage pipeline through mandatory forward sales of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgage-backed securities and both mandatory and best efforts forward sale agreements with the ultimate loan purchaser. The Company determines which alternative provides the best execution in the secondary market at the time of commitment.

The Company believes that it has implemented a cost-effective economic hedging program to provide an effective protection against subsequent changes in the market value of rate-lock commitments. However, an effective hedging

strategy is complex and no hedging strategy can completely insulate the Company against such changes.

At June 30, 2005, the Company had extended locks to originate mortgage and home equity loans amounting to approximately \$387.5 million (the "locked pipeline"). At June 30, 2005, the Company had entered into best efforts forward loan sale agreements amounting to approximately \$64.5 million. These forward loan sale agreements do not subject the Company to mandatory delivery and there is no penalty if the Company does not deliver into the commitment. The Company is exposed to counterparty risk. However, it is the Company's policy and practice to use well-established U.S. financial institutions to mitigate that risk; the Company does not require collateral to support these commitments, and there has been no failure on the part of the counterparties to meet the terms of these agreements to date.

At June 30, 2005, the Company had entered into mandatory sell forward commitments amounting to approximately \$169.8 million. The Company adjusts the amount of mandatory sell forward commitments held to offset changes in the locked pipeline and changes in the market value of unsold loans. At June 30, 2005, the Company had entered into \$10.5 million of commitments with loan purchasers to deliver loans related to funded loans held-for-sale.

The Company issues auto loan approvals on its prime loan production. Approved auto loan applicants are provided a guaranteed rate for up to a 45-day period. At June 30, 2005, the Company had entered into amortizing swap contracts in the amount of \$15.8 million, as an economic hedge of anticipated funded loans from auto loan approvals.

Other Future Commitments

The Company has future commitments for operating leases totaling \$1.3 million for 2005, \$2.7 million for each year from 2006 to 2008, and \$4 million for 2009 and thereafter. The operating lease expires in June 2010.

The Company has entered into fixed contracts to purchase national television spots through the third quarter of 2005. The Company pays for this media in monthly installments, generally thirty days in advance of the scheduled start date of the media. Future minimum payments under these contracts amount to approximately \$2.6 million for 2005.

The Company has an available line of credit in the amount \$2,350,000. The Company is required to provide restricted cash as collateral for this line of credit. Currently, the line of credit is being utilized to support letters of credit related to the Pleasanton, California facility as well as a letter of credit related to the Company's surety bonds.

As of June 30, 2005, the Company carried a mortgage bankers' blanket bond and errors and omissions insurance coverage for \$5.0 million. The premiums for the bond and insurance coverage are paid through September 2005.

15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On August 3, 2005, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with Popular, Inc. ("Popular"). Under the Merger Agreement, Popular will acquire 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock and common stock equivalents of E-LOAN, Inc. for \$4.25 per share in cash, or approximately \$300 million. Upon completion of this transaction, which is estimated to occur during the fourth quarter of 2005 subject to the satisfaction of conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, E-LOAN, Inc. will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Popular.

Completion of the merger is subject to the satisfaction of closing conditions including approval by the shareholders of the Company's outstanding common stock and the receipt of required regulatory and other approvals, as well as other customary closing conditions.

Popular is a full service financial services provider with operations in Puerto Rico, the United States, the Caribbean and Latin America.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. This discussion contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results could differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below, as well as those discussed below under "Factors Affecting Future Operating Results." The Company disclaims any obligation to update information contained in any forward-looking statement. See "Forward-Looking Statements."

OVERVIEW

The Company is an online consumer direct lender, specializing in mortgage, home equity and auto loans. As a retail lender, the Company is heavily impacted by economic conditions (such as interest rates) and seasonal/cyclical factors. To help mitigate this, the Company has focused on its diversified revenue products, which consist of purchase and non-prime mortgage loans, home equity loans and lines of credit, and auto loans. Additionally, the Company strives to be a low-cost provider with a vision of driving overall prices lower, which creates marketing efficiency. The result is a virtuous cycle connecting efficiencies in capital markets, operations and customer acquisition.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth the unaudited results of operations for the Company as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Revenues	\$ 33,399	\$ 39,876	\$ 64,030	\$ 78,261
Operating expenses:				
Operations	16,264	19,237	31,206	36,832
Sales and marketing.....	12,506	14,558	23,638	27,967
Technology.....	2,091	2,320	4,257	4,795
General and administrative.....	2,358	3,361	5,874	6,027
Total operating expenses.....	<u>33,219</u>	<u>39,476</u>	<u>64,975</u>	<u>75,621</u>
Income (loss) from operations.....	180	400	(945)	2,640
Other income, net.....	10	22	25	34
Income (loss) before taxes.....	190	422	(920)	2,674
Income taxes.....	--	(13)	--	(46)
Net income (loss).....	<u>\$ 190</u>	<u>\$ 409</u>	<u>\$ (920)</u>	<u>\$ 2,628</u>
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic.....	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.04
Diluted.....	<u>\$ 0.00</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.04</u>

AS A PERCENTAGE OF REVENUES:

Revenues	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
Operating expenses:				
Operations	49 %	48 %	49 %	47 %
Sales and marketing.....	37 %	37 %	37 %	36 %
Technology.....	6 %	6 %	7 %	6 %
General and administrative.....	7 %	8 %	8 %	8 %
Total operating expenses.....	<u>99 %</u>	<u>99 %</u>	<u>101 %</u>	<u>97 %</u>
Income (loss) from operations.....	1 %	1 %	(1)%	3 %
Other income, net.....	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %
Income (loss) before taxes.....	1 %	1 %	(1)%	3 %
Income taxes.....	-- %	-- %	-- %	-- %
Net income (loss).....	<u>1 %</u>	<u>1 %</u>	<u>(1)%</u>	<u>3 %</u>

Revenues

The Company is a consumer direct lender whose revenues are derived primarily from the gain on sale of mortgage, home equity and auto loans that it underwrites, funds and sells. The Company also earns interest income on mortgage and home equity loans during the brief time they are held pending sale.

The following table provides the components of revenue shown in thousands and as a percentage of total revenues:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Revenues:				
Mortgage.....	\$ 17,089	\$ 18,109	\$ 32,597	\$ 34,895
Interest income on mortgage loans.....	2,428	3,124	3,687	5,861
Home equity.....	8,738	11,825	18,293	22,072
Interest income on home equity loans.....	944	2,255	1,424	3,645
Auto.....	2,921	2,474	5,983	7,968
Closing services.....	984	1,804	1,435	3,241
Other.....	295	285	611	579
Total revenues.....	<u>\$ 33,399</u>	<u>\$ 39,876</u>	<u>\$ 64,030</u>	<u>\$ 78,261</u>
Mortgage.....	51 %	45 %	51 %	45 %
Interest income on mortgage loans.....	7 %	8 %	6 %	7 %
Home equity.....	26 %	30 %	29 %	28 %
Interest income on home equity loans.....	3 %	6 %	2 %	5 %
Auto.....	9 %	6 %	9 %	10 %
Closing services.....	3 %	5 %	2 %	4 %
Other.....	1 %	-- %	1 %	1 %
Total revenues.....	<u>100 %</u>	<u>100 %</u>	<u>100 %</u>	<u>100 %</u>

The following table summarizes dollar volume of loans sold and the revenue in both dollars and average basis points (“BPS”) (dollars in thousands except BPS):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Mortgage				
\$ Volume	\$ 899,073	\$ 880,024	\$ 1,656,179	\$ 1,689,711
Revenue	\$ 17,089	\$ 18,109	\$ 32,597	\$ 34,895
BPS	190	206	197	207
Home Equity				
\$ Volume	\$ 331,673	\$ 347,671	\$ 633,923	\$ 683,700
Revenue	\$ 8,738	\$ 11,825	\$ 18,293	\$ 22,072
BPS	263	340	289	323
Auto				
\$ Volume	\$ 161,936	\$ 112,532	\$ 315,825	\$ 241,171
Revenue	\$ 2,921	\$ 2,474	\$ 5,983	\$ 7,968
BPS	180	220	189	330

Mortgage Revenues. The Company's mortgage revenues are derived from the origination and sale of loans. Mortgage loans are funded through the Company's warehouse lines of credit and sold to mortgage loan purchasers typically within thirty days. Mortgage loan revenues consist of proceeds in excess of the carrying value of the loan,

origination fees less certain direct origination costs and other processing costs. These revenues are recognized at the time the loan is sold. Mortgage revenues consist of refinance mortgage revenues and diversified mortgage revenues – which are comprised of purchase and non-prime mortgage loans.

Total mortgage revenue increased \$1.0 million to \$18.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$17.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Mortgage revenues increased \$2.3 million to \$34.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$32.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. While overall rates have risen during this time, periodic dips in rates have resulted in continued mortgage refinance fundings. The increase in revenue though is primarily due to increased gain on sale in basis points.

The following table summarizes dollar volume of mortgage loans sold and the revenue in both dollars and average basis points (“BPS”) (dollars in thousands except BPS):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Refinance Mortgage				
\$ Volume	\$ 496,332	\$ 443,200	\$ 906,234	\$ 918,042
Revenue	\$ 8,430	\$ 9,244	\$ 16,888	\$ 19,140
BPS	170	209	186	208
Diversified Mortgage				
\$ Volume	\$ 402,741	\$ 436,825	\$ 749,946	\$ 771,669
Revenue	\$ 8,659	\$ 8,865	\$ 15,709	\$ 15,755
BPS	215	203	209	204

The following table reflects the percentages of the Company’s mortgage closed loan volume from purchase and refinance loans as compared to the total market (according to Mortgage Bankers Association of America) through the second quarter of 2005. The Mortgage Bankers Association of America data for the second quarter of 2005 below represents its estimate as of July 12, 2005:

	Q1 04	Q2 04	Q3 04	Q4 04	2004	Q1 05	Q2 05
E-LOAN Mortgage*							
Purchase	37%	37%	44%	35%	38%	33%	39%
Refinance	63%	63%	56%	65%	62%	67%	61%
Total Market*							
Purchase	47%	51%	67%	58%	56%	54%	60%
Refinance	53%	49%	33%	42%	44%	46%	40%

* Excludes home equity and auto loan volume

Home Equity Revenues. Home equity revenues are derived from the origination and sale of loans. Home equity loans are funded through the Company's warehouse lines of credit and sold to home equity loan purchasers in approximately forty days from funding. Home equity loan revenues consist of proceeds recorded at settlement in excess of the carrying value of the loan, origination fees less certain direct origination costs and other processing costs. These revenues are recognized at the time the loan is sold. Home equity revenues increased \$3.1 million to \$11.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$8.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Home equity revenues increased \$3.8 million to \$22.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$18.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The increase in home equity revenue is due to increase in gain on

sale in basis points, as well as slight increases in sold loan volume.

Interest Income on Mortgage and Home Equity Loans. The Company generates revenues from interest income on mortgage and home equity loans. The revenues realized are based on the loan amount multiplied by the contractual interest rate from the time of funding by the Company through time of sale. These revenues are recognized as earned during the period from funding to sale. Interest income is correlated to the volume of loans funded. While funded volume was up in the period for both Mortgage and Home Equity loans, the increase in interest income is primarily the result of loans being held longer, prior to sale. As such, interest income on mortgage increased \$0.7 million to \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$2.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Interest income on mortgage increased \$2.2 million to \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$3.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Interest income on home equity loans increased \$1.3 million to \$2.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$0.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Interest income on home equity loans increased \$2.2 million to \$3.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Auto Revenues. The Company funds prime auto loans using a line of credit and sells them either via whole loan sales or to a QSPE. Revenues from sales to the QSPE consist of the estimated future cash flows net of pre-payments and credit losses. Revenues on prime auto loan sales to the QSPE are recognized when the loan is sold to the QSPE. In addition, in exchange for the loans sold, the Company receives cash proceeds and records a retained interest. The retained interest is an interest-earning asset. The difference between the estimated discounted cash flows and the actual cash flows received over time will be accreted and included as auto revenue.

From June 2002 to March 2005, the Company sold auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC, a QSPE. On March 30, 2005, Merrill Lynch Bank USA purchased \$651 million in auto loans held by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC. The Company received \$16.4 million in net proceeds associated with the liquidation of E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC's assets, which resulted in a \$2.7 million increase in the fair value of the Company's retained interest in the purchased auto loans. As the retained interest asset was classified as a trading asset, the fair value adjustment was included in current period income as a component of auto revenue. As of the purchase date, E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC was no longer a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) as there were no external beneficial interest holders remaining. In accordance with EITF 2002-09, "Accounting for Changes That Result in a Transferor Regaining Control of Financial Assets Sold", the Company deferred gain recognition on approximately \$475 thousand in auto loans retained by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC and consolidated the assets in the Company's financial statements. As of June 30, 2005, the remaining deferred balance was approximately \$300 thousand.

In June 2005, the Company created and began selling auto loan receivables to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC, a QSPE. The retained interest asset is classified as a trading asset and any fair value adjustments will be included in current period income as a component of revenue.

In July 2004, the Company began selling a portion of its prime auto loan production to Merrill Lynch Bank USA pursuant to a Purchase and Sale agreement. The revenue on these loans is based on a fee derived from the composition of the loans being sold, and is recognized at time of transfer. Prior to the third quarter of 2003, sub-prime auto loans were sold to sub-prime auto loan purchasers. The revenue on these loans consisted of the mark-up to the lending partner's loan price or a set origination fee. These revenues were recognized at the time the loan was sold. Loan applications that do not meet the prime auto lending criteria are referred out to other sub-prime lenders in exchange for a fee.

Auto revenues decreased \$0.4 million to \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$2.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. The decrease in the three months ended June 30, 2005 is due to a decline in sold loan volume, offset by small increases in revenue per loan. Auto revenues increased \$2.0 million to \$8.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The six months ended June 30, 2005 includes a one-time gain of \$2.7 million related to the increase in fair value of the company's retained interest, which was liquidated on March 30, 2005. Excluding this gain, auto revenue decreased \$0.7 million mostly due to decreased sold loan volume.

Closing Services Revenues. In the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company formed Escrow Closing Services, Inc. (“ECS”), a wholly-owned subsidiary, which provides mortgage closing services, including HUD-1 and document preparation and signing, disbursement and recording services for a portion of our home equity business. In the first quarter of 2005, ECS began offering appraisal services for a portion of the company’s mortgage business. Closing services revenues increased \$0.8 million to \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Closing services revenues increased \$1.8 million to \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The Company expects that closing services revenue will continue to grow throughout 2005 as volume increases.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses include operational costs associated with the origination of mortgage, home equity and auto loans, including interest expense on warehouse lines, as well as costs associated with marketing, technology and administrative.

The following table provides detail of the Company’s total operating expenses classified by major types of expense, expressed as a percentage of total operating expenses:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Compensation & Benefits	40%	37%	42%	38%
Processing Costs	10%	10%	9%	9%
Advertising & Marketing	34%	34%	33%	34%
Occupancy & Administrative	12%	11%	12%	11%
Interest Expense on Warehouse	4%	8%	4%	8%

As a large portion of the total operating expenses of the Company are compensation and benefit related, the following table shows full-time headcount (including temporary and contract employees) by function at the end of each respective quarter:

	June 30,	
	2004	2005
Mortgage	286	330
Home Equity	288	294
Auto	82	76
Closing Services	20	35
Sales & Marketing	29	28
Technology	59	61
General & Administrative	74	76
Total	838	900

Operations. Operations expense is comprised of both fixed and variable expenses, including employee compensation and expenses associated with the production and sale of loans and interest expense paid by the Company under the warehouse and line of credit facilities it uses to fund mortgage, home equity and auto loans held-for-sale.

The following table provides detail of the Company’s operations expenses classified by the following revenue-related categories (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Mortgage	\$ 6,877	\$ 7,678	\$ 13,525	\$ 14,604
Interest expense on mortgage loans.....	1,004	2,015	1,606	3,826
Home equity.....	5,247	4,847	10,317	9,819
Interest expense on home equity loans.....	435	1,191	718	1,977
Auto.....	1,836	2,025	3,670	4,026
Closing services.....	865	1,481	1,370	2,580
Total operations.....	<u>\$ 16,264</u>	<u>\$ 19,237</u>	<u>\$ 31,206</u>	<u>\$ 36,832</u>

Operations expense increased \$2.9 million to \$19.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$16.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Operations expense increased \$5.6 million to \$36.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$31.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The increase in both periods was predominantly related to the increase in interest expense in Mortgage and Home Equity loans. Operations expense decreased as a percentage of revenue from 49% to 48% for the three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Operations expense decreased as a percentage of revenue from 49% to 47% for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The decrease in operations expense as a percentage of revenue was due to increased revenue, partly attributed to the benefit from the sale of loans held by E-LOAN Auto Fund One, LLC in March 2005.

The following table provides detail of the Company's total mortgage operations expenses (excluding interest expense) classified by major types of expense, expressed as a percentage of total mortgage operations expenses (excluding interest expense):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Mortgage Operations				
Headcount & Related	46%	47%	47%	49%
Commissions	21%	22%	20%	21%
Processing Costs	19%	20%	19%	19%
Facilities & Other	14%	11%	14%	11%

The following table provides detail of the Company's total home equity operations expenses (excluding interest expense) classified by major types of expense, expressed as a percentage of total home equity operations expenses (excluding interest expense):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Home Equity Operations				
Headcount & Related	58%	59%	58%	61%
Commissions	12%	15%	12%	14%
Processing Costs	19%	17%	18%	16%
Facilities & Other	11%	9%	12%	9%

The following table provides detail of the Company's total auto operations expenses (excluding interest expense) classified by major types of expense, expressed as a percentage of total auto operations expenses (excluding interest expense):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Auto Operations				
Headcount & Related	64%	60%	66%	63%
Commissions	--	--	--	--
Processing Costs	16%	25%	15%	22%
Facilities & Other	20%	15%	19%	15%

Direct Margin. Direct margin is defined as revenue minus operations expense, which includes variable and fixed expenses.

The following table provides detail of the Company's direct margin classified by the following revenue-related categories (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Mortgage.....	\$ 10,212	\$ 10,431	\$ 19,072	\$ 20,291
Mortgage interest margin.....	1,424	1,109	2,081	2,035
Home equity.....	3,491	6,978	7,976	12,253
Home equity interest margin.....	509	1,064	706	1,668
Auto.....	1,085	449	2,313	3,942
Closing services.....	119	323	65	661
Other.....	295	285	611	579
Total direct margin.....	\$ <u>17,135</u>	\$ <u>20,639</u>	\$ <u>32,824</u>	\$ <u>41,429</u>

Direct margin increased \$3.5 million to \$20.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$17.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Direct margin increased \$8.6 million to \$41.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$32.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The increase in direct margin primarily relates to increases in the margin of Home Equity.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expense is primarily comprised of expenses related to advertising, promotion and marketing agreements, employee compensations and other expenses related to marketing personnel. Sales and marketing expense increased \$2.1 million to \$14.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$12.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Sales and marketing expense increased \$4.4 million to \$28.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$23.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Sales and marketing expense increased as a direct result of increased spending for TV and online advertising. Sales and marketing expense as a percentage of revenue was 37% for both the three months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005. Sales and marketing expense decreased as a percentage of revenue from 37% to 36% for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The following table provides detail of the Company's total sales and marketing expenses classified by major types of expense, expressed as a percentage of total sales and marketing expenses:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Direct Response TV	46%	50%	50%	48%
Direct Response Mail	18%	--	17%	1%
Online Advertising	25%	37%	22%	39%
Other Advertising	2%	5%	1%	4%
Headcount & Administrative	9%	8%	10%	8%

Technology. Technology expense includes employee compensation, the introduction of new technologies and the support of E-LOAN's existing technological infrastructure. Technology expense increased \$0.2 million to \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. Technology expense increased \$0.5 million to \$4.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$4.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Technology expense as a percentage of revenue was 6% for both the three month periods ended June 30, 2004 and 2005. Technology expense decreased as a percentage of revenue from 7% to 6% for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expense is primarily comprised of employee compensation and professional services. General and administrative expense increased \$1.0 million to \$3.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005 from \$2.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2004. General and administrative expense increased \$0.1 million to \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 from \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Included in general administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2004 was a charge of approximately \$1.0 million as a result of the resignation of the Company's prior President and Chief Operating Officer during the first quarter of 2004. Excluding this charge, general and administrative expense increased \$1.1 million from June 30, 2004 to June 30, 2005. Excluding this one-time charge, general and administrative expense increased as a percentage of revenue from 7% to 8% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

Other Income, net. Other income, net, is related to interest earned on cash equivalents offset by expense incurred on non-warehouse facility borrowings. Other income, net, remained consistent at less than \$0.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements). The Company believes that of its significant accounting policies (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements), the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Revenue Recognition. The Company's mortgage and home equity revenues are derived from the origination and sale of loans. Mortgage and home equity loans are funded through the Company's warehouse lines of credit and sold to

loan purchasers typically within thirty days. Mortgage and home equity loan revenues consist of proceeds in excess of the carrying value of the loan, origination fees less certain direct origination costs and other processing costs. These revenues are recognized at the time the loan is sold. In addition, the Company generates revenues from interest income on mortgage and home equity loans. The revenues realized are based on the loan amount multiplied by the contractual interest rate from the time of funding by the Company through time of sale. These revenues are recognized as earned during the period from funding to sale. Also included in mortgage and home equity loan revenues are amounts recorded in connection with loans sold under a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Greenwich Capital (see "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements"). These amounts consist of gain on sale from transferred loans, in the form of a retained interest comprised of interest income, net of interest expense, and additional cash flows to be received at the time of settlement with the committed loan purchaser. The Company sells all loans to loan purchasers on a servicing released basis without recourse, subject to certain representations and warranties. Additionally, the Company is responsible for a minimum number of payments to be made on these loans. As such, the Company records reserves as a contra-revenue at the time a loan is sold, based on certain assumptions in anticipation of future losses as a result of current activity.

The Company funds prime auto loans using a line of credit and sells them either via whole loan sales or to a QSPE (see "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements"). Revenues from sales to the QSPE consist of the estimated future cash flows net of pre-payments and credit losses recorded in the form of the residual interest. Revenues on prime auto loan sales to the QSPE are recognized when the loans are sold to the QSPE. In addition, in exchange for the loans sold, the Company receives cash and a retained interest. The retained interest asset is considered an interest-earning asset, and the difference between the estimated discounted cash flows and the actual cash flows received over time will be accreted and included as auto revenue. Effective in the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company adopted Financial Interpretation No. 46(R), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities", ("FIN 46(R)"). FIN 46(R) requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. The Company had variable interests in its QSPE, which were exempt from the provisions of FIN 46(R). The Company reported the rights and obligations related to the QSPE according to the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities." As of June 30, 2005 there was a \$634 thousand retained interest balance outstanding.

In July 2004, the Company began selling a portion of its prime auto loan production to Merrill Lynch Bank USA pursuant to a Purchase and Sale agreement. The revenue on these loans is based on a fee derived from the composition of the loans being sold, and is recognized at time of transfer.

Prior to the third quarter of 2003, sub-prime auto loans were sold to sub-prime auto loan purchasers. The revenue on these loans consisted of the mark-up to the lending partner's loan price or a set origination fee. These revenues were recognized at the time the loan was sold. In the third quarter of 2003, the Company stopped processing and funding sub-prime auto loans and shifted this portion of the business to a referral based system. Loan applications that do not meet the prime auto lending criteria are referred to other sub-prime lenders in exchange for a fee. This fee is recognized as revenue at the time of transfer to the sub-prime lender.

Valuation of derivative instruments. On January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133"), as subsequently amended by Statement of Financial Accounting No. 138 and No. 149. In accounting for its derivative financial instruments, SFAS 133 requires an entity to recognize all derivative assets or liabilities in the statement of financial condition and measure those instruments at fair value. The accounting for changes in fair value of the derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. An entity that elects to apply hedge accounting is required to establish at the inception of the hedge the method it will use in assessing the effectiveness of the hedging derivative and the measurement approach for determining the ineffectiveness of the hedge. These methods must be consistent with the entity's approach to managing risk. The Company is a party to mortgage rate lock commitments to fund mortgage loans at interest rates previously agreed (locked) by both the Company and the borrower for specified periods of time. When the borrower locks their interest rate, the Company effectively extends a put option to the borrower, whereby the borrower is not obligated to enter into the loan agreement, but the Company must

honor the interest rate for the specified time period. Under SFAS 133 qualifying mortgage interest rate locks are derivatives. The Company is exposed to interest rate risk during the accumulation of interest rate lock commitments and loans prior to sale of the underlying loan. The Company utilizes either a best efforts sell forward commitment or a mandatory sell forward commitment to economically hedge the changes in fair value of the loan due to changes in market interest rates. Both best efforts and mandatory sell forward sale commitments are derivatives under SFAS 133. Throughout the lock period the changes in the market value of interest rate lock commitments, best efforts and mandatory forward sale commitments are recorded as unrealized gains and losses and are included in the statement of operations in mortgage revenue.

The Company's management has made complex judgments in their application of SFAS 133. The judgments include the identification of hedging instruments, hedged items, nature of the risk being hedged, and how the hedging instrument's effectiveness will be measured. The Company designates forward delivery commitments, where the company intends to deliver the underlying loan into the commitment, as a fair value SFAS 133 hedge at the commitment date. In addition, the Company designates all best efforts sell forward commitments as fair value SFAS 133 hedges for underlying loans at funding date. The Company does not designate mandatory sell forward agreements as SFAS 133 hedges but does utilize them to economically hedge the changes in fair value of rate lock commitments with borrowers for which a best efforts sell forward commitment has not been obtained. The Company did not have a material gain or loss representing the amount of hedge ineffectiveness related to designated SFAS 133 hedges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005.

In September 2003, the Company began hedging its interest rate risk on auto loan approvals. When the Company issues an auto loan approval, the Company extends a put option to the borrower, whereby the borrower is not obligated to enter into the loan agreement, but the Company must honor the interest rate for up to a 45-day period. Under SFAS 133, auto loan approvals are not considered derivatives. However, the company is still exposed to interest rate risk from issuance of approval to loan sale. The Company enters into amortizing swap contracts to economically hedge the interest rate changes in auto loan approvals. These swap contracts are considered derivatives under SFAS 133 and are recorded at fair value. Subsequent changes in the value of outstanding swap contracts are recorded as unrealized gains and losses and included in the statement of operations in auto revenue.

Capitalized software. In 1999, the Company adopted SOP 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*, which requires that the Company expense computer software costs as they are incurred in the preliminary project stage. Once the capitalization criteria of the SOP have been met, external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining internal-use computer software and payroll and payroll related costs for employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the internal-use computer software are capitalized. Capitalized costs are generally amortized over one to three years on a straight-line basis.

Reserves for loan sales. The Company sells loans to loan purchasers on a servicing released basis subject to certain representations and warranties from the Company to the purchaser. As such, the risk of loss or default by the borrower has generally been assumed by these purchasers. However, the Company is generally required by these purchasers to make certain representations relating to borrower creditworthiness, loan documentation and collateral. To the extent that the Company does not comply with such representations, or there are early payment defaults, the Company may be required to repurchase loans or indemnify these purchasers for any losses from borrower defaults. In connection with a majority of its loan sales agreements, the Company is also responsible for a minimum number of payments to be made on each loan, or else the Company may be required to refund the premium paid to it by the loan purchaser. As such, the Company records reserves based on certain assumptions in anticipation of future losses as a result of current sales activity.

Loan loss reserves due to potential violations of representations and warranties are recorded based on a percentage of current month sales. The Company currently calculates this loss rate exposure based on its historical loss rates as well as similar lending portfolios. Once the Company has endured a complete economic cycle, the rate will be adjusted based on actual losses as a percentage of sales volume on a historical basis. Premium repayment reserves, generated through early loan prepayments related to loans sold to loan purchasers, are recorded based on a rate determined by calculating actual prepayments as a percentage of sales on a historical basis applied to current month sales.

Stock-Based Compensation. The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and related interpretations, and complies with the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting For Stock-Based Compensation -- Transition And Disclosure -- An Amendment Of SFAS No. 123*. Under APB No. 25, compensation expense is based on the excess of the estimated fair value of the Company's stock over the exercise price, if any, on the date of grant. Deferred stock-based compensation is then amortized over the vesting period of the option on an accelerated basis using the multiple option approach as defined in paragraph 24 of FIN 28. The Company accounts for stock issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and the Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus in Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Non-Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services*.

Deferred Tax Asset. The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized. Management evaluates the recoverability of the deferred tax assets and the level of the valuation allowance. At such time as it is determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced. The Company's deferred tax asset arises principally from net operating loss carryforward (NOLs). There are significant judgments and estimates involved in projecting the recoverability of the deferred tax asset, particularly with respect to the existence of future taxable income sufficient to realize NOLs. The Company reported its first profitable year in 2002 and was again profitable in 2003. However, the sustained low interest rate environment created favorable operating conditions for the Company. During the fourth quarter of 2003 and the first nine months of 2004, the Company reported a loss from operations, largely as a result in declines in mortgage refinance volume. Management will continue to monitor projections of future taxable income and when it believes realization of the tax benefits associated with the NOLs is more likely than not, management will reduce the valuation allowance by recording a benefit through the income tax provision recorded in the statement of operations. Based upon the Company's performance throughout the remainder of the year it is possible that management would reduce the valuation allowance during 2005; however, management can provide no assurances as to the amount of such reduction, the period in which it might occur or if it will occur at all.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Retained interest in auto loans. Since June 2002, the Company has sold auto loan receivables to a QSPE, for the purpose of being able to recognize the gain on sale from these loans. The sale of assets to a QSPE involves the Company surrendering control over these assets to assure that the sold assets have been isolated from the Company and its creditors. In accordance with FAS 140 and FIN 46(R) the assets and liabilities of a QSPE are not consolidated in the financial statements of the Company. In the event that the entity no longer qualifies as a QSPE, it would be required to be consolidated, and the Company would no longer be in compliance with certain debt covenants related to its warehouse and other lines of credit, as currently structured (in particular, the Company's debt to tangible net worth covenants would be exceeded). The QSPE obtains a secured borrowing facility to finance all purchases of loans from the Company. The Company recognizes a gain on the sale of auto loan receivables to the QSPE in the period in which the sale occurs, which represents the difference between the sale proceeds to the Company and the Company's net carrying value of the receivables. The Company retains an interest in the future cash flows from the loans. Such cash flows are distributed to the Company by the QSPE monthly. The fair value of the retained interest asset is based upon a cash flow model of the estimated future cash flows to be received by the Company over the life of the loans. These retained interest assets are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value as trading assets, and will be adjusted to estimated fair value at each subsequent reporting period. Changes in the estimated fair value of the residual interests will be recorded through the statement of operations. Estimates of future cash flows to be received by the Company will reflect assumptions regarding the cumulative credit losses to be incurred on the pool of auto loan receivables sold, prepayment rates of the auto loans and the rate at which the estimated future excess cash flows are discounted. The assumptions used will represent the Company's best estimates, and the use of different assumptions could produce different financial results. As of June 30, 2005 there was a \$634 thousand retained interest balance outstanding.

Sale Agreement with Greenwich Capital. The Company has a loan purchase and sale agreement with Greenwich Capital, for the purpose of controlling the timing associated with loan sales. Under the terms of this agreement, mortgage loans which are allocated to a mandatory sell forward commitment between the Company and a loan purchaser, but have not yet been settled, may be sold to Greenwich Capital with the accompanying trade assignment. Revenues, in the form of a retained interest, from loans sold under this arrangement are included as a receivable on the balance sheet. All loans sold under this arrangement are already committed to another loan purchaser, and as such, hold little risk of being unsaleable. Therefore, in the event that the Company was unable to utilize this arrangement, the Company would experience a one-time impact to revenues in the period this arrangement was discontinued.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company's sources of cash include cash from the sale of mortgage, home equity and auto loans, borrowings under warehouse lines of credit and other credit facilities, brokerage fees, interest income, credit card referrals and the sale of debt and equity securities in both private and public transactions. The Company's uses of cash include the funding of mortgage, home equity and auto loans, repayment of amounts borrowed under warehouse lines of credit, operating expenses, payment of interest, and capital expenditures primarily comprised of furniture, fixtures, computer equipment, software and leasehold improvements. Because the Company's loan origination operating activities are funded principally through its warehouse and other lines payable, the Company analyzes trends in operating cash flows by combining cash flows from operating activities with cash flows from certain financing activities (specifically cash flows from warehouse and other lines payable).

Cash provided by operating activities net of cash provided by financing activities related to its warehouse and other lines payable was \$8.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005. Specifically, the Company obtained cash from net income excluding non-cash related items of \$5.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and \$13.3 million from the reduction in the retained interest asset. These sources of cash were offset as a result of an increase in accounts receivable, prepaids and other assets in the amount of \$7.2 million, and an increase in loans held-for-sale, net of warehouse payable, of \$5.4 million.

Cash provided by operating and financing activities related to its warehouse and other lines payable was \$17.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Specifically, the Company obtained cash from net income, excluding non-cash related items, of \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. In addition, the Company benefited from positive cash flow due to the reduction of other assets in the amount of \$7.2 million, specifically prepaid marketing expenses, and the reduction of receivables in connection with loan sales. Further, the Company benefited from positive cash flow as a result of loans held-for-sale net of warehouse payable decreasing which provided \$3.7 million in net cash, and the increase of accounts payables and other accrued expenses in the amount of \$5.9 million. However, these sources of cash were offset by the continued increase in the retained interest asset, which grew another \$2.5 million as additional auto loans were sold to the QSPE in the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$6.3 million and \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Net cash used during the six months ended June 30, 2005 was primarily due to the addition of infrastructure related to investment in new technology systems. In October 2003, the Company began relocating its corporate headquarters and consolidating its operational facilities to a larger, single facility in Pleasanton, California. Capital expenditures related to the new facility were \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The remaining cash used in investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2004 was related to capitalized development.

Cash provided by financing activities, net of cash used by its warehouse and other lines payable was \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The increase for both periods presented was due to the issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options.

The Company has committed and uncommitted warehouse lines of credit that it uses to fund mortgage and home equity loans. A committed line is a firm commitment from the warehouse lender that funds will be available if requested through the specified termination date. The Company pays for these committed funds either in the form of

pre-paid commitment fees charged as a percentage of the committed line available, which is assessed monthly based on a percentage of the unused amount during the previous month. Uncommitted funds are available to the Company at the warehouse lenders discretion, and may not be accessible by the Company if the warehouse lender denied the funding request. The Company has not experienced such a denied funding request from any of its current or previous warehouse lenders.

The Company has a warehouse line of credit for borrowings of up to \$10 million for the interim financing of mortgage loans with GMAC Mortgage Corporation. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is variable based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. Borrowings are collateralized by the related mortgage loans held-for-sale. The committed line of credit expires on May 31, 2006. Upon expiration, management believes it will either renew its existing line or obtain sufficient additional lines. This line of credit agreement generally requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash balance of \$15.0 million in addition to the requirement that the Company maintain at least one other committed warehouse facility of no less than \$100 million. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company was in compliance with all covenants for this agreement for three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

The Company has an uncommitted warehouse line of credit agreement with Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital Inc. for borrowings of up to \$100 million for the interim financing of mortgage loans. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is variable based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. Borrowings are collateralized by the related mortgage loans held-for-sale. The uncommitted warehouse line of credit expires on February 4, 2006. This line of credit agreement generally requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum unrestricted cash balance of \$12.5 million. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

The Company has an agreement to finance up to \$500 million of mortgage loan inventory pending sale of these loans to the ultimate mortgage loan purchasers with Greenwich Capital. Of this amount, \$200 million is available in committed funds. This loan inventory financing is secured by the related mortgage loans. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. As part of this agreement the Company had to pay a commitment fee of \$1.0 million for the period of March 31, 2003 through March 30, 2004. The commitment fee was reduced to \$750,000 for the period March 15, 2004 through March 14, 2005. The commitment fee was reduced to \$600,000 for the period March 15, 2005 through March 13, 2006. The line expires March 13, 2006. Upon expiration, management believes it will either renew its existing line or obtain sufficient additional lines. This agreement includes various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash and cash equivalents balance of \$15 million. Additionally, the Company is required to maintain at least one other warehouse facility of no less than \$75 million in committed funds. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company was in compliance with all covenants for this agreement for the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

The Company has a \$10 million line of credit facility with Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital, Inc. to support the interim funding of auto loans prior to the sale to the ultimate auto loan purchaser. The interest rate charged on this line is based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. This facility requires the Company to pay an unused line fee equal to 0.50% per year on the average daily unused line balance. This facility expires on July 13, 2007. Upon expiration, management believes it will either renew its existing line or obtain sufficient additional lines. This agreement includes various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash and cash equivalents balance of \$15 million. Additionally, the Company is prohibited from obtaining or incurring any debt or contingent liability in excess of \$5 million without prior consent from the lender. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company had to obtain a waiver as a result of failure to meet a quick ratio covenant for the month ended February 28, 2005 but was in compliance with all covenants for this agreement for all other periods during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

In January 2005, the Company entered into an agreement for a warehouse line of credit for borrowings of up to \$150 million for the interim financing of mortgage loans with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is variable based on LIBOR plus various percentage points. Borrowings are collateralized by the related mortgage loans held-for-sale. The committed line of credit expires on January 3, 2006. Upon expiration, management believes it will either renew its existing line or obtain sufficient additional lines. This line of credit agreement generally requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. In particular, the Company must maintain a minimum cash balance of \$12.5 million. Failure to comply with these, or any other covenants, could result in the obligation to repay all amounts then outstanding. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005.

The following table summarizes the key terms of our current warehouse and other lines payable:

	GMAC Mortgage \$10M	Greenwich Capital \$500M	Merrill Lynch \$100M	Merrill Lynch \$10M	JPMorgan Chase \$150M
Cost of Funds					
Spread over LIBOR	1.25%-2.0%	0.75%-1.15%	0.75%-1.0%	1.0%-2.0%	1.0%-1.125%
Covenants					
Minimum Cash	\$15.0M	\$15.0M	\$12.5M	\$15.0M (1)	\$12.5M (1)
Minimum Tangible Net Worth	\$40.0M	\$60.0M	\$40.0M	\$25.0M	\$60.0M
Debt to Tangible Net Worth	10:1	10:1	12:1	--	10:1
Profitability	--	--	Net Worth > 80% prior 2 qtrs	--	\$1 in 6 mos rolling period
Current Ratio	1.0:1.0	--	--	1.0:1.0	1.0:1.0
Additional Facility	\$100.0M	\$75.0M	--	--	--
Advance Rates	95%-100%	96%-99%	96%-99%	92%-97%	95%-98%

(1) Inclusive of all restricted cash, not to exceed \$5 million.

On June 30, 2003, the Company obtained a \$5 million line of credit from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. to support working capital needs. The interest rate charged on borrowings against these funds is based on LIBOR plus 2% and is payable monthly. The line of credit was originally scheduled to expire on June 30, 2004. However, on December 11, 2003, the agreement was amended to reduce the line of credit to \$2,350,000 and extend the expiration date to June 30, 2006. As part of the amendment, the Company was required to provide restricted cash as collateral for this line of credit (see Note 3). The line of credit is being utilized to support letters of credit related to the Pleasanton, California facility as well as a letter of credit related to the Company's surety bonds. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the three and six months ended and at June 30, 2005. No balance was outstanding on this line as of June 30, 2005. Subsequently, the line of credit was amended to extend the expiration date to June 30, 2006.

The adequacy of our liquidity depends on our ability to successfully generate positive cash flow from an appropriate combination of efficient operations and improvements therein, financing from third parties and access to capital markets. With \$60.2 million of cash on hand at June 30, 2005 and borrowing capacity under our warehouse and other lines of credit of \$770 million, we believe our liquidity (including operating and other cash flows that we expect to generate) will be sufficient to meet operating cash flow requirements as they occur for at least the next twelve months; however, our ability to maintain positive liquidity going forward depends on our ability to generate cash from operations and access to the financial markets, both of which are subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other market factors that are beyond our control.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained in this Report that are not historical facts are "forward-looking statements" (as such term is defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "estimated," "projects," "anticipated," "expects," "intends," "believes," or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements, such as the Company's plans to increase the number of purchase loans, the relative importance of loans E-LOAN originates and sells and growth in the number of applications received, statements regarding development of E-LOAN's business, future operating results, anticipated capital expenditures, the expectations as to the use of capital resources and the availability of additional financing, and other statements contained in this Report regarding matters that are not historical facts, are only estimates or predictions and cannot be relied upon. No assurance can be given that future results will be achieved; actual events or results may differ materially as a result of risks facing the company or actual results differing from the assumption underlying such statements. Such risks and assumptions include, but are not limited to, E-LOAN's ability to access additional debt or equity financing in the future, secure replacement lines of credit for mortgage and auto loans, respond to increasing competition, secure additional loan purchasers, manage growth of E-LOAN's operations, as well as regulatory, legislative, and judicial developments that could cause actual results to vary materially from the future results indicated, expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this Report which are attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the "Factors Affecting Future Operating Results" and other cautionary statements included herein. The Company disclaims any obligation to update information contained in any forward-looking statement.

FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE OPERATING RESULTS

The following important factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this report or presented elsewhere by management from time to time.

While we achieved profitability during fiscal years 2002, 2003 and 2004, we have an accumulated deficit of \$180.1 million and may not be able to maintain profitability.

While we achieved profitability during fiscal years 2002, 2003 and 2004, we have an accumulated deficit of \$180.1 million, as of June 30, 2005. We may not maintain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. If revenues grow more slowly than we anticipate, or if operating expenses exceed our expectations or cannot be adjusted accordingly, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected.

We have a limited operating history and consequently face significant risks and challenges in building our business.

We were incorporated in August 1996, initiated our online mortgage operations in June 1997 and acquired our online auto operations in September 1999. We cannot assure that the Company will be able to operate successfully if a downturn in the mortgage or auto business occurs. As a result of our limited operating history, our recent growth and our reporting responsibilities as a public company, we may need to expand operational, financial and administrative systems and control procedures to enable us to further train and manage our employees and coordinate the efforts of our underwriting, accounting, finance, marketing, and operations departments.

Our quarterly financial results are vulnerable to significant fluctuations and seasonality, which could adversely affect our stock price.

Our revenues and operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to a number of social and economic factors. Certain months or quarters historically experience greater volumes of mortgage and auto loan applications and funded loans. Moreover, the level and direction of interest rates regulate the relative volatility of seasonal loan origination cycles. As a result, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our operating results may not be a good indication of our future performance. It is possible that in some future periods our operating results may not meet the expectations of market analysts and investors. In this event, the price of our common stock may fall.

Interest rate fluctuations could significantly reduce customers' incentive to refinance existing mortgage loans.

Rising interest rates generally reduce the demand for mortgage loans. Due to the low interest rate environment for the last several years, a significant percentage of our mortgage customers used our services to refinance existing mortgage loans. As mortgage interest rates have risen, consumers' incentive to refinance has been greatly reduced and the number of refinance loans that we originated and the revenues generated from those loans declined significantly. In an environment of rising or high interest rates relative to the interest rates on existing loans, refinance loan revenue could continue to decline. If we are unable to increase diversified product revenue to offset the decline in refinance loan activity, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Our ability to engage in profitable secondary sales of loans may also be adversely affected by increases in interest rates.

The mortgage loan purchase commitments we obtain are contingent upon our delivery of the relevant loans to the purchasers within specified periods. To the extent that we are unable to deliver the loans within the specified periods and interest rates increase during those periods, we may experience no gain or even a loss on the sale of these loans. In addition, any increase in interest rates will increase the cost of maintaining our warehouse and repurchase lines of credit on which we depend to fund the loans we originate. The interest direct margin earned on loans held-for-sale significantly benefited from the spread between long-term and short-term interest rates starting in 2001 and continuing through the second quarter of 2003. Based on historical trends, we do not expect the same level of benefit from interest rate spreads in a normal market. We have implemented a hedging program to manage the risk of loss due to fluctuations in interest rates, but our hedging efforts may not be successful, and no hedging strategy can completely eliminate interest rate risk. A sharp decrease in interest rates over a short period may cause customers who have interest rates on mortgage loans committed through us to either delay closing their loans or refinance with another lender. If this occurs in significant numbers, it may have an adverse effect on our business or quarterly results of operations.

Our hedging strategy may not succeed in reducing our exposure to losses caused by fluctuations in interest rates.

We attempt to manage our interest rate risk exposure on mortgage loan interest rate locks through hedging transactions using a combination of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and forward whole-loan sales to fix the sales price of loans we expect to fund. An effective hedging strategy is complex, and we have limited experience administering a hedging program. A successful hedging program depends in part on our ability to properly estimate the number of loans that will actually close and is subject to fluctuations in the prices of mortgage-backed securities, which do not necessarily move in tandem with the prices of loans we originate and close. To the extent that we implement a hedging strategy but are unable to effectively match our purchases and sales of mortgage-backed securities with the sale of the closed loans we have originated, our gains on sales of mortgage loans will be reduced, or we will experience a net loss on those sales. In addition, in September 2003, we began managing our interest rate exposure related to our auto loan approvals by entering into amortizing swap contracts. Approved auto loan applicants are provided a guaranteed rate for up to a 45 day period. To the extent that we implement a hedging strategy but are unable to effectively match our coverage with anticipated loan volume, it is possible that we could experience a net loss on those loan approvals. Finally, if we are unable to obtain the necessary liquidity to execute our hedging programs through multiple hedge counterparties, our hedging strategy may not succeed. We currently have one counter-party for auto hedging and 14 counterparties for mortgage hedging.

Uncertainty with respect to the time it takes to close mortgage loans can lead to unpredictable revenue and profitability.

The time between the date an application for a mortgage loan is received from a customer and the date the loan closes can be lengthy and unpredictable. The loan application and approval process is often delayed due to factors over which we have little or no control, including the timing of the customer's decision to commit to an available interest rate, the close of escrow date for purchase loans, the timeliness of appraisals and the adequacy of the customer's own disclosure documentation. Purchase mortgage loans generally take longer to close than refinance loans as they are tied to the close of the property sale escrow date. This uncertain timetable can have a direct impact on our revenue and profitability for any given period. We may expend substantial funds and resources supporting the loan fulfillment process and never generate revenue from closed loans. Therefore, our results of operations for a particular period may be adversely affected if the mortgage loans applied for during that period do not close in a timely manner or at all.

The increasing number of federal, state and local “anti-predatory lending” laws may restrict our ability to originate certain mortgage loans, or increase our risk of liability or cost of doing business.

The enactment of federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations intended to eliminate so-called “predatory” lending practices impose restrictions on loans on which certain points and fees or the annual percentage rate (“APR”) exceed specified thresholds. Our mortgage loan purchasers and warehouse lenders are willing to buy or finance only a very limited selection of such loans, and these loans are subject to greater risks for actual or perceived non-compliance with such laws, rules and regulations, including demands for indemnification or loan repurchases from our loan purchasers, class action lawsuits, increased defenses to foreclosure of individual loans in default, individual claims for monetary damages, and administrative enforcement actions. The continued enactment of these laws, rules and regulations may prevent us from making certain loans and may cause us to reduce the APR or the points and fees on loans that we do make. In addition, the difficulty of managing the risks presented by these laws, rules and regulations may decrease the availability of warehouse financing and the overall demand for certain subprime loans, making it difficult to fund or sell such loans. The growing number of these laws, rules and regulations may increase our cost of doing business, as we are required to develop systems and procedures to ensure that we do not violate any aspect of these new requirements. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We have recently experienced significant growth in our business, and if we are unable to manage this growth, our business will be adversely affected.

Over the past two years we have experienced significant growth, which has placed a strain on our resources and will continue to do so in the future. Failure to manage this growth effectively could adversely affect our business. We may not be successful in managing or expanding our operations or maintaining adequate management, financial and operating systems and controls.

Our future success is dependent upon increased acceptance of the internet by consumers and lenders as a medium for lending.

Our success will depend in large part on widespread consumer acceptance of obtaining mortgage and auto loans online. Increased consumer use of the Internet to provide for their lending needs is subject to uncertainty. The development of an online market for mortgage and auto loans has only recently begun, is rapidly evolving and likely will be characterized by an increasing number of market entrants. If consumer acceptance of the Internet as a source for mortgage, home equity and auto loans does not increase, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected. A number of factors may inhibit Internet usage by consumers, including privacy and security concerns regarding their personal information. The adoption of online lending requires the acceptance of a new way of conducting business, exchanging information and applying for credit by consumers that have historically relied upon traditional lending methods. As a result, we cannot be sure that we will be able to compete effectively with traditional borrowing and lending methods.

The termination of one or more of our mortgage funding sources would adversely affect our business.

We depend on Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc. (“Greenwich Capital”), JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMorgan Chase”) and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Capital Inc. (“Merrill Lynch”) to finance our mortgage loan activities through the warehouse credit facilities they provide. If any of these warehouse credit facilities becomes unavailable, our business would be adversely affected. Under our agreements with each of these lenders, we make extensive representations, warranties and various operating and financial covenants. A material breach of these representations, warranties or covenants on any of our lines could result in the termination of our agreements and an obligation to repay all amounts outstanding at the time of termination. In the past, we have had to obtain waivers from our warehouse lenders as a result of our failure to comply with covenants regarding the issuance of capital stock, excess asset purchases and our failure to meet financial ratios. Our agreements with Greenwich Capital, JPMorgan Chase, and Merrill Lynch expire on March 13, 2006, January 3, 2006, and February 4, 2006, respectively. Upon expiration of these agreements, management believes it will either renew its existing warehouse credit facilities or obtain sufficient additional credit facilities. However, we cannot assure that these agreements will be renewed or extended past their current expiration dates, and additional sources of funding for our mortgage loans may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

We are primarily dependent on one loan purchasers for most of our home equity business.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2005, most of our home equity loans were purchased by E*TRADE pursuant to Home Equity Loan/Line Purchase Agreements. If this loan purchaser is unable or unwilling to purchase our home equity loans, we may experience delays in our ability to accept or process home equity loan applications until we are able to secure new sources of loan purchasers. Sufficient additional sources of loan purchasers for our home equity loans may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

We are dependent on two loan purchasers for all of our auto loan business.

We currently sell all of our prime auto loans either to Merrill Lynch Bank USA pursuant to a Purchase and Sale agreement or to E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC, a qualified special purpose entity (QSPE). The QSPE is dependent on Merrill Lynch Bank USA to provide the necessary financing to continue purchasing loans from us. If Merrill Lynch Bank USA is unable or unwilling to provide continuing financing to the QSPE, additional forms of financing may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

We depend on the timely and competent services of various companies involved in the mortgage process; if these companies fail to timely and competently deliver these services, our business and reputation will be directly and adversely affected.

We rely on other companies to perform services related to the loan underwriting process, including appraisals, credit reporting and title searches. Any interruptions or delays in the provision of these services may cause delays in the processing and closing of loans for our customers. If we are unsuccessful in managing the timely delivery of these services we will likely experience increased customer dissatisfaction and our business and reputation could be adversely affected.

The loss of our relationship with any of our significant providers of automated underwriting would have an adverse effect on our business.

We depend on automated underwriting and other services offered by government sponsored and other mortgage investors, including Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ("Agencies"), to help ensure that our mortgage services can be offered efficiently and on a timely basis. We currently have an agreement with the Agencies that authorizes our use of their automated underwriting services and enables us to sell qualified first mortgages to these Agencies. We cannot assure that we will remain in good standing with the Agencies or that the Agencies will not terminate our relationship. We expect to continue to process a significant portion of our conforming mortgage loans using the Agencies' systems. Our agreement with the Agencies can be terminated by either party. The termination of our agreements with the Agencies would adversely affect our business by reducing our ability to streamline the mortgage origination process.

Any outages, delays or other difficulties experienced internally or through internet service providers, online service providers or other website operators on which our users depend could adversely affect our business.

Due to the complexities of providing effective and responsive internet based products and services to customers, our information technology business model could experience delays and outages caused by a number of factors. Our IT platform is an integration of complex technologies ranging from proprietary and open source technologies to commercially available licensed technologies from industry leaders such as Cisco Systems, Sun Microsystems and Oracle. Although our IT business systems are designed to minimize downtime in the normal course of business, we cannot assure our service levels can be maintained in all possible disaster scenarios. Moreover, many of our strategic partners in addition to our customers depend on Internet service providers, online service providers and other website operators for access to our website. Many Internet users have experienced significant outages in the past, and could experience outages, delays and other difficulties due to component or system failures unrelated to our systems. Any of these problems could adversely affect our business.

Our business will be adversely affected if we are unable to safeguard the security and privacy of our customers' financial data.

Internet usage could decline if any well-publicized compromise of security occurred. We may incur significant costs to protect against the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by any breaches that occur. We also retain on our premises personal financial documents we receive from prospective borrowers in connection with their loan applications. These documents are highly sensitive and if a third party were to misappropriate our customers'

personal information, customers could possibly bring legal claims against us. We cannot assure that our privacy policy will be deemed sufficient by our prospective customers or compliant with any federal or state laws governing privacy, which may be adopted in the future.

If our internal controls over financial reporting do not comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, our business and stock price could be adversely affected.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of the end of each year and, and to include a management report assessing the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting in our annual reports. Section 404 also requires our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, and report on, management's assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting at the end of each year.

Our Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that the Company's disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met due to numerous factors, ranging from errors to conscious acts of an individual, or individuals acting together. In addition, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Although our management has determined, and our independent registered public accounting firm has attested, that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2004, we cannot assure you that we or our independent registered public accounting firm will not identify a material weakness in our internal controls in the future. A material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting would require management and our independent registered public accounting firm to evaluate our internal controls as ineffective. If our internal controls over financial reporting are not considered adequate, we may experience a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports that which could have an adverse effect on our business and our stock price.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate movements significantly impact our volume of closed loans and represent the primary component of market risk to us. In a higher interest rate environment, consumer demand for mortgage loans, particularly refinancing of existing mortgages, declines. Interest rate movements affect the interest income earned on loans held for sale, interest expense on the warehouse lines payable, the value of mortgage loans held for sale and ultimately the gain on sale of mortgage and auto loans.

We attempt to minimize the interest rate risk associated with the time lag between when fixed rate mortgage loans are rate-locked and when they are committed for sale or exchanged in the secondary market, through our hedging activities. Individual mortgage loan risks are aggregated by loan type and stage in the pipeline, and are then matched, based on duration, with the appropriate hedging instrument, thus mitigating basis risk until closing and delivery. We currently hedge our mortgage pipeline through mandatory forward sales of Fannie Mae mortgage-backed securities in addition to both mandatory and best efforts forward loan sale agreements with the ultimate loan purchaser. We determine which alternative provides the best execution in the secondary market. In addition, we do not believe our net interest income would be materially affected as a result of concurrent changes in long-term and short-term interest rates due to the short duration of time loans are held on the balance sheet prior to being sold (typically under sixty days). We manage our interest rate exposure related to our auto loan approvals by entering into amortizing swap contracts.

We believe that we have implemented a cost-effective hedging program to provide a high level of protection against changes in the market value of rate-lock commitments. However, an effective strategy is complex and no hedging strategy can completely insulate the Company against such changes.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2005, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e)). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at a reasonable level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that the Company's disclosure controls or our internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met due to numerous factors, ranging from errors to conscious acts of an individual, or individuals acting together. In addition, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error and/or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to various other legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, which arise in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe that the outcome of any of these legal matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 28, 2005, which discloses claims which have been filed against the Company. There have been no material developments with respect to these claims since the previously filed Annual Report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

In April 2005, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock to Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc. in connection with the renewal of a warehouse credit agreement, at an exercise price of \$2.70 per share. The warrant expires April 1, 2009. The issuance of the warrant was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof, since the issuance constituted a sale not involving a public offering.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the Registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on June 13, 2005 the following proposals were adopted by the margins indicated:

	Number of Shares	
	<u>Voted For</u>	<u>Withheld</u>
1. To elect a three Class III Directors to hold office until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2008 or until their respective successors have been elected or appointed		
Christian A. Larsen	59,676,613	1,262,416
Mark E. Lefanowicz	59,668,800	1,270,229
Daniel Springer	59,675,342	1,263,687

The following directors continued in office: James G. Jones, Robert C. Kagle, Claus H. Lund and Wade Randlett.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) :

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of E-LOAN dated July 2, 1999 (1)
- 3.2 Corrected Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of E-LOAN, Inc. dated March 26, 2001 (2)
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws of E-LOAN, Inc. dated March 16, 2001 (2)
- 3.4 Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of E-LOAN, Inc. dated June 14, 2004 (3)
- 4.1 Stock Purchase Warrant dated April 1, 2005, issued to Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc.
- 10.1 1/05 Senior Secured Credit Agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. dated January 4, 2005 (4)
- 10.7 Twenty First Modification Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2005, by and among E-LOAN, Inc. and GMAC Mortgage Corporation relating to that certain Warehouse Credit Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2001, between E-LOAN, Inc. and GMAC Bank (8)
- 10.8 Contribution and Sale Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2005, between E-LOAN Auto Fund Two, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, as buyer, and E-LOAN, Inc., a Delaware corporation, as seller (9)
- 10.9 Agreement between E-Loan, Inc. and Geoffrey Halverson, dated June 8, 2005 (10)
- 10.10 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2005, by and between E-LOAN, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (11)
- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification of Periodic Financial Report Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002#

- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification of Periodic Financial Report Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002#
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002##
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002##

-
- (1) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (FQE 09/30/04) filed on November 9, 2004 (File No. 000-25621).
- (2) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (FYE 12/31/00) filed on April 23, 2001 (File No. 000-25621).
- (3) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (FQE 06/30/04) filed on August 9, 2004 (File No. 000-25621).
- (4) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (5) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (6) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 17, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (7) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 5, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (8) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (9) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 9, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (10) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 10, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).
- (11) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2005 (File No. 000-25621).

Filed herewith

Furnished herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Security Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

E-LOAN, INC.

Dated: August 9, 2005

By: /s/ Darren Nelson

Darren Nelson

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)