

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the quarterly period ended January 31, 2009

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934 for the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-8696



**COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)  
*www.competitivetech.net*

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

36-2664428  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

777 Commerce Drive  
Fairfield, Connecticut  
(Address of principal executive offices)

06825  
(Zip Code)

(203) 368-6044

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)  
N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company" as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

**APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY  
PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes ☐ No ☐

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of March 12, 2009 was 8,787,100 shares.

**COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

**INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**

<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	<b><u>Page No.</u></b>
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at January 31, 2009 (unaudited) and July 31, 2008.....	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited).....	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited).....	5
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Interest for the six months ended January 31, 2009 (unaudited).....	6
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited).....	7
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements (unaudited).....	8 - 15
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .....	16 - 23
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk .....	23
Item 4. Controls and Procedures .....	23
 <b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.....	24
Item 1A. Risk factors .....	24
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.....	24
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities .....	24
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	24
Item 5. Other.....	24
Item 6. Exhibits .....	25
Signatures.....	26
Exhibit Index.....	27

## **PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements**

#### **COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

##### **Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	<b><u>January 31, 2009</u></b> <b>(Unaudited)</b>	<b><u>July 31, 2008</u></b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 693,685	\$ 2,237,095
Receivables, net of allowance of \$101,154 at January 31, 2009 and July 31, 2008	288,916	120,077
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	<u>160,523</u>	<u>317,756</u>
Total current assets	<u>1,143,124</u>	<u>2,674,928</u>
Property and equipment, net	232,341	262,863
Other long term assets	39,833	40,083
Deferred financing costs, net	<u>243,168</u>	<u>133,109</u>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,658,466</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 3,110,983</u></b>
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Interest</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 651,460	\$ 679,644
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	<u>616,264</u>	<u>759,081</u>
Total current liabilities	<u>1,267,724</u>	<u>1,438,725</u>
Deferred Rent	80,698	78,822
Shareholders' interest:		
5% preferred stock, \$25 par value, 35,920 shares authorized, 2,427 shares issued and outstanding	60,675	60,675
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 8,590,447 and 8,179,872 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	85,904	81,798
Capital in excess of par value	36,345,761	35,732,761
Accumulated deficit	<u>(36,182,296)</u>	<u>(34,281,798)</u>
Total shareholders' interest	<u>310,044</u>	<u>1,593,436</u>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' INTEREST</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,658,466</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 3,110,983</u></b>

*See accompanying notes*

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)**

**COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended January 31,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
<b>Revenue</b>		
Retained royalties	\$ 27,138	\$ 429,240
Investment income	1,608	50,765
Product sales	-	50,488
	<u>28,746</u>	<u>530,493</u>
<b>Expenses</b>		
Cost of product sales	162	47,981
Personnel and other direct expenses relating to revenue	481,785	907,412
General and administrative expenses	479,429	915,874
Patent enforcement expenses, net of reimbursements	(233)	(122,855)
Loss on sale of available-for-sale securities	-	81,401
	<u>961,143</u>	<u>1,829,813</u>
(Loss) before income taxes	(932,397)	(1,299,320)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	-	-
<b>Net (loss)</b>	<u>\$ (932,397)</u>	<u>\$ (1,299,320)</u>
Basic and diluted (loss) per share	<u>\$ (0.11)</u>	<u>\$ (0.16)</u>
Basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding:	8,440,698	8,158,760

*See accompanying notes*

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)**

**COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(Unaudited)

	Six months ended January 31,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
<b>Revenue</b>		
Retained royalties	\$ 53,758	\$ 553,039
Investment income	6,964	120,187
Product sales	834	57,284
Other income	<u>70,991</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>132,547</u>	<u>730,510</u>
<b>Expenses</b>		
Cost of product sales	407	51,381
Personnel and other direct expenses relating to revenue	1,160,185	2,014,936
General and administrative expenses	1,270,601	2,192,834
Patent enforcement expenses, net of reimbursements	1,852	31,918
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	-	227,596
Loss on sale of available-for-sale securities, net of gains	-	70,809
Insurance recovery	<u>(400,000)</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>2,033,045</u>	<u>4,589,474</u>
(Loss) before income taxes	(1,900,498)	(3,858,964)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Net (loss)</b>	<u>\$ (1,900,498)</u>	<u>\$ (3,858,964)</u>
Basic and diluted (loss) per share	<u>\$ (0.23)</u>	<u>\$ (0.47)</u>
Basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding:	8,326,580	8,133,070

*See accompanying notes*

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)**

**COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Interest  
For the six months ended January 31, 2009  
(Unaudited)

	<u><b>Preferred Stock</b></u>		<u><b>Common Stock</b></u>		<u><b>Capital in excess of par value</b></u>	<u><b>Accumulated deficit</b></u>	<u><b>Total Shareholders' interest</b></u>
	<u><b>Shares outstanding</b></u>	<u><b>Amount</b></u>	<u><b>Shares outstanding</b></u>	<u><b>Amount</b></u>			
Balance – July 31, 2008	2,427	\$ 60,675	8,179,872	\$ 81,798	\$ 35,732,761	\$ (34,281,798)	\$ 1,593,436
Net (loss)						(1,900,498)	(1,900,498)
Compensation expense from stock option grants					137,656		137,656
Stock issued to Directors			12,500	125	12,438		12,563
Issuance of Fusion initial commitment shares			63,280	634	122,763		123,397
Sale of shares to Fusion			334,795	3,347	359,151		362,498
Amortization of deferred financing costs related to Fusion shares					(19,008)		(19,008)
<b>Balance – January 31, 2009</b> (Unaudited)	<u>2,427</u>	<u>\$ 60,675</u>	<u>8,590,447</u>	<u>\$ 85,904</u>	<u>\$ 36,345,761</u>	<u>\$ (36,182,296)</u>	<u>\$ 310,044</u>

*See accompanying notes*

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)

### COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Six months ended January 31, <u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net (loss)	\$ (1,900,498)	\$ (3,858,964)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	32,012	35,957
Deferred rent	1,876	8,542
Share-based compensation – stock options	137,656	221,238
Accrued stock contribution	(93,688)	71,350
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	-	227,596
Loss on available-for-sale securities	-	70,809
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	(168,839)	60,061
Inventory	-	10,681
Prepaid expenses and other long-term assets	157,483	186,154
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	<u>(64,750)</u>	<u>115,566</u>
Net cash (used in) operating activities	<u>(1,898,748)</u>	<u>(2,851,010)</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,490)	(21,732)
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	-	822,873
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	<u>(1,490)</u>	<u>801,141</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from sale of stock	362,498	-
Deferred finance charges	<u>(5,670)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>356,828</u>	<u>-</u>
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,543,410)	(2,049,869)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>2,237,095</u>	<u>6,572,076</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 693,685</u>	<u>\$ 4,522,207</u>

#### Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:

On September 30, 2008 the Company issued 63,280 registered shares of common stock valued at \$123,397 to Fusion Capital II, LLC ("Fusion") as initial commitment shares per our equity financing agreement. On January 2, 2009, the Company issued 12,500 shares valued at \$12,563 as compensation to five non-employee directors under the 1996 Directors' Stock Participation Plan.

During the first six months of fiscal 2009, we amortized \$19,008 of deferred financing costs related to our equity financing agreement against Capital in Excess of Par Value.

*See accompanying notes*

## **PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)**

### **COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

#### **Notes to Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

##### **1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The interim condensed consolidated financial information presented in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto is unaudited.

Competitive Technologies, Inc. ("CTT") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, CTT Trading Company, LLC ("CTT Trading"), and majority-owned subsidiary, Vector Vision, Inc. ("VVI"), collectively, "we" or "us" provide patent and technology licensing and commercialization services throughout the world, with concentrations in the U.S.A. and Asia, with respect to a broad range of life and physical sciences, electronics, and nanotechnologies invented by individuals, corporations and universities. We are compensated for our services by sharing in the profits of distribution, or the license and royalty fees generated by our clients' technologies.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CTT, CTT Trading, and VVI. Inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We believe we made all adjustments necessary, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, to present the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The results for the three and six months ended January 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for the full fiscal year ending July 31, 2009.

The interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2008, filed on October 28, 2008.

The Company incurred an operating loss for the first six months of fiscal 2009, as well as operating losses in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006. During fiscal 2007, we had a significant concentration of revenue from our homocysteine assay technology. The primary underlying patent for this technology expired in July 2007 and we did not receive revenue for sales made after that date on that patent. Revenue in fiscal 2008 for the homocysteine technology reflects back royalties previously unreported by customers. We continue to seek revenue from new technology licenses or product distributions, such as those below, to mitigate the concentration of revenue, and replace revenue from expiring agreements. The anticipated benefit to the Company of the revenue and financing factors noted below are expected to offset the lack of sufficient cash flow to fund current reduced operating expenses beyond third quarter fiscal 2009. Without these benefits, current conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include adjustments to reflect the possible future effect of the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classifications of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Our liquidity requirements arise principally from our working capital needs, including funds needed to find and obtain new technologies or products, and protect and enforce our intellectual property rights, if necessary. We fund our liquidity requirements with a combination of cash on hand and cash flows from operations, if any, including royalty legal awards. In addition, we have the ability to fund our requirements through sales of common stock under the Fusion agreement. At January 31, 2009, we had no outstanding debt or credit facility.

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its developing other recurring revenue streams sufficient to cover operating costs. If necessary, we will meet anticipated operating cash requirements by further reducing costs, and/or the sale of certain assets and technologies while we pursue licensing and distribution opportunities for our remaining portfolio of technologies.

In late fiscal 2007, the Company obtained exclusive worldwide distribution rights to a non-invasive pain management medical device for rapid treatment of high-intensity oncologic and neuropathic pain, including pain resistant to morphine and other drugs. The device's European CE mark certification allows it to be distributed and sold throughout Europe, and makes it eligible for approval for distribution and sales in multiple global markets. In February 2009, CTT received FDA 510(k) authorization for U.S. sales of the device. Several thousand patients in various hospitals have been successfully treated using the technology. CTT's partner, GEOMC Co., Ltd. of Korea, is manufacturing the product commercially for worldwide distribution. U.S. and international patents are pending.

In July 2008, we signed a country-exclusive distribution agreement with Excel Life Sciences, Inc. for India. In the first six months fiscal 2009, we signed three additional country-exclusive distribution agreements with GEOMC Co., Ltd. for Korea, Biogene Pharma Limited for Bangladesh, and Able Global Healthcare Sdn. Bhd. for Malaysia. In February 2009, the Company signed an agreement with Life Epist me srl granting them exclusive distribution rights in 29 countries throughout Europe, Asia, Africa, the Middle East, South America and Oceania. In March 2009, exclusive distribution rights in two additional countries were granted to Life Epist me. Local sales authorization is required in each country.

The signed distribution agreements for this device now cover over 48% of the world's population. Contractual minimums in the distribution agreements have a retail sales value of over \$25 million for 2009 and about \$50 million for 2010. The Company will share in revenue derived from sales of the device to distributors.

In July 2008, to improve our financial condition, we entered into an equity financing arrangement with Fusion for up to \$5.0 million of cash through sales of our common stock, at our option, provided the Company stock price is at or above \$1.00 per share. Of the \$5.0 million, \$4.6 million remained available at January 31, 2009.

## 2. NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

The following sets forth the denominator used in the calculations of basic net (loss) per share and net income (loss) per share assuming dilution:

	Three months ended January 31,		Six months ended January 31,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Net (loss)	\$ (932,397)	\$ (1,299,320)	\$ (1,900,498)	\$ (3,858,964)
Basic and diluted (loss) per share	(0.11)	(0.16)	(0.23)	(0.47)
Denominator for basic net income				
loss per share, weighted				
average shares outstanding	8,440,698	8,158,760	8,326,580	8,133,070
Dilutive effect of common				
stock options	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Denominator for net income (loss)				
per share, assuming dilution	8,440,698	8,158,760	8,326,580	8,133,070

Options to purchase 802,060 and 831,080 shares of our common stock at January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the computation of net (loss) per share because they were anti-dilutive. Due to the net loss incurred for the periods ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, the denominator used in the calculation of basic net loss per share was the same as that used for net loss per share, assuming dilution, since the effect of any options and warrants would have been anti-dilutive.

### 3. COMPREHENSIVE LOSS AND ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive loss consists of the following:

	Three months ended January 31,		Six months ended January 31,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Net (loss)	\$ (932,397)	\$ (1,299,320)	\$ (1,900,498)	\$ (3,858,964)
Unrealized increase (decrease) in				
market price of securities	-	(40,692)	-	(626,144)
Foreign currency translation				
adjustments on securities	-	(1,567)	-	60,923
Reclassification to net income	-	41,708	-	56,262
Comprehensive (loss)	<u>\$ (932,397)</u>	<u>\$ (1,299,871)</u>	<u>\$ (1,900,498)</u>	<u>\$ (4,367,923)</u>

### 4. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB") issued Statement No. 157 "*Fair Value Measures*" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Positions ("FSP") 157-1, which amends SFAS 157 to remove leasing transactions accounted for under SFAS 13, "*Accounting for Leases*", FSP 157-2, which deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and FSP 157-3, which clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and illustrates considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for the financial asset is not active. The Company adopted SFAS 157 on August 1, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 157 for financial assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company does not maintain investments or derivative instruments. The Company does not believe the adoption of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, effective August 1, 2009, will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*" ("SFAS 159"). This statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value and establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The Company adopted SFAS 159 on August 1, 2008. The Company has currently chosen not to elect the fair value option for any items that are not already required to be measured at fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141 (Revised 2007), "*Business Combinations*" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for the reporting entity in a business combination, including recognition and measurement in the financial statements of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquire. SFAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of SFAS 141(R) may have on the financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160 "*Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – An Amendment of ARB No. 51*" ("SFAS160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The Company does not have any noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries and believes that SFAS 160 will not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 162, *"The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles"* ("SFAS 162"). The new standard is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for nongovernmental entities. Prior to the issuance of SFAS 162, GAAP hierarchy was defined in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. SAS 69 has been criticized because it is directed to the auditor rather than the entity. SFAS 162 addresses these issues by establishing that the GAAP hierarchy should be directed to entities because it is the entity, not its auditor, that is responsible for selecting accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS 162 is effective November 15, 2008.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 163, *"Accounting for Financial Guarantee Insurance Contracts – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 60"* ("SFAS 163"). SFAS 163 requires recognition of an insurance claim liability prior to an event of default when there is evidence that credit deterioration has occurred in an insured financial obligation. SFAS 163 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and all interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is not permitted. The Company's adoption of SFAS 163 will not have a material impact on its financial statements.

## 5. RECEIVABLES

Receivables consist of the following:

	<u>January 31, 2009</u>	<u>July 31, 2008</u>
Royalties, net of allowance of \$101,154 at January 31, 2009 and July 31, 2008	\$ 3,101	\$ 26,524
Receivable from insurance carrier	120,376	63,440
Advance to GEOMC Co. Ltd.	117,989	-
Other	47,450	30,113
Total receivables	<u>\$ 288,916</u>	<u>\$ 120,077</u>

GEOMC Co. Ltd., as a commercialization partner, has invested in a production line for the pain management medical device, and are building inventory for sales expected to occur in the third or fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. The Company will receive repayment of the advance as these machines are shipped to our distributors.

## 6. AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE AND EQUITY SECURITIES

The fair value of the equity securities we held were categorized as available-for-sale securities, classified as current assets, and consisted of the following:

	<u>January 31, 2009</u>	<u>July 31, 2008</u>	<u>Number of shares</u>	<u>Type</u>
Melanotan	\$ -	\$ -	378,000	Common Stock
NTRU Cryptosystems, Inc.	-	-	3,129,509	Common Stock
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>		

An ownership interest in Melanotan Corporation was purchased in prior years for a nominal amount. In a separate transaction, we licensed to Melanotan certain rights relating to a sunless tanning technology we own. Melanotan sublicensed the rights to Clinuvel Pharmaceuticals. Melanotan has no operations of its own, and is currently being dissolved.

In prior years, we acquired 3,129,509 shares of NTRU common stock, and certain preferred stock that later was exchanged for cash and a reduction in our future royalty rate on sales of NTRU's products. NTRU is a privately held company that sells encryption software for security purposes, principally in wireless markets. In February 2009,

its flagship encryption algorithm, NTRUEncrypt™, was accepted for standardization by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). There is no public market for NTRU shares. We previously wrote down NTRU to zero value, and retain ownership of the common shares.

During the first six months of fiscal 2008 we sold all our shares of Clinuvel stock for \$782,157 with a cost basis of \$825,682. The loss on sale of \$43,525, including gross gains of \$24,325 and gross losses of \$67,850, is included in loss on sale of available-for-sale securities.

As of October 31, 2007, the Company determined that the decline in value of the Palatin shares was other than temporary. As a result, the Company reduced the amount recorded as available for sale securities to equal the fair market value of such shares at October 31, 2007 and recognized a loss on permanent impairment of available for sale securities of \$227,596 during the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we sold all our shares of Palatin stock for \$40,716, resulting in a loss of \$27,284 that was reflected as a loss on sale of available-for-sale securities.

All of our shares of Palatin and Clinuvel were sold before July 31, 2008.

## 7. PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other assets consist of the following:

	<u>January 31, 2009</u>	<u>July 31, 2008</u>
Prepaid insurance	\$ 104,514	\$ 249,428
Other	56,009	68,328
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	<u>\$ 160,523</u>	<u>\$ 317,756</u>

## 8. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Accrued expenses and other liabilities consist of the following:

	<u>January 31, 2009</u>	<u>July 31, 2008</u>
Royalties payable	\$ 264,532	\$ 243,951
Accrued 401(k) contribution	75,000	150,000
Accrued Directors' Stock Option Compensation	6,250	37,500
Other accrued compensation	53,538	-
Accrued audit fees	99,694	110,000
Accrued legal fees	20,971	7,765
Other accrued professional fees	23,427	21,963
Accrued purchases	-	47,850
Accrued NYSE Alternext US listing fee	-	33,109
Accrued research payment to UCONN	-	23,920
Accrued Directors Fees	18,667	4,667
Other	54,185	78,356
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	<u>\$ 616,264</u>	<u>\$ 759,081</u>

## 9. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company accounts for its stock-based employee compensation arrangements under SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "*Share Based Payment*" ("SFAS No. 123R"), which requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, based on the grant date fair value of those awards, in the financial statements. The Company accounts for its non-employee options under EITF 96-18, "*Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction With Selling, Goods or Services*" ("EITF 96-18").

During the three and six months ended January 31, 2009, the Company recognized approximately \$53,031 and \$105,306 of non-cash compensation expense for the value of options previously granted to employees.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company granted to its non-employee directors as their annual award, options to purchase an aggregate of 50,000 shares of common stock under the Directors Stock Option Plan at an exercise price of \$1.005 per share that vest immediately. The fair value of the options was \$32,350.

We estimated the fair value of each option on the grant date using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Dividend yield <sup>(1)</sup>	0.0%
Expected volatility <sup>(2)</sup>	79.0%
Risk-free interest rates <sup>(3)</sup>	1.7%
Expected lives <sup>(2)</sup>	Five years

- (1) We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock since 1981, and currently do not have plans to pay or declare cash dividends. Consequently, we used an expected dividend rate of zero for the valuations.
- (2) Estimated based on our historical experience. Volatility was based on historical experience over a period equivalent to the expected life in years.
- (3) Based on the U.S. Treasury constant maturity interest rate with a term consistent with the expected life of the options granted.

Pursuant to the terms of our 1996 Directors' Stock Participation Plan, on January 5, 2009 we issued a total of 12,500 shares of common stock to our non-employee directors. These shares were valued at \$12,563.

On September 30, 2008, the Company issued 63,280 registered shares of our common stock to Fusion as initial commitment shares per our equity financing agreement, and agreed to issue 42,187 additional commitment shares to Fusion on a pro rata basis as we sell the \$5 million of stock (See Note 1). The initial commitment shares were priced at \$1.95 per share, the closing price on September 30, 2008, resulting in an increase to shareholders' interest of \$123,397.

During the first six months of fiscal 2009, the Company sold 331,736 shares of common stock and issued 3,059 additional commitment shares to Fusion per the terms of our agreement. Total proceeds realized from these sales were \$362,498. In addition, we amortized \$19,008 of deferred financing costs related to these stock sales.

## 10. CONTINGENCIES

*Carolina Liquid Chemistries Corporation, et al.* (Case pending) – On August 29, 2005, we filed a complaint against Carolina Liquid Chemistries Corporation ("Carolina Liquid") in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, alleging patent infringement of our patent covering homocysteine assays, and seeking monetary damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, court costs and other remuneration at the option of the court. Carolina Liquid was served on September 1, 2005. As we became aware of other infringers, we amended our complaint to add as defendants Catch, Inc. ("Catch") and the Diazyme Laboratories Division of General Atomics ("Diazyme"). On September 6, 2006, Diazyme filed for declaratory judgment in the Southern District of California for a change in

venue and a declaration of non-infringement and invalidity. On September 12, 2006, the District Court in Colorado ruled that both Catch and Diazyme be added as defendants to the Carolina Liquid case. On October 23, 2006, Diazyme requested the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "USPTO") to re-evaluate the validity of our patent and this request was granted by the USPTO on December 14, 2006. Re-examination proceedings are now underway at the USPTO Board of Appeals. We do not expect an adverse finding, but completion of such action will delay the ultimate resolution of the case. Further action in this case is pending.

*Ben Marcovitch and other co-defendants* (Case pending) – On August 8, 2007, we announced that former CTT Director Ben Marcovitch had been removed for cause from our Board of Directors by unanimous vote of CTT's five Directors for violating CTT's Code of Conduct. At that time, CTT also withdrew from its involvement with Agrofrut, E.U., a nutraceutical firm brought to CTT by Mr. Marcovitch. As announced on April 10, 2007, CTT had paid \$750,000 to Agrofrut for a 5% ownership, and certain marketing and investment options in Agrofrut.

On August 31, 2007, we filed a Federal complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut against Mr. Marcovitch, Betty Rios Valencia, President and CEO of Agrofrut and former spouse of Mr. Marcovitch, John Derek Elwin, III, a former CTT employee, and other defendants. The complaint claims that false and misleading information had been provided to CTT in a conspiracy to fraudulently obtain funds from CTT using the Agrofrut transaction. We have requested, among other relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees. It is our opinion and that of our Board of Directors that this lawsuit is required to recover our \$750,000 and to settle outstanding issues regarding the named parties.

On October 22, 2007, at a show cause hearing, the Court stated that all defendants named in the case, and their associates, were enjoined from any further use of any remaining part of the \$750,000 received from CTT. The Court ordered a full disclosure of all accounts where remaining funds are held, and a complete description of the disposition of any portion of the CTT payment must be made to CTT's counsel. At a December 7, 2007 hearing, the Court requested CTT to specify an appropriate Prejudgment Remedy for the Court to consider. On December 20, 2007, a Prejudgment Remedy was issued granting garnishment of the \$750,000 CTT is seeking to recover.

On January 11, 2008, the Court denied the defendants' attempts at demonstrating that Connecticut was not the proper jurisdiction for these hearings.

On April 22, 2008, the Court ruled that the defendants must make arrangements for depositions to be completed by May 2, 2008, a date that was then extended by the Court. The Court granted permission for the defendants' depositions to be conducted via video conferencing when the defendants indicated their inability to travel to the Connecticut court. The depositions were conducted on June 2, 2008.

On June 23, 2008, the Court ruled that the defendants are compelled to respond to interrogatories and to produce any supplemental discovery documents by the deadline of July 7, 2008.

On August 15, 2008 CTT filed a motion for Summary Judgment. A Memorandum in Opposition was filed by Marcovitch et al on September 15, 2008. CTT responded to the Memorandum on September 24. The case awaits the judge's decision regarding the Summary Judgment. We are aggressively pursuing this matter.

*Employment matters – former employee* (Cases pending) – In September 2003, a former employee filed a whistleblower complaint with OSHA alleging that the employee had been terminated for engaging in conduct protected under the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX). In February 2005, OSHA found probable cause to support the employee's complaint and ordered reinstatement and payment of damages. CTT filed objections and requested a *de novo* hearing before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"). Based on evidence submitted at the May 2005 hearing, in October 2005 the ALJ issued a written decision recommending dismissal of the employee's claim without relief. The employee then appealed the case to the Administrative Review Board ("ARB"). In March 2008, the ARB issued a decision and order of remand, holding that the ALJ erred in shifting the burden of proof to CTT based on a mere inference of discrimination and remanding the case to the ALJ for clarification of the judge's analysis under the appropriate burden of proof. In January 2009, the ALJ ruled in favor of CTT on the ARB remand. The employee has now appealed the January 2009 ALJ ruling to the ARB. The employee had requested reconsideration of the ARB

order of remand based on the Board's failure to address the employee's appeal issues. In October 2008, the ARB denied the employee's request for reconsideration and motion for clarification.

In August 2007, the same former employee filed a new SOX whistleblower complaint with OSHA alleging that in April 2007 CTT and its former general counsel retaliated against the employee for past-protected conduct by refusing to consider the employee's new employer for the award of a consulting contract. In March 2008, OSHA dismissed the employee's complaint for lack of probable cause. The employee filed objections and requested *de novo* review by an ALJ. In August 2008, the employee gave notice of intent to terminate proceedings before the ALJ and remove the case to federal district court. In October 2008, the former employee moved to voluntarily dismiss with prejudice the case before the ALJ.

On September 5, 2008, CTT filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut against the former employee seeking a declaration that CTT did not violate SOX as alleged in the employee's 2007 OSHA complaint, and to recover approximately \$80,000 that CTT paid to the employee in compliance with a court order that was subsequently vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Various motions continue to be filed in CTT's suit in Connecticut.

*Federal Insurance Co.* (Case completed) – On April 2, 2008, CTT filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut against Federal Insurance, seeking the coverage to which it is entitled under its policy with Federal. CTT asserts that Federal is obligated to insure CTT for its legal fees and \$750,000 loss associated with the case involving Ben Marcovitch and other co-defendants.

In September 2008, we received \$400,000 against a claim under our fraud insurance policy in full settlement of this matter with Federal.

*Summary* – We may be a party to other legal actions and proceedings from time to time. We are unable to estimate legal expenses or losses we may incur, if any, or possible damages we may recover, and have not recorded any potential judgment losses or proceeds in our financial statements to date. We record expenses in connection with these suits as incurred.

We believe we carry adequate liability insurance, directors and officers insurance, casualty insurance, for owned or leased tangible assets, and other insurance as needed to cover us against potential and actual claims and lawsuits that occur in the ordinary course of our business. However, an unfavorable resolution of any or all matters, and/or our incurrence of significant legal fees and other costs to defend or prosecute any of these actions and proceedings may, depending on the amount and timing, have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

Statements about our future expectations are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Federal Securities Laws, and are not guarantees of future performance. When used in herein, the words "may," "will," "should," "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "plan," "expect," "estimate," "approximate," and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements involve risks and uncertainties inherent in our business, including those set forth in Item 1A under the caption "Risk Factors," in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2008, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on October 28, 2008, and other filings with the SEC, and are subject to change at any time. Our actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement.

### **Overview**

Competitive Technologies, Inc. ("CTT"), was incorporated in Delaware in 1971, succeeding an Illinois corporation incorporated in 1968. CTT and its subsidiaries (collectively, "we," "our," or "us"), provide distribution, patent and technology transfer, sales and licensing services focusing on the needs of our customers, matching those requirements with commercially viable technology or product solutions. We develop relationships with universities, companies, inventors and patent or intellectual property holders to obtain the rights or a license to their intellectual property (collectively, the "technology" or "technologies"), or to their product. They become our clients, for whom we find markets to sell or further develop or distribute their technology or product. We also develop relationships with those who have a need or use for technologies or products. They become our customers, usually through a license or sublicense, or distribution agreement.

We earn revenue in two ways, from licensing our clients' and our own technologies to our customer licensees, and in a business model that allows us to share in the profits of distribution of finished products. Our customers pay us license fees, royalties based on usage of the technology, or per unit fees, and we share that revenue with our clients. Our revenue fluctuates due to changes in revenue of our customers, upfront license fees, new licenses granted, new distribution agreements, expiration of existing licenses or agreements, and/or the expiration or economic obsolescence of patents underlying licenses or products.

We acquire rights to commercialize a technology or product on an exclusive or non-exclusive basis, worldwide or limited to a specific geographic area. When we license or sublicense those rights to our customers, we may limit rights to a defined field of use. Technologies can be early, mid, or late stage. Products we evaluate must be a working prototype or finished product. We establish channel partners based on forging relationships with mutually aligned goals and matched competencies, to deliver solutions that benefit the ultimate end-user.

### **Reliance on one revenue source**

In fiscal 2007, we had a significant concentration of revenue from our homocysteine assay technology. The primary underlying patent for this technology expired in July 2007 and we do not receive revenue for sales made after that date on that patent. Revenue in fiscal 2008 for the homocysteine technology reflects back royalties previously unreported by customers. We continue to seek revenue from new technology licenses or product distributions to mitigate the concentration of revenue, and replace revenue from expiring agreements. We have created a new business model for appropriate technologies that allows us to move beyond our usual royalty arrangement and share in the profits of distribution.

We filed a patent infringement complaint against three suspected infringers, but believe progress in this case may be subject to delaying tactics by the defendants, adding to the normal period of time it takes for such cases to work their way through the court system. In response to the action we filed, one defendant has requested that the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") re-evaluate the validity of our patent. Re-examination

proceedings are now underway at the USPTO Board of Appeals. We do not expect an adverse finding, but completion of such action will delay the ultimate resolution of the case. Further action in this case is pending.

## Presentation

We rounded all amounts in this Item 2 to the nearest thousand dollars, except per share data. Certain amounts may not total precisely.

The following discussion and analysis provides information that we believe is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

## Results of Operations – Three months ended January 31, 2009 ("second quarter 2009") vs. three months ended January 31, 2008 ("second quarter 2008")

### Summary of Results

We incurred a net loss of \$932,000 or \$0.11 per share for the second quarter 2009, compared to a net loss of \$1,299,000 or \$0.16 per share for the second quarter 2008, a 28% decrease in net loss of \$367,000, or \$0.05 per share. As explained in detail below, the decrease in the net loss reflects a decrease of \$868,000 in expenses partially offset by a decrease in revenue of \$501,000.

### Revenue

In the second quarter 2009, total revenue was \$29,000, compared to \$530,000 for the second quarter 2008, a 95% decrease of \$501,000.

*Retained royalties* for the second quarter 2009 were \$27,000, which was \$402,000, or 94% less than the \$429,000 of retained royalties reported in the second quarter 2008. The following compares retained royalty revenue by technology in the second quarter 2009 with the second quarter 2008.

		For the three months ended January 31,		
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>(Decrease)</u>	<u>% (Decrease)</u>
Homocysteine assay	\$ 5,000	\$ 57,000	\$ (52,000)	(91)
Sexual Dysfunction	-	320,000	(320,000)	(100)
All other technologies	<u>22,000</u>	<u>52,000</u>	<u>(30,000)</u>	<u>(58)</u>
<b>Total retained royalties</b>	<b><u>\$ 27,000</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 429,000</u></b>	<b><u>\$ (402,000)</u></b>	<b><u>(94)</u></b>

We received minimal royalty revenue from our homocysteine technology in the second quarter 2009 compared to the \$57,000 received in the second quarter 2008, reflecting back royalties previously unreported by customers. The reduction of homocysteine royalty revenue is due to the expiration of the primary underlying patent in July 2007, and we do not receive revenue for sales made after that date on that patent.

Royalty revenues from our sexual dysfunction technology in second quarter 2008 were a result of settling our arbitration proceeding against our licensee, Palatin Technologies, Inc. We recovered \$800,000, recording revenue of \$320,000 and reducing patent enforcement expenses \$480,000 in accordance with the agreement with our client.

We actively market existing technologies, and seek new technologies to grow the revenue stream. We have created a new business model for appropriate technologies that allows us to move beyond our usual royalty arrangement and share in the profits of distribution.

*Investment income* includes dividends and interest earned on our invested cash. Investment income was \$2,000 in the second quarter 2009, which was a decrease of \$49,000, or 96% from the \$51,000 reported for the second

quarter 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower invested balances for the current quarter as compared to the prior year.

*Product Sales* for second quarter 2008 revenue are primarily from sales of our thermal therapy units, which we no longer carry, and our electronic stress management and memory improvement device, which is not being actively marketed at this time.

## Expenses

	For the three months ended January 31,			
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>	<u>% Increase (Decrease)</u>
Cost of product sales	\$ -	\$ 48,000	\$ (48,000)	(100)
Personnel and other direct expenses				
relating to revenue	482,000	907,000	(425,000)	(47)
General and administrative expenses	479,000	916,000	(437,000)	(48)
Patent enforcement expenses net				
of reimbursements	-	(123,000)	123,000	100
Loss on sale of available-for-sale securities	-	81,000	(81,000)	(100)
<b>Total expenses</b>	<u>\$ 961,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,829,000</u>	<u>\$ (868,000)</u>	<u>(47)</u>

*Total expenses* decreased \$868,000 or 47% in the second quarter 2009, compared to the second quarter 2008.

*Personnel and other direct expenses relating to revenue* decreased a net \$425,000 or 47% in the second quarter 2009, compared to the second quarter 2008. Payroll and related benefits decreased by \$145,000 as a result of reducing full-time equivalent headcount from 16 to 12. Subsequent reductions have brought this figure to nine, with the financial benefit to be recognized in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. Severance was \$46,000 less in 2009 because three employees were terminated in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 versus two in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. Employer 401(k) expenses were \$150,000 less in 2009 because the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved a \$50,000 contribution to the 401(k) for fiscal 2008. We had previously recorded an accrual for \$150,000 and the adjustment was reflected in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. In addition, we adjusted our accrual for the expected fiscal 2009 contribution to \$50,000. We incurred the following costs in fiscal 2008 which did not repeat in fiscal 2009: \$20,000 to acquire the rights to our solar panel technology, \$22,000 for a patent application and contracts to acquire the rights to our ultra low power pulse oximeter technology, \$13,000 for an exhibit and presentation in Manhattan for our MC Square memory improvement device, and \$12,000 paid to the University of Connecticut for development of an asthma assay. In addition, we reduced consulting costs \$10,000 as management made a concerted effort to lower costs.

*General and administrative expenses* decreased a net \$437,000 in the second quarter 2009, compared to the second quarter 2008. The decrease in expenses is primarily due to the following reductions: legal fees as a result of less active litigation, \$228,000, primarily the Marcovitch case; marketing expenses, \$24,000, primarily due costs incurred to launch MC Square, our memory improvement device in the U.S. in the second quarter of fiscal 2008; investor relations expenses as a result of negotiation of more favorable terms with outside consultants and costs related to investor meetings from the second quarter of fiscal 2008 which did not recur in fiscal 2009, \$41,000; auditing expenses as a result of an S-8 filed in fiscal 2008 for stock contributed to the 401(k) plan, \$16,000; and lower director costs, primarily related to equity compensation, \$42,000. We are currently subletting a portion of our excess office space, resulting in a \$4,000 savings in our building rent expense for the second quarter of fiscal 2009. We have reduced travel and entertainment, dues and subscriptions, supplies and other miscellaneous office expenses by \$34,000 in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, as a result of reducing head count and a concerted effort by management to lower costs. During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we completed our internal controls assessment for fiscal 2008. We completed our assessment of internal controls for fiscal 2007 in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. As a result, costs for section 404 compliance were \$32,000 less in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, when compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2008. In addition, we originally planned to publish a four-color annual report for fiscal 2008. At the end of the year we decided to issue an annual report at a significantly lower cost, and plan to issue a similar annual report for fiscal 2009. As a result, the accrual for the

anticipated annual report printing costs was \$18,000 higher in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 when compared to fiscal 2009, reflecting the lower cost of the document.

*Patent enforcement expenses, net of reimbursements*, increased a net \$123,000 in the second quarter 2009, compared to the second quarter 2008. Patent enforcement expenses vary, depending on the activity relating to outstanding litigation. The increase in expenses is primarily due to settlement of litigation with Palatin in the second quarter 2008 which allowed us to recoup \$480,000 of incurred legal fees. There were no significant legal fee recoveries in fiscal 2009.

## **Results of Operations – Six months ended January 31, 2009 ("first half 2009") vs. six months ended January 31, 2008 ("first half 2008")**

### **Summary of Results**

We incurred a net loss of \$1,900,000 or \$0.23 per share for the first half 2009, compared to a net loss of \$3,859,000 or \$0.47 per share for the first half 2008, a 51% decrease in net loss of \$1,959,000, or \$0.24 per share. As explained in detail below, the decrease in the net loss reflects a decrease of \$2,557,000 in expenses partially offset by a decrease in revenue of \$598,000.

### **Revenue**

In the first half 2009, total revenue was \$133,000, compared to \$731,000 for the first half 2008, an 82% decrease of \$598,000.

*Retained royalties* for the first half 2009 were \$54,000, which was \$499,000, or 90% less than the \$553,000 of retained royalties reported in the first half 2008. The following compares retained royalty revenue by technology in the first half 2009 with the first half 2008.

	<u>For the six months ended January 31,</u>			
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>(Decrease)</u>	<u>% (Decrease)</u>
Homocysteine assay	\$ 5,000	\$ 83,000	\$ (78,000)	(94)
Sexual Dysfunction	-	320,000	(320,000)	(100)
All other technologies	49,000	150,000	(101,000)	(67)
<b>Total retained royalties</b>	<u>\$ 54,000</u>	<u>\$ 553,000</u>	<u>\$ (499,000)</u>	<u>(90)</u>

We received minimal royalty revenue from our homocysteine technology in the first half 2009 compared to the \$83,000 received in the first half 2008, reflecting back royalties previously unreported by customers. The reduction of homocysteine royalty revenue is due to the expiration of the primary underlying patent in July 2007, and we do not receive revenue for sales made after that date on that patent.

Royalty revenues from our sexual dysfunction technology in first half 2008 were a result of settling our arbitration proceeding against our licensee, Palatin Technologies, Inc. We recovered \$800,000, recording revenue of \$320,000 and reducing patent enforcement expenses \$480,000 in accordance with the agreement with our client.

We actively market existing technologies, and seek new technologies to grow the revenue stream. We have created a new business model for appropriate technologies that allows us to move beyond our usual royalty arrangement and share in the profits of distribution.

*Investment income* includes dividends and interest earned on our invested cash. Investment income was \$7,000 in the first half 2009, a decrease of \$113,000, or 94%, from the \$120,000 reported for the first half 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower invested balances for the first six months of fiscal 2009 as compared to the prior year.

*Product sales* for the first half of 2008 are primarily from sales of our thermal therapy units, and our electronic stress management and memory improvement device. We sold thirty-three units of our memory improvement device in the first six months of fiscal 2008. Only two units were sold in the first six months of fiscal 2009.

*Other income* for first half 2009 is revenue from a one-time sale of our Flip Chip patents.

## Expenses

	For the six months ended January 31,			
	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)	% Increase (Decrease)
Cost of product sales	\$ -	\$ 51,000	\$ (51,000)	(100)
Personnel and other direct expenses				
relating to revenue	1,160,000	2,015,000	\$ (855,000)	(42)
General and administrative expenses	1,271,000	2,193,000	(922,000)	(42)
Patent enforcement expenses net				
of reimbursements	2,000	32,000	(30,000)	(94)
Loss on permanent impairment of				
available-for-sale securities	-	228,000	(228,000)	(100)
Loss on sale of available-for-sale				
Securities	-	71,000	(71,000)	(100)
Insurance recovery	(400,000)	-	(400,000)	-
<b>Total expenses</b>	<b>\$ 2,033,000</b>	<b>\$ 4,590,000</b>	<b>\$ (2,557,000)</b>	<b>(56)</b>

*Total expenses* decreased \$2,557,000 or 56% in the first half 2009, compared to the first half 2008.

*Personnel and other direct expenses relating to revenue* decreased a net \$855,000 or 42% in the first half 2009, compared to the first half 2008. Payroll and related benefits decreased by \$318,000 as a result of reducing full-time equivalent headcount from 16 to nine. Severance costs decreased \$207,000 as seven people were terminated in fiscal 2008 versus three in fiscal 2009. Employer 401(k) expenses were \$150,000 less in 2009 because the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved a \$50,000 contribution to the 401(k) for fiscal 2008. We had previously recorded an accrual for \$150,000 and the adjustment was reflected in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. In addition, we adjusted our accrual for the expected fiscal 2009 contribution to \$50,000. During the first six months of fiscal 2008 we accrued \$128,000 for employee bonuses assuming that the Company would be profitable for fiscal 2008. This accrual was reversed in the second half of fiscal 2008. No accrual for employee bonuses has been recorded for fiscal 2009. The following costs were incurred in fiscal 2008 and did not recur in fiscal 2009: \$20,000 to acquire the rights to our solar panel technology; \$21,000 to obtain the rights to an ultra-low power pulse-oximeter technology; \$46,000 paid to the University of Connecticut for development of an asthma assay; \$30,000 for the US launch of MC Square, our memory improvement device; and \$14,000 of legal expenses to attempt to collect reported but unpaid homocysteine royalties. In addition, we reduced consulting costs by \$25,000 as management made a concerted effort to lower costs. These were offset by \$106,000 increase in costs related to the commercialization of our pain management medical device.

*General and administrative expenses* decreased a net \$922,000 in the first half 2009, compared to the first half 2008. The decrease in expenses is primarily due to the following reductions: legal fees as a result of less active litigation, \$531,000, primarily the Marcovitch case; marketing expenses, \$41,000, primarily due to the attendance at a major IP conference in 2008 not repeated in 2009; investor relations expenses as a result of negotiation of more favorable terms with outside consultants, \$71,000; auditing expenses as a result of permission needed from prior auditor for SEC filings, including an S-8 in fiscal 2008, \$31,000; reduced travel and entertainment expenses, dues and subscriptions, supplies and other miscellaneous office expenses as a result of lower headcount and concerted effort by management to reduce costs, \$53,000; and the decision to not repeat the CTT Innovation Conference, \$16,000. Other expenses incurred in 2008 and not repeated in 2009 include \$23,000 to market MC Square, our stress relief and memory improvement device. In addition, Directors Fees and Expenses decreased \$120,000, primarily due to lower equity compensation costs. We originally planned to publish a four-color annual report for fiscal 2008. At the end of the year

we decided to issue an annual report at a significantly lower cost, and plan to issue a similar annual report for fiscal 2009. As a result, the accrual for anticipated annual report printing costs was \$27,000 higher in the first six months of fiscal 2008 when compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, reflecting the lower cost of the document. We are subletting a portion of our excess office space, resulting in a \$5,000 savings in the first six months of fiscal 2009.

*Patent enforcement expenses, net of reimbursements*, decreased a net \$30,000 in the first half 2009, compared to the first half 2008. Patent enforcement expenses vary, depending on the activity relating to outstanding litigation. The reduction of expenses is primarily due to settlement of litigation with Palatin in the second quarter 2008.

*Loss on permanent impairment of available-for-sale securities* in fiscal 2008 related to our investment in Palatin Technologies. During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company determined that the decline in market value of Palatin shares was other than temporary and wrote the investment down to its fair market value as of October 31, 2007.

*Loss on sale of available-for-sale securities* in fiscal 2008 is from the sale of our investments in Clinuvel and Palatin.

*Insurance recovery* in 2009 represents settlement of our action against Federal Insurance to cover our legal fees and loss associated with the case involving Ben Marcovitch and other co-defendants. (See Note 10.)

### **Financial Condition and Liquidity**

Our liquidity requirements arise principally from our working capital needs, including funds needed to find and obtain new technologies or products, and protect and enforce our intellectual property rights, if necessary. We fund our liquidity requirements with a combination of cash on hand and cash flows from operations, if any, including royalty legal awards. In addition, we have the ability to fund our requirements through sales of common stock under the Fusion agreement. At January 31, 2009, we had no outstanding debt or credit facility.

We believe we will successfully license and distribute new technologies, including our pain management medical device under the agreements noted below, and collect due, but unpaid, royalties on existing licenses to add revenue. Although there can be no assurance that we will be successful in our efforts, we believe the combination of our cash on hand, the ability to raise funds from sales of our common stock under the Fusion agreement, and revenue from executing our strategy will be sufficient to meet our obligations of current and anticipated operating cash requirements. In fiscal 2009, we will raise cash through the sale of common stock to Fusion as needed, when our stock price is at or above \$1.00. If necessary, we will meet anticipated operating cash requirements by further reducing costs, pursuing additional equity financing, and/or the sale of certain assets and technologies while we pursue licensing and distribution opportunities for our remaining portfolio of technologies.

In late fiscal 2007, we obtained exclusive worldwide distribution rights to a non-invasive pain management medical device for rapid treatment of high-intensity oncologic and neuropathic pain, including pain resistant to morphine and other drugs. Developed in Italy by CTT's client, Prof. Giuseppe Marineo, DSc, MD, the technology was brought to CTT through the efforts of Prof. Giancarlo Elia Valori of the Italian business development group, Sviluppato Lazio S.p.A., and assistance from the Zangani Investor Community™. The unit, with a biophysical rather than a biochemical approach, uses a multi-processor able to simultaneously treat multiple pain areas by applying surface electrodes to the skin. The device's European CE mark certification allows it to be distributed and sold throughout Europe, and makes it eligible for approval for distribution and sales in multiple global markets. A U.S. patent has been applied for, and in February 2009, CTT received FDA 510(k) authorization for U.S. sales of the device. Several thousand patients in various hospitals have been successfully treated using the technology. CTT partner, GEOMC Co., Ltd. of Korea, is manufacturing the product commercially for worldwide distribution.

In July 2008, the Company signed a country-exclusive distribution agreement with Excel Life Sciences, Inc. for India. In the first half fiscal 2009, the Company signed three additional country-exclusive distribution agreements with GEOMC Co., Ltd. for Korea, Biogene Pharma Limited for Bangladesh, and Able Global Healthcare Sdn. Bhd. for Malaysia. In February 2009, we signed an agreement with Life Epist me srl granting them exclusive distribution rights in 29 countries throughout Europe, Asia, Africa, the Middle East, South America and Oceania. In March 2009,

exclusive distribution rights in two additional countries were granted to Life Epist me. Local sales authorization is required in each country.

The signed distribution agreements for this device now cover over 48% of the world's population. Contractual minimums have a retail sales value of over \$25 million for 2009 and about \$50 million for 2010. Our distributors expect sales to exceed the contract minimums. The Company will share in revenue derived from sales of the device to distributors.

Cash and cash equivalents consist of demand deposits and interest earning investments with maturities of three months or less, including overnight bank deposits and money market funds. We carry cash equivalents at cost.

At January 31, 2009, cash and cash equivalents were \$694,000 compared to \$2,237,000 at July 31, 2008. The loss of \$1,900,000 for the first six months of fiscal 2009 contained non-cash charges of \$78,000 and reduction in assets and liabilities of \$76,000, resulting in cash used in operations of \$1,899,000. We sold shares to Fusion per our equity financing agreement totaling \$362,000. These activities reduced cash by \$1,543,000. As of March 12, 2009, our cash and cash equivalents balance is over \$275,000.

We currently have the benefit of using a portion of our accumulated NOLs to eliminate any future regular federal and state income tax liabilities. We will continue to receive this benefit until we have utilized all of our NOLs, federal and state. However, we cannot determine when and if we will be profitable and utilize the benefit of the remaining NOLs before they expire.

### **Capital requirements**

The Company incurred an operating loss for the first six months of fiscal 2009, as well as operating losses in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006. During fiscal 2007, we had a significant concentration of revenue from our homocysteine assay technology. The primary underlying patent for this technology expired in July 2007 and we did not receive revenue for sales made after that date. Revenue in fiscal 2008 for the homocysteine technology reflects back royalties previously unreported by customers. We continue to seek revenue from new technology licenses or product distributions to mitigate the concentration of revenue, and replace revenue from expiring agreements. The anticipated benefit to the Company of the revenue and financing factors are expected to offset the lack of sufficient cash flow to fund current reduced operating expenses beyond third quarter fiscal 2009. Without these benefits, current conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include adjustments to reflect the possible future effect of the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classifications of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its developing other recurring revenue streams sufficient to cover operating costs. If necessary, we will meet anticipated operating cash requirements by further reducing costs, and/or the sale of certain assets and technologies while we pursue licensing and distribution opportunities for our remaining portfolio of technologies. In addition, we will sell shares to Fusion per our agreement, on an as-needed basis, when the Company's stock price is at or above \$1.00 per share. The Company does not have any significant individual cash or capital requirements in the budget going forward. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in such efforts or that we will be able to obtain alternative financing should our stock price fall below \$1.00 per share. Failure to develop a recurring revenue stream sufficient to cover operating expenses would negatively affect the Company's financial position.

### **Contractual Obligations and Contingencies**

There have been no substantial changes to our contractual obligations since July 31, 2008.

*Contingencies.* Our directors, officers, employees and agents may claim indemnification in certain circumstances. We seek to limit and reduce our potential financial obligations for indemnification by carrying directors and officers liability insurance, subject to deductibles.

We also carry liability insurance, casualty insurance, for owned or leased tangible assets, and other insurance as needed to cover us against claims and lawsuits that occur in the ordinary course of business.

Many of our license and service agreements provide that fees and/or royalties we receive are applied against amounts that our clients or we have incurred for patent application, prosecution, issuance and maintenance costs. If we incur such costs, we expense them as incurred, and reduce our expense if we are reimbursed from future fees and/or royalties we receive. If the reimbursement belongs to our client, we record no revenue or expense.

As of January 31, 2009, CTT and its majority owned subsidiary, Vector Vision, Inc. ("VVI"), have remaining obligations, contingent upon receipt of certain revenue, to repay up to \$199,006 and \$206,037, respectively, in consideration of grant funding received in 1994 and 1995. CTT is also obligated to pay at the rate of 7.5% of its revenue, if any, from transferring rights to certain inventions supported by the grant funds. VVI is obligated to pay at rates of 1.5% of its net sales of supported products or 15% of its revenue from licensing supported products, if any. We recognize these obligations only if we receive revenue related to the grant funds. We recognized approximately \$1,281 of these obligations in 2009.

We engage independent consultants who provide business services under contracts that are cancelable on certain written notice. These contracts include contingencies for potential incentive compensation earned solely on sales resulting directly from the work of the consultant. We have neither accrued nor paid significant incentive compensation under such contracts in fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2008.

### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

There have been no significant changes in our accounting estimates described under the caption "Critical Accounting Estimates" included in Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," in our Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2008.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Not applicable.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### **(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures**

Our management, including our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Interim Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of January 31, 2009. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Interim Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of January 31, 2009.

#### **(b) Change in Internal Controls**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended January 31, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Note 10 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

***The price of our common stock may fall below \$1.00 and we may be unable to sell shares to Fusion or obtain alternate equity financing.***

In 2009, the global equity markets have collapsed and our common stock is currently trading at around \$1.00. Per our equity financing agreement with Fusion, they are not required to purchase common stock from us if our stock trades below \$1.00. There is no guarantee that we would be able to obtain alternate financing.

***Our common stock could be delisted from the NYSE Alternext making it difficult to trade shares of our common stock.***

On December 2, 2008 we received notice from the NYSE Alternext US LLC (the “Exchange”) notifying us that the staff of the Exchange Corporate Compliance Department has determined that the Company Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2008 did not meet continued listing standards as set forth in Part 10 of the Exchange Company Guide, and the Company has therefore become subject to the procedures and requirements of Section 1009 of the Company Guide. Specifically, with stockholders’ equity of less than \$2 million and losses from continuing operations and net losses in two out of its three most recent fiscal years and Section 1003(a)(ii) of the Company Guide with stockholders’ equity of less than \$4 million and losses from continuing operations and net losses in three out of its four most recent fiscal years.

On December 18, 2008 the company submitted a business plan to the Exchange detailing actions it will take to bring it into compliance with the above continued listing standards by June 2, 2010. On January 22, 2009, the Exchange accepted our business plan.

The Company will continue its listing during the plan period up to June 2, 2010, during which time it will be subject to periodic review to determine whether it is making progress consistent with the plan. If the Company is not in compliance with the continued listing standards at the conclusion of the plan period or does not make progress consistent with the plan during the plan period, the Exchange staff will initiate delisting procedures as appropriate. The Company may appeal a staff determination to initiate delisting proceedings in accordance with Section 1010 and Part 12 of the Company Guide.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

### Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification by the Principal Executive and interim Chief Financial Officer of Competitive Technologies, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)).
- 32.1 Certification by the Principal Executive and interim Chief Financial Officer of Competitive Technologies, Inc. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) (furnished herewith).

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(the registrant)

By /s/ John B. Nano.

John B. Nano

Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer,  
Interim Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting  
Officer and Authorized Signer

March 17, 2009

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification by the Principal Executive and Interim Chief Financial Officer of Competitive Technologies, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)).
32.1	Certification by the Principal Executive and Interim Chief Financial Officer of Competitive Technologies, Inc. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) (furnished herewith).



**CERTIFICATION**

I, John B. Nano, Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer, Interim Chief Financial Officer and Director, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Report on Form 10-Q of Competitive Technologies, Inc. (the “Company”) for the period ending January 31, 2009;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the Company and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Company’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

5. I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 17, 2009

/s/ John B. Nano

John B. Nano

Chairman, President Chief Executive Officer,  
Interim Chief Financial Officer and Chief  
Accounting Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002  
(18 U.S.C. 1350)**

In connection with the Report of Competitive Technologies, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, John B. Nano, Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer, Interim Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350), that to my knowledge:

1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ John B. Nano

John B. Nano  
Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer,  
Interim Chief Financial Officer and Chief  
Accounting Officer

March 17, 2009



**COMPETITIVE  
TECHNOLOGIES**  
Unlocking the Potential of Innovation®

[www.competitivetech.net](http://www.competitivetech.net)