



Annual Report

2010

To Our Shareholders, Customers, and Friends:

It is my pleasure to present to you River Valley Bancorp's fifteenth Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ending December 31, 2010.

The media more than sufficiently reminded us all of the turmoil and challenges that defined the first decade of the 21st century. It was with a renewed optimism that we entered 2010, a new decade, a fresh beginning, and a hope that a passing moment in time would cure all ills, disappointments, and misfortunes. Unfortunately, the start of the new decade looked and felt a lot like the end of the previous one. For many, optimism was once again displaced by harsh doses of reality. No longer was national economic news something one read about or viewed from afar. Likewise, economics was no longer a study, but a real world exercise that was localized in our neighborhoods and homes.

The reality of 2010 was that it was a difficult year for most financial institutions primarily because it was a difficult year for individuals and entities. At River Valley Bancorp we continue to be defined by the operating principles of "safe, sound, and secure." Those precepts yielded more good news than regrets. We had positive results when the norm was likely to be something other. We expanded market opportunities when others retreated.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Corporation reported net income of \$2.3 million, in spite of providing \$2.6 million for potential loan losses. The Corporation's interest margins, the largest driver of profitability, were at historical highs. Fee income and market shares were at record levels. The organization is "right-sized," namely, not too highly leveraged that profitability becomes secondary to growth for growth's sake. Furthermore, loan losses and delinquencies that never carry positive connotations are at least below peer and appear manageable. Of particular interest as shareholders, our stock price closed 2010 at a 52 week high with a total return for the year of over 33%.

Your Corporation continued to defy tendencies, provided solid operating results, and has positioned itself for that "better day." Please take the time to review the enclosed information and see why optimism is more than a feeling; it is part of a successful corporate culture.

We appreciate your investment, confidence, and trust!

Respectfully Submitted,



Matthew P. Forrester
President, CEO

River Valley Bancorp

Selected Financial Data

Consolidated Balance Sheet

As of the Year Ended December 31, 2010

(In Thousands, Except Share Amounts)

Assets

| | |
|--|----------|
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 2,021 |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | 12,864 |
| Federal funds sold | 1,903 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 75,231 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,089 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses..... | 265,448 |
| Premises and equipment..... | 7,975 |
| Real estate, held for sale..... | 400 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 4,496 |
| Interest receivable..... | 1,953 |
| Cash value of life insurance | 9,532 |
| Other assets..... | 3,697 |

| | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Assets | \$386,609 |
|---------------------|------------------|

Liabilities

| | |
|------------------------|------------|
| Total deposits | \$ 286,337 |
| Borrowings | 65,217 |
| Other liabilities..... | 3,587 |

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Liabilities | 355,141 |
|--------------------------|----------------|

Stockholders' Equity

| | |
|--|--------|
| Preferred Stock - 5,000 shares - no par value | 5,000 |
| Common Stock - 1,514,472 shares - no par value | 7,546 |
| Retained earnings..... | 18,479 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 443 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Stockholders' Equity | 31,468 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|

Liabilities and

| | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Stockholders' Equity | \$386,609 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|

Other Data

| | |
|--|--------|
| Interest rate spread..... | 2.83% |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets..... | 3.05% |
| Average yield on all interest-earning assets | 5.01% |
| Return on assets..... | 0.59% |
| (net income divided by average total assets) | |
| Return on equity..... | 7.23% |
| (net income divided by average total equity) | |
| Equity to assets ratio..... | 8.14% |
| (average total equity divided by average total assets) | |
| Dividend payout ratio | 65.12% |
| (dividends per common share divided by net income per common share) | |
| Number of full service banking offices..... | 9 |

FLOYDS KNOBS

Consolidated Statement of Income

For The Year Ended December 31, 2010

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Interest Income

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Loans receivable | \$15,859 |
| Investment securities | 2,652 |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | 123 |
| Total Interest Income | <u>18,634</u> |

Interest Expense

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| Deposits | 4,145 |
| Borrowings | 3,156 |
| Total Interest Expense | <u>7,301</u> |

Net Interest Income 11,333

Provision for loan losses

**Net Interest Income After Provision
for Loan Losses** 8,688

Other Income

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Service fees and other charges | 2,026 |
| Net realized gains on sales of available for sale securities | 347 |
| Net gains on loan sales | 721 |
| Interchange fee income | 376 |
| Increase in cash value of life insurance | 331 |
| Other income | 101 |
| Total Other Income | <u>3,902</u> |

Other Expenses

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Salaries and employee benefits | 5,012 |
| Net occupancy and equipment expenses | 1,329 |
| Data processing fees | 480 |
| Advertising | 413 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 151 |
| Office supplies | 158 |
| Professional fees | 350 |
| FDIC premium assessment | 463 |
| Other expenses | 1,362 |
| Total Other Expenses | <u>9,718</u> |

Income Before Income Tax 2,872

Income tax expense

Net Income \$ 2,320

Basic earnings per share **\$1.30**

Diluted earnings per share **\$1.29**

**Weighted average shares
outstanding - Basic** 1,510,308

**Weighted average shares
outstanding - Diluted** 1,514,439

HANOVER

Another Branch... NOW IN NEW ALBANY!

In June of 2010, River Valley Financial Bank took another step in expanding our efforts in Floyd County in providing financial service where customers could Expect a Difference.

Located at 2675 Charlestown Road, this facility will serve as a complement to our Floyds Knobs location. It is uniquely positioned close to several neighborhoods and businesses which will help expand the bank's growing financial base quickly.

Purchased through an agreement with New Washington State Bank, this new location included a small deposit base and will serve as a full service branch, offering the Expect a Difference service with the expanded hours of 7 am to 7 pm.

The addition of the New Albany location brings River Valley to a total of ten financial locations in Madison, Hanover, Charlestown, Sellersburg and Floyds Knobs, Indiana and in Carrollton, Kentucky.



NEW ALBANY

Community Involvement

As a locally owned bank, our expectations are not just about providing a better way to do your banking, but also helping the communities we all live and work in be a better place too.

In every community we serve, you'll see management and staff actively engaged in activities and leadership, not only investing our money but our time as well.



SELLERSBURG

General Information for SHAREHOLDERS

Shareholder and General Inquiries:

River Valley Bancorp

Attn: Matthew P. Forrester
430 Clifty Drive, P.O. Box 1590
Madison, Indiana 47250
Tel: (812)273-4949 Fax: (812)273-4944

Transfer Agent and Registrar:

Registrar and Transfer Company

10 Commerce Drive
Cranford, NJ 07016-3572
Tel: 1-800-368-5948
www.rtco.com

Corporate Counsel:

Lonnie D. Collins, Attorney
307 Jefferson Street
Madison, Indiana 47250
Tel: (812)265-3616 Fax: (812)273-3143

Special Counsel:

Barnes & Thornburg LLP
11 S. Meridian Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Tel: (317)236-1313 Fax: (317)231-7433

Annual and Other Reports:

Additional copies of this Annual Report to Shareholders and copies of the most recent Form 10 K may be obtained without charge by contacting the Corporation.

Internet and E-mail Address:

rvfbank.com

Annual Meeting:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of River Valley Bancorp will be held on Wednesday, April 20, 2011, at 3:00 PM, at 430 Clifty Drive, Madison, IN 47250.



CHARLESTOWN

Directors of the Company and the Bank

Frederick W. Koehler
Chairman

Robert W. Anger
Director

L. Sue Liviers
Director

Matthew P. Forrester
Director & President

Michael J. Hensley
Director

Charles J. McKay
Director

Lonnie D. Collins
Board Secretary



Advisory Board Members for Clark and Floyd County

Barry Cahill
Phil McCauley

Mike Naville
Danny Rodden



CARROLLTON

Officers of River Valley Financial Bank

Matthew P. Forrester
President, CEO

Anthony D. Brandon
Executive Vice President

Mark A. Goley
Vice President of Lending

Robert E. Kleehamer
*Senior Vice President of
Business Development*

Gregory T. Siegrist
*Vice President of
Business Development*

Barbara J. Eades
*Vice President of
Retail Banking*

Vickie Grimes
Vice President of Finance

Deanna J. Liter
Vice President of Data Services

William H. Hensler
*Vice President of
Wealth Management*

Loy M. Skirvin
*Vice President of
Human Resources*

John Muessel
Vice President, Trust Officer

David Duggins Jr.
*Vice President of
Wealth Management*

Michael Todd Lancaster
*Vice President of
Business Development*

Managers of River Valley Financial Bank

Loan Officers

Sherri Furnish

Bart Hicks

Rick T. Nelson - AVP

Andrew Ward

Darryl Wilt - AVP

Customer Service Managers

Marcida Baker

Chad Dimmitt

Veronica Kidwell

Ryan Nix

Rita Power

Kat Roberts

Kathy Rundall

Melissa Shelton

Megan Ulery

Other Managers

Crystal Barnes—*Compliance Manager*

Laura Denning—*Loan Processing Manager*

Roger Ellis—*Credit Analyst*

Debbie Finnegan—*Internal Auditor*

Jeff Gleeson—*Chief Risk Officer*

Mary Ellen McClelland—*Administrative Assistant*

Luann Nay—*Loan Administrator*

Roger Smith — *Accounting Manager*

Linda Stark—*Trust Administration*

Mary Ellen Wehner — *Commercial Loan Operations Manager*

HANOVER

Serving the RIVER VALLEY



Madison Hilltop Office
430 Clifty Drive
Madison, IN 47250



Madison Downtown Office
233 East Main Street
Madison, IN 47250



Madison Drive-Thru
401 East Main Street
Madison, IN 47250



Walmart Supercenter
567 Ivy Tech Drive
Madison, IN 47250



Hanover Office
10 Medical Plaza Drive
Hanover, IN 47243



Charlestown Office
1025 State Road 62
Charlestown, IN 47111



Sellersburg Office
8005 Highway 311
Sellersburg, IN 47172



Floyds Knobs Office
3660 Paoli Pike
Floyds Knobs, IN 47119



New Albany Office
2675 Charlestown Road
New Albany, IN 47150



Carrollton, KY Office
1501 Highland Avenue
Carrollton, KY 41008

MADISON

**THE UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010**

Or

☐ **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Transition Period from _____ to _____**

000-21765

Commission File Number

RIVER VALLEY BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

INDIANA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

35-1984567

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

430 Clifty Drive, P.O. Box 1590, Madison, Indiana

(Address of principal executive offices)

47250-0590

(Zip Code)

(812) 273-4949

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock

Name of each exchange on which registered

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer ☐

Accelerated Filer ☐

Non-Accelerated Filer ☐

Smaller Reporting Company ☒

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of June 30, 2010, the last day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$10,571,662 based on the closing sale price as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

As of February 28, 2011, there were issued and outstanding 1,514,472 shares of the issuer's Common Stock.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 20, 2011 are incorporated in Part III.

**RIVER VALLEY BANCORP
FORM 10-K**

INDEX

| | |
|---|----|
| FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | 3 |
| PART I | 3 |
| Item 1. Business. | 3 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors. | 30 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments. | 30 |
| Item 2. Properties. | 31 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings. | 31 |
| Item 4. (Removed and Reserved.) | 31 |
| Item 4.5. Executive Officers of the Registrant. | 31 |
| PART II | 32 |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. | 32 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data. | 35 |
| Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation. | 36 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. | 53 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. | 54 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. | 88 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures. | 88 |
| Item 9B. Other Information. | 88 |
| PART III | 89 |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance. | 89 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation. | 89 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters. | 89 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence. | 90 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services. | 90 |
| PART IV | 90 |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. | 90 |
| SIGNATURES | 91 |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | 92 |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”) contains statements which constitute forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements appear in a number of places in this Form 10-K and include statements regarding the intent, belief, outlook, estimates or expectations of River Valley Bancorp, its directors, or its officers primarily with respect to future events and the future financial performance of the Company. Readers of this Form 10-K are cautioned that any such forward looking statements are not guarantees of future events or performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those in the forward looking statements as a result of various factors. The accompanying information contained in this Form 10-K identifies important factors that could cause such differences. These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates; loss of deposits and loan demand to other financial institutions; substantial changes in financial markets; changes in real estate values and the real estate market; regulatory changes; or turmoil and governmental intervention in the financial services industry.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

BUSINESS

GENERAL

River Valley Bancorp (the “Holding Company” or “River Valley” and together with the “Bank,” the “Company”), an Indiana corporation, was formed in 1996 for the primary purpose of purchasing all of the issued and outstanding common stock of River Valley Financial Bank (formerly Madison First Federal Savings and Loan Association; hereinafter “River Valley Financial” or the “Bank”) in its conversion from mutual to stock form. The conversion offering was completed on December 20, 1996. On December 23, 1996, the Company utilized approximately \$3.0 million of the net conversion proceeds to purchase 95.6% of the outstanding common shares of Citizens National Bank of Madison (“Citizens”), and River Valley Financial and Citizens merged on November 20, 1997.

The activities of the Holding Company have been limited primarily to holding the stock of River Valley Financial, which was organized in 1875 under the laws of the United States of America. River Valley Financial, which provides banking services in a single significant business segment, conducts operations from its nine full-service office locations in Jefferson, Floyd and Clark Counties, Indiana, and Carroll County, Kentucky, and offers a variety of deposit and lending services to consumer and commercial customers. The Company is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision of the U.S. Department of Treasury (the “OTS”). River Valley Financial is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the OTS and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”). Deposits in River Valley Financial are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC.

The Bank historically has concentrated its lending activities on the origination of loans secured by first mortgage liens for the purchase, construction, or refinancing of one- to four-family residential real property. One- to four-family residential mortgage loans continue to be the major focus of the Bank’s loan origination activities, representing 43.4% of the Bank’s total loan portfolio at December 31, 2010. The Bank identified loans totaling \$1.1 million as held for sale at December 31, 2010. The Bank also offers multi-family mortgage loans, nonresidential real estate loans, land loans, construction loans, non-mortgage commercial loans and consumer loans.

The Bank’s primary market areas are Jefferson, Floyd and Clark Counties in southeastern Indiana and adjacent Carroll and Trimble Counties in Kentucky. The Company’s internet address is www.rvfbank.com, and the Company makes available all filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission via its internet website.

LOAN PORTFOLIO DATA

The following table sets forth the composition of the Bank's loan portfolio as of December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006 by loan type as of the dates indicated, including a reconciliation of gross loans receivable after consideration of the allowance for loan losses, deferred loan origination costs and loans in process. Historical data in this table and others reporting loan portfolio data has been restated in some cases to conform to certain loan recategorizations employed as of December 31, 2010.

| TYPE OF LOAN | At December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four-family | \$117,616 | 43.38% | \$127,397 | 45.41% | \$140,433 | 48.50% | \$128,177 | 48.47% | \$108,628 | 43.95% |
| Multi-family | 14,997 | 5.53 | 12,910 | 4.60 | 9,924 | 3.43 | 10,274 | 3.88 | 9,613 | 3.89 |
| Construction | 6,975 | 2.57 | 7,867 | 2.80 | 10,999 | 3.80 | 14,319 | 5.41 | 17,993 | 7.28 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 89,607 | 33.05 | 87,483 | 31.18 | 83,400 | 28.80 | 71,877 | 27.18 | 71,610 | 28.97 |
| Land loans | 21,016 | 7.75 | 23,065 | 8.22 | 22,429 | 7.75 | 16,729 | 6.33 | 19,115 | 7.73 |
| Commercial loans | 16,413 | 6.05 | 17,129 | 6.10 | 17,306 | 5.98 | 17,043 | 6.44 | 13,972 | 5.65 |
| Consumer loans | 4,533 | 1.67 | 4,711 | 1.68 | 5,058 | 1.75 | 6,036 | 2.28 | 6,259 | 2.53 |
| Gross loans receivable | 271,157 | 100.00% | 280,562 | 100.00% | 289,549 | 100.00% | 264,455 | 100.00% | 247,190 | 100.00% |
| Add/(Deduct): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred loan origination costs | 485 | .2 | 464 | .2 | 503 | .2 | 494 | .2 | 487 | .2 |
| Undisbursed portions of loans in process | (2,388) | (.9) | (1,824) | (.7) | (2,384) | (.8) | (4,113) | (1.6) | (3,614) | (1.5) |
| Allowance for loan losses | (3,806) | (1.4) | (2,611) | (.9) | (2,364) | (.8) | (2,208) | (.8) | (2,176) | (.9) |
| Net loans receivable | \$265,448 | 97.9% | \$276,591 | 98.6% | \$285,304 | 98.6% | \$258,628 | 97.8% | \$241,887 | 97.8% |

The following table sets forth certain information at December 31, 2010, regarding the dollar amount of loans maturing in the Bank's loan portfolio based on the contractual terms to maturity. Demand loans, loans having no stated schedule of repayments and no stated maturity and overdrafts are reported as due in one year or less. This schedule does not reflect the effects of possible prepayments or enforcement of due-on-sale clauses. Management expects prepayments will cause actual maturities to be shorter.

| | Balance Outstanding at | Due During Years Ended December 31 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 to 2014 | 2015 to 2019 | 2020 to 2024 | 2025 and following |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans: | | | | | | | |
| One-to-four-family | \$ 117,616 | \$ 3,707 | \$ 319 | \$ 689 | \$ 6,426 | \$ 11,328 | \$ 95,147 |
| Multi-family | 14,997 | - | - | 215 | 562 | 772 | 13,448 |
| Construction | 6,975 | 6,975 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nonresidential real estate loans | 89,607 | 4,807 | 1,114 | 2,012 | 5,434 | 13,705 | 62,535 |
| Land loans | 21,016 | 12,935 | 1,070 | 766 | 690 | 1,115 | 4,440 |
| Commercial loans | 16,413 | 8,881 | 483 | 2,496 | 4,216 | 337 | - |
| Consumer loans | 4,533 | 1,812 | 781 | 1,328 | 612 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 271,157 | \$ 39,117 | \$ 3,767 | \$ 7,506 | \$ 17,940 | \$ 27,257 | \$ 175,570 |

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2010, the dollar amount of all loans due after one year that have fixed interest rates and floating or adjustable interest rates.

| | Due After December 31, 2011 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | <u>Fixed Rates</u> | <u>Variable</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| | | <u>Rates</u> (In thousands) | |
| Residential real estate loans: | | | |
| One-to-four-family | \$ 13,063 | \$ 100,846 | \$ 113,909 |
| Multi-family | 1,646 | 13,351 | 14,997 |
| Construction | - | - | - |
| Nonresidential real estate loans | 5,060 | 79,740 | 84,800 |
| Land loans | 356 | 7,725 | 8,081 |
| Commercial loans | 6,384 | 1,148 | 7,532 |
| Consumer loans: | | | |
| Loans secured by deposits | 285 | - | 285 |
| Other loans | 2,414 | 22 | 2,436 |
| Total | <u>\$ 29,208</u> | <u>\$ 202,832</u> | <u>\$ 232,040</u> |

Residential Loans. Residential loans consist primarily of one- to four-family residential loans. Approximately \$117.6 million, or 43.4% of the Bank's portfolio of loans, at December 31, 2010, consisted of one- to four-family residential loans, of which approximately 84.3% had adjustable rates.

The Bank currently offers adjustable rate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans ("ARMs") which adjust annually and are indexed to the one-year U.S. Treasury securities yields adjusted to a constant maturity. Some of the Bank's residential ARMs are originated at a discount or "teaser" rate which is generally 150 to 175 basis points below the "fully indexed" rate. These ARMs then adjust annually to maintain a margin above the applicable index, subject to maximum rate adjustments discussed below. The Bank's ARMs have a current margin above such index of 3-3.5% for owner-occupied properties and 3.5%-5% for non-owner-occupied properties. A substantial portion of the ARMs in the Bank's portfolio at December 31, 2010 provide for maximum rate adjustments per year and over the life of the loan of 2% and 6%, respectively, although the Bank has originated residential ARMs which provide for maximum rate adjustments per year and over the life of the loan of 1% and 4%, respectively. The Bank's ARMs generally provide for interest rate minimums equal to, or up to 1% below the origination rate. The Bank's residential ARMs are amortized for terms up to 30 years.

Adjustable rate loans decrease the risk associated with changes in interest rates but involve other risks, primarily because as interest rates rise, the payments by the borrowers may rise to the extent permitted by the terms of the loan, thereby increasing the potential for default. Also, adjustable rate loans have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the loan. At the same time, the market value of the underlying property may be adversely affected by higher interest rates.

The Bank currently offers fixed rate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans which provide for the payment of principal and interest over periods of 10 to 30 years. At December 31, 2010, approximately 15.7% of the Bank's one- to four-family residential mortgage loans had fixed rates. The Bank currently underwrites a portion of its fixed rate residential mortgage loans for potential sale to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (the "FHLMC"). The Bank retains all servicing rights on the residential mortgage loans sold to the FHLMC. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had approximately \$94.4 million of fixed rate residential mortgage loans which were sold to the FHLMC and for which the Bank provides servicing.

The Bank generally does not originate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans if the ratio of the loan amount to the lesser of the current cost or appraised value of the property (the "Loan-to-Value Ratio") exceeds 95% and generally does not originate one- to four-family residential ARMs if the Loan-to-Value Ratio exceeds 90%. The Bank generally requires private mortgage insurance on all fixed rate conventional one- to four-family residential real estate mortgage loans with Loan-to-Value Ratios in excess of 80%. The cost of such insurance is factored into the annual percentage yield on such loans, and is not automatically eliminated when the principal balance is reduced over the term of the loan. During 2010 the Bank originated and retained \$2.5 million of fixed rate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Typically, these loans would be sold into the secondary market, however, the majority of these loans were originated to existing customers and were retained, rather than sold, due to tightened lending standards in the secondary market. The remainder were short-term loans made for a variety of purposes including the restructuring of nonresidential debt.

Substantially all of the one- to four-family residential mortgage loans that the Bank originates include “due-on-sale” clauses, which give the Bank the right to declare a loan immediately due and payable in the event that, among other things, the borrower sells or otherwise disposes of the real property subject to the mortgage and the loan is not repaid.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had outstanding approximately \$14.3 million of home equity loans, with unused lines of credit totaling approximately \$16.4 million. One home equity loan, in the amount of \$21,688 was included in non-performing assets as of December 31, 2010. The Bank’s home equity lines of credit are adjustable rate lines of credit tied to the prime rate and are amortized based on a 10- to 20-year maturity. The Bank generally allows a maximum 85% Loan-to-Value Ratio for its home equity loans (taking into account any other mortgages on the property). Payments on such home equity loans are equal to 1.5% of the outstanding principal balance per month, or on newer home equity loans, to the interest accrued at the end of the period.

The Bank also offers standard second mortgage loans, which are adjustable rate loans tied to the U.S. Treasury securities yields adjusted to a constant maturity with a current margin above such index of 3.5-4%. The Bank’s second mortgage loans have maximum rate adjustments per year and over the terms of the loans equal to 2% and 6%, respectively. The Bank’s second mortgage loans have terms of up to 30 years.

At December 31, 2010, \$2.3 million of one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, or 0.8% of total loans, were included in the Bank’s non-performing assets.

Construction Loans. The Bank offers construction loans with respect to residential and nonresidential real estate and, in certain cases, to builders or developers constructing such properties on a speculative basis (*i.e.*, before the builder/developer obtains a commitment from a buyer).

Generally, construction loans are written as 12-month loans, either fixed or adjustable, with interest calculated on the amount disbursed under the loan and payable on a semi-annual or monthly basis. The Bank generally requires an 80% Loan-to-Value Ratio for its construction loans, although the Bank may permit an 85% Loan-to-Value Ratio for one- to four-family residential construction loans. Inspections are generally made prior to any disbursement under a construction loan, and the Bank does not typically charge commitment fees for its construction loans.

At December 31, 2010, \$7.0 million, or 2.6% of the Bank’s total loan portfolio, consisted of construction loans. The largest construction loan at December 31, 2010 totaled \$1.6 million. Non-performing construction loans at December 31, 2010 totaled \$165,257, which is approximately 0.06% of the Bank’s total loan portfolio.

While providing the Bank with a comparable, and in some cases higher, yield than a conventional mortgage loan, construction loans involve a higher level of risk. For example, if a project is not completed and the borrower defaults, the Bank may have to hire another contractor to complete the project at a higher cost. Also, a project may be completed, but may not be saleable, resulting in the borrower defaulting and the Bank taking title to the project.

Nonresidential Real Estate Loans. At December 31, 2010, \$89.6 million, or 33.1% of the Bank’s total loan portfolio, consisted of nonresidential real estate loans. Nonresidential real estate loans are primarily secured by real estate such as churches, farms and small business properties. The Bank generally originates nonresidential real estate as adjustable rate loans of varying rates with lock-in terms of up to 10 years indexed to the one-year U.S. Treasury securities yields adjusted to a constant maturity, written for maximum terms of 30 years. The Bank’s adjustable rate nonresidential real estate loans have maximum adjustments per year and over the life of the loan of 2% and 6%, respectively, and interest rate minimums of 1% below the origination rate. The Bank generally requires a Loan-to-Value Ratio of up to 80%, depending on the nature of the real estate collateral.

The Bank underwrites its nonresidential real estate loans on a case-by-case basis and, in addition to its normal underwriting criteria, evaluates the borrower’s ability to service the debt from the net operating income of the property. As of December 31, 2010, the Bank’s largest nonresidential real estate loan was \$4.3 million. Nonresidential real estate loans in the amount of \$4.7 million, or 1.7% of total loans, were included in non-performing assets at December 31, 2010.

Loans secured by nonresidential real estate generally are larger than one- to four-family residential loans and involve a greater degree of risk. Nonresidential real estate loans often involve large loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. Payments on these loans depend to a large degree on results of operations and management of the properties and may be affected to a greater extent by adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy in general. Accordingly, the nature of the loans makes them more difficult for management to monitor and evaluate.

Multi-family Loans. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$15.0 million, or 5.5% of the Bank’s total loan portfolio, consisted of mortgage loans secured by multi-family dwellings (those consisting of more than four units). The Bank

writes multi-family loans on terms and conditions similar to its nonresidential real estate loans. The largest multi-family loan in the Bank's portfolio as of December 31, 2010 was \$1.9 million and was secured by multiple single family homes in Scottsburg, Indiana and New Albany, Indiana. Two multi-family loans totaling \$910,000 were included in non-performing assets as of December 31, 2010, representing approximately 0.3% of total loans.

Multi-family loans, like nonresidential real estate loans, involve a greater risk than residential loans. See "*Nonresidential Real Estate Loans*" above. Also, the loan-to-one borrower limitations restrict the ability of the Bank to make loans to developers of apartment complexes and other multi-family units.

Land Loans. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$21.0 million, or 7.8% of the Bank's total loan portfolio, consisted of mortgage loans secured by undeveloped real estate. The Bank's land loans are generally written on terms and conditions similar to its nonresidential real estate loans. Some of the Bank's land loans are land development loans, *i.e.*, the proceeds of the loans are used for improvements to the real estate such as streets and sewers. At December 31, 2010, the Bank's largest land loan totaled \$2.3 million. Non-performing land loans in the amount of \$1.7 million were included in total non-performing assets as of December 31, 2010, representing 0.6% of total loans.

Land loans are more risky than conventional loans since land development borrowers who are over budget may divert the loan funds to cover cost over-runs rather than direct them toward the purpose for which such loans were made. In addition, those loans are more difficult to monitor than conventional mortgage loans. As such, a defaulting borrower could cause the Bank to take title to partially improved land that is unmarketable without further capital investment.

Commercial Loans. At December 31, 2010, \$16.4 million, or 6.0% of the Bank's total loan portfolio, consisted of non-mortgage commercial loans. The Bank's commercial loans are written on either a fixed rate or an adjustable rate basis with terms that vary depending on the type of security, if any. At December 31, 2010, approximately \$14.8 million, or 90.1%, of the Bank's commercial loans were secured by collateral, generally in the form of equipment, inventory, crops or, in some cases, real estate. The Bank's adjustable rate commercial loans are generally indexed to the prime rate with varying margins and terms depending on the type of collateral securing the loans and the credit quality of the borrowers. At December 31, 2010, the largest commercial loan was \$1.6 million. As of the same date, commercial loans totaling \$265,000, or 0.1% of total loans, were included in non-performing assets.

Commercial loans tend to bear somewhat greater risk than residential mortgage loans, depending on the ability of the underlying enterprise to repay the loan. Further, they are frequently larger in amount than the Bank's average residential mortgage loans.

Consumer Loans. The Bank's consumer loans, consisting primarily of auto loans, home improvement loans, unsecured installment loans, loans secured by deposits and mobile home loans, aggregated approximately \$4.5 million at December 31, 2010, or 1.7% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. The Bank originates consumer loans to meet the needs of its customers and to assist in meeting its asset/liability management goals, although demand for these types of loans has steadily decreased over the past few years. All of the Bank's consumer loans, except loans secured by deposits, are fixed rate loans with terms that vary from six months (for unsecured installment loans) to 66 months (for home improvement loans and loans secured by new automobiles). At December 31, 2010, 71.1% of the Bank's consumer loans were secured by collateral.

The Bank's loans secured by deposits are made in amounts up to 90% of the current account balance and accrue at a rate of 2% over the underlying passbook or certificate of deposit rate.

The Bank offers only direct automobile loans that provide the loan directly to a consumer.

Consumer loans may entail greater risk than residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are unsecured or are secured by rapidly depreciable assets, such as automobiles. Further, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance. In addition, consumer loan collections depend upon the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans. At December 31, 2010, consumer loans amounting to \$315,000 were included in non-performing assets.

Origination, Purchase and Sale of Loans.

The Bank underwrites fixed rate residential mortgage loans for potential sale to the FHLMC on a servicing-retained basis. Loans originated for sale to the FHLMC in the secondary market are originated in accordance with the guidelines established by the FHLMC and are sold promptly after they are originated. The Bank receives a servicing fee of one-fourth of 1% of the principal balance of all loans serviced. At December 31, 2010, the Bank serviced \$94.4 million in loans sold to the FHLMC.

The Bank focuses its loan origination activities primarily on Jefferson, Clark and Floyd Counties in Indiana and Trimble, Carroll, and Jefferson Counties in Kentucky, with some activity in the areas adjacent to these counties. At December 31, 2010, the Bank held loans totaling approximately \$61.7 million that were secured by property located outside of Indiana. The Bank's loan originations are generated from referrals from existing customers, real estate brokers and newspaper and periodical advertising. Loan applications are taken at any of the Bank's nine full-service offices.

The Bank's loan approval processes are intended to assess the borrower's ability to repay the loan, the viability of the loan and the adequacy of the value of the property that will secure the loan. To assess the borrower's ability to repay, the Bank evaluates the employment and credit history and information on the historical and projected income and expenses of its borrowers.

Under the Bank's lending policy, a loan officer may approve mortgage loans up to \$150,000, a Senior Loan Officer may approve mortgage loans up to \$417,000 and the President may approve mortgage loans up to \$500,000. All other mortgage loans must be approved by at least four members of the Bank's Board of Directors. The lending policy further provides that loans secured by readily marketable collateral, such as stock, bonds and certificates of deposit may be approved by a Loan Officer for up to \$150,000, by a Senior Loan Officer for up to \$300,000 and by the President up to \$400,000. Loans secured by other non-real estate collateral may be approved by a Loan Officer for up to \$50,000, by a Senior Loan Officer up to \$100,000 and by the President up to \$200,000. Finally, the lending policy provides that unsecured loans may be approved by a Loan Officer up to \$15,000 or up to \$25,000 by a Senior Loan Officer or up to \$50,000 by the President. All other unsecured loans or loans secured by non-real estate collateral must be approved by at least four members of the Bank's Board of Directors.

The Bank generally requires appraisals on all real property securing its loans and requires an attorney's opinion or title insurance and a valid lien on the mortgaged real estate. Appraisals for all real property securing mortgage loans are performed by independent appraisers who are state-licensed. The Bank requires fire and extended coverage insurance in amounts at least equal to the principal amount of the loan and also requires flood insurance to protect the property securing the loan if the property is in a flood plain. The Bank also generally requires private mortgage insurance only on fixed rate residential mortgage loans with Loan-to-Value Ratios of greater than 80%. The Bank does not typically require escrow accounts for insurance premiums or taxes, however, in 2010, due to changes in Regulation Z relative to "high priced mortgages," the Bank began requiring that certain borrowers escrow for both property taxes and hazard insurance. Under Regulation Z, a "high priced mortgage" is any first mortgage that is 1.5% over the index rate or any second mortgage that is 3.5% over the index rate.

The Bank's underwriting standards for consumer and commercial loans are intended to protect against some of the risks inherent in making such loans. Borrower character, paying habits and financial strengths are important considerations.

The Bank occasionally purchases and sells participations in commercial loans, nonresidential real estate and multi-family loans to or from other financial institutions. At December 31, 2010, the Bank held no participation loans in its loan portfolio.

The following table shows loan disbursement and repayment activity for the Bank during the periods indicated.

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Loans Disbursed: | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | \$ 50,772 | \$ 73,315 | \$ 49,384 |
| Multi-family loans | 5,891 | 2,149 | 2,460 |
| Construction loans | 5,474 | 3,943 | 7,333 |
| Nonresidential real estate loans | 10,165 | 7,071 | 18,567 |
| Land loans | 14,123 | 11,996 | 8,152 |
| Commercial loans | 14,274 | 27,733 | 38,666 |
| Consumer and other loans | 3,443 | 3,178 | 3,081 |
| Total loans disbursed | <u>104,142</u> | <u>129,385</u> | <u>127,643</u> |
| Reductions: | | | |
| Sales | 25,185 | 45,498 | 10,009 |
| Principal loan repayments and other (1) | 90,100 | 92,600 | 90,958 |
| Total reductions | <u>115,285</u> | <u>138,098</u> | <u>100,967</u> |
| Net Increase (decrease) | <u>\$ (11,143)</u> | <u>\$ (8,713)</u> | <u>\$ 26,676</u> |

- (1) Other items consist of amortization of deferred loan origination costs, the provision for losses on loans, net charges to the allowance for loan losses, and restructured debt.

Origination and Other Fees. The Bank realizes income from loan origination fees, loan servicing fees, late charges, checking account service charges and fees for other miscellaneous services. Late charges are generally assessed if payment is not received within a specified number of days after it is due. The grace period depends on the individual loan documents.

NON-PERFORMING AND PROBLEM ASSETS

Mortgage loans are reviewed by the Bank on a regular basis and are placed on a non-accrual status when management determines that the collectibility of the interest is less than probable or collection of any amount of principal is in doubt. Generally, when loans are placed on non-accrual status, unpaid accrued interest is written off, and further income is recognized only to the extent received. The Bank delivers delinquency notices with respect to all mortgage loans contractually past due 10 days. When loans are 16 days in default, personal contact is made with the borrower to establish an acceptable repayment schedule. Management is authorized to commence foreclosure proceedings for any loan upon making a determination that it is prudent to do so.

Commercial and consumer loans are treated similarly. Interest income on consumer, commercial and other non-mortgage loans is accrued over the term of the loan except when serious doubt exists as to the collectibility of a loan, in which case accrual of interest is discontinued and the loan is written-off, or written down to the fair value of the collateral securing the loan. It is the Bank's policy to recognize losses on these loans as soon as they become apparent.

Non-performing Assets. At December 31, 2010, \$10.4 million, or 2.7% of consolidated total assets, were non-performing loans compared to \$7.2 million, or 1.8% of consolidated total assets, at December 31, 2009. The balance of non-performing assets was real estate owned (REO), comprised of real estate taken during foreclosure proceedings, held at December 31, 2010, in the amount of \$400,000, as compared to \$253,000 at December 31, 2009, and troubled debt restructured at December 31, 2010 in the amount of \$6.7 million, compared to \$1.7 million at the same date a year earlier. Non-performing assets are also discussed in Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

The following table sets forth the amounts and categories of the Bank's non-performing assets (non-performing loans, foreclosed real estate and troubled debt restructurings) for the last three years. It is the policy of the Bank that all earned but uncollected interest on all past due loans is reviewed monthly to determine what portion of it should be classified as uncollectible for loans past due in excess of 90 days. Uncollectible interest is written off monthly.

| | At December 31 | | |
|--|----------------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Non-performing assets: | | | |
| Non-performing loans | \$ 10,381 | \$ 7,199 | \$ 1,045 |
| Troubled debt restructured | 6,747 | 1,651 | - |
| Total non-performing loans and troubled debt restructured | 17,128 | 8,850 | 1,045 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 400 | 253 | 259 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 17,528 | \$ 9,103 | \$ 1,304 |
| Total non-performing loans, including troubled debt restructured, to net loans | 6.45% | 3.20% | 0.37% |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets | 4.53% | 2.30% | 0.35% |

At December 31, 2010, the Bank held loans delinquent from 30 to 89 days totaling \$1.9 million. As of that date, management was not aware of any other assets that would need to be disclosed as non-performing assets.

Delinquent Loans. The following table sets forth certain information at December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 relating to delinquencies in the Bank's portfolio. Delinquent loans that are 90 days or more past due are considered non-performing assets.

| | At December 31, 2010 | | | | At December 31, 2009 | | | | At December 31, 2008 | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | 30-89 Days | | 90 Days or More | | 30-89 Days | | 90 Days or More | | 30-89 Days | | 90 Days or More | |
| | Number of Loans | Principal Balance of Loans | Number of Loans | Principal Balance of Loans | Number of Loans | Principal Balance of Loans | Number of Loans | Principal Balance of Loans | Number of Loans | Principal Balance of Loans | Number of Loans | Principal Balance of Loans |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 14 | \$ 971 | 29 | \$ 3,214 | 14 | \$ 724 | 18 | \$ 2,117 | 8 | \$ 412 | 9 | \$ 752 |
| Construction loans | - | - | 1 | 165 | 2 | 288 | 1 | 224 | 2 | 261 | - | - |
| Land loans | 2 | 372 | 3 | 1,749 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 2,236 | 1 | 45 | - | - |
| Nonresidential real estate loans | - | - | 10 | 4,673 | 4 | 1,767 | 7 | 2,163 | 2 | 585 | 2 | 160 |
| Commercial loans | 3 | 542 | 2 | 265 | 7 | 161 | 5 | 450 | 6 | 663 | 3 | 109 |
| Consumer loans | 6 | 37 | 5 | 315 | 17 | 70 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 54 | 5 | 24 |
| Total | 25 | \$ 1,922 | 50 | \$10,381 | 45 | \$ 3,043 | 42 | \$ 7,199 | 32 | \$ 2,020 | 19 | \$ 1,045 |
| Delinquent loans to net loans | | | | 4.63% | | | | 3.70% | | | | 1.07% |

Classified Assets. Federal regulations and the Bank's Asset Classification Policy provide for the classification of loans and other assets such as debt and equity securities of lesser quality as "substandard," "doubtful," or "loss" assets. An asset is considered "substandard" if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. "Substandard" assets include those characterized by the "distinct possibility" that the Bank will sustain "some loss" if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as "doubtful" have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified "substandard," with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make "collection or liquidation in full," on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, "highly questionable and improbable." Assets classified as "loss" are those considered "uncollectible" and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted.

An insured institution is required to establish general allowances for loan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management for loans classified substandard or doubtful, as well as for other problem loans. General allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as "loss," it is required either to establish a specific allowance for losses equal to 100% of the amount of the asset so classified or to charge off such amount. An institution's determination as to the classification of its assets and the amount of its valuation allowances is subject to review by the OTS, which can order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances.

The Bank regularly reviews its loan portfolio to determine whether any loans require classification in accordance with applicable regulation. Not all of the Bank's classified assets constitute non-performing assets, although the balances of non-performing loans as a percent of total classified is higher than in prior years. Historically, management has been conservative in its listing of assets as classified, especially in comparison to peer classification of similar assets and to actual delinquency status. Whereas classified loans for the Bank historically have been a conservative list of loans warranting scrutiny, in 2009 and 2010 the classified list has become weighted heavily by loans and relationships in the lengthy process of foreclosure. The mating of the conservative approach in monitoring problem loans and loans lingering due to foreclosure has created a larger than historical balance of classified assets. As a result, the Bank remains somewhat higher than peer on balances of loans classified.

The classification of assets listing is evaluated monthly by a committee comprised of lending personnel, the Bank Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, Loan Review personnel and the Bank's Internal Auditor. The list encompasses entire relationships, rather than single problem loans, and removal of loans from the list is only approved by the committee upon demonstrated performance by the borrower.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank's classified assets, were as follows:

| | <u>At December 31, 2010</u> |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | (In thousands) |
| Substandard assets | \$ 18,868 |
| Doubtful assets | 702 |
| Loss assets | - |
| Total classified assets | <u>\$ 19,570</u> |

Regulatory definition requires that total classified assets include classified loans, which at December 31, 2010 included \$17.5 million as substandard and \$702,000 as doubtful, other real estate owned as a result of foreclosure or other legal proceedings of \$400,000, also considered substandard, and two below-investment grade corporate securities, considered substandard, totaling \$936,000. Detail of classified loans by loan segment is provided in Footnote 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented later in this Report on Form 10-K.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is maintained through the provision for loan losses, which is charged to earnings. The provision for loan losses is determined in conjunction with management's review and evaluation of current economic conditions (including those of the Bank's lending area), changes in the character and size of the loan portfolio, loan delinquencies (current status as well as past and anticipated trends) and adequacy of collateral securing loan delinquencies, historical and estimated net charge-offs and other pertinent information derived from a review of the loan portfolio. In management's opinion, the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses from loans at December 31, 2010. However, there can be no assurance that regulators, when reviewing the Bank's loan portfolio in the future, will not require increases in its allowances for loan losses or that changes in economic conditions will not adversely affect the Bank's loan portfolio. At December 31, 2010 the allowance for loan losses included \$1.2 million in specific reserves for loan losses. None were included at December 31, 2009 as all previously reserved amounts were recorded as partial charge-offs as of that date.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, in compliance with regulatory guidance and in reaction to the economic factors at work, management reviewed and revised the methodology for evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for loan and lease losses. Management made the following changes to the methodology:

- *Increased segmentation of loan types.* Previously the Bank used general loan groups to evaluate historical charge-off activity. This was acceptable due to the historically minimal amount of charge-off activity and the low delinquency rates experienced by the Bank. Historical analysis of four general loan groups was expanded to 12 regulatory groupings, each relative to a specific risk-related loan type. Each of the 12 groups was evaluated for charge-off activity and relevance to the existing loan portfolio, in arriving at historical loss factors by group. These loss factors are used in arriving at estimates as to the adequacy of the allowance.
- *Decrease in historical look-back period.* Previously the Bank used a five-year historical period in evaluating loss experience. This was reduced to three years to more timely and accurately reflect the effect of the recent economic downturn.
- *Increased evaluation of "concentration" areas.* Commercial relationships were reviewed during the fourth quarter of 2009 and areas of risk concentration were identified. This allowed management to target these areas in the allowance analysis and establish the capability to compare these concentrations and any

associated losses going forward. This data is as of yet only potentially useful as the realized charge-offs of the Bank for the concentration areas have been low, and in most instances nonexistent. As charge-offs of these concentration areas occur, experience data can be formed.

- *Inclusion of specific reserve allocations in historical averages/partial charge-off of previously allocated specific reserves* Management has been proactive and prudent in identifying the potential for loss on specific loans and loan relationships, and in prior periods has established “specific reserves” within the allowance for loan loss for those potential losses. Specific reserves are estimates, based on collected data such as updated appraisals, analysis of the financial condition of the borrower, and estimates of the outcome in the event of failure of the loan. Specific reserves are not realized charge-offs since the outcome of the loan relationship is undetermined. Regulatory pressure has been to include these specific reserve estimates as realized charge-offs in the computation of historical charge-off data and Management’s analysis complies. Since these are estimates of loss, the ultimate charge-off amount could vary.

Summary of Loan Loss Experience. The following table analyzes changes in the allowance during the five years ended December 31, 2010. Additional detail about loan loss experience is presented in Footnote 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in this Report on Form 10-K.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 2,611 | \$ 2,364 | \$ 2,208 | \$ 2,176 | \$ 2,320 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Real estate loans | (1,690) | (2,453) | (782) | (270) | (247) |
| Consumer | (130) | (169) | (183) | (183) | (178) |
| Commercial loans | (405) | (141) | (83) | (213) | (19) |
| Total charge-offs | (2,225) | (2,763) | (1,048) | (666) | (444) |
| Recoveries | 775 | 127 | 124 | 136 | 36 |
| Net charge-offs | (1,450) | (2,636) | (924) | (530) | (408) |
| Provision for losses on loans | 2,645 | 2,883 | 1,080 | 562 | 264 |
| Balance end of period | \$ 3,806 | \$ 2,611 | \$ 2,364 | \$ 2,208 | \$ 2,176 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans outstanding | 1.41% | .94% | .82% | .83% | .89% |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding before net items | .53% | .94% | .32% | .20% | .17% |

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. The following table presents an analysis of the allocation of the Bank’s allowance for loan losses at the dates indicated. Decreases seen in the “unallocated” category reflect more precise allocation of loan types and concentrations within the revised methodology.

| | At December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|----------|--|----------|--|----------|--|----------|--|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total loans | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total loans | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total loans | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total loans | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total loans |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at end of period applicable to: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate | \$ 919 | 51.5% | \$ 898 | 52.8% | \$ 602 | 55.7% | \$ 743 | 57.8% | \$ 954 | 55.1% |
| Nonresidential real estate and land | 2,608 | 40.8 | 1,570 | 39.4 | 967 | 36.6 | 748 | 33.5 | 741 | 36.7 |
| Commercial loans | 157 | 6.1 | 46 | 6.1 | 158 | 6.0 | 144 | 6.4 | 114 | 5.7 |
| Consumer loans | 122 | 1.6 | 31 | 1.7 | 77 | 1.7 | 57 | 2.3 | 171 | 2.5 |
| Unallocated | - | - | 66 | - | 560 | - | 515 | - | 196 | - |
| Total | \$ 3,806 | 100.0% | \$ 2,611 | 100.0% | \$ 2,364 | 100.0% | \$ 2,208 | 100.0% | \$ 2,176 | 100.0% |

INVESTMENTS AND MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

Investments. The Bank's investment portfolio (excluding mortgage-backed securities) consists of U.S. government and agency obligations, corporate bonds, municipal securities and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") stock. At December 31, 2010, total investments in the portfolio, as defined above and including mortgage-backed securities, had a combined carrying value of approximately \$75.2 million, or 19.5%, of the consolidated total assets.

Investments reported in the financial statements of the Company are held both at the Bank level and at the Bank's Nevada subsidiaries. All Company investments are available for sale, but the intent of the Company is to hold investments to maturity. Liquidity is met through a combination of deposit growth, borrowing from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis, and if needed, sale of investments held at the Bank level. Securities held through the Nevada subsidiaries are held primarily for investment purposes. Securities held at the Bank level are held primarily for liquidity purposes. In 2009, liquidity needs were met primarily through deposit growth and the same held true for 2010. Sales of investments over the last 18 months have been made primarily to take advantage of gain positions on short-term investments, especially those expected to be called in the near future, while funds from maturing investments were reinvested primarily into high quality mortgage-backed securities and long term municipals.

The portfolio declined by \$4.3 million from December 31, 2009 to the same date in 2010, as \$7.9 million in U.S. government and agency securities, most at yields of less than 2%, were replaced with higher yielding, high quality mortgage-backed (\$1.2 million) and municipal (\$2.2 million) securities. The carrying value of the two trust preferred investments held by the Company, considered to be of higher risk than most investments, improved from \$848,000 as of December 31, 2009 to \$936,000 as of December 31, 2010. The net unrealized gain on the portfolio was \$695,000, at both December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and the market value of the Bank's investment portfolio, excluding mortgage-backed investments, at the dates indicated.

| | 2010 | | At December 31, 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Market Value | Amortized Cost | Market Value | Amortized Cost | Market Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | \$ 22,139 | \$ 22,528 | \$ 30,164 | \$ 30,397 | \$ 12,900 | \$ 13,362 |
| Municipal securities | 22,516 | 22,855 | 20,243 | 20,665 | 16,978 | 16,332 |
| Corporate | 1,768 | 936 | 1,777 | 848 | 4,414 | 3,641 |
| Total available for sale | 46,423 | 46,319 | 52,184 | 51,910 | 34,292 | 33,335 |
| FHLB stock | 4,496 | 4,496 | 4,850 | 4,850 | 4,850 | 4,850 |
| Total investments | \$ 50,919 | \$ 50,815 | \$ 57,034 | \$ 56,760 | \$ 39,142 | \$ 38,185 |

The following table sets forth the amount of investment securities (excluding FHLB stock and mortgage-backed investments) which mature during each of the periods indicated and the weighted average yields for each range of maturities at December 31, 2010.

| | Amount at December 31, 2010 which matures in | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Less Than One Year | | One Year to Five Years | | Five to Ten Years | | After Ten Years | |
| | Amortized Cost | Average Yield | Amortized Cost | Average Yield | Amortized Cost | Average Yield | Amortized Cost | Average Yield |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | \$ - | 0.00% | \$ 20,142 | 2.04% | \$ 1,027 | 3.10% | \$ 970 | 5.74% |
| Municipal securities | - | 0.00 | 1,918 | 5.53 | 8,766 | 5.75 | 11,832 | 6.34 |
| Corporate | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 1,768 | 1.10 |

Mortgage-Backed Securities. The Bank maintains a portfolio of mortgage-backed pass-through securities in the form of FHLMC, FNMA and Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") participation certificates. Mortgage-backed pass-through securities generally entitle the Bank to receive a portion of the cash flows from an identified pool of mortgages and gives the Bank an interest in that pool of mortgages. FHLMC, FNMA and GNMA securities are each guaranteed by its respective agencies as to principal and interest.

Although mortgage-backed securities generally yield less than individual loans originated by the Bank, they present less credit risk. Because mortgage-backed securities have a lower yield relative to current market rates, retention of such investments could adversely affect the Bank's earnings, particularly in a rising interest rate environment. The mortgage-backed securities portfolio is generally considered to have very low credit risk because the securities are guaranteed as to principal repayment by the issuing agency.

In addition, the Bank has purchased adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities as part of its effort to reduce its interest rate risk. In a period of declining interest rates, the Bank is subject to prepayment risk on such adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities. The Bank attempts to mitigate this prepayment risk by purchasing mortgage-backed securities at or near par. If interest rates rise in general, the interest rates on the loans backing the mortgage-backed securities will also adjust upward, subject to the interest rate caps in the underlying mortgage loans. However, the Bank is still subject to interest rate risk on such securities if interest rates rise faster than 1% to 2% maximum annual interest rate adjustments on the underlying loans.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had mortgage-backed securities with a carrying value of approximately \$28.9 million, all of which were classified as available for sale. These mortgage-backed securities may be used as collateral for borrowings and, through repayments, as a source of liquidity.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and market value of the Bank's mortgage-backed securities at the dates indicated.

| | 2010 | | At December 31, 2009 | | 2008 | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Market Value</u> | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Market Value</u> | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Market Value</u> |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Available for sale: | | | | | | |
| Government Agency securities | \$ 13,266 | \$ 13,674 | \$ 14,869 | \$ 15,436 | \$ 10,626 | \$ 10,955 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 14,847 | 15,238 | 11,813 | 12,215 | 7,750 | 7,994 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | <u>\$ 28,113</u> | <u>\$ 28,912</u> | <u>\$ 26,682</u> | <u>\$ 27,651</u> | <u>\$ 18,376</u> | <u>\$ 18,949</u> |

The following table sets forth the amount of mortgage-backed securities which mature during each of the periods indicated and the weighted average yields for each range of maturities at December 31, 2010.

| | Amount at December 31, 2010 which matures in | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | <u>Less Than One Year</u> | | <u>One Year to Five Years</u> | | <u>Five to Ten Years</u> | | <u>After Ten Years</u> | |
| | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Average Yield</u> | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Average Yield</u> | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Average Yield</u> | <u>Amortized Cost</u> | <u>Average Yield</u> |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities available for sale | \$ - | 0.00% | \$ 11,214 | 4.44% | \$ 2,052 | 2.92% | \$ - | 0.00% |
| CMOs | 294 | 5.52 | 8,569 | 4.70 | 5,984 | 3.58 | - | 0.00 |

The following table sets forth the changes in the Bank's mortgage-backed securities portfolio at amortized cost for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 26,682 | \$ 18,376 | \$ 6,256 |
| Purchases | 9,220 | 12,696 | 13,650 |
| Sales proceeds | (1,702) | - | - |
| Repayments | (6,072) | (4,404) | (1,571) |
| Gain on sales | 92 | - | - |
| Premium and discount amortization, net | (107) | 14 | 41 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 28,113</u> | <u>\$ 26,682</u> | <u>\$ 18,376</u> |

SOURCES OF FUNDS

General. Deposits have traditionally been the Bank's primary source of funds for use in lending and investment activities. In addition to deposits, the Bank derives funds from scheduled loan payments, investment maturities, loan prepayments, retained earnings, income on earning assets, and borrowings. While scheduled loan payments and income on earning assets are relatively stable sources of funds, deposit inflows and outflows can vary widely and are influenced by prevailing interest rates, market conditions, and levels of competition. Borrowings from the FHLB of Indianapolis may be used in the short term to compensate for reductions in deposits or deposit inflows at less than projected levels.

Deposits. Deposits are attracted through the offering of a broad selection of deposit instruments including fixed rate certificates of deposit, NOW, MMDAs and other transaction accounts, individual retirement accounts and savings accounts. The Bank actively solicits and advertises for deposits outside of Jefferson County. Since the opening of our branches in Sellersburg, Indiana (Clark County), Charlestown, Indiana (Clark County), Floyds Knobs and New Albany, Indiana (Floyd County) and the branch in Carrollton, Kentucky (Carroll County), the Bank's market area has expanded. The Bank began operating the New Albany branch in the second quarter of 2010, which has enhanced the existing services provided by the Bank for that area. Deposits will come from all of our market area. Deposit account terms vary, with the principal differences being the minimum balance required, the amount of time the funds remain on deposit and the interest rate. The Bank does not pay a fee for any deposits it receives.

Interest rates paid, maturity terms, service fees and withdrawal penalties are established by the Bank on a periodic basis. Determination of rates and terms are predicated on funds acquisition and liquidity requirements, rates paid by competitors, growth goals and applicable regulations. The Bank relies, in part, on customer service and long-standing relationships with customers to attract and retain its deposits, but also closely prices its deposits in relation to rates offered by its competitors.

The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in money market and prevailing interest rates and competition. The variety of deposit accounts offered by the Bank has allowed it to be competitive in obtaining funds and to respond with flexibility to changes in consumer demand. The Bank has become more susceptible to short-term fluctuations in deposit flows as customers have become more interest rate conscious. The Bank manages the pricing of its deposits in keeping with its asset/liability management and profitability objectives. Historically, NOW and MMDAs have been relatively stable sources of deposits. During 2010, transactional deposits, and primarily NOW and MMDA deposits, increased negligibly from \$167.7 million at December 31, 2009 to \$170.2 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$2.5 million, or 1.55%. The ability of the Bank to attract and maintain certificates of deposit, and the rates paid on these deposits, have been and will continue to be significantly affected by market conditions. As customers continued to pursue more stable deposit products during 2010, certificate of deposit balances increased \$7.2 million, or 6.6%, from the balance of \$108.9 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$116.1 million at December 31, 2010. As certificates of deposit repriced and new certificates were added, the lower interest rates for these items caused a decline in the cost of deposits. As a result, cost of deposits at December 31, 2010 was 1.58% as compared to 2.08% at December 31, 2009, a drop of 50 basis points, period to period.

An analysis of the Company's deposit accounts by type, maturity and rate at December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| <u>Type of Account</u> | <u>Minimum Opening Balance</u> | <u>Balance at December 31, 2010</u> | <u>% of Deposits</u> | <u>Weighted Average Rate</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Withdrawable: | | | | |
| Noninterest bearing accounts | \$ 100 | \$ 23,480 | 8.20% | 0.00% |
| Savings accounts | 50 | 52,205 | 18.23 | 0.74 |
| MMDA | 2,500 | 40,675 | 14.21 | 1.08 |
| NOW accounts | 1,000 | 53,889 | 18.82 | 0.47 |
| Total withdrawable | | 170,249 | 59.46 | 0.63 |
| Certificates (original terms): | | | | |
| I.R.A. | 2,500 | 8,878 | 3.10 | 2.65 |
| 3 month | 2,500 | 42 | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| 6 months | 2,500 | 719 | 0.25 | 0.86 |
| 9 month | 2,500 | 89 | 0.03 | 0.92 |
| 12 months | 2,500 | 7,139 | 2.49 | 1.44 |
| 15 month | 2,500 | 22,329 | 7.80 | 1.50 |
| 18 month | 2,500 | 1,538 | 0.54 | 1.61 |
| 24 months | 2,500 | 4,909 | 1.71 | 2.23 |
| 30 months | 2,500 | 566 | 0.20 | 2.17 |
| 36 months | 2,500 | 6,488 | 2.27 | 3.16 |
| 41 months | 2,500 | 2,624 | 0.92 | 4.23 |
| 48 months | 2,500 | 5,783 | 2.02 | 3.46 |
| 60 months | 2,500 | 4,861 | 1.70 | 3.68 |
| Jumbo certificates | 2,500 | 50,123 | 17.50 | 2.20 |
| Total certificates | | 116,088 | 40.54 | 2.26 |
| Total deposits | | \$ 286,337 | 100.00% | 1.29% |

The following table sets forth by various interest rate categories the composition of time deposits of the Bank at the dates indicated:

| | <u>At December 31,</u> | | |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
| | | (In thousands) | |
| 0.00 to 1.00% | \$ 5,263 | \$ 56,225 | \$ 3,973 |
| 1.01 to 2.00% | 65,565 | 23,288 | 26,743 |
| 2.01 to 3.00% | 24,049 | 19,915 | 29,809 |
| 3.01 to 4.00% | 6,361 | 7,062 | 36,142 |
| 4.01 to 5.00% | 13,389 | 2,443 | - |
| 5.01 to 6.00% | 1,461 | - | - |
| Total | <u>\$ 116,088</u> | <u>\$ 108,933</u> | <u>\$ 96,667</u> |

The following table represents, by various interest rate categories, the amounts of time deposits maturing during each of the three years following December 31, 2010. Matured certificates, which have not been renewed as of December 31, 2010, have been allocated based upon certain rollover assumptions.

| | <u>Amounts at December 31, 2010 Maturing In</u> | | | |
|---------------|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| | <u>One Year or Less</u> | <u>Two Years</u> | <u>Three Years</u> | <u>Greater Than Three Years</u> |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| 0.00 to 1.00% | \$ 5,106 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 157 |
| 1.01 to 2.00% | 46,927 | 17,602 | 1,036 | - |
| 2.01 to 3.00% | 5,094 | 2,480 | 4,857 | 11,618 |
| 3.01 to 4.00% | 2,645 | 490 | 698 | 2,528 |
| 4.01 to 5.00% | 5,173 | 7,141 | 1,077 | - |
| 5.01 to 6.00% | 569 | 890 | - | - |
| Total | <u>\$ 65,514</u> | <u>\$ 28,603</u> | <u>\$ 7,668</u> | <u>\$ 14,303</u> |

The following table indicates the amount of the Bank's jumbo and other certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2010.

| <u>Maturity Period</u> | <u>At December 31, 2010</u> (In thousands) |
|---|---|
| Three months or less | \$ 8,826 |
| Greater than 3 months through 6 months | 4,340 |
| Greater than 6 months through 12 months | 16,761 |
| Over 12 months | 20,196 |
| Total | <u>\$ 50,123</u> |

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of savings deposits in the various types of deposits offered by the Bank at the dates indicated, and the amount of increase or decrease in such deposits as compared to the previous period.

| | <u>Balance at</u> <u>December</u> <u>31, 2010</u> | <u>% of</u> <u>Deposits</u> | <u>Increase</u> <u>(Decrease)</u> <u>from 2009</u> | <u>DEPOSIT ACTIVITY</u> <u>Balance at</u> <u>December</u> <u>31, 2009</u> | <u>% of</u> <u>Deposits</u> | <u>Increase</u> <u>(Decrease)</u> <u>from 2008</u> | <u>Balance at</u> <u>December 31,</u> <u>2008</u> | <u>% of</u> <u>Deposits</u> |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Withdrawable: | | | | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | | | | | | | | |
| accounts..... | \$ 23,480 | 8.20% | \$ 32 | \$ 23,448 | 8.48% | \$ 2,055 | \$ 21,393 | 8.63% |
| Savings accounts..... | 52,205 | 18.23 | (14,542) | 66,747 | 24.13 | 6,676 | 60,071 | 24.20 |
| MMDA..... | 40,675 | 14.21 | 21,055 | 19,620 | 7.09 | 4,761 | 14,859 | 6.00 |
| NOW accounts | 53,889 | 18.82 | (3,949) | 57,838 | 20.91 | 3,051 | 54,787 | 22.11 |
| Total withdrawable | <u>170,249</u> | <u>59.46</u> | <u>2,595</u> | <u>167,653</u> | <u>60.62</u> | <u>16,543</u> | <u>151,110</u> | <u>60.94</u> |
| Certificates (original terms): | | | | | | | | |
| I.R.A..... | 8,878 | 3.10 | 729 | 8,149 | 2.95 | 1,034 | 7,115 | 2.87 |
| 3 months | 42 | 0.01 | (112) | 154 | .06 | 124 | 30 | 0.01 |
| 6 months | 719 | 0.25 | (900) | 1,619 | .59 | (3,159) | 4,778 | 1.93 |
| 9 months..... | 89 | 0.03 | (689) | 778 | .28 | (1,840) | 2,618 | 1.06 |
| 12 months | 7,139 | 2.49 | (1,405) | 8,544 | 3.09 | (3,736) | 12,280 | 5.00 |
| 15 months..... | 22,329 | 7.80 | (294) | 22,623 | 8.18 | 9,849 | 12,774 | 5.16 |
| 18 months | 1,538 | 0.54 | 8 | 1,530 | .55 | 29 | 1,501 | 0.61 |
| 24 months | 4,909 | 1.71 | (1,127) | 6,036 | 2.18 | 1,287 | 4,749 | 1.92 |
| 30 months | 566 | 0.20 | 63 | 503 | .18 | (164) | 667 | 0.27 |
| 36 months | 6,488 | 2.27 | 1,875 | 4,613 | 1.67 | 1,343 | 3,270 | 1.32 |
| 41 months | 2,624 | 0.92 | (463) | 3,087 | 1.12 | (86) | 3,173 | 1.28 |
| 48 months | 5,783 | 2.02 | 2,991 | 2,792 | 1.01 | 305 | 2,487 | 1.00 |
| 60 months..... | 4,861 | 1.70 | 1,199 | 3,662 | 1.32 | (70) | 3,732 | 1.50 |
| Jumbo certificates..... | 50,123 | 17.50 | 5,280 | 44,843 | 16.21 | 7,350 | 37,493 | 15.13 |
| Total certificates..... | <u>116,088</u> | <u>40.54</u> | <u>7,155</u> | <u>108,933</u> | <u>39.38</u> | <u>12,266</u> | <u>96,667</u> | <u>39.06</u> |
| Total deposits | <u>\$ 286,337</u> | <u>100.00%</u> | <u>\$ 9,751</u> | <u>\$ 276,586</u> | <u>100.00%</u> | <u>\$ 28,809</u> | <u>\$ 247,777</u> | <u>100.00%</u> |

Borrowings. The Bank focuses on generating high quality loans and then seeks the best source of funding from deposits, investments, or borrowings. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had \$58 million in FHLB advances. During 2010, the Bank used excess funds to pay down borrowings with the FHLB, reducing total borrowed funds by \$21 million over the period. The Bank does not anticipate any difficulty in obtaining advances appropriate to meet its requirements in the future.

The following table presents certain information relating to the Holding Company's and the Bank's borrowings at or for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

| | <u>At or for the Year Ended December 31,</u> | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| FHLB Advances and Other Borrowed Money: | | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | \$ 58,000 | \$ 86,217 | \$ 97,217 |
| Average balance outstanding for period | 71,717 | 90,467 | 100,467 |
| Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end during the period | 81,217 | 96,217 | 103,217 |
| Weighted average interest rate during the period | 4.40% | 4.65% | 4.83% |
| Weighted average interest rate at end of period | 3.80% | 4.47% | 4.61% |

SERVICE CORPORATION SUBSIDIARIES

Office of Thrift Supervision regulations permit federal savings associations to invest in the capital stock, obligations or other specified types of securities of subsidiaries (referred to as “service corporations”) and to make loans to such subsidiaries and joint ventures in which such subsidiaries are participants in an aggregate amount not exceeding 2% of the association’s assets, plus an additional 1% of assets if the amount over 2% is used for specified community or inner-city development purposes. In addition, federal regulations permit associations to make specified types of loans to such subsidiaries (other than special purpose finance subsidiaries) in which the association owns more than 10% of the stock, in an aggregate amount not exceeding 50% of the association’s regulatory capital if the association’s regulatory capital is in compliance with applicable regulations.

A savings association that acquires a non-savings association subsidiary, or that elects to conduct a new activity within a subsidiary, must give the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of Thrift Supervision and the Department of Financial Institutions at least 30 days advance written notice. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation may, after consultation with the Office of Thrift Supervision, prohibit specified activities if it determines such activities pose a serious threat to the Deposit Insurance Fund. Moreover, a savings association must deduct from capital, for purposes of meeting the core capital, tangible capital and risk-based capital requirements, its entire investment in and loans to a subsidiary engaged in activities not permissible for a national bank (other than exclusively agency activities for its customers or mortgage banking subsidiaries).

The Bank’s wholly owned subsidiary, Madison 1st Service Corporation, which was incorporated under the laws of the State of Indiana on July 3, 1973, currently holds land but does not otherwise engage in significant business activities. During 2005, the Bank established in Nevada three new subsidiaries --RVFB Investments, Inc., RVFB Holdings, Inc. and RVFB Portfolio, LLC--to hold and manage a significant portion of the Bank’s investment portfolio. Income from the Nevada investment subsidiary increased from \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 to \$1.7 million for the same period in 2010, an increase of 3.3%.

FINANCING SUBSIDIARY

In 2003, the Company formed the “RIVR Statutory Trust I,” a statutory trust formed under Connecticut law, and filed a Certificate of Trust with the Secretary of the State of Connecticut. The sole purpose of the Trust is to issue and sell certain securities representing undivided beneficial interests in the assets of the Trust and to invest the proceeds thereof in certain debentures of the Company.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2010, the Bank employed 77 persons on a full-time basis and 15 persons on a part-time basis. None of the employees is represented by a collective bargaining group. Management considers its employee relations to be good.

COMPETITION

The Bank originates most of its loans to and accepts most of its deposits from residents of Jefferson, Floyd and Clark Counties, Indiana, and Trimble and Carroll Counties, Kentucky. The Bank is subject to competition from various financial institutions, including state and national banks, state and federal savings associations, credit unions and certain non-banking consumer lenders that provide similar services in Jefferson, Floyd and Clark Counties in Indiana, and Trimble, Carroll and Jefferson Counties in Kentucky and which have significantly larger resources available to them than does the Bank. In total, there are approximately 21 financial institutions located in the 6-county market area, including the Bank. The Bank also competes with money market funds with respect to deposit accounts and with insurance companies with respect to individual retirement accounts.

The primary factors influencing competition for deposits are interest rates, service and convenience of office locations. The Bank competes for loan originations primarily through the efficiency and quality of services it provides borrowers and through interest rates and loan fees charged. Competition is affected by, among other things, the general availability of lendable funds, general and local economic conditions, current interest rate levels and other factors that are not readily predictable.

REGULATION

GENERAL

As a federally-chartered, FDIC-insured savings association, and until July 21, 2011, the Bank is subject to extensive regulation by the OTS and the FDIC. For example, the Bank must obtain OTS approval before it may engage in

certain activities and must file reports with the OTS regarding its activities and financial condition. The OTS periodically examines the Bank's books and records and, in conjunction with the FDIC in certain situations, has examination and enforcement powers. This supervision and regulation are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the federal deposit insurance fund. A savings association must pay a semi-annual assessment to the OTS based upon a marginal assessment rate that decreases as the asset size of the savings association increases, and which includes a fixed-cost component that is assessed on all savings associations. The assessment rate that applies to a savings association depends upon the institution's size, condition and the complexity of its operations. The Bank's semi-annual assessment is approximately \$52,000.

The Bank is also subject to federal and state regulation as to such matters as loans to officers, directors, or principal shareholders, required reserves, limitations as to the nature and amount of its loans and investments, regulatory approval of any merger or consolidation, issuances or retirements of its securities, and limitations upon other aspects of banking operations. In addition, the Bank's activities and operations are subject to a number of additional detailed, complex and sometimes overlapping federal and state laws and regulations. These include state usury and consumer credit laws, state laws relating to fiduciaries, the Federal Truth-In-Lending Act and Regulation Z, the Federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act and Regulation B, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Community Reinvestment Act, anti-redlining legislation, antitrust laws and regulations protecting the confidentiality of consumer financial information.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") calls for the elimination of the OTS as of July 21, 2011, although this deadline can be extended for an additional six months. The Dodd-Frank Act transfers to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") all functions and all rulemaking authority of the OTS relating to federal savings associations. The Dodd-Frank Act also transfers to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") all functions of the OTS relating to savings and loan holding companies and their non-depository institution subsidiaries. Thus, the Holding Company and all of its subsidiaries other than the Bank will be supervised by the Federal Reserve from and after July 21, 2011, subject to a possible six month extension. The Federal Reserve is also to regulate loans to insiders, transactions with affiliates, and tying arrangements. No later than the effective date of the transfer of these responsibilities, the OCC and the Federal Reserve are to publish regulations that will apply to the entities that they are to regulate for the first time. OTS guidance, orders, interpretations, and policies to which federal savings associations like the Bank and savings and loan holding companies like the Holding Company are subject are to remain in effect until they are suspended.

SAVINGS AND LOAN HOLDING COMPANY REGULATION

As the holding company for the Bank, the Holding Company is regulated as a "non-diversified savings and loan holding company" within the meaning of the Home Owners' Loan Act, as amended ("HOLA"), and is currently subject to regulatory oversight by the Director of the OTS. As such, the Holding Company is registered with the OTS and is thereby subject to OTS regulations, examinations, supervision and reporting requirements. As a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions in its dealings with the Holding Company and with other companies affiliated with the Holding Company. As indicated above, effective as of July 21, 2011 (subject to a possible six-month extension) supervision of the Holding Company and its non-bank subsidiaries will be transferred to the Federal Reserve.

In general, the HOLA prohibits a savings and loan holding company, without obtaining the prior approval of the Director of the OTS, from acquiring control of another savings association or savings and loan holding company or retaining more than 5% of the voting shares of a savings association or of another holding company which is not a subsidiary. The HOLA also restricts the ability of a director or officer of the Holding Company, or any person who owns more than 25% of the Holding Company's stock, from acquiring control of another savings association or savings and loan holding company without obtaining the prior approval of the Director of the OTS.

The Holding Company currently operates as a unitary savings and loan holding company. Prior to the enactment of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (the "GLB Act") in 1999, there were no restrictions on the permissible business activities of a unitary savings and loan holding company. The GLB Act included a provision that prohibits any new unitary savings and loan holding company, defined as a company that acquires a thrift after May 4, 1999, from engaging in commercial activities. This provision also includes a grandfather clause, however, that permits a company that was a savings and loan holding company as of May 4, 1999, or had an application to become a savings and loan holding company on file with the OTS as of that date, to acquire and continue to control a thrift and to continue to engage in commercial activities. Because the Holding Company qualifies under this grandfather provision, the GLB Act did not affect the Holding Company's authority to engage in diversified business activities. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve may require these grandfathered unitary savings and loan holding

companies to segregate any nonfinancial activities into an intermediate holding company which could facilitate supervision of such entity by the Federal Reserve.

If the Holding Company were to acquire control of another savings association other than through a merger or other business combination with the Bank, the Holding Company would thereupon become a multiple savings and loan holding company. Except where such acquisition is pursuant to the authority to approve emergency thrift acquisitions and where each subsidiary savings association meets the Qualified Thrift Lender ("QTL") test, the activities of the Holding Company and any of its subsidiaries (other than the Bank or other subsidiary savings associations) would thereafter be subject to further restrictions. The HOLA provides that, among other things, a multiple savings and loan holding company may not, either directly or acting through a subsidiary that is not a savings association, conduct, any business activity other than (i) furnishing or performing management services for a subsidiary savings association, (ii) conducting an insurance agency or escrow business, (iii) holding, managing, or liquidating assets owned by or acquired from a subsidiary savings association, (iv) holding or managing properties used or occupied by a subsidiary savings association, (v) acting as trustee under deeds of trust, (vi) those activities in which multiple savings and loan holding companies were authorized (by regulation) to directly engage on March 5, 1987, or (vii) those activities authorized by the Federal Reserve Board as permissible for bank holding companies, unless the Director of the OTS by regulation prohibits or limits such activities for savings and loan holding companies. Those activities described in (vii) above must also be approved by the Director of the OTS before a multiple savings and loan holding company may engage in such activities.

Acquisitions resulting in the formation of a multiple savings and loan holding company which controls savings associations in more than one state may also be approved, if the multiple savings and loan holding company involved controls a savings association which operated a home or branch office in the state of the association to be acquired as of March 5, 1987, or if the laws of the state in which the association to be acquired is located specifically permit associations to be acquired by state-chartered associations or savings and loan holding companies located in the state where the acquiring entity is located (or by a holding company that controls such state-chartered savings associations). Acquisitions resulting in a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings associations in more than one state in the case of certain emergency thrift acquisitions may also be approved.

Notwithstanding the above rules as to permissible business activities of savings and loan holding companies, if the savings association subsidiary of such a holding company fails to meet the QTL test, then such holding company would be deemed to be a bank holding company subject to all of the provisions of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and other statutes applicable to bank holding companies, to the same extent as if the Holding Company were a bank holding company and the Bank were a bank. See Item 1 - Business - Regulation - Qualified Thrift Lender. In addition, under the Dodd-Frank Act, a savings association that fails the qualified thrift lender test will be prohibited from paying dividends, except for dividends that are permissible for national banks, are necessary to meet obligations of the company that controls the savings association, and are specifically approved by the OCC and the Federal Reserve. Such failure to satisfy the qualified thrift lender test may also result in enforcement actions. At December 31, 2010, the Bank's asset composition was in excess of that required to qualify as a Qualified Thrift Lender.

Indiana law permits federal and state savings association holding companies with their home offices located outside of Indiana to acquire savings associations whose home offices are located in Indiana and savings association holding companies with their principal place of business in Indiana ("Indiana Savings Association Holding Companies") upon receipt of approval by the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions. Moreover, Indiana Savings Association Holding Companies may acquire savings associations with their home offices located outside of Indiana and savings association holding companies with their principal place of business located outside of Indiana upon receipt of approval by the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK SYSTEM

The Bank is a member of the FHLB system, which consists of 12 regional banks. The Federal Housing Finance Board ("FHFB"), an independent agency, controls the FHLB system including the FHLB of Indianapolis. The FHLB system provides a central credit facility primarily for member financial institutions. At December 31, 2010, the Bank's investment in stock of the FHLB of Indianapolis was \$4.5 million. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, the FHLB of Indianapolis paid approximately \$91,000 in cash dividends to the Bank. Annualized, this income would have a rate of 1.90%. The rate paid during the period ended December 31, 2010 was lower than in previous periods due to the various financial difficulties in the financial industry, including the write-down in value of various mortgage-backed securities held by the FHLB of Indianapolis.

All 12 FHLBs are required to provide funds to establish affordable housing programs through direct loans or interest subsidies on advances to members to be used for lending at subsidized interest rates for low-and moderate-income,

owner-occupied housing projects, affordable rental housing, and certain other community projects. These contributions and obligations could adversely affect the value of FHLB stock in the future. A reduction in the value of such stock may result in a corresponding reduction in the Bank's capital.

The FHLB of Indianapolis serves as a reserve or central bank for its member institutions. It is funded primarily from proceeds derived from the sale of consolidated obligations of the FHLB system. It makes advances to members in accordance with policies and procedures established by the FHLB and the Board of Directors of the FHLB of Indianapolis.

All FHLB advances must be fully secured by sufficient collateral as determined by the FHLB. Eligible collateral includes first mortgage loans not more than 90 days delinquent or securities evidencing interests therein, securities (including mortgage-backed securities) issued, insured or guaranteed by the federal government or any agency thereof, cash or FHLB deposits, certain small business and agricultural loans of smaller institutions and real estate with readily ascertainable value in which a perfected security interest may be obtained. Other forms of collateral may be accepted as additional security or, under certain circumstances, to renew outstanding advances. All long-term advances are required to provide funds for residential home financing, and the FHLB has established standards of community service that members must meet to maintain access to long-term advances.

At December 31, 2010 the Bank had \$58 million in such borrowings, with an additional \$44 million available, previously approved by the Board of Directors.

Interest rates charged for advances vary depending upon maturity, the cost of funds to the FHLB of Indianapolis and the purpose of the borrowing.

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

The Federal Reserve requires all depository institutions to maintain noninterest bearing reserves at specified levels against their transaction accounts, which are primarily checking and NOW accounts, and non-personal time deposits. At December 31, 2010, the Bank was in compliance with those reserve requirements.

INSURANCE OF DEPOSITS

Deposits in the Bank are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC up to a maximum amount, which is generally \$250,000 per depositor, subject to aggregation rules. The Bank is subject to deposit insurance assessments by the FDIC pursuant to its regulations establishing a risk-related deposit insurance assessment system, based upon the institution's capital levels and risk profile. Under the FDIC's risk-based assessment system, insured institutions are assigned to one of four risk-weighted categories based on supervisory evaluations, regulatory capital levels, and certain other factors with less risky institutions paying lower assessments. An institution's initial assessment rate depends upon the category to which it is assigned. There are also adjustments to a bank's initial assessment rates based on levels of long-term unsecured debt, secured liabilities in excess of 25% of domestic deposits and, for certain institutions, brokered deposit levels. For 2010, initial assessments ranged from 12 to 45 basis points of assessable deposits, and the Bank paid assessments at the rate of 3.05 basis points for each \$100 of insured deposits.

The Bank is also subject to assessment for the Financing Corporation (FICO) to service the interest on its bond obligations. The amount assessed on individual institutions, including the Bank, by FICO is in addition to the amount paid for deposit insurance according to the risk-related assessment rate schedule. These assessments will continue until the FICO bonds are repaid between 2017 and 2019. During 2010, the FICO assessment rate ranged between 1.04 and 1.06 basis points for each \$100 of insured deposits per quarter. For the first quarter of 2011, the FICO assessment rate is 1.02 basis points. The Bank expensed deposit insurance assessments (including the FICO assessments) of \$463,000 during the year ended December 31, 2010. Future increases in deposit insurance premiums or changes in risk classification would increase the Bank's deposit related costs.

On December 30, 2009, banks were required to pay the fourth quarter FDIC assessment and to prepay estimated insurance assessments for the years 2010 through 2012 on that date. The prepayment did not affect the Bank's earnings on that date. The Bank paid an aggregate of \$1.9 million in premiums on December 30, 2009, \$1.6 million of which constituted prepaid premiums.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC is authorized to set the reserve ratio for the Deposit Insurance Fund at no less than 1.35%, and must achieve the 1.35% designated reserve ratio by September 30, 2020. The FDIC must offset the effect of the increase in the minimum designated reserve ratio from 1.15% to 1.35% on insured depository institutions of less than \$10 billion, and may declare dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio at the end of a calendar quarter is at least 1.5%, although the FDIC has the authority to suspend or limit such permitted dividend

declarations. In December, 2010, the FDIC adopted a final rule setting the designated reserve ratio for the deposit insurance fund at 2% of estimated insured deposits.

On October 19, 2010, the FDIC proposed a comprehensive long-range plan for deposit insurance fund management with the goals of maintaining a positive fund balance, even during periods of large fund losses, and maintaining steady, predictable assessment rates throughout economic and credit cycles. The FDIC determined not to increase assessments in 2011 by 3 basis points, as previously proposed, but to keep the current rate schedule in effect. In addition, the FDIC proposed adopting a lower assessment rate schedule when the designated reserve ratio reaches 1.15% so that the average rate over time should be about 8.5 basis points. In lieu of dividends, the FDIC proposed adopting lower rate schedules when the reserve ratio reaches 2% and 2.5%, so that the average rates will decline about 25 percent and 50 percent, respectively.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the assessment base for deposit insurance premiums is to be changed from adjusted domestic deposits to average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity. Tangible equity for this purpose means Tier 1 capital. Since this is a larger base than adjusted domestic deposits, assessment rates are expected to be lowered. In February 2011, the FDIC approved a new rule effective April 1, 2011 (to be reflected in invoices for assessments due September 30, 2011), which will implement these changes. The proposed rule includes new rate schedules scaled to the increase in the assessment base, including schedules that will go into effect when the reserve ratio reaches 1.15%, 2% and 2.5%. The FDIC staff projected that the new rate schedules would be approximately revenue neutral.

The schedule would reduce the initial base assessment rate in each of the four risk-based pricing categories.

- For small Risk Category I banks, the rates would range from 5-9 basis points.
- The proposed rates for small institutions in Risk Categories II, III and IV would be 14, 23 and 35 basis points, respectively.
- For large institutions and large, highly complex institutions, the proposed rate schedule ranges from 5 to 35 basis points.

There are also adjustments made to the initial assessment rates based on long-term unsecured debt, depository institution debt, and brokered deposits.

The FDIC also revised the assessment system for large depository institutions with over \$10 billion in assets.

Due to the recent difficult economic conditions, the FDIC adopted an optional Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program by which, for a fee, noninterest bearing transaction accounts would receive unlimited insurance coverage until June 30, 2010 (which was later extended to December 31, 2010) and, for a fee, certain senior unsecured debt issued by institutions and their holding companies between October 13, 2008 and October 31, 2009 would be guaranteed by the FDIC through December 31, 2012. The Bank made the business decision to participate in the unlimited noninterest bearing transaction account coverage and the Bank and the Company elected to participate in the unsecured debt guarantee program, but do not expect to receive an FDIC guarantee for any debt under the program. The assessments for unlimited noninterest bearing transaction account coverage were 15 basis points per \$100 of insured deposits during 2010. The assessments for unsecured debt guarantee program coverage ranged from 50 to 100 basis points per annum per \$100 of debt depending on the maturity of the debt.

The Dodd-Frank Act extended unlimited insurance on noninterest bearing accounts for no additional charges through December 31, 2012. Under this program, traditional noninterest demand deposit (or checking) accounts that allow for an unlimited number of transfers and withdrawals at any time, whether held for a business, individual, or other type of depositor, are covered. Later, Congress added Lawyers' Trust Accounts (IOLTA) to this unlimited insurance protection through December 31, 2012.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has authority to increase insurance assessments. A significant increase in insurance premiums would likely have an adverse effect on the operating expenses and results of operations of the Bank. Management cannot predict what insurance assessment rates will be in the future.

The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any insured depository institution if the FDIC determines, after a hearing, that the institution has engaged or is engaging in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe and unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, order or any condition imposed in writing by, or written agreement with, the FDIC. The FDIC may also suspend deposit insurance temporarily during the hearing process for a permanent termination of insurance if the institution has no tangible capital.

SAVINGS ASSOCIATION REGULATORY CAPITAL

Currently, savings associations are subject to three separate minimum capital-to-assets requirements: (i) a leverage limit, (ii) a tangible capital requirement, and (iii) a risk-based capital requirement. The leverage limit requires that savings associations maintain “core capital” of at least 3% of total assets. Core capital is generally defined as common shareholders’ equity (including retained earnings), non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus, certain minority equity interests in subsidiaries, qualifying supervisory goodwill, purchased mortgage servicing rights and purchased credit card relationships (subject to certain limits) less non-qualifying intangibles. The OTS requires a core capital level of 3% of total adjusted assets for savings associations that receive the highest supervisory rating for safety and soundness, and no less than 4% for all other savings associations.

Under the tangible capital requirement, a savings association must maintain tangible capital (core capital less all intangible assets except purchased mortgage servicing rights which may be included after making the above-noted adjustment in an amount up to 100% of tangible capital) of at least 1.5% of total assets. Under the risk-based capital requirements, a minimum amount of capital must be maintained by a savings association to account for the relative risks inherent in the type and amount of assets held by the savings association.

The risk-based capital requirement requires a savings association to maintain capital (defined generally for these purposes as core capital plus general valuation allowances and permanent or maturing capital instruments such as preferred stock and subordinated debt less assets required to be deducted) equal to 8.0% of risk-weighted assets. Assets are ranked as to risk in one of four categories (0-100%). A credit risk-free asset, such as cash, requires no risk-based capital, while an asset with a significant credit risk, such as a non-accrual loan, requires a risk factor of 100%. Moreover, a savings association must deduct from capital, for purposes of meeting the core capital, tangible capital and risk-based capital requirements, its entire investment in and loans to a subsidiary engaged in activities not permissible for a national bank (other than exclusively agency activities for its customers or mortgage banking subsidiaries). At December 31, 2010, the Bank was in compliance with all capital requirements imposed by law.

If an association is not in compliance with the capital requirements, the OTS is required to prohibit asset growth and to impose a capital directive that may restrict, among other things, the payment of dividends and officers’ compensation. In addition, the OTS and the FDIC generally are authorized to take enforcement actions against a savings association that fails to meet its capital requirements. These actions may include restricting the operations activities of the association, imposing a capital directive, cease and desist order, or civil money penalties, or imposing harsher measures such as appointing a receiver or conservator or forcing the association to merge into another institution.

HOLDING COMPANY REGULATORY CAPITAL

Effective as of the transfer of regulatory responsibilities from the OTS to the OCC and the Federal Reserve, the Federal Reserve will be authorized to establish capital requirements for savings and loan holding companies. These capital requirements must be counter-cyclical so that the required amount of capital increases in times of economic expansion and decreases in times of economic contraction, consistent with safety and soundness. Savings and loan holding companies will also be required to serve as a source of financial strength for their depository institution subsidiaries.

Within five years after the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve is to apply consolidated capital requirements to depository institution holding companies that are no less stringent than those currently applied to depository institutions that were not supervised by the Federal Reserve as of May 19, 2009. Under this provision, the components of Tier 1 capital of depository institution holding companies would be restricted to capital instruments that are currently considered Tier 1 capital for insured depository institutions. Thus, for the first time savings and loan holding companies will be subject to consolidated capital requirements. Under these standards, trust preferred securities will be excluded from Tier 1 capital unless such securities were issued prior to May 19, 2010, by a savings and loan holding company with less than \$15 billion in assets. The Holding Company has issued trust preferred securities, but they were issued prior to May 19, 2010, and therefore are not subject to this new capital restriction.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Holding Company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to the Bank and to commit resources to support the Bank in circumstances in which the Holding Company might not otherwise do so. For this purpose, “source of financial strength” means the Holding Company’s ability to provide financial assistance to the Bank in the event of the Bank’s financial distress.

PROMPT CORRECTIVE REGULATORY ACTION

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (“FedICIA”) requires, among other things, that federal bank regulatory authorities take “prompt corrective action” with respect to institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. For these purposes, FedICIA establishes five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. At December 31, 2010, the Bank was categorized as “well capitalized,” meaning that its total risk-based capital ratio exceeded 10%, its Tier I risk-based capital ratio exceeded 6%, its leverage ratio exceeded 5%, and it was not subject to a regulatory order, agreement or directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure.

The FDIC may order savings associations which have insufficient capital to take corrective actions. For example, a savings association which is categorized as “undercapitalized” would be subject to growth limitations and would be required to submit a capital restoration plan, and a holding company that controls such a savings association would be required to guarantee that the savings association complies with the restoration plan. “Significantly undercapitalized” savings associations would be subject to additional restrictions. Savings associations deemed by the FDIC to be “critically undercapitalized” would be subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator.

DIVIDEND LIMITATIONS

The OTS also restricts the amount of “capital distributions” that may be made by savings associations. The applicable regulation defines a capital distribution as a distribution of cash or other property to a savings association’s owners, made on account of their ownership. This definition includes a savings association’s payment of cash dividends to shareholders, or any payment by a savings association to repurchase, redeem, retire, or otherwise acquire any of its shares or debt instruments that are included in total capital, and any extension of credit to finance an affiliate’s acquisition of those shares or interests. The regulation does not apply to dividends consisting only of a savings association’s shares or rights to purchase such shares.

The regulation requires a savings association to file an application for approval of a proposed capital distribution with the OTS if the association is not eligible for expedited treatment under OTS’ application processing rules, or the total amount of all capital distributions, including the proposed capital distribution, for the applicable calendar year would exceed an amount equal to the savings association’s net income for that year to date plus the savings association’s retained net income for the preceding two years (the “retained net income standard”). A savings association must also file an application for approval of a proposed capital distribution if, following the proposed distribution, the association would not be at least adequately capitalized under the OTS prompt corrective action regulations, or if the proposed distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any applicable statute, regulation, or agreement between the association and the OTS or the FDIC.

The regulation requires a savings association to file a notice of a proposed capital distribution in lieu of an application if the association or the proposed capital distribution is not required to file an application, and: (1) the savings association will not be at least as well capitalized (as defined under the OTS prompt corrective action regulations) following the capital distribution; (2) the capital distribution would reduce the amount of, or retire any part of the savings association’s common or preferred stock, or retire any part of debt instruments such as notes or debentures included in the association’s capital under the OTS capital regulation; or (3) the savings association is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company. Because the Bank is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, this latter provision requires, at a minimum, that the Bank file a notice with the OTS 30 days before making any capital distributions to the Holding Company.

In addition to these regulatory restrictions, the Bank’s Plan of Conversion imposes additional limitations on the amount of capital distributions it may make to the Holding Company. The Plan of Conversion requires the Bank to establish and maintain a liquidation account for the benefit of Eligible Account Holders and Supplemental Eligible Account Holders and prohibits the Bank from making capital distributions to the Holding Company if its net worth would be reduced below the amount required for the liquidation account.

The Holding Company’s declaration of dividends is subject to Indiana law, which generally prohibits the payment of dividends to amounts that will not affect the ability of the Holding Company, after the dividend has been distributed, to pay its debts in the ordinary course of business. Moreover, such dividends may not exceed the difference between the Holding Company’s total assets and total liabilities plus preferential amounts payable to shareholders with rights superior to those of the holders of common stock. In addition, the OTS may prohibit the Holding Company’s payment of dividends if it concludes such payment would raise safety and soundness concerns at either the Bank or the Holding Company. Similar restrictions could be applied to dividend declarations by the Federal Reserve once it replaces the OTS as the federal regulator of the Holding Company.

LIMITATIONS ON RATES PAID FOR DEPOSITS

Regulations promulgated by the FDIC pursuant to FedICIA place limitations on the ability of insured depository institutions to accept, renew or roll over deposits by offering rates of interest which are significantly higher than the prevailing rates of interest on deposits offered by other insured depository institutions having the same type of charter in the institution's normal market area. Under these regulations, "well-capitalized" depository institutions may accept, renew, or roll such deposits over without restriction, "adequately capitalized" depository institutions may accept, renew or roll such deposits over with a waiver from the FDIC (subject to certain restrictions on payments of rates) and "undercapitalized" depository institutions may not accept, renew or roll such deposits over. The regulations contemplate that the definitions of "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized" and "undercapitalized" will be the same as the definition adopted by the agencies to implement the corrective action provisions of FedICIA. Management does not believe that these regulations will have a materially adverse effect on the Bank's current operations.

LIQUIDITY

The Financial Regulatory Relief and Economic Efficiency Act of 2000 repealed the former statutory requirement that all savings associations maintain an average daily balance of liquid assets in a minimum amount of not less than 4% or more than 10% of their withdrawable accounts plus short-term borrowings. The OTS adopted a rule that implemented this revised statutory requirement, although savings associations remain subject to the OTS regulation that requires them to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure their safe and sound operation.

SAFETY AND SOUNDNESS STANDARDS

The federal banking agencies have adopted final safety and soundness standards for all insured depository institutions. The standards, which were issued in the form of guidelines rather than regulations, relate to internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan underwriting and documentation, compensation, interest rate exposure, asset quality and earnings standards. In general, the standards are designed to assist the federal banking agencies in identifying and addressing problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. If an institution fails to meet these standards, the appropriate federal banking agency may require the institution to submit a compliance plan. Failure to submit a compliance plan may result in enforcement proceedings.

REAL ESTATE LENDING STANDARDS

OTS regulations require savings associations to establish and maintain written internal real estate lending policies. Each association's lending policies must be consistent with safe and sound banking practices and be appropriate to the size of the association and the nature and scope of its operations. The policies must establish loan portfolio diversification standards; establish prudent underwriting standards, including loan-to-value limits, that are clear and measurable; establish loan administration procedures for the association's real estate portfolio; and establish documentation, approval and reporting requirements to monitor compliance with the association's real estate lending policies. The association's written real estate lending policies must be reviewed and approved by the association's Board of Directors at least annually. Further, each association is expected to monitor conditions in its real estate market to ensure that its lending policies continue to be appropriate for current market conditions.

LOANS TO ONE BORROWER

Under OTS regulations, the Bank may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of its unimpaired capital and surplus. Additional amounts may be lent, not in excess of 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if such loans or extensions of credit are fully secured by readily marketable collateral, including certain debt and equity securities but not including real estate. In some cases, a savings association may lend up to 30 percent of unimpaired capital and surplus to one borrower for purposes of developing domestic residential housing, provided that the association meets its regulatory capital requirements and the OTS authorizes the association to use this expanded lending authority. At December 31, 2010, all of the Bank's loans were within the current legal lending limit of \$6.1 million. While the current economic conditions can quickly change lending relationships, at this time management does not believe that the loans-to-one-borrower limits will have a significant impact on the Bank's business operations or earnings.

QUALIFIED THRIFT LENDER

Savings associations must meet a QTL test that requires the association to maintain an appropriate level of qualified thrift investments ("QTIs") (primarily residential mortgages and related investments, including certain mortgage-related securities) and otherwise to qualify as a QTL. The required percentage of QTIs is 65% of portfolio assets (defined as all assets minus intangible assets, property used by the association in conducting its business and liquid

assets equal to 10% of total assets). Certain assets are subject to a percentage limitation of 20% of portfolio assets. In addition, savings associations may include shares of stock of the FHLBs, FNMA, and FHLMC as QTIs. Compliance with the QTL test is determined on a monthly basis in nine out of every twelve months. As of December 31, 2010, the Bank was in compliance with its QTL requirement, with approximately 80.6% of its portfolio assets invested in QTIs.

A savings association which fails to meet the QTL test must either convert to a bank or be subject to the following penalties: (i) it may not enter into any new activity except for those permissible for a national bank and for a savings association and (ii) its branching activities shall be limited to those of a national bank. In addition, under the Dodd-Frank Act, a savings association that fails the QTL test will be prohibited from paying dividends, except for dividends that are permissible for national banks, are necessary to meet obligations of the company that controls the savings association, and are specifically approved by the OCC and the Federal Reserve. The failure to satisfy the QTL test may also result in regulatory enforcement action. Three years after failing the QTL test the association must dispose of any investment or activity not permissible for a national bank and a savings association. If such a savings association is controlled by a savings and loan holding company, then such holding company must, within a prescribed time period, become registered as a bank holding company and become subject to all rules and regulations applicable to bank holding companies (including restrictions as to the scope of permissible business activities).

ACQUISITIONS OR DISPOSITIONS AND BRANCHING

The Bank Holding Company Act specifically authorizes a bank holding company, upon receipt of appropriate regulatory approvals, to acquire control of any savings association or holding company thereof wherever located. Similarly, a savings and loan holding company may acquire control of a bank. Moreover, federal savings associations may acquire or be acquired by any insured depository institution. Savings associations acquired by bank holding companies may be converted to banks, but as such they become subject to branching and activity restrictions applicable to banks.

Subject to certain exceptions, commonly-controlled banks and savings associations must reimburse the FDIC for any losses suffered in connection with a failed bank or savings association affiliate. Institutions are commonly controlled if one is owned by another or if both are owned by the same holding company. Such claims by the FDIC under this provision are subordinate to claims of depositors, secured creditors, and holders of subordinated debt, other than affiliates.

The OTS has adopted regulations which permit nationwide branching to the extent permitted by federal statute. Federal statutes permit federal savings associations to branch outside of their home state if the association meets the domestic building and loan test in §7701(a)(19) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) or the asset composition test of §7701(c) of the Code. Branching that would result in the formation of a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings associations in more than one state is permitted if the law of the state in which the savings association to be acquired is located specifically authorizes acquisitions of its state-chartered associations by state-chartered associations or their holding companies in the state where the acquiring association or holding company is located. Moreover, Indiana banks and savings associations are permitted to acquire other Indiana banks and savings associations and to establish branches throughout Indiana.

Finally, The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (the “Riegle-Neal Act”) permits bank holding companies to acquire banks in other states and, with state consent and subject to certain limitations, allows banks to acquire out-of-state branches either through merger or de novo expansion. The State of Indiana enacted legislation establishing interstate branching provisions for Indiana state-chartered banks consistent with those established by the Riegle-Neal Act (the “Indiana Branching Law”). The Indiana Branching Law, which became effective in 1996, authorizes Indiana banks to branch interstate by merger or de novo expansion, provided that such transactions are not permitted to out-of-state banks unless the laws of their home states permit Indiana banks to merge or establish de novo banks on a reciprocal basis. The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes state or national banks to open de novo branches in states as long as a state bank chartered in that state could open the branch. Thus, the state’s permission for such de novo branches is no longer required.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU

The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new, independent federal agency called the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), which is granted broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement powers under various federal consumer financial protection laws, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Truth in Lending Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair Debt Collection Act, the Consumer Financial Privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and certain other statutes. The CFPB will have examination and primary enforcement authority with respect to depository institutions with \$10 billion or more in assets. Smaller institutions will be subject

to rules promulgated by the CFPB but will continue to be examined and supervised by federal banking regulators for consumer compliance purposes. The CFPB will have authority to prevent unfair, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with the offering of consumer financial products. The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the CFPB to establish certain minimum standards for the origination of residential mortgages including a determination of the borrower's ability to repay. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act will allow borrowers to raise certain defenses to foreclosure if they receive any loan other than a "qualified mortgage" as defined by the CFPB. The Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and standards that are more stringent than those adopted at the federal level and, in certain circumstances, permits state attorneys general to enforce compliance with both the state and federal laws and regulations. Federal preemption of state consumer protection law requirements, traditionally an attribute of the federal savings association charter, has also been modified by the Dodd-Frank Act and now requires a case-by-case determination of preemption by the OCC and eliminates preemption for subsidiaries of a bank. Depending on the implementation of this revised federal preemption standard, the operations of the Bank could become subject to additional compliance burdens in the states in which it operates.

MORTGAGE REFORM AND ANTI-PREDATORY LENDING

Title XIV of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Mortgage Reform and Anti-Predatory Lending Act, includes a series of amendments to the Truth In Lending Act with respect to mortgage loan origination standards affecting, among other things, originator compensation, minimum repayment standards and pre-payments. With respect to mortgage loan originator compensation, except in limited circumstances, an originator is prohibited from receiving compensation that varies based on the terms of the loan (other than the principal amount). The amendments to the Truth In Lending Act also prohibit a creditor from making a residential mortgage loan unless it determines, based on verified and documented information of the consumer's financial resources, that the consumer has a reasonable ability to repay the loan. The amendments also prohibit certain pre-payment penalties and require creditors offering a consumer a mortgage loan with a pre-payment penalty to offer the consumer the option of a mortgage loan without such a penalty. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act expands the definition of a "high-cost mortgage" under the Truth In Lending Act, and imposes new requirements on high-cost mortgages and new disclosure, reporting and notice requirements for residential mortgage loans, as well as new requirements with respect to escrows and appraisal practices.

INTERCHANGE FEES FOR DEBIT CARDS

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, interchange fees for debit card transactions must be reasonable and proportional to the issuer's incremental cost incurred with respect to the transaction plus certain fraud related costs. Although institutions with total assets of less than \$10 billion are exempt from this requirement, competitive pressures are likely to require smaller depository institutions to reduce fees with respect to these debit card transactions.

TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

The Bank is subject to Sections 22(h), 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which limits credit transactions between a bank or savings association and its executive officers and its affiliates. These provisions also prescribe terms and conditions deemed to be consistent with safe and sound banking practices for transactions between a financial institution and its affiliates, and restrict the types of collateral security permitted in connection with a financial institution's extension of credit to an affiliate.

FEDERAL SECURITIES LAW

The shares of Common Stock of the Holding Company have been registered with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act (the "1934 Act"). The Holding Company is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements of the 1934 Act and the rules of the SEC thereunder. If the Holding Company has fewer than 300 shareholders, it may deregister its shares under the 1934 Act and cease to be subject to the foregoing requirements.

Shares of Common Stock held by persons who are affiliates of the Holding Company may not be resold without registration unless sold in accordance with the resale restrictions of Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933. If the Holding Company meets the current public information requirements under Rule 144, each affiliate of the Holding Company who complies with the other conditions of Rule 144 (including those that require the affiliate's sale to be aggregated with those of certain other persons) would be able to sell in the public market, without registration, a number of shares not to exceed, in any three-month period, the greater of (i) 1% of the outstanding shares of the Holding Company or (ii) the average weekly volume of trading in such shares during the preceding four calendar weeks.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, beginning in 2013, the Holding Company will be required to provide its shareholders an opportunity to vote on the executive compensation payable to its named executive officers and on golden parachute payments made in connection with mergers or acquisitions. These votes will be non-binding and advisory. Beginning in 2013, the Holding Company must also permit shareholders to determine on an advisory basis whether such votes should be held every one, two, or three years.

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The Holding Company is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”). The Sarbanes-Oxley Act’s stated goals include enhancing corporate responsibility, increasing penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies and protecting investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures pursuant to the securities laws. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act generally applies to all companies that file or are required to file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the 1934 Act.

Among other things, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act creates the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board as an independent body subject to SEC supervision with responsibility for setting auditing, quality control and ethical standards for auditors of public companies. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act also requires public companies to make faster and more-extensive financial disclosures, requires the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of public companies to provide signed certifications as to the accuracy and completeness of financial information filed with the SEC, and provides enhanced criminal and civil penalties for violations of the federal securities laws.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act also addresses functions and responsibilities of audit committees of public companies. The statute makes the audit committee directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of the company’s outside auditor, and requires the auditor to report directly to the audit committee. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act authorizes each audit committee to engage independent counsel and other advisors, and requires a public company to provide the appropriate funding, as determined by its audit committee, to pay the company’s auditors and any advisors that its audit committee retains. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act also requires public companies to include an internal control report and assessment by management in their annual reports to stockholders.

As a small reporting company, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Holding Company is not subject to any obligation to have an auditor attestation to the effectiveness of its controls included in its annual report.

Although the Holding Company will continue to incur additional expense in complying with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the resulting regulations, management does not expect that such compliance will have a material impact on the Holding Company’s results of operations or financial condition.

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT MATTERS

Federal law requires that ratings of depository institutions under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (“CRA”) be disclosed. The disclosure includes both a four-unit descriptive rating - outstanding, satisfactory, needs to improve, and substantial noncompliance - and a written evaluation of an institution’s performance. Each FHLB is required to establish standards of community investment or service that its members must maintain for continued access to long-term advances from the FHLBs. The standards take into account a member’s performance under the CRA and its record of lending to first-time home buyers. The OTS has designated the Bank’s record of meeting community credit needs as satisfactory.

PREDATORY LENDING

The Federal Reserve Board issued a regulation that became effective on October 1, 2002 that is aimed at curbing “predatory lending.” The term “predatory lending” encompasses a variety of practices, but the term generally is used to refer to abusive lending practices involving fraud, deception or unfairness. Predatory lending typically involves one or more of the following: (i) making unaffordable loans based on the assets of the borrower rather than on the borrower’s ability to repay an obligation (“asset-based lending”); (ii) inducing a borrower to refinance a loan repeatedly in order to charge high points and fees each time the loan is refinanced (“loan flipping”); or (iii) engaging in fraud or deception to conceal the true nature of the loan obligation from an unsuspecting or unsophisticated borrower. The Federal Reserve Board amended Regulation Z to broaden the scope of loans subject to the protections of the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994 (“HOEPA”). Among other things, the regulation brings within the scope of HOEPA first-lien mortgage loans with interest rates that are at least 8 percentage points above Treasury securities having a comparable maturity. In addition, the regulation requires that the cost of optional insurance and similar debt protection products paid by a borrower at closing be included in calculating the finance charge paid by the borrower. HOEPA coverage is triggered if such finance charges exceed 8 percent of the total loan. Finally, the regulation restricts creditors from engaging in repeated refinancings of their own HOEPA loans over a

short time period when the transactions are not in the borrower's interest. Lenders that violate the rules face cancellation of loans and penalties equal to the finance charges paid. The Bank does not anticipate that these provisions, or any similar state predatory lending regulations, will materially affect its financial condition or results of operations.

USA PATRIOT ACT OF 2001

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (the "PATRIOT Act") is intended to strengthen the ability of U.S. Law Enforcement to combat terrorism on a variety of fronts. The PATRIOT Act contains sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and requires financial institutions to implement additional policies and procedures with respect to, or additional measures designed to address, any or all the following matters, among others: money laundering, suspicious activities and currency transaction reporting, and currency crimes. Many of the provisions in the PATRIOT Act were to have expired December 31, 2005, but the U.S. Congress authorized renewals that extended the provisions until March 10, 2006. In early March 2006, the U.S. Congress approved the USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005 (the "Reauthorization Act") and the USA PATRIOT Act Additional Reauthorizing Amendments Act of 2006 (the "PATRIOT Act Amendments"), and they were signed into law by President Bush on March 9, 2006. The Reauthorization Act makes permanent all but two of the provisions that had been set to expire and provides that the remaining two provisions, which relate to surveillance and the production of business records under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, will expire in four years. The PATRIOT Act Amendments include provisions allowing recipients of certain subpoenas to obtain judicial review of nondisclosure orders and clarifying the use of certain subpoenas to obtain information from libraries. The Company does not anticipate that these changes will materially affect its operations.

RECENT LEGISLATIVE DEVELOPMENTS

In response to recent unprecedented financial market turmoil, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") was enacted on October 3, 2008. EESA authorized the U.S. Treasury Department to provide up to \$700 billion in funding for the financial services industry. Pursuant to the EESA, the Treasury was initially authorized to use \$350 billion for the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP"). Of this amount, the Treasury allocated \$250 billion to the TARP Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"). The CPP allows financial institutions, like the Holding Company, to issue non-voting preferred stock to the Treasury in an amount ranging between 1% and 3% of its total risk-weighted assets. The Holding Company decided not to participate in the TARP CPP.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA"), signed into law on February 17, 2009, amended the EESA as it applies to institutions that receive financial assistance under TARP. ARRA, more commonly known as the economic stimulus or economic recovery package, includes a wide variety of programs intended to stimulate the economy and provide for extensive infrastructure, energy, health, and education needs. In addition, ARRA imposes certain new executive compensation and corporate expenditure limits on all current and future TARP recipients until the institution has repaid the Treasury.

In addition, on July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Act, which significantly changes the regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry. The Dodd-Frank Act includes provisions affecting large and small financial institutions alike, including several provisions that will profoundly affect how community banks, thrifts, and small bank and thrift holding companies, such as the Holding Company, will be regulated in the future. Among other things, these provisions abolish the Office of Thrift Supervision and transfer its functions to the other federal banking agencies, relax rules regarding interstate branching, allow financial institutions to pay interest on business checking accounts, change the scope of federal deposit insurance coverage, and impose new capital requirements on bank and thrift holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act also establishes the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection as an independent entity within the Federal Reserve, which will be given the authority to promulgate consumer protection regulations applicable to all entities offering consumer financial services or products, including banks. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act includes a series of provisions covering mortgage loan origination standards affecting, among other things, originator compensation, minimum repayment standards, and pre-payments. The Dodd-Frank Act contains numerous other provisions affecting financial institutions of all types, many of which may have an impact on the operating environment of the Holding Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. Consequently, the Dodd-Frank Act is likely to affect our cost of doing business, it may limit or expand our permissible activities, and it may affect the competitive balance within our industry and market areas. The nature and extent of future legislative and regulatory changes affecting financial institutions, including as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, is very unpredictable at this time. The Holding Company's management is actively reviewing the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and assessing its probable impact on the business, financial condition, and

results of operations of the Holding Company. However, the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the financial services industry in general, and the Holding Company in particular, is uncertain at this time.

Before and after EESA, ARRA, and the Dodd-Frank Act, there have been numerous actions by the Federal Reserve Board, Congress, the Treasury, the FDIC, the SEC and others to further the economic and banking industry stabilization efforts. It remains unclear at this time what further legislative and regulatory measures will be implemented affecting the Holding Company.

TAXATION

FEDERAL TAXATION

Historically, savings associations, such as the Bank, have been permitted to compute bad debt deductions using either the bank experience method or the percentage of taxable income method. However, for years beginning after December 31, 1995, the Bank can no longer use the percentage of taxable income method of computing its allowable tax bad debt deduction and instead must compute its allowable deduction using the experience method. In addition, the pre-1988 reserve, for which no deferred taxes have been recorded, will not have to be recaptured into income unless (i) the Bank no longer qualifies as a bank under the Code or (ii) excess dividends or distributions are paid out by the Bank or the Bank redeems its own stock.

Depending on the composition of its items of income and expense, a savings association may be subject to the alternative minimum tax. A savings association must pay an alternative minimum tax equal to the amount (if any) by which 20% of alternative minimum taxable income ("AMTI"), as reduced by an exemption varying with AMTI, exceeds the regular tax due. AMTI equals regular taxable income increased or decreased by certain tax preferences and adjustments, including depreciation deductions in excess of that allowable for alternative minimum tax purposes, tax-exempt interest on most private activity bonds issued after August 7, 1986 (reduced by any related interest expense disallowed for regular tax purposes), the amount of the bad debt reserve deduction claimed in excess of the deduction based on the experience method and 75% of the excess of adjusted current earnings over AMTI (before this adjustment and before any alternative tax net operating loss). AMTI may be reduced only up to 90% by net operating loss carryovers, but alternative minimum tax paid can be credited against regular tax due in later years.

For federal income tax purposes, the Company has been reporting its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting. The Company's federal income tax returns have not been audited in recent years.

STATE TAXATION

The Company is subject to Indiana's Financial Bank Tax ("IFBT"), which is imposed at a flat rate of 8.5% on apportioned "adjusted gross income." "Adjusted gross income," for purposes of IFBT, begins with taxable income as defined by Section 63 of the Code and, thus, incorporates federal tax law to the extent that it affects the computation of taxable income. Federal taxable income is then adjusted by several Indiana modifications. Other applicable state taxes include generally applicable sales and use taxes plus real and personal property taxes. The Company's state income tax returns have not been audited in recent years.

The Company is subject to Kentucky's Bank Franchise Tax ("KBFT"), which is imposed at a flat rate of 1.1% on apportioned "net capital." For purposes of the KBFT, "net capital" is determined by (1) adding together the Company's paid-in capital stock, surplus, undivided profits, capital reserves, net unrealized gains or losses on certain securities, and cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments and (2) deducting from the total an amount equal to the same percentage of the total as the book value of U.S. obligations and Kentucky obligations bears to the book value of the total assets of the Company. "Kentucky obligations" are all obligations of the state, counties, municipalities, taxing districts, and school districts that are exempt from taxation under Kentucky law. Other applicable state taxes include generally applicable sales and use taxes as well as Kentucky bank deposit and local deposit taxes which are generally imposed on the Company with respect to the deposits of Kentucky resident individuals at rates of .001% and .025%, respectively.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The following table provides certain information with respect to the Bank's offices as of December 31, 2010.

| Description and Address | Owned or Leased | Year Opened | Total Deposits (in thousands) | Net Book Value of Property, Furniture & Fixtures (in thousands) | Approximate Square Footage |
|---|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Locations in Madison, Indiana: | | | | | |
| <i>Downtown Office:</i> 233 East Main Street | Owned | 1952 | \$ 39,065 | \$ 328 | 9,110 |
| <i>Drive-Through Branch:</i> 401 East Main Street | Owned | 1984 | - | 234 | 420 |
| <i>Hilltop Location:</i> 430 Clifty Drive | Owned | 1983 | 175,931 | 2,645 | 18,696 |
| <i>Wal-Mart Banking Center:</i> 567 Ivy Tech Drive | Leased | 1995 | 7,779 | 14 | 517 |
| Location in Hanover, Indiana: | | | | | |
| 10 Medical Plaza Drive | Owned | 1995 | 11,854 | 379 | 1,344 |
| Location in Charlestown, Indiana: | | | | | |
| 1025 Highway 62 | Leased/Land | 2002 | 5,763 | 508 | 1,500 |
| Location in Sellersburg, Indiana: | | | | | |
| Highway 311 | Owned | 2005 | 24,429 | 2,585 | 13,000 |
| Location in Floyds Knobs, Indiana | | | | | |
| 3660 Paoli Pike | Leased | 2008 | 9,126 | 511 | 3,000 |
| Location in Carrollton, Kentucky: | | | | | |
| 1501 Highland Avenue | Leased | 2003 | 4,240 | 216 | 1,656 |
| Location in New Albany, Indiana | | | | | |
| 2675 Charlestown Road | Owned | 2010 | 8,150 | 555 | 6,000 |

The Bank owns computer and data processing equipment which is used for transaction processing, loan origination, and accounting. The net book value of electronic data processing equipment owned by the Bank was approximately \$422,000 at December 31, 2010.

The Bank operates 14 automated teller machines ("ATMs"), one at each office location (the main office has two), one at Hanover College, in Hanover, Indiana, one in the Big Lots parking lot in Madison, one at Great Escape Theatres in New Albany, Indiana, and one at Butler Mall in Carrollton, Kentucky. The Bank's ATMs participate in the Passport® network.

The Bank performs its own data processing and reporting services.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Neither the Holding Company nor the Bank is a party to any pending legal proceedings, other than routine litigation incidental to the Holding Company's or the Bank's business.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED.

ITEM 4.5. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

The executive officers of the Holding Company are identified below. The executive officers of the Bank are elected annually by the Holding Company's Board of Directors.

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Position with the Holding Company</u> | <u>Position with the Bank</u> |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Matthew P. Forrester | President and Chief Executive Officer | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Lonnie D. Collins | Secretary | Secretary |
| Vickie L. Grimes | Treasurer | Vice President of Finance |
| Anthony D. Brandon | | Executive Vice President |
| Mark A. Goley | | Vice President of Lending |
| William H. Hensler | | Vice President – Wealth Management |
| John Muessel | | Vice President – Trust Officer |
| Robert E. Kleehamer | | Vice President – Business Development |

Matthew P. Forrester (age 54) has served as the Bank and Holding Company President and Chief Executive Officer since October 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Forrester served as Senior Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer for Home Loan Bank and its holding company, Home Bancorp, in Fort Wayne, Indiana. Prior to joining Home Loan Bank, Mr. Forrester was an examiner for the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions. Mr. Forrester also serves as a Director of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis.

Lonnie D. Collins (age 62) has served as Secretary of the Bank since September 1994 and as Secretary of the Holding Company since 1996. Mr. Collins has also practiced law since October 1975 and has served as the Bank's outside counsel since 1980.

Vickie L. Grimes (age 55) has served as Vice President - Finance since May of 2007. Prior to that she acted as Controller for the Bank from September of 2006 until May of 2007. She also served as the Bank's Internal Auditor from 2003 to September 2006, and as an accountant with the Bank from 2000 to 2003. Prior to that, she served as the Accounting Manager for a financial institution in Pueblo, Colorado.

Anthony D. Brandon (age 39) has served as Executive Vice President since July 29, 2005, and as Vice President of Loan Administration of the Bank since September of 2001. Prior to his appointment as Executive Vice President, he served as Vice President of Loan Administration. Prior to joining the Bank, he served as President of Republic Bank of Indiana.

Mark A. Goley (age 55) has served as Vice President of Loan Services since 1997. From 1989 to 1997, he served as Senior Loan Officer for Citizens.

William H. Hensler (age 47) has served as Vice President – Wealth Management since June of 2006. Prior to joining the Bank he served as a financial consultant with Hilliard Lyons.

John Muessel (age 58) has served as Vice President – Trust Officer since April of 2002. Prior to joining the Bank, he served as Trust Officer of National City Bank of Indiana.

Robert E. Kleehamer (age 68) has served as Senior Vice President – Business Development since October 2009 and was named an executive officer of the Holding Company in April 2010. From June 2008 to October 2009, he served as Vice President for the Bank in the same position. Prior to joining the Bank, he was Area President for First Harrison Bank, and President and organizing director of Hometown National Bank, a de novo bank opened in March of 1997, later sold to First Harrison Bank.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Market Information and Dividends

There were 1,504,472 common shares of River Valley Bancorp outstanding at February 26, 2010, held of record by approximately 330 shareholders. The number of shareholders does not reflect the number of persons or entities who may hold stock in nominee or "street name." Since December 1996, the Company's common shares have been listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market (formerly called the NASDAQ SmallCap Market) ("NASDAQ"), under the symbol "RIVR." On December 26, 2003, the shares of River Valley underwent a 2-for-1 stock split in order to create a more liquid market for the stock.

Presented below are the high and low sale prices for the Holding Company's common shares, as well as cash distributions paid thereon since January 2008. Such sales prices do not include retail financial markups, markdowns or commissions. Information relating to sales prices has been obtained from NASDAQ.

| Quarter Ended | High | Low | Cash Distributions |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
| 2010 | | | |
| December 31 | \$ 16.00 | \$ 13.49 | \$0.21 |
| September 30 | 15.80 | 13.34 | 0.21 |
| June 30 | 15.70 | 13.12 | 0.21 |
| March 31 | 14.88 | 12.42 | 0.21 |
| 2009 | | | |
| December 31 | \$ 15.75 | \$ 12.05 | \$0.21 |
| September 30 | 16.24 | 11.82 | 0.21 |
| June 30 | 16.86 | 10.50 | 0.21 |
| March 31 | 12.90 | 9.15 | 0.21 |
| 2008 | | | |
| December 31 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 11.24 | \$0.21 |
| September 30 | 15.88 | 13.25 | 0.21 |
| June 30 | 16.50 | 14.25 | 0.21 |
| March 31 | 18.00 | 13.79 | 0.21 |

The high and low sale prices for the Holding Company's common shares between December 31, 2010 and February 28, 2011, were \$16.50 and \$14.66, respectively.

Under OTS regulations applicable to converted savings associations, River Valley Financial is not permitted to pay a cash dividend on its common shares if the regulatory capital of River Valley Financial would, as a result of the payment of such dividend, be reduced below the amount required for the liquidation account (which was established for the purpose of granting a limited priority claim on the assets of River Valley Financial, in the event of a complete liquidation, to those members of River Valley Financial before the Conversion who maintain a savings account at River Valley Financial after the Conversion) or applicable regulatory capital requirements prescribed by the OTS.

Regulations of the OTS impose limitations on the payment of dividends and other capital distributions by savings associations. Because the Bank is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, it is required to file a notice with the OTS 30 days before making any capital distributions to the Holding Company. It may also have to file an application for approval of a proposed capital distribution with the OTS if the Bank is not eligible for expedited treatment under the OTS's application processing rules, or the total amount of all capital distributions, including the proposed capital distribution, for the applicable calendar year would exceed an amount equal to the Bank's net earnings for that year to date plus the Bank's retained net earnings for the preceding two years. The Bank must also file an application for approval of a proposed capital distribution if, following the proposed distribution, the Bank would not be adequately capitalized under the OTS prompt corrective action regulations, or if the proposed distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any applicable statute, regulation, or agreement between the Bank and the OTS or the FDIC.

Since the Holding Company has no independent operation or other subsidiaries to generate income, its ability to accumulate earnings for the payment of cash dividends to its shareholders directly depends upon the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Holding Company and upon the earnings on its investment securities.

Any dividend distributions by the Bank to the Holding Company in excess of current or accumulated earnings and profits will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a distribution from the Bank's accumulated bad debt reserves, which could result in increased federal income tax liability for the Bank.

Generally, there is no OTS regulatory restriction on the payment of dividends by the Holding Company unless there is a determination by the Director of the OTS that there is reasonable cause to believe that the payment of dividends constitutes a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of the Bank. The FDIC also has authority under current law to prohibit a bank from paying dividends if, in its opinion, the payment of dividends would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in light of the Bank's financial condition. Indiana law, however, would prohibit the Holding Company from paying a dividend, if, after giving effect to the payment of that dividend, the Holding Company would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business or the Holding Company's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus preferential rights of holders of preferred stock, if any.

In November 2009, the Company issued 5,000 shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A. For so long as any of these preferred shares remain outstanding, no dividend or distribution shall be declared or paid on the Company's common stock unless all accrued and unpaid dividends on the preferred shares have been or will be first paid in full.

As discussed more comprehensively in Part I, Item 1 – Regulation, the Dodd-Frank Act calls for the elimination of the OTS as of July 21, 2011, subject to an additional six-month extension. The functions and rulemaking authority of the OTS with respect to the Bank will be transferred to the OCC, and with respect to the Holding Company will be transferred to the Federal Reserve. As a result, the limitations and restrictions imposed on the Holding Company and the Bank with respect to dividends could change.

Equity Compensation Plans

The "Equity Compensation Plan Information" contained in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

There were no shares repurchased during the three-month period ending December 31, 2010.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following tables set forth certain information concerning the consolidated financial condition, earnings, and other data regarding River Valley at the dates and for the periods indicated. The following selected financial data is qualified by reference to and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements, including the Notes thereto, presented in Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and in Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

Selected Consolidated Financial Information and Other Data

| | At December 31, | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Selected consolidated financial condition data: | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Total amount of: | | | | | |
| Assets | \$ 386,609 | \$ 396,162 | \$ 372,344 | \$ 350,061 | \$ 342,249 |
| Loans receivable - net | 265,448 | 276,591 | 285,304 | 258,628 | 241,887 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 16,788 | 13,387 | 10,033 | 8,137 | 11,808 |
| Investment securities | 75,231 | 79,561 | 52,284 | 58,999 | 65,150 |
| Deposits | 286,337 | 276,586 | 247,777 | 219,682 | 220,238 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | 65,217 | 86,217 | 97,217 | 102,217 | 95,217 |
| Stockholders' equity | 31,468 | 30,715 | 24,540 | 25,677 | 24,147 |

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Summary of consolidated income data: | (In thousands, except share data) | | | | |
| Total interest income | \$ 18,634 | \$ 19,187 | \$ 20,335 | \$ 20,558 | \$ 18,910 |
| Total interest expense | 7,301 | 9,322 | 10,876 | 12,334 | 11,053 |
| Net interest income | 11,333 | 9,865 | 9,459 | 8,224 | 7,857 |
| Provision for losses on loans | 2,645 | 2,883 | 1,080 | 562 | 264 |
| Net interest income after provision for losses on loans | 8,688 | 6,982 | 8,379 | 7,662 | 7,593 |
| Other income | 3,902 | 4,168 | 3,212 | 2,992 | 2,516 |
| General, administrative and other expense | 9,718 | 9,304 | 8,383 | 7,675 | 7,323 |
| Income before income tax expense | 2,872 | 1,846 | 3,208 | 2,979 | 2,786 |
| Income tax expense | 552 | 150 | 713 | 770 | 841 |
| Net income | \$ 2,320 | \$ 1,696 | \$ 2,495 | \$ 2,209 | \$ 1,945 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.54 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.21 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.09 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.18 |

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Selected financial ratios and other data: | | | | | |
| Interest rate spread during period | 2.83% | 2.43% | 2.51% | 2.25% | 2.29% |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets (1) | 3.05 | 2.68 | 2.81 | 2.56 | 2.55 |
| Return on assets (2) | .59 | .44 | .69 | .64 | .59 |
| Return on equity (3) | 7.23 | 6.48 | 9.71 | 8.92 | 8.27 |
| Equity to assets (4) | 8.14 | 7.75 | 6.59 | 7.21 | 7.06 |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | 111.13 | 109.48 | 109.08 | 108.11 | 107.44 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets (4) | 4.53 | 2.30 | .35 | .57 | .33 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans outstanding (4) | 1.40 | .94 | .82 | .83 | .89 |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans (4) (6) | 36.66 | 36.27 | 226.22 | 120.99 | 195.33 |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans outstanding | .53 | .94 | .32 | .21 | .17 |
| General, administrative and other expense to average assets (5) | 2.47 | 2.39 | 2.34 | 2.23 | 2.19 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 65.12 | 77.06 | 54.90 | 60.45 | 66.53 |
| Number of full service offices (4) | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |

- (1) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
- (2) Net earnings divided by average total assets.
- (3) Net earnings divided by average total equity.
- (4) At end of period.
- (5) General, administrative and other expense divided by average total assets.
- (6) Performing troubled debt restructured loans have been excluded from non-performing loans.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION.

General

As discussed previously, River Valley was incorporated for the primary purpose of owning all of the outstanding shares of River Valley Financial. As a result, the discussion that follows focuses on River Valley Financial's financial condition and results of operations for the periods presented. The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition as of December 31, 2010, and River Valley's results of operations for periods prior to that date, should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto, included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In addition to the historical information contained herein, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. River Valley's operations and River Valley's actual results could differ significantly from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Some of the factors that could cause or contribute to such differences are discussed herein but also include, but are not limited to, changes in the economy and interest rates in the nation and River Valley's general market area. The forward-looking statements contained herein include those with respect to the effect future changes in interest rates may have on financial condition and results of operations, and management's opinion as to the effect on River Valley's consolidated financial position and results of operations of recent accounting pronouncements not yet in effect.

Effect of Current Events

The global and U.S. economies are experiencing significantly reduced business activity as a result of, among other factors, disruptions in the financial system during the past couple years. Dramatic declines in the housing market during the past year, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities and major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities but spreading to credit default swaps and other derivative securities, have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail.

Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced, and in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. The availability of credit, confidence in the financial sector, and level of volatility in the financial markets have been significantly adversely affected as a result. The volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets has reached unprecedented levels. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit capacity for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial strength.

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, the government has responded with a number of new and amended regulatory provisions. Many of these provisions directly or indirectly impact the Company, including the EESA, TARP and the related CPP program, and changes to the federal deposit insurance program.

It is not clear at this time what impact the EESA, the TARP CPP, the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program, ARRA and other liquidity and funding initiatives, whether previously announced or that may be initiated in the future, will have on the financial markets and the other difficulties described above, including the extreme levels of volatility and limited credit availability currently being experienced, or on the U.S. banking and financial industries and the broader U.S. and global economies. Further adverse effects could have an adverse effect on the Company and its business.

The level of turmoil in the financial services industry will present unusual risks and challenges for the Company, as described below:

The Current Economic Environment Poses Challenges For Us and Could Adversely Affect Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations. We are operating in a challenging and uncertain economic environment, including generally uncertain national conditions and local conditions in our markets. The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for more than 24 months. The risks associated with our business become more acute in periods of a slowing economy or slow growth. Financial institutions continue to be affected by sharp declines in the real estate market and constrained financial markets. While we are taking steps to decrease and

limit our exposure to problem loans, we nonetheless retain direct exposure to the residential and commercial real estate markets, and we are affected by these events.

Our loan portfolio includes commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans, and construction and land development loans. Continued declines in real estate values, home sales volumes and financial stress on borrowers as a result of the uncertain economic environment, including job losses, could have an adverse effect on our borrowers or their customers, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, deterioration in local economic conditions in our markets could drive losses beyond that which is provided for in our allowance for loan losses and result in the following other consequences: increases in loan delinquencies, problem assets and foreclosures may increase; demand for our products and services may decline; deposits may decrease, which would adversely impact our liquidity position; and collateral for our loans, especially real estate, may decline in value, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our existing loans.

Impact of Recent and Future Legislation. Congress and the Treasury Department have adopted legislation and taken actions to address the disruptions in the financial system and declines in the housing market. The actual impact that EESA, ARRA and related measures undertaken to alleviate the credit crisis will have on the financial markets is unknown. The failure of such measures to help stabilize the financial markets, and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock. Finally, there can be no assurance regarding the specific impact that such measures may have on us and no assurance whether or to what extent we will be able to benefit from such programs.

In addition to the legislation mentioned above, federal and state governments could pass additional legislation responsive to current credit conditions. As an example, the Bank could experience higher credit losses because of federal or state legislation or regulatory action that reduces the amount the Bank's borrowers are otherwise contractually required to pay under existing loan contracts. Also, the Bank could experience higher credit losses because of federal or state legislation or regulatory action that limits its ability to foreclose on property or other collateral or makes foreclosure less economically feasible.

Also, as described in Part I, Item 1 – Regulation, the Dodd-Frank Act will cause widespread changes, eliminating the OTS and making the OCC the primary regulator of the Bank and the Federal Reserve the primary regulator of the Holding Company by July 21, 2011 (unless the date is extended by six months). At this time, we cannot determine the specific impact this legislation will have on the Holding Company or the Bank.

Additional Increases in Insurance Premiums. The FDIC insures the Bank's deposits up to certain limits. Current economic conditions have increased expectations for bank failures. The FDIC takes control of failed banks and ensures payment of deposits up to insured limits using the resources of the Deposit Insurance Fund. The FDIC charges us premiums to maintain the Deposit Insurance Fund. The FDIC has set the Deposit Insurance Fund long-term target reserve ratio at 2% of insured deposits. Due to recent bank failures, the FDIC insurance fund reserve ratio has fallen below the statutory minimum. The FDIC has implemented a restoration plan beginning January 1, 2009, that is intended to return the reserve ratio to an acceptable level. The restoration plan uniformly increased insurance assessments by 7 basis points (annualized). Further increases in premium assessments are also possible and would increase the Company's expenses. Also, in addition to the 5 basis point special assessment of \$178,000 that the Company paid in September 2009, the FDIC required us to pay on December 30, 2009, actual and estimated third and fourth quarter 2009 assessments, totaling \$230,000, plus a prepayment of all estimated 2010, 2011 and 2012 assessments totaling \$1.6 million.

Increased assessment rates and special assessments have had a material impact on the Company's results of operations and could continue to do so.

The Soundness of Other Financial Institutions Could Adversely Affect Us. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and we routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default by our counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure due us. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect our results of operations or earnings.

Future Reduction in Liquidity in the Banking System. The Federal Reserve Bank has been providing vast amounts of liquidity in to the banking system to compensate for weaknesses in short-term borrowing markets and other capital markets. A reduction in the Federal Reserve's activities or capacity could reduce liquidity in the markets, thereby increasing funding costs to the Bank or reducing the availability of funds to the Bank to finance its existing operations.

Difficult Market Conditions Have Adversely Affected Our Industry. We are particularly exposed to downturns in the U.S. housing market. Dramatic declines in the housing market over the past several years, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures, unemployment and under-employment, have negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage loans and securities and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities, major commercial and investment banks, and regional financial institutions. Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers, including to other financial institutions. This market turmoil and tightening of credit have led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and lack of confidence in the financial markets could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We do not expect that the difficult conditions in the financial markets are likely to improve in the near future. A worsening of these conditions would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on the financial institutions industry. In particular, we may face the following risks in connection with these events:

- We expect to face increased regulation of our industry. Compliance with such regulation may increase our costs and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities.
- Our ability to assess the creditworthiness of our customers may be impaired if the models and approach we use to select, manage and underwrite our customers become less predictive of future behaviors.
- The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic predictions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans, which may no longer be capable of accurate estimation which may, in turn, impact the reliability of the process.
- Our ability to borrow from other financial institutions on favorable terms or at all could be adversely affected by further disruptions in the capital markets or other events, including actions by rating agencies and deteriorating investor expectations.
- Competition in our industry could intensify as a result of the increasing consolidation of financial services companies in connection with current market conditions.
- We may be required to pay significantly higher deposit insurance premiums because market developments have significantly depleted the insurance fund of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits.

Concentrations of Real Estate Loans Could Subject the Corporation to Increased Risks in the Event of a Real Estate Recession or Natural Disaster. A significant portion of the Company's loan portfolio is secured by real estate. The real estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. A weakening of the real estate market in our primary market area could result in an increase in the number of borrowers who default on their loans and a reduction in the value of the collateral securing their loans, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our profitability and asset quality. If we are required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan to satisfy the debt during a period of reduced real estate values, our earnings and capital could be adversely affected. Historically, Indiana and Kentucky have experienced, on occasion, significant natural disasters, including tornadoes and floods. The availability of insurance for losses for such catastrophes is limited. Our operations could also be interrupted by such natural disasters. Acts of nature, including tornadoes and floods, which may cause uninsured damage and other loss of value to real estate that secures our loans or interruption in our business operations, may also negatively impact our operating results or financial condition.

Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contains a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies for the year ended December 31, 2010. Certain of these policies are important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition, since they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments,

some of which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Management believes that its critical accounting policies include determining the allowance for loan losses, analysis of other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale investments, and the valuation of mortgage servicing rights.

Allowance For Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a significant estimate that can and does change based on management's assumptions about specific borrowers and current economic and business conditions, among other factors. Management reviews the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on at least a quarterly basis. The evaluation by management includes consideration of past loss experience, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, the current economic condition, the amount of loans outstanding, identified problem loans, and the probability of collecting all amounts due.

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolios. In determining the appropriate amount of the allowance for loan losses, management makes numerous assumptions, estimates and assessments.

The Company's strategy for credit risk management includes conservative, centralized credit policies, and uniform underwriting criteria for all loans as well as an overall credit limit for each customer significantly below legal lending limits. The strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level, regular credit quality reviews and quarterly management reviews of large credit exposures and loans experiencing deterioration of credit quality.

The Company's allowance consists of three components: probable losses estimated from individual reviews of specific loans, probable losses estimated from historical loss rates, and probable losses resulting from economic or other deterioration above and beyond what is reflected in the first two components of the allowance.

Larger commercial loans that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review. Where appropriate, reserves are allocated to individual loans based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral, other sources of cash flow and legal options available to the Company. Included in the review of individual loans are those that are considered impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Any allowances for impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or fair value of the underlying collateral. The Company evaluates the collectibility of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual. Historical loss rates are applied to other commercial loans not subject to specific reserve allocations.

Homogenous loans, such as consumer installment and residential mortgage loans are not individually risk graded. Rather, standard credit scoring systems are used to assess credit risks. Reserves are established for each pool of loans based on the expected net charge-offs for one year. Loss rates are based on the average net charge-off history by loan category.

Historical loss rates for loans may be adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, reflect the impact of any current conditions on loss recognition. Factors which management considers in the analysis include the effects of the national and local economies, trends in the nature and volume of loans (delinquencies, charge-offs and non-accrual loans), changes in mix, asset quality trends, risk management and loan administration, changes in the internal lending policies and credit standards, collection practices and examination results from bank regulatory agencies and the Company's internal loan review. The portion of the allowance that is related to certain qualitative factors not specifically related to any one loan type is considered the unallocated portion of the reserve.

Allowances on individual loans and historical loss rates are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience.

The Company's primary market area for lending is comprised of Clark, Floyd and Jefferson Counties in southeastern Indiana and portions of northeastern Kentucky adjacent to that market. When evaluating the adequacy of allowance, consideration is given to this regional geographic concentration and the closely associated effect changing economic conditions have on the Company's customers.

During 2009, the Company refined its overall approach to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. This change is described more fully in Item 1 - Business - Allowance for Loan Losses.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

The Company evaluates all securities on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic conditions warrant additional evaluations, for determining if an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) exists pursuant to guidelines established in ASC 320. In evaluating the possible impairment of securities, consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial conditions and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the ability and intent of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, the Company may consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies or government-sponsored agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of review of the issuer's financial condition.

If management determines that an investment experienced an OTTI, management must then determine the amount of the OTTI to be recognized in earnings. The Company's consolidated statement of income would reflect the full impairment (that is, the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and fair value) on debt securities that the Company intends to sell or would more likely than not be required to sell before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. For securities that management has no intent to sell, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell prior to recovery, only the credit loss component of the impairment would be recognized in earnings, while the noncredit loss would be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. The credit loss component recognized in earnings is identified as the amount of principal cash flows not expected to be received over the remaining term of the security as projected based on cash flow projections. The Company did not record any other-than-temporary impairment during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company recognizes the rights to service mortgage loans as separate assets in the consolidated balance sheet. The total cost of loans, when sold, is allocated between loans and mortgage servicing rights based on the relative fair values of each. Mortgage servicing rights are subsequently carried at the lower of the initial carrying value, adjusted for amortization, or fair value. Mortgage servicing rights are evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of those rights. Factors included in the calculation of fair value of the mortgage servicing rights include estimating the present value of future net cash flows, market loan prepayment speeds for similar loans, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors. Servicing rights are amortized over the estimated period of net servicing revenue. It is likely that these economic factors will change over the life of the mortgage servicing rights, resulting in different valuations of the mortgage servicing rights. The differing valuations will affect the carrying value of the mortgage servicing rights on the consolidated balance sheet as well as the income recorded from loan servicing in the consolidated income statement. As of December 31, 2010, mortgage servicing rights had a carrying value of \$588,000, which included a \$50,000 valuation allowance for impairment on certain pools of servicing rights as of that date.

Discussion of Changes in Financial Condition from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010

At December 31, 2010, River Valley's consolidated assets totaled \$386.6 million, representing a decrease of \$9.6 million, or 2.4%, from the December 31, 2009 total. The decline, period to period, was primarily a result of an \$11.2 million, or 4.1%, decrease in the loan portfolio, a \$4.4 million, or 5.5%, decrease in the investment portfolio, and a \$1.2 million increase in the allowance for loan losses, offset by increases in cash and in the cash surrender value of Bank-owned life insurance. Deposits grew during the period by \$9.7 million, or 3.5%, from \$276.6 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$286.3 million as of December 31, 2010, almost entirely the result of increases in interest-bearing balances. A contributor to the growth in deposits was the addition, in June 2010, of a new branch in New Albany, Indiana. The branch, purchased from New Washington State Bank for a purchase price of \$575,000, included

demand and time deposits totaling \$1.2 million, which by December 31, 2010 had grown to \$8.2 million. Interest-bearing deposits alone grew by \$7.2 million, or 6.6%, with growth in certificates of deposits, from \$108.9 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$116.1 million at the same point in 2010. Funds provided by deposit growth, and by the decreases in the loan and investment portfolios, were used to repay \$21.0 million in advances with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. Advances which totaled \$79.0 million as of December 31, 2009 were reduced to \$58.0 million as of the same date in 2010. The average rate paid on advances as of December 31, 2010 was 3.84%, compared to an average rate of 4.56% as of December 31, 2009. Strong deposit growth for the year points to the effectiveness of the Bank's expansion into new markets in Floyd and Clark Counties in Indiana, and continued strong market share in the Jefferson County, Indiana area. Deposits for the Floyd and Clark Counties in Indiana grew by \$16.6 million during the period, from \$30.9 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$47.5 million as of December 31, 2010, an increase of 53.8%. Deposits in Clark and Floyd counties Indiana represent approximately 16.6% of total deposits, as of December 31, 2010, compared to 11.1% a year earlier.

Liquid assets (*i.e.*, cash, federal funds sold and interest-earning deposits) increased by \$3.4 million from December 31, 2009 levels to a total of \$16.8 million at December 31, 2010.

Investments reported in the financial statements of the Company are held both at the Bank level and at the Bank's Nevada subsidiaries, and all are available for sale. The investment portfolio decreased by 5.5% from \$79.6 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$75.2 million as of December 31, 2010 as the Company took advantage of gain positions on some investments and repaid debt rather than reinvesting. Yields on investments declined from 3.99% in 2009 to 3.44% in 2010, reflective of the economy in general. The unrealized gain on investments remained constant at \$695,000 for both periods.

The Company evaluates all investments for other than temporary impairment quarterly. 2010 brought continued scrutiny of the Company's investments, and in particular of asset quality and changes in the fair values of the individual investments. The Company's securities are valued at fair value by a pricing service whose prices can be corroborated by recent security trading activities. The Company's portfolio is comprised of the following types of investments.

Agency investments, which includes AAA-rated Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. (FHLMC), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) investments, at an average tax equivalent yield of 2.25%, were at a net unrealized gain position at December 31, 2010. No agency investments were at an unrealized loss position for 12 consecutive months or more. The total carrying value of \$22.5 million represents 30.0% of the total investment portfolio.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations, which includes governmentally guaranteed FNMA, FHLMC, and Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) REMICs, at an average tax equivalent yield of 4.27%, were at a net unrealized gain position at December 31, 2010. No collateralized mortgage obligation investments were at an unrealized loss position for 12 consecutive months or more. The total carrying value of \$15.2 million represents 20.3% of the total investment portfolio.

Mortgage-backed securities, which includes governmentally guaranteed FNMA, GNMA, and FHLMC Gold pools, at an average tax equivalent yield of 4.2%, were at a net unrealized gain position at December 31, 2010. No mortgage-backed investments were at an unrealized loss position for 12 consecutive months or more. The total carrying value of \$13.7 million represents 18.2% of the total investment portfolio.

Municipal securities from a variety of sources, with an average tax equivalent yield of 5.98%, were at a net unrealized gain position at December 31, 2010. Four-fifths of these investments are general obligation (GO) bonds and the remainder are revenue bonds. The total carrying value of \$22.9 million represents 30.4% of the total investment portfolio. At December 31, 2010, two investments, totaling \$724,000, had been at an unrealized loss for 12 consecutive months or more. However, because the Company expects full repayment of these investments, does not intend to sell the investments prior to maturity, and because it is not "more likely than not" that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

Corporate investments, comprised entirely of two inactively traded trust preferred issues, with an average book yield of 1.1%, were at a net unrealized loss position at December 31, 2010. Both investments were at an unrealized loss for 12 consecutive months or more. Because the Company expects full repayment of these investments, does not intend to sell the investments prior to maturity, and because it is not "more likely than not" that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010. These investments,

with total carrying value of \$936,000, represent 1.24% of the total investment portfolio. Both issues of trust preferred represent some potential risk to the Company simply by virtue of their attributes. They are discussed individually below.

The ALESCO 9A class A2A (ALESCO 9A) investment and the Preferred Term Security XXVII, LTD class A-1 (PRETSL XXVII) investment are both thinly traded. Both issues are backed by financial institutions and insurance companies, and the current pricing reflects inactivity in the markets for trust preferred issues. As the national economic woes have continued some of the underlying financial institutions have defaulted on or deferred interest payments. While these defaults/deferrals have negatively affected the lower tranches of the issues, the Bank holds the top tranche of PRETSL XXVII and the second highest tranche of ALESCO 9A. The collateralization levels on these issues are still more than adequate for the Bank to be protected. Both cash flow and other analysis, performed by independent third party analysts, and reviewed by management, indicates that it is more than likely that these investments will continue to maturity, and are thus only temporarily impaired. Cash flow analysis indicates that full payment of the tranches held by the Bank is expected by maturity, if not before.

In November 2007, the Company purchased \$1.0 million face value of ALESCO 9A trust preferred stock at a price of \$88.81. At December 31, 2010, this investment was priced by a third party pricing service and was carried on the Company's books at a market value of \$366,000 and at an amortized cost on that date of \$896,000. The net unrealized loss on this investment at December 31, 2010 was \$530,000. These figures as of December 31, 2009 were: market value of \$237,000, amortized cost of \$893,000, and net unrealized loss of \$656,000, indicating improvement period to period. The Company has reviewed the pricing analytics reports for this investment and has determined that the decline in the market price of this investment is temporary and indicates thin trading activity, rather than a true decline in the value of the investment. Factors considered in reaching this determination included the class or "tranche" held by the Company, A2A, the projected cash flows as of December 31, 2010, which indicate that the Company will receive all contractual payments on or before maturity, and the current collateral position of the tranche, 116.09%, which while below the trigger collateralization of 146.20%, still reflects sufficient coverage for the tranche. The interest coverage ratio is 150.31%, on a trigger amount of 125.00%, indicating strong coverage for interest payments to the "A" tranche. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and because it is not "more likely than not" that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

In February 2008, the Company purchased \$1.0 million face value of PRETSL XXVII trust preferred stock at a price of \$90,000. At December 31, 2010, this investment was priced by a third party pricing service and was carried on the Company's books at a market value of \$570,000 and at an amortized cost on that date of \$872,000. The net unrealized loss on this investment at December 31, 2010 was \$302,000. These figures as of December 31, 2009 were: market value of \$611,000, amortized cost of \$885,000, and net unrealized loss of \$274,000 indicating declines period to period, including quarterly paydowns of principal totaling \$17,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company has reviewed the pricing analytics reports for this investment and has determined that the decline in the market price of this investment is temporary and indicates thin trading activity, rather than a true decline in the value of the investment. Factors considered in reaching this determination included the class or "tranche" held by the Company, A-1, the current collateral position of the tranche, 145.42%, and the projected cash flows as of December 31, 2010, which indicate that the Company will receive all contractual payments on or before maturity. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and because it is not "more likely than not" that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

Loans receivable, excluding loans held for sale, totaled \$265.4 million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$11.2 million from the \$276.6 million total at December 31, 2009. Constriction of the lending environment resulting from national and local economic fears was somewhat lessened by moderate refinancing activity during the year. Conventional fixed rate loans for one- to four-family residential housing were refinanced and sold to FHLMC. Because of this, the Bank experienced a decrease of 7.7% in the conventional one- to four-family residential housing loan portfolio, from \$127.4 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$117.6 million at the same date in 2010. Those conventional loans were refinanced as the Bank originated \$26.1 million in loans to FHLMC, growing the total of loans sold, but serviced by the Bank, to \$94.4 million. This was a slight increase of \$2.4 million, or 2.6%, over the December 2009 level of \$92.0 million. Other lending decreased overall with the only increases seen in multi-family lending (\$15.0 million at December 31, 2010 as opposed to \$12.9 million at the same date in 2009, an increase of 16.3%), and a slight loan growth in commercial real estate (2.4% growth from \$87.5 million to \$89.6 million, December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010).

For the period December 31, 2009 to December 31 2010, interest receivable on interest-earning assets decreased by \$258,000, or 11.7%, demonstrating the effects of the drop in interest rates over the last two years combined with decreasing average balances. The average yield on loans remained relatively stable for the period, 5.79% for 2010 as compared to 5.80% for 2009. As mentioned above, average yields on investments declined from 3.99% for 2009 to 3.44% for 2010. Yields on other interest-earning assets changed only minimally.

The Bank's consolidated allowance for loan losses totaled approximately \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, an overall increase from the \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The total allowance as of December 31, 2010 included \$1.2 million specifically reserved against estimated losses. There were no specific reserve allocations as of December 31, 2009. The total allowance as a percent of loans outstanding increased to 1.41% at December 31, 2010 as compared to .94% for the same date in 2009. As the national economy continued to struggle, the Bank aggressively managed delinquencies, with overall delinquencies 30 or more days past due as of December 31, 2010 at 4.63%, compared to 3.70% at the same date in 2009. Non-performing loans as of December 31, 2010 were \$10.4 million, compared to \$7.2 million at the same date in 2009, and non-performing loans as a percent of total loans were 3.86% and 2.58% respectively for those periods. Of total non-performing loans at December 31, 2010, \$7.0 million, or 67.7%, of the balances outstanding were the result of seven relationships, two of which were carried in from 2009. All seven were in the process of foreclosure as of December 31, 2010.

As a significant part of its management of delinquent loans, the Bank continued to focus on the analysis of problem loans and adjustments of those loans to fair value through the establishment of specific reserves for potential losses. As of December 31, 2010, the Bank had \$1.2 million in specific reserve allocations for potential losses. No specific reserve allocations existed as of December 31, 2009 as existing reserves were replaced by permanent write-downs of loan principal by partial charge-offs, with \$2.4 million in partial charge-offs taken as of that date. Net realized charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$1.5 million, down from the \$2.6 million for the same period in 2009. The general reserve for loan losses was \$2.6 million at both December 31, 2009 and 2010. As a percent of loans outstanding on these dates, the general reserve was 0.96% of total loans as of December 31, 2010 and 0.94% as of the same date in 2009.

While there was limited growth within certain loan types during 2010, in aggregate the loan portfolio shrunk and there was limited additional risk to the overall allowance due to growth. Any risk due to growth in these economically challenging times was mitigated by selective underwriting of the borrower and in increasing requirements for amount and type of collateral securing the debt. The Bank is conservative in its lending practices and does not originate the type of loans publicized as "sub-prime" and therefore does not anticipate delinquencies other than those normally associated with the economic trends of the Bank's market areas. As of December 31, 2010, those trends had improved locally, with unemployment rates lower on average from the 2009 rates. The expense for the provision for loan losses decreased slightly from the 2009 amount of \$2.9 million, to \$2.6 million in 2010, a decrease of 8.3%, primarily the result of large partial charge-offs in December of 2009 not being repeated in 2010.

Non-performing loans (defined as loans delinquent greater than 90 days and loans on non-accrual status) totaled \$10.4 million and \$7.2 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, an increase of \$3.2 million. With the exception of a few loans delinquent due to administrative delays in refinancing, the Bank's non-performing loans represent loans in the lengthy process of foreclosure. Relationships affecting this increase were discussed above.

Although management believes that its allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2010, is adequate based upon the available facts and circumstances, there can be no assurance that additions to such allowance will not be necessary in future periods, which could negatively affect the Company's results of operations. Management is diligent in monitoring delinquent loans and in analyzing the factors affecting the allowance. At December 31, 2010, the Bank held four pieces of repossessed real estate valued at \$400,000, as compared to two pieces with a combined value of \$253,000 at December 31, 2009. Net expense relative to the holding and sale of repossessed real estate and direct losses on the sale of repossessed real estate for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 were \$122,000 as compared to \$59,000 for the same period in 2009.

During 2010 the Company purchased \$1.0 million in additional Bank-owned life insurance, in support of employee benefit plans held by the Company. The purchase brought the total from the December 31, 2009 balance of \$8.2 million to the December 31, 2010 total of \$9.5 million. Income recognized for the increase in the value of cash surrender insurance was approximately \$330,000 for both periods.

Borrowings totaled \$65.2 million at December 31, 2010 versus \$86.2 million at December 31, 2009, of which \$58.0 million and \$79.0 million, respectively, represent advances through the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (FHLBI). During 2010 the Company repaid a net of \$21.0 million in advances and restructured an additional \$19.0 million by taking advantage of a restructuring program with the FHLBI. Under the restructuring, \$19.0 in advances

were replaced with lower rate advances, with similar terms, with repayment penalties deferred through absorption into the rates on the new advances. As noted, the penalties were deferred as the present value of the cash flows under the terms of the new advances were less than 10% different from the present value of the remaining cash flows under the terms of the original advances. As a result of the repayment and restructuring of advances, the average rate as of December 31, 2010 was 3.84% as compared to 4.56% as of December 31, 2009. The 2010 restructuring and reduction in borrowing levels contributed to the overall reduction in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, 2.18% as of December 31, 2010 as opposed to 2.77% at the same point in 2009. Expense for borrowing was \$3.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, a decrease of 23.8% from the \$4.2 million recorded for the same period in 2009.

Stockholders' equity totaled \$31.5 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$753,000, or 2.5%, from the \$30.7 million total at December 31, 2009. Factors contributing to the change in stockholders' equity included dividends paid on common and preferred stock during the period of \$1.6 million and net income of \$2.3 million. Change in equity due to unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities was negligible as total unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities remained at \$695,000 for both December 31, 2009 and 2010.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

General

River Valley's net income for the year ended December 31, 2010, totaled \$2.3 million, an increase of \$624,000, or 36.8%, from net income of \$1.7 million reported for the same period in 2009. The Company benefited strongly from the continued decrease in the costs of deposits and borrowings during the year, with net interest income of \$11.3 million as compared to the \$9.9 million at the same point in 2009, an increase of \$1.4 million, or 14.1%. Additional benefits came from refinance activity encouraged by continued low interest rates and incentives to consumers, which resulted in moderate loan sales in the secondary market, with the Company originating and selling approximately \$25.2 million in one- to four-family residential housing loans to the FHLMC. This compared to 2009 when sales were \$45.5 million. These positives flourished despite continued rigorous funding of the provision for loan losses, with provision expense for 2010 at \$2.6 million, only slightly below the record level in 2009 of \$2.9 million. The Company persevered through prudent management of administrative costs and consistent noninterest income, while maintaining acceptable capital levels and providing for losses through the allowance for loan losses.

With the increase in pre-tax income, income tax expense increased, with the effective tax rate for 2009 of 8.13% increasing to 19.2% for 2010. Income from municipal investments held at the Company's Nevada subsidiaries and increases in the cash surrender value of Bank-owned life insurance continue to contribute to the effective tax rate as the percentage of non-taxable income to total income remains elevated.

Net Interest Income

Total interest income for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$18.6 million, a decrease of \$553,000, or 2.9%, from the 2009 total of \$19.2 million. The average balance of interest-earning assets outstanding year-to-year increased only slightly, by \$3.0 million, or 0.8%, as loan and investment receivables decreased and interest-earning deposits remained strong.

The average balance of loans receivable decreased 2.6%, or \$7.3 million, 2009 to 2010. With an almost undetectable change in yields, year to year, interest income from loans receivable decreased 2.5%, from \$16.3 million for the year ending December 31, 2009 to \$15.9 million for the year ending December 31, 2010.

The average balance of investments increased \$8.9 million, from \$68.2 million in 2009 to \$77.1 million in 2010. This increase occurred despite approximately \$10.0 million in securities sales during the period, as the Company took advantage of gain positions on select investments. Gains on the sale of investments for 2010 were \$347,000, more than double the amount of \$133,000 for the same period a year earlier. Interest income on investment securities for the year ended December 31, 2010, at \$2.7 million, was only slightly below the 2009 amount. Comparative yields for investments were 3.44% and 3.99%, 2010 to 2009, with the drop primarily being the result of the 2010 purchase of low-yielding government agency investments, at the Bank level, purchased primarily for liquidity. Investments held at the Bank level are held primarily for liquidity purposes, whereas longer termed asset-backed and municipal securities, used for investment purposes, are held at a Nevada subsidiary.

Interest-earning deposits held by the Company increased, period to period, with the average balance of those deposits being \$15.9 million for 2010, as compared to \$14.6 million for 2009, an increase of \$1.3 million or 8.9%. Income from interest-earning deposits increased from \$17,000 for the period ended December 31, 2009 to \$32,000 for the

period ended December 31, 2010, as the Company took advantage of slightly better rates through the Federal Reserve Bank (Fed), leaving daily balances at the Fed rather than moving them to overnight accounts elsewhere.

For the period ending December 31, 2010, total interest expense was \$7.3 million, a significant drop of \$2.0 million, or 21.5%, from the \$9.3 million for the period ended December 31, 2009. Interest expense for the Company is comprised of interest on funds deposited with the Company and expense on borrowings by the Company.

The average of total deposits increased from \$270.4 million at December 31, 2009 to \$286.6 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$16.2 million, or 6.0%. The average balance of interest-bearing deposits increased from \$246.1 million at December 31, 2009 to \$262.7 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$16.6 million, or 6.8%. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits dropped from 2.08% to 1.58%, 2009 to 2010, with total interest expense on deposits for the period ending December 31, 2010 at \$4.1 million, down \$968,000, or 18.9%, from the \$5.1 million for the period ending December 31, 2009. Over the same period, the cost of borrowings dropped from 4.64% to 4.40%, a dollar drop of \$1.1 million, or 25.0%, as borrowings were repaid with excess funds from deposits and remaining borrowings were at a lower average cost. A net total of \$21.0 million was repaid in 2010. Total interest expense for borrowings for the period ended December 31, 2010 was \$3.2 million as compared to \$4.2 million for the same period ended 2009.

In summary, during the period ending December 31, 2010, the cost of interest-bearing deposits and borrowings dropped to a greater extent than the yields on interest-earning assets, a benefit to net interest income in total. Net interest income for the period ending December 31, 2010 was \$11.3 million, up \$1.4 million, or 14.1%, from the \$9.9 million for the same period ending December 31, 2009.

Provision for Losses on Loans

A provision for losses on loans is charged to income to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level considered appropriate by management based upon historical experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Bank, the status of past due principal and interest payments, general economic conditions, particularly as such conditions relate to the primary market area, and other factors related to the collectibility of the loan portfolio.

During 2010, overall delinquencies increased with non-performing loans (loans past due 90 days or more) increasing from the \$7.2 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$10.4 million at December 31, 2010, with the majority of these loans being in some step of foreclosure as of December 31, 2010. As discussed earlier, of total non-performing loans at December 31, 2010, \$7.0 million, or 67.7%, of the balances outstanding were the result of seven relationships, two of which were carried in from 2009. All seven were in the process of foreclosure as of December 31, 2010.

The \$2.6 million provision expense was slightly lower than the 2009 expense of \$2.9 million, due primarily to the higher losses taken in 2009 and the shrinkage of the loan portfolio, year to year. In management's opinion, the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses from loans at December 31, 2010. However, there can be no assurance that regulators, when reviewing the Bank's loan portfolio in the future, will not require increases in its allowances for loan losses or that changes in economic conditions will not adversely affect the Bank's loan portfolio.

Other Income

Other income decreased \$266,000, or 6.4%, from the \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This decrease was due primarily to the decrease in sales of loans into the secondary market, as gains on sales of loans to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation dropped from \$1.0 million in 2009 to \$721,000 in 2010. In principal originations this translates to loan sales of \$25.2 million in 2010 compared to \$45.5 million for the same period in 2009. A drop was also seen in overdraft fees and similar charges, as consumers tightened their wallets during 2010. Income from service fees and charges, which includes overdraft fees, dropped 7.2% from \$2.2 million in 2009 to \$2.0 million in 2010. Offsetting these decreases were slight increases in merchant interchange fee income, \$376,000 in 2010 as compared to \$309,000 in 2009, and strong gains on the sale of investment securities, \$347,000 in 2010 as compared to \$133,000 in 2009. These gains were realized as the Company took advantage of gain positions on securities containing call provisions, or maturities expected to be fulfilled within the short term.

Unlike interest income, "Other Income" is not always readily predictable and is subject to variations depending on outside influences.

General, Administrative and Other Expense

General, administrative and other expense totaled \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of only \$414,000, or 4.5%, over the 2009 total. Costs associated with personnel, benefits, and occupancy costs increased due to the addition of a branch in New Albany, Indiana, and continued expansion, in general, in the Clark and Floyd counties, Indiana area. Salaries and benefits increased 5.7% from \$4.7 million for 2009 to \$5.0 million for 2010 as staff additions in the southern counties were offset somewhat by reductions in staffing elsewhere through attrition. Occupancy, data processing, and advertising expenses all increased similarly, reflecting the cost of added locations.

The only significant decrease in general, administrative, and other expenses was to the FDIC premium assessment. Assessment expense decreased 30.6% with total expense for 2010 at \$463,000 as compared to \$667,000 for the same period in 2009, due primarily to the 2009 special assessment which was not repeated in 2010.

Other expenses increased only slightly by \$136,000 from \$1.2 million for the period ended December 31, 2009 to a total of \$1.3 million for the period of December 31, 2010. Other expenses include items such as communications costs and travel and entertainment costs which reflect the overall size of the Company.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes increased by \$402,000, to a total of \$552,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to \$150,000 for the same period in 2009. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 was 19.2% as compared to 8.13% for the same period in 2009. The increase in taxable income as a percent of total income, offset by increases in non-taxable income as a percent of total income, was responsible for the increase in the effective tax rate. Primary sources of non-taxable income for the Company are income from investments held by the Bank's Nevada subsidiary and increases in the cash surrender value of Bank-owned life insurance.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

General

River Valley's net income for the year ended December 31, 2009, totaled \$1.7 million, a decrease of \$799,000, or 32.0 %, from net income of \$2.5 million reported for the same period in 2008. The Company benefited from decreasing costs of deposits and reduced costs on borrowing during the year, with net interest income of \$9.9 million as compared to the \$9.5 million at the same point in 2008, an increase of \$406,000, or 4.3%. Additional benefits came from refinance activity encouraged by low interest rates and incentives to consumers, which resulted in strong loan sales in the secondary market, with the Company originating and selling more than \$45.0 million in one- to four-family residential housing loans to the FHLMC. Gains on sales to FHLMC during the period ended December 31, 2009 were in excess of \$1.0 million, an increase of \$803,000, or 348.1% from the \$230,000 of a year before. Such positive results were not enough, however, to stave off the effects of the 2009 increase in the provision for loan losses of \$1.8 million and the increased required contributions to the FDIC insurance fund, which increased from \$70,000 in 2008 to \$667,000 in 2009, an increase of nearly \$600,000, a staggering 848.4%. The Company persevered through prudent management of administrative costs and consistent noninterest income, while maintaining acceptable capital levels and providing for losses through the allowance for loan losses.

With the decrease in net income, income tax expense decreased, with a drop in the effective tax rate from 22.2% in 2008 to 8.13% in 2009, a dollar drop of \$563,000. Income from municipal investments held at the Company's Nevada subsidiaries and increases in the cash surrender value of Bank-owned life insurance contributed to this drop in the effective tax rate as the percentage of non-taxable income to total income increased.

Net Interest Income

Total interest income for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$19.2 million, a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 5.6%, from the 2008 total. The average balance of interest-earning assets outstanding year-to-year increased by \$31.6 million, or 9.4%, with increases in loans receivable, investments, and interest-earning deposits.

The average balance of loans receivable increased 2.9%, or \$8.0 million, 2008 to 2009, but due to lowering yields (5.80% in 2009 as compared to 6.42% for the year before), interest income from loans receivable decreased 7.0%, from \$17.5 million for the year ending December 31, 2008 to \$16.3 million for the year ending December 31, 2009. With excess funds accumulating as deposits grew and lending tightened, the Company placed a portion of those excess funds into investments, with the average balance of investments increasing \$14.0 million, from \$54.2 million in 2008 to \$68.2 million in 2009. This translated into a 9.5% increase in interest income from investments, \$2.7 million for the period ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$2.5 million for the same period ended 2008. Comparative yields for investments were 3.99% and 4.59%, 2009 to 2008, with the drop primarily being the result of

the 2009 purchase of low yielding government agency investments, at the Bank level, purchased for short-term earnings and long-term liquidity. Investments held at the Bank level are held primarily for liquidity purposes, whereas longer term asset-backed and municipal securities, used for investment purposes, are held at the Nevada subsidiary.

Interest-earning deposits held by the Company increased, period to period, with the average balance of those deposits being \$14.6 million for 2009, as compared to \$5.1 million for 2008, an increase of \$9.5 million or 187.8%. Whereas in prior years this would have translated to additional earnings for the Company, interest income on interest-earning deposits fell from \$136,000 for the period ended December 31, 2008 to \$17,000 for the period ended December 31, 2009, as yields on those deposits fell from an average of 2.68% in 2008 to 0.12% in 2009.

For the period ending December 31, 2009, total interest expense was \$9.3 million, a drop of \$1.6 million, or 14.3%, from the \$10.9 million for the period ended December 31, 2008. Interest expense for the Company is comprised of interest on funds deposited with the Company and expense on borrowings by the Company.

The average of total deposits increased from \$229.5 million at December 31, 2008 to \$270.4 million at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$40.9 million, or 17.8%. The average balance of interest-bearing deposits increased from \$208.5 million at December 31, 2008 to \$246.1 million at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$37.6 million, or 18.0%. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits dropped from 2.89% to 2.08%, 2008 to 2009, with total interest expense on deposits for the period ending December 31, 2009 at \$5.1 million, down \$921,000, or 15.3%, from the \$6.0 million for the period ending December 31, 2008. Over the same period, the cost of borrowings dropped from 4.81% to 4.64%, a dollar drop of \$633,000 or 13.1%, as borrowings were repaid with excess funds from deposits and remaining borrowings were at a lower average cost. A net total of \$11.0 million was repaid in 2009. Total interest expense for borrowings for the period ended December 31, 2009 was \$4.2 million as compared to \$4.8 million for the same period ended 2008.

In summary, during the period ending December 31, 2009, the cost of interest-bearing deposits and borrowings dropped to a greater extent than the yields on interest-earning assets, a benefit to net interest income in total. Net interest income for the period ending December 31, 2009 was \$9.9 million, up \$406,000, or 4.3%, from the \$9.5 million for the same period ending December 31, 2008.

Provision for Losses on Loans

A provision for losses on loans is charged to income to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level considered appropriate by management based upon historical experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Bank, the status of past due principal and interest payments, general economic conditions, particularly as such conditions relate to the primary market area, and other factors related to the collectibility of the loan portfolio.

During 2009, overall delinquencies increased with non-performing loans (loans past due 90 days or more) increasing from the \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$7.2 million at December 31, 2009, with the majority of these loans being in some step of foreclosure as of December 31, 2009. Analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2009 determined that the Company had potential losses for problem loans which required additional provision to the allowance for loan losses through the provision expense. For the second quarter of 2009, the Company took \$2.1 million to the provision expense to reserve for these potential losses.

As a result of these actions, management recorded a \$2.9 million provision for losses on loans in 2009, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 166.9%, compared to the \$1.1 million provision recorded in 2008. In management's opinion, the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses from loans at December 31, 2009. However, there can be no assurance that regulators, when reviewing the Bank's loan portfolio in the future, will not require increases in its allowances for loan losses or that changes in economic conditions will not adversely affect the Bank's loan portfolio.

Other Income

Other income increased \$1.0 million from \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, a total of 29.8%. This increase was fueled primarily by strong sales of one- to four-family residential loans to the secondary market and gains on the sale of investments offset by slight decreases in income from trust and wealth management services and elimination of the third party loan brokerage commissions seen in 2008.

Over the period the Company originated over \$45 million in loans to the FHLMC (Freddie Mac), with the total of loans sold with servicing retained increasing from \$75.7 million to \$92.0 million. Gains realized from the sales of Freddie Mac loans for the period ending December 31, 2009 was \$1.0 million, up \$803,000, or 349.1%, from the

\$230,000 for the same period ended December 31, 2008. Associated income for origination of these loans was approximately \$208,000 for 2009 as compared to \$91,000 for the same period of 2008. Costs associated with originating these loans was approximately \$180,000 for 2009, as compared to \$16,000 for 2008. The Company realizes approximately \$200,000 per year for servicing Freddie Mac loans, a significant part of other income.

Gains on the sale of investments for the year ended December 31, 2009 were \$133,000, up \$81,000 or 155.8% from the \$52,000 recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008. These gains were realized as the Company took advantage of gain positions on securities containing call provisions, expected to be fulfilled within the short term.

Unlike interest income, "Other Income" is not always readily predictable and is subject to variations depending on outside influences.

General, Administrative and Other Expense

General, administrative and other expense totaled \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, an increase of \$921,000, or 11.0%, over the 2008 total. Costs associated with personnel, benefits, and occupancy costs decreased slightly as management emphasized cost containment and reduction in workforce through attrition. Nonetheless, while containing the overall cost of personnel, during 2009 the Company added a second professional for business development in Floyd and Clark Counties, Indiana and a second dedicated loan review professional.

Significant expense increases were experienced primarily in two areas. Due to the increased assessment by the FDIC, the Company expensed \$667,000 for assessments for the period ending December 31, 2009 as compared to \$70,000 for the same period in 2008. This was an 852.9%, or \$597,000 increase in FDIC expense. In connection with expanded regulatory reporting requirements, and the issuance of 5,000 shares of privately offered preferred stock, the Company expensed \$348,000 in professional fees for the period ended December 31, 2009, an increase of \$131,000, or 60.4% over the expensed amount for 2008 of \$217,000.

Other expenses increased by \$245,000 from \$981,000 for the period ended December 31, 2008 to a total of \$1.2 million for the period of December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily due to costs associated with the origination and sale of loans to the FHLMC.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes decreased by \$563,000, or 79.0%, to a total of \$150,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$713,000 for the same period in 2008. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 was 8.13% as compared to 22.23% for the same period in 2008. The decrease in taxable income as a percent of total income, and the complementary increase in non-taxable income as a percent of total income, was responsible for the decrease in the effective tax rate. Primary sources of non-taxable income for the Company are income from investments held by the Bank's Nevada subsidiary and increases in the cash surrender value of Bank-owned life insurance.

AVERAGE BALANCE, YIELD, RATE AND VOLUME DATA

The following table presents certain information relating to River Valley's average balance sheet and reflects the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing annual income or expense by the average daily balance of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, for the years presented. Average balances are derived from daily balances, which include nonaccruing loans in the loan portfolio.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | | | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
| | Average outstanding balance | Interest earned/ paid | Yield/ rate | Average outstanding balance | Interest earned/ paid | Yield/ rate | Average outstanding balance | Interest earned/ paid | Yield/ rate |
| Assets | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning deposits | \$ 15,948 | \$ 32 | 0.20% | \$ 14,600 | \$ 17 | 0.12% | \$ 5,073 | \$ 136 | 2.68% |
| Other securities (1) | 48,137 | 1,481 | 3.08 | 46,820 | 1,748 | 3.73 | 41,009 | 1,803 | 4.40 |
| Mortgage-backed securities (1) | 29,009 | 1,171 | 4.04 | 21,430 | 978 | 4.56 | 13,231 | 686 | 5.18 |
| Loans receivable (2) | 273,772 | 15,859 | 5.79 | 281,048 | 16,305 | 5.80 | 273,007 | 17,527 | 6.42 |
| FHLB stock | 4,791 | 91 | 1.90 | 4,850 | 139 | 2.87 | 4,813 | 183 | 3.80 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 371,657 | 18,634 | 5.01 | 368,748 | 19,187 | 5.20 | 337,133 | 20,335 | 6.03 |
| Noninterest earning assets, net of allowance for loan losses | 21,707 | | | 20,795 | | | 21,871 | | |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 393,364</u> | | | <u>\$ 389,543</u> | | | <u>\$ 359,004</u> | | |
| Liabilities/shareholder equity | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings deposits | \$ 55,783 | \$ 469 | 0.84% | \$ 50,759 | \$ 496 | 0.98% | \$ 40,593 | \$ 535 | 1.32% |
| Interest-bearing demand | 50,466 | 234 | 0.46 | 50,842 | 313 | 0.62 | 46,654 | 607 | 1.30 |
| Certificates of deposit | 156,483 | 3,442 | 2.20 | 144,495 | 4,304 | 2.98 | 121,247 | 4,892 | 4.03 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | 71,717 | 3,156 | 4.40 | 90,720 | 4,209 | 4.64 | 100,583 | 4,842 | 4.81 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 334,449 | 7,301 | 2.18 | 336,816 | 9,322 | 2.77 | 309,077 | 10,876 | 3.52 |
| Other liabilities | 26,840 | | | 26,581 | | | 24,335 | | |
| Total liabilities (3) | 361,289 | | | 363,397 | | | 333,312 | | |
| Total equity | 32,075 | | | 26,146 | | | 25,692 | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | <u>\$ 393,364</u> | | | <u>\$ 389,543</u> | | | <u>\$ 359,004</u> | | |
| Net interest earning assets | <u>\$ 37,208</u> | | | <u>\$ 31,932</u> | | | <u>\$ 28,056</u> | | |
| Net interest income | | <u>\$ 11,333</u> | | | <u>\$ 9,865</u> | | | <u>\$ 9,459</u> | |
| Interest rate spread (4) | | | 2.83% | | | 2.43% | | | 2.51% |
| Net yield on weighted average interest-earning assets (5) | | | 3.05% | | | 2.68% | | | 2.81% |
| Average interest-earning assets to average bearing liabilities | | | 111.13% | | | 109.48% | | | 109.08% |

(1) Includes securities available for sale at amortized cost prior to fair value adjustments.

(2) Total loans less loans in process plus loans held for sale.

(3) Includes Noninterest Demand Deposit Accounts of \$23,858, \$24,332 and \$20,995, previously reported as part of total interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Interest rate spread is calculated by subtracting weighted average interest rate cost from weighted average interest rate yield for the period indicated.

(5) The net yield on weighted average interest-earning assets is calculated by dividing net interest income by weighted average interest-earning assets for the period indicated.

Rate/Volume Table

The following table describes the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in volume of interest-related assets and liabilities have affected River Valley's interest income and expense during the years indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by prior year rate), (ii) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior year volume), and (iii) total changes in rate and volume. The combined effects of changes in both volume and rate, which cannot be separately identified, have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 vs. 2009 | | | 2009 vs. 2008 | | |
| | Volume | Increase (decrease) due to Rate | Total | Volume | Increase (decrease) due to Rate | Total |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | \$ - | \$ (33) | \$ (33) | \$ 95 | \$ (258) | \$ (163) |
| Investment securities | 364 | (438) | (74) | 619 | (382) | 237 |
| Loans receivable, net | (422) | (24) | (446) | 505 | (1,727) | (1,222) |
| Total | (58) | (495) | (553) | 1,219 | (2,367) | (1,148) |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Deposits | 379 | (1,347) | (968) | 821 | (1,742) | (921) |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | (845) | (208) | (1,053) | (462) | (171) | (633) |
| Total | (466) | (1,555) | (2,021) | 359 | (1,913) | (1,554) |
| Net change in interest income | \$ 408 | \$ 1,060 | \$ 1,468 | \$ 860 | \$ (454) | \$ 406 |

Asset and Liability Management

Like other financial institutions, River Valley Financial is subject to interest rate risk to the extent that interest-earning assets re-price differently than interest-bearing liabilities. As part of its effort to monitor and manage interest rate risk, River Valley Financial is using the Net Portfolio Value ("NPV") methodology adopted by the OTS as part of its capital regulations.

Presented on the following table is an analysis of River Valley Financial's interest rate risk, as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, as measured by changes in NPV for an instantaneous and sustained parallel shift of 100 through 300 basis points in market interest rates.

Generally, NPV is more sensitive to rising rates than declining rates. Such difference in sensitivity occurs principally because, as rates rise, a bank's assets reprice slower than the deposits that fund them. As a result, in a rising interest rate environment, the amount of interest a bank would receive on loans would increase as loans are slowly prepaid and new loans at higher rates are made. Moreover, the interest the bank would pay on deposits would increase, but generally slower than the bank's ability to reprice its interest-earning assets. However, River Valley Financial Bank has addressed some of these issues, which has generally reduced its overall exposure to interest rate risk.

As of December 31, 2010
(Dollars in thousands)

| Change in Interest Rates (basis points) | Estimated NPV | Amount of Change | Percent |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| +300 | \$ 51,043 | \$ 2,554 | +5% |
| +200 | 50,577 | 2,088 | +4 |
| +100 | 49,667 | 1,178 | +2 |
| - | 48,489 | - | - |
| -100 | 47,307 | (1,182) | -2 |
| -200 (1) | | | |
| -300 (1) | | | |

As of December 31, 2009
(Dollars in thousands)

| Change in Interest Rates (basis points) | Change in Interest Rates (basis points) | Change in Interest Rates (basis points) | Change in Interest Rates (basis points) |
|--|--|--|--|
| +300 | \$ 43,802 | \$ (1,939) | -4% |
| +200 | 45,559 | (182) | - |
| +100 | 45,695 | (45) | - |
| - | 45,741 | - | - |
| -100 | 44,600 | (1,141) | -2 |
| -200 (1) | | | |
| -300 (1) | | | |

-
- (1) At December 31, 2010 and at December 31, 2009, the OTS did not provide information as to interest rate risk for a 300 point or a 200 point decrease.

As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, certain shortcomings are inherent in the NPV approach. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, expected rates of prepayment on loans and mortgage-backed securities and early withdrawal levels from certificates of deposit would likely deviate significantly from those assumed in making the risk calculations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's principal sources of funds are deposits, loan and mortgage-backed securities repayments, maturities of securities, borrowings and other funds provided by operations. While scheduled loan repayments and maturing investments are relatively predictable, deposit flows and loan and mortgage-backed securities prepayments are more influenced by interest rates, general economic conditions and competition. The Company maintains investments in liquid assets based upon management's assessment of (1) the need for funds, (2) expected deposit flows, (3) the yield available on short-term liquid assets and (4) the objectives of the asset/liability management program.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had commitments to originate loans totaling \$12 million and in addition, had undisbursed loans in process, unused lines of credit and standby letters of credit totaling \$25 million.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had \$3.3 million in commitments to sell loans.

OTS regulations require the Bank to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure its safe and sound operation. The Company considers the Bank's liquidity and capital resources sufficient to meet outstanding short- and long-term needs.

The Company's liquidity, primarily represented by cash and cash equivalents, is a result of the funds provided by or used in the Company's operating, investing and financing activities. These activities are summarized below for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | \$ 5,202 | \$ 2,581 | \$ 4,690 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of securities | (23,277) | (51,513) | (23,914) |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities | 17,224 | 20,638 | 19,949 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities | 10,655 | 4,736 | 10,016 |
| Net loan originations | 7,582 | 5,143 | (28,273) |
| Other | 12 | 257 | (521) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 8,594 | 28,809 | 28,095 |
| Net increase (decrease) in borrowings | (21,000) | (11,000) | (5,000) |
| Purchase of stock | - | - | (1,821) |
| Issuance of preferred stock | - | 5,000 | - |
| Other | (1,591) | (1,297) | (1,325) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3,401 | \$ 3,354 | \$ 1,896 |

The Bank is required by applicable law and regulation to meet certain minimum capital standards. Such capital standards include a tangible capital requirement, a core capital requirement, or leverage ratio, and a risk-based capital requirement.

The tangible capital requirement requires savings associations to maintain "tangible capital" of not less than 1.5% of the association's adjusted total assets. Tangible capital is defined in OTS regulations as core capital minus intangible assets. "Core capital" is comprised of common shareholders' equity (including retained earnings), noncumulative preferred stock and related surplus, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, certain nonwithdrawable accounts and pledged deposits of mutual associations. OTS regulations require savings associations to maintain core capital generally equal to 4% of the association's total assets except those associations with the highest examination rating and acceptable levels of risk.

OTS regulations require that savings associations maintain "risk-based capital" in an amount not less than 8% of "risk-weighted assets." Risk-based capital is defined as core capital plus certain additional items of capital, which in the case of the Bank includes a general loan loss allowance of \$2.6 million at December 31, 2010.

The Bank exceeded all of its regulatory capital requirements at December 31, 2010. The following table summarizes the Bank's regulatory capital requirements and regulatory capital at December 31, 2010:

| | OTS Requirement | | Actual Amount | | Amount of Excess |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | Percent of Assets | Amount | Percent of Assets (1) | Amount | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Tangible capital | 1.50% | \$ 5,788 | 9.70% | \$ 37,415 | \$ 31,627 |
| Core capital (2) | 4.00 | 15,434 | 9.70% | 37,415 | 21,981 |
| Risk-based capital | 8.00 | 21,454 | 14.92% | 40,007 | 18,553 |

- (1) Tangible and core capital levels are shown as a percentage of total assets; risk-based capital levels are shown as a percentage of risk-weighted assets.
- (2) The OTS has proposed and is expected to adopt a core capital requirement for savings associations comparable to that adopted by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency for national banks. The regulation requires core capital of at least 3% of total adjusted assets for savings associations that received the highest supervisory rating for safety and soundness, and 4% to 5% for all other savings associations. The Bank is in compliance with this requirement.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which require the Company to measure financial position and results of operations in terms of historical dollars with the exception of investment and mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value. Changes in the relative value of money due to inflation or recession are generally not considered.

In management's opinion, changes in interest rates affect the financial condition of a financial institution to a far greater degree than changes in the rate of inflation. While interest rates are greatly influenced by changes in the rate of inflation, they do not change at the same rate or in the same magnitude as the rate of inflation. Rather, interest rate volatility is based on changes in the expected rate of inflation, as well as changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on the Company's financial condition, change in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors. The term "off-balance sheet arrangement" generally means any transaction, agreement, or other contractual arrangement to which any entity unconsolidated with the Company is a party and under which the company has (i) any obligation arising under a guarantee contract, derivative instrument or variable interest; or (ii) a retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to such entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support for such assets.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable for Smaller Reporting Companies.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

River Valley Bancorp
December 31, 2010 and 2009

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 55 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Balance Sheets | 56 |
| Statements of Income | 57 |
| Statements of Comprehensive Income | 58 |
| Statements of Stockholders' Equity | 59 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 60 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 61 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders
River Valley Bancorp
Madison, Indiana

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of River Valley Bancorp as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our audits also included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of River Valley Bancorp as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



BKD, LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana
March 16, 2011

River Valley Bancorp

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31, 2010 and 2009

Assets

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | (In Thousands, Except Share Amounts) | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 2,021 | \$ 2,021 |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 12,864 | 9,465 |
| Federal funds sold | <u>1,903</u> | <u>1,901</u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 16,788 | 13,387 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 75,231 | 79,561 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,089 | 175 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$3,806 and \$2,611 | 265,448 | 276,591 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 7,975 | 7,522 |
| Real estate, held for sale | 400 | 253 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 4,496 | 4,850 |
| Interest receivable | 1,953 | 2,211 |
| Cash value of life insurance | 9,532 | 8,202 |
| Goodwill | 79 | 31 |
| Other assets | <u>3,618</u> | <u>3,379</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 386,609</u> | <u>\$ 396,162</u> |

Liabilities

| | | |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 23,480 | \$ 23,448 |
| Interest-bearing | <u>262,857</u> | <u>253,138</u> |
| Total deposits | 286,337 | 276,586 |
| Borrowings | 65,217 | 86,217 |
| Interest payable | 485 | 669 |
| Other liabilities | <u>3,102</u> | <u>1,975</u> |
| Total liabilities | <u>355,141</u> | <u>365,447</u> |

Commitments and Contingencies

Stockholders' Equity

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Preferred stock - liquidation preference \$1,000 per share - no par value | | |
| Authorized - 2,000,000 shares | | |
| Issued and outstanding - 5,000 and 5,000 shares | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Common stock, no par value | | |
| Authorized - 5,000,000 shares | | |
| Issued and outstanding - 1,514,472 and 1,504,472 shares | 7,546 | 7,471 |
| Retained earnings | 18,479 | 17,791 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | <u>443</u> | <u>453</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u>31,468</u> | <u>30,715</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$ 386,609</u> | <u>\$ 396,162</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Consolidated Statements of Income

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--|-----------------|
| | (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) | |
| Interest Income | | |
| Loans receivable | \$ 15,859 | \$ 16,305 |
| Investment securities | 2,652 | 2,726 |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | <u>123</u> | <u>156</u> |
| Total interest income | <u>18,634</u> | <u>19,187</u> |
| Interest Expense | | |
| Deposits | 4,145 | 5,113 |
| Borrowings | <u>3,156</u> | <u>4,209</u> |
| Total interest expense | <u>7,301</u> | <u>9,322</u> |
| Net Interest Income | 11,333 | 9,865 |
| Provision for loan losses | <u>2,645</u> | <u>2,883</u> |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses | <u>8,688</u> | <u>6,982</u> |
| Other Income | | |
| Service fees and charges | 2,026 | 2,183 |
| Net realized gains on available-for-sale securities | 347 | 133 |
| Net gains on loan sales | 721 | 1,033 |
| Interchange fee income | 376 | 309 |
| Increase in cash value of life insurance | 331 | 330 |
| Other income | <u>101</u> | <u>180</u> |
| Total other income | <u>3,902</u> | <u>4,168</u> |
| Other Expenses | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 5,012 | 4,740 |
| Net occupancy and equipment expenses | 1,329 | 1,231 |
| Data processing fees | 480 | 396 |
| Advertising | 413 | 366 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 151 | 177 |
| Office supplies | 158 | 153 |
| Professional fees | 350 | 348 |
| FDIC premium assessment | 463 | 667 |
| Other expenses | <u>1,362</u> | <u>1,226</u> |
| Total other expenses | <u>9,718</u> | <u>9,304</u> |
| Income Before Income Tax | 2,872 | 1,846 |
| Income tax expense | <u>552</u> | <u>150</u> |
| Net Income | 2,320 | 1,696 |
| Preferred stock dividends | <u>363</u> | <u>42</u> |
| Net Income Available to Common Stockholders | <u>\$ 1,957</u> | <u>\$ 1,654</u> |
| Basic Earnings per Share | <u>\$ 1.30</u> | <u>\$ 1.10</u> |
| Diluted Earnings per Share | <u>\$ 1.29</u> | <u>\$ 1.09</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Net Income | \$ 2,320 | \$ 1,696 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale | | |
| Unrealized holding gains arising during the period, net of tax expense of \$140 and \$421 | 208 | 791 |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax expense of \$130 and \$47 | <u>218</u> | <u>86</u> |
| | <u>(10)</u> | <u>705</u> |
| Comprehensive Income | <u>\$ 2,310</u> | <u>\$ 2,401</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

| | Shares | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|-----------|
| | (In Thousands, Except Share Amounts) | | | | | |
| Balances, January 1, 2009 | 1,500,322 | \$ - | \$ 7,408 | \$ 17,384 | \$ (252) | \$ 24,540 |
| Net income | | | | 1,696 | | 1,696 |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net of reclassification adjustment | | | | | 705 | 705 |
| Cash dividends (\$.84 per common share) | | | | (1,263) | | (1,263) |
| Cashless exercise of stock options | 4,150 | | | | | - |
| Stock option expense | | | 10 | | | 10 |
| Amortization of expense related to stock compensation plans | | | 53 | | | 53 |
| Issuance of 5,000 shares preferred stock, no par value | 5,000 | 5,000 | | | | 5,000 |
| Cash dividends (preferred shares) | | | | (26) | | (26) |
| Common stock outstanding | <u>1,504,472</u> | | | | | |
| Preferred stock outstanding | <u>5,000</u> | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2009 | | \$ 5,000 | \$ 7,471 | \$ 17,791 | \$ 453 | \$ 30,715 |
| Net income | | | | 2,320 | | 2,320 |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net of reclassification adjustment | | | | | (10) | (10) |
| Cash dividends (\$.84 per common share) | | | | (1,269) | | (1,269) |
| Exercise of stock options | 10,000 | | 36 | | | 36 |
| Stock option expense | | | 10 | | | 10 |
| Amortization of expense related to stock compensation plans | | | 29 | | | 29 |
| Cash dividends (preferred shares) | | | | (363) | | (363) |
| Common stock outstanding | <u>1,514,472</u> | | | | | |
| Preferred stock outstanding | <u>5,000</u> | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2010 | | \$ 5,000 | \$ 7,546 | \$ 18,479 | \$ 443 | \$ 31,468 |

River Valley Bancorp

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,320 | \$ 1,696 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,645 | 2,883 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 501 | 464 |
| Deferred income tax | (127) | (83) |
| Investment securities gains | (347) | (133) |
| Loans originated for sale in the secondary market | (26,099) | (45,629) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans in the secondary market | 25,672 | 46,174 |
| Gain on sale of loans | (721) | (1,033) |
| Amortization of net loan origination cost | 105 | 127 |
| Employee Stock Ownership Plan Compensation | 29 | 53 |
| Net change in | | |
| Interest receivable | 258 | (24) |
| Interest payable | (184) | (35) |
| Prepaid FDIC assessment | 428 | (1,631) |
| Other adjustments | 722 | (198) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | <u>5,202</u> | <u>2,631</u> |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from sale of FHLB stock | 354 | - |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (23,277) | (51,513) |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale | 17,224 | 20,638 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 10,655 | 4,736 |
| Net change in loans | 7,582 | 5,093 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (379) | (286) |
| Purchase of cash value life insurance | (1,000) | - |
| Net cash received in branch acquisition | 582 | - |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate acquired through foreclosure | 590 | 4 |
| Other investing activities | (135) | 539 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | <u>12,196</u> | <u>(20,789)</u> |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net change in | | |
| Noninterest-bearing, interest-bearing demand and savings deposits | 1,888 | 11,197 |
| Certificates of deposit | 6,706 | 17,612 |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 22,000 | 6,000 |
| Repayment of borrowings | (43,000) | (17,000) |
| Cash dividends | (1,631) | (1,288) |
| Issue shares of preferred stock | - | 5,000 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 36 | - |
| Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 4 | (9) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | <u>(13,997)</u> | <u>21,512</u> |
| Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 3,401 | 3,354 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year | <u>13,387</u> | <u>10,033</u> |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year | <u>\$ 16,788</u> | <u>\$ 13,387</u> |
| Additional Cash Flows and Supplementary Information | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 7,485 | \$ 9,357 |
| Income tax paid, net of refunds | 666 | 221 |
| Transfers to real estate held for sale | 811 | 610 |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 1: Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of River Valley Bancorp (Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, River Valley Financial Bank (Bank) and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiaries, Madison 1st Service Corporation (First Service), RVFB Investments, Inc. (RVFB Investments), RVFB Holdings, Inc. (RVFB Holdings), and RVFB Portfolio, LLC (RVFB Portfolio), conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and reporting practices followed by the thrift industry. The more significant of the policies are described below.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company is a thrift holding company whose principal activity is the ownership and management of the Bank. The Bank operates under a federal thrift charter and provides full banking services, in a single significant business segment. As a federally chartered thrift, the Bank is subject to regulation by the Office of Thrift Supervision and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

The Bank generates commercial, mortgage and consumer loans and receives deposits from customers located primarily in southeastern Indiana and adjacent areas in Kentucky. The Bank's loans are generally secured by specific items of collateral including real property, consumer assets and business assets.

Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiary, the Bank. The Bank currently owns four subsidiaries. First Service, which was incorporated under the laws of the State of Indiana on July 3, 1973, currently holds land and cash but does not otherwise engage in significant business activities. RVFB Investments, RVFB Holdings, and RVFB Portfolio were established in Nevada the latter part of 2005. They hold and manage a significant portion of the Bank's investment portfolio. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, cash equivalents consisted of cash accounts with other financial institutions and federal funds sold.

One or more of the financial institutions holding the Company's cash accounts are participating in the FDIC's Transaction Account Guarantee Program. Under the program, through December 31, 2012, all noninterest-bearing transaction accounts at these institutions are fully guaranteed by the FDIC for the entire amount in the account.

For financial institutions opting out of the FDIC's Transaction Account Guarantee Program or for interest-bearing cash accounts, the FDIC's insurance limits increased to \$250,000, effective October 3, 2008. The increase in federally insured limits is currently set to expire December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2010, the Company's cash accounts exceeded federally insured limits by approximately \$14,600,000. Included in this amount is approximately \$10,100,000 with the Federal Reserve Bank and \$2,600,000 with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis.

Investment Securities are classified as "available for sale" and recorded at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method.

Effective April 1, 2009, the Company adopted new accounting guidance related to recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment (ASC 320-10). When the Company does not intend to sell a debt security, and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it recognizes the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. For held-to-maturity

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

debt securities, the amount of an other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income for the noncredit portion of a previous other-than-temporary impairment is amortized prospectively over the remaining life of the security on the basis of the timing of future estimated cash flows of the security.

As a result of this guidance, the Company's consolidated statement of income as of December 31, 2010, would reflect the full impairment (that is, the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and fair value) on debt securities that the Company intends to sell or would more likely than not be required to sell before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. For available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities that management has no intent to sell, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell prior to recovery, only the credit loss component of the impairment would be recognized in earnings, while the noncredit loss would be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. The credit loss component recognized in earnings is identified as the amount of principal cash flows not expected to be received over the remaining term of the security as projected based on cash flow projections. The Company did not record any other-than-temporary impairment during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Prior to the adoption of the accounting guidance in 2009, management considered, in determining whether other-than-temporary impairment exists, (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market. Market is determined using the aggregate method. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income based on the difference between estimated sales proceeds and aggregate cost.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoffs are reported at their outstanding principal balances adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, any deferred fees or costs on originated loans and unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans. Interest income is reported on the interest method and includes amortization of net deferred loan fees and costs over the loan term. Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status at 90 days past due and interest is considered a loss, unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection.

Discounts and premiums on purchased residential real estate loans are amortized to income using the interest method over the remaining period to contractual maturity, adjusted for anticipated prepayments. Discounts and premiums on purchased consumer loans are recognized over the expected lives of the loans using methods that approximate the interest method.

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to income. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectibility of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Groups of loans with similar risk characteristics, including individually evaluated loans not determined to be impaired, are collectively evaluated for impairment based on the group's historical loss experience adjusted for changes in trends, conditions and other relevant factors that affect repayment of the loans. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment measurements.

Premises and equipment are carried at cost net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based principally on the estimated useful lives of the assets that range from three to forty years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the life of the lease or the life of the asset. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred while major additions and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on dispositions are included in current operations.

Foreclosed assets are carried at fair value less estimated selling costs. When foreclosed assets are acquired, any required adjustment is charged to the allowance for loan losses. All subsequent activity is included in current operations.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock is a required investment for institutions that are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. The required investment in the common stock is based on a predetermined formula.

Mortgage servicing rights on originated loans are capitalized by allocating the total cost of the mortgage loans between the mortgage servicing rights and the loans based on their relative fair values. Capitalized servicing rights are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated servicing revenues.

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment. If the implied fair value of goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, a goodwill impairment is indicated and goodwill is written down to its implied fair value. Subsequent increases in goodwill value are not recognized in the financial statements.

Stock options - The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 15.

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with income tax accounting guidance (ASC 740, *Income Taxes*). The income tax accounting guidance results in two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. The Company determines deferred income taxes using the liability method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are recognized if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more likely than not means a likelihood of more than 50 percent; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date and is subject to management's judgment. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties on income taxes as a component of income tax expense.

The Company files consolidated income tax returns with its subsidiaries.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The Company's tax years still subject to examination by taxing authorities are years subsequent to 2006.

Earning Per Share - Basic earnings per share represents income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share reflects additional potential common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from the assumed issuance. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company relate solely to outstanding stock options and are determined using the treasury stock method.

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes. Other comprehensive income includes unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on available-for-sale securities.

Reclassifications of certain amounts in the 2009 consolidated financial statements have been made to conform to the 2010 presentation.

Current Economic Conditions - The current protracted economic decline continues to present financial institutions with circumstances and challenges, which in some cases have resulted in large and unanticipated declines in the fair values of investments and other assets, constraints on liquidity and capital and significant credit quality problems, including severe volatility in the valuation of real estate and other collateral supporting loans.

At December 31, 2010, the Company held \$110,623,000 in commercial real estate and land with approximately \$98,615,000 of that total in loans collateralized by commercial and development real estate, primarily in the Floyd and Clark County, Indiana geographic area. Due to national, state and local economic conditions, values for commercial and development real estate have declined significantly, and the market for these properties is depressed. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared using values and information currently available to the Company.

Given the volatility of current economic conditions, the values of assets and liabilities recorded in the financial statements could change rapidly, resulting in material future adjustments in asset values, the allowance for loan losses and capital that could negatively impact the Company's ability to meet regulatory capital requirements and maintain sufficient liquidity.

Note 2: Restriction on Cash and Due from Banks

The Bank is required to maintain reserve funds in cash and/or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank. The reserve required at December 31, 2010 was \$1,292,000.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 3: Investment Securities

The amortized cost and approximate fair values of securities as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

| 2010 | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Federal agencies | \$ 22,139 | \$ 452 | \$ 63 | \$ 22,528 |
| State and municipal | 22,516 | 463 | 124 | 22,855 |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | 28,113 | 908 | 109 | 28,912 |
| Corporate | <u>1,768</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>832</u> | <u>936</u> |
| Total investment securities | <u>\$ 74,536</u> | <u>\$ 1,823</u> | <u>\$ 1,128</u> | <u>\$ 75,231</u> |
| 2009 | | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Federal agencies | \$ 30,164 | \$ 336 | \$ 103 | \$ 30,397 |
| State and municipal | 20,243 | 551 | 129 | 20,665 |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | 26,682 | 1,010 | 41 | 27,651 |
| Corporate | <u>1,777</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>929</u> | <u>848</u> |
| Total investment securities | <u>\$ 78,866</u> | <u>\$ 1,897</u> | <u>\$ 1,202</u> | <u>\$ 79,561</u> |

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Available-for-Sale | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Within one year | \$ - | \$ - |
| One to five years | 22,060 | 22,440 |
| Five to ten years | 9,793 | 10,015 |
| After ten years | <u>14,570</u> | <u>13,864</u> |
| | 46,423 | 46,319 |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | <u>28,113</u> | <u>28,912</u> |
| Totals | <u>\$ 74,536</u> | <u>\$ 75,231</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Securities with a carrying value of \$3,032,000 were pledged at December 31, 2009 to secure FHLB advances. No securities were pledged for that purpose at December 31, 2010. Securities with a carrying value of \$18,580,000 and \$20,104,000 were pledged at December 31, 2010 and 2009 to secure public deposits and for other purposes as permitted or required by law.

Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale during 2010 and 2009 were \$10,655,000 and \$4,736,000, respectively. Gross gains of \$350,000 and \$133,000 resulting from sales and calls of available-for-sale securities were realized for 2010 and 2009 respectively. Gross losses of \$3,000 were realized from sales and calls of available-for-sale securities in 2010 whereas no losses were realized in 2009.

Certain investments in debt securities are reported in the financial statements at an amount less than their historical cost. Total fair value of these investments at December 31, 2010 and 2009, were \$13,352,000 and \$21,210,000, which is approximately 17.7% and 26.7% of the Company's investment portfolio. Management has the ability and intent to hold securities with unrealized losses to recovery, which may be maturity. Based on evaluation of available evidence, including recent changes in market interest rates, management believes that any decline in fair values for these securities is temporary.

Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting credit portion of the loss recognized in net income and the non-credit portion of the loss would be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

The following tables show our investments' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| Description of Securities | 2010 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Federal agencies | \$ 3,952 | \$ (63) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,952 | \$ (63) |
| State and municipal | 2,752 | (70) | 724 | (54) | 3,476 | (124) |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | 4,988 | (109) | - | - | 4,988 | (109) |
| Corporate | - | - | 936 | (832) | 936 | (832) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ <u>11,692</u> | \$ <u>(242)</u> | \$ <u>1,660</u> | \$ <u>(886)</u> | \$ <u>13,352</u> | \$ <u>(1,128)</u> |

| Description of Securities | 2009 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Federal agencies | \$ 11,985 | \$ (103) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,985 | \$ (103) |
| State and municipal | 1,151 | (14) | 2,101 | (115) | 3,252 | (129) |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | 5,125 | (41) | - | - | 5,125 | (41) |
| Corporate | - | - | 848 | (929) | 848 | (929) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ <u>18,261</u> | \$ <u>(158)</u> | \$ <u>2,949</u> | \$ <u>(1,044)</u> | \$ <u>21,210</u> | \$ <u>(1,202)</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Federal Agencies

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in direct obligations of U.S. government agencies were primarily caused by interest rate changes. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

Residential Mortgage-Backed Agency Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in residential mortgage-backed agency securities were primarily caused by interest rate changes. The Company expects to recover the amortized cost bases over the term of the securities. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

State and Municipal

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in securities of state and political subdivisions were primarily caused by interest rate changes. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

Corporate Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in corporate securities were due to losses on two pooled trust preferred issues held by the Company. The two, ALESCO 9A and PRETSL XXVII, had unrealized losses at December 31, 2010 of \$530,000 and \$302,000, respectively. These two securities are both "A" tranche investments (A2A and A-1 respectively) and have performed as agreed since purchase. The two are rated B2 and Baa3, respectively by Moody's indicating these securities are considered low medium-grade to below investment grade quality and credit risk. Both provide good collateral coverage at those tranche levels, providing protection for the Company. The Company has reviewed the pricing reports for these investments and has determined that the decline in the market price is not other than temporary and indicates thin trading activity rather than a true decline in the value of the investment. Factors considered in reaching this determination included the class or "tranche" held by the Company, the collateral coverage position of the tranches, the limited number of deferrals and defaults on the issues, projected and actual cash flows and the credit ratings. These two investments represent less than 2.4% of the book value of the company's investment portfolio and approximately 1.2% of market value. The Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, and expects to receive all contractual cash flows related to these investments. Based upon these factors, the Company has determined these securities are not other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 4: Loans and Allowance

| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2009</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Residential real estate | | |
| Construction | \$ 6,975 | \$ 7,867 |
| One-to-four family residential | 117,616 | 127,397 |
| Multi-family residential | 14,997 | 12,910 |
| Nonresidential real estate and agricultural land | 89,607 | 87,483 |
| Land (not used for agricultural purposes) | 21,016 | 23,065 |
| Commercial | 16,413 | 17,129 |
| Consumer and other | <u>4,533</u> | <u>4,711</u> |
| | 271,157 | 280,562 |
| Unamortized deferred loan costs | 485 | 464 |
| Undisbursed loans in process | (2,388) | (1,824) |
| Allowance for loan losses | <u>(3,806)</u> | <u>(2,611)</u> |
| Total loans | <u>\$ 265,448</u> | <u>\$ 276,591</u> |

The following tables present the breakdown of the balance in the allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of December 31, 2010.

| | <u>Residential</u> | | | | <u>Land</u> | <u>Commercial</u> | <u>Consumer</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | <u>Construction</u> | <u>1-4 Family</u> | <u>Multi-Family</u> | <u>Nonresidential</u> | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2009 | \$ 52 | \$ 700 | \$ 63 | \$ 1,636 | \$ 83 | \$ 46 | \$ 31 | \$ 2,611 |
| Provision for losses | (13) | 278 | 83 | 1,162 | 893 | 72 | 170 | 2,645 |
| Loans charged off | (4) | (250) | (8) | (1,428) | - | (405) | (130) | (2,225) |
| Recoveries on loans | - | 18 | - | 262 | - | 444 | 51 | 775 |
| Balances, December 31, 2010 | \$ 35 | \$ 746 | \$ 138 | \$ 1,632 | \$ 976 | \$ 157 | \$ 122 | \$ 3,806 |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: | | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment: | \$ 14 | \$ 200 | \$ 34 | \$ 351 | \$ 578 | \$ 37 | \$ - | \$ 1,214 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment: | 21 | 546 | 104 | 1,281 | 398 | 120 | 122 | 2,592 |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment: | 278 | 7,769 | 910 | 6,493 | 6,341 | 901 | - | 22,692 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment: | 6,697 | 109,847 | 14,087 | 83,114 | 14,675 | 15,512 | 4,533 | 248,465 |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

| | <u>2009</u> |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Allowance for loan losses | |
| Balances, January 1 | \$ 2,364 |
| Provision for losses | 2,883 |
| Loans charged off | (2,763) |
| Recoveries on loans | <u>127</u> |
| Balances, December 31 | <u>\$ 2,611</u> |

The following table presents the credit risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio based on rating category as of December 31, 2010:

| | Total Portfolio | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Construction | \$ 6,975 | \$ 6,681 | \$ 16 | \$ 278 | \$ - |
| 1-4 family residential | 117,616 | 107,010 | 5,256 | 5,224 | 126 |
| Multi-family residential | 14,997 | 14,087 | - | 334 | 576 |
| Nonresidential | 89,607 | 81,624 | 1,471 | 6,512 | - |
| Land | 21,016 | 14,199 | 2,845 | 3,972 | - |
| Commercial | 16,413 | 15,290 | 230 | 893 | - |
| Consumer | <u>4,533</u> | <u>4,188</u> | <u>25</u> | <u>320</u> | <u>-</u> |
| Total Loans | <u>\$ 271,157</u> | <u>\$ 243,079</u> | <u>\$ 9,843</u> | <u>\$ 17,533</u> | <u>\$ 702</u> |

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt, such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually on an ongoing basis by classifying the loans as to credit risk, assigning grade classifications. Loan grade classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful, or loss are reported to the Company's board of directors monthly. The Company uses the following definitions for credit risk grade classifications:

Pass: Loans not meeting the criteria below are considered to be pass rated loans.

Special Mention: These assets are currently protected, but potentially weak. They have credit deficiencies deserving a higher degree of attention by management. These assets do not presently exhibit a sufficient degree of risk to warrant adverse classification. Concerns may lie with cash flow, liquidity, leverage, collateral, or industry conditions. These are graded special mention so that the appropriate level of attention is administered to prevent a move to a "substandard" rating.

Substandard: By regulatory definition, "substandard" loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the collateral pledged. These types of loans have well defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. A distinct possibility exists that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. These loans are considered workout credits. They exhibit at least one of the following characteristics.

- An expected loan payment is in excess of 90 days past due (non-performing), or non-earning.
- The financial condition of the borrower has deteriorated to such a point that close monitoring is necessary. Payments do not necessarily have to be past due.
- Repayment from the primary source of repayment is gone or impaired.
- The borrower has filed for bankruptcy protection.
- The loans are inadequately protected by the net worth and cash flow of the borrower.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

- The guarantors have been called upon to make payments.
- The borrower has exhibited a continued inability to reduce principal (although interest payment may be current).
- The bank is considering a legal action against the borrower.
- The collateral position has deteriorated to a point where there is a possibility the bank may sustain some loss. This may be due to the financial condition, improper documentation, or to a reduction in the value of the collateral.
- Although loss may not seem likely, the Company has gone to extraordinary lengths (restructuring with extraordinary lengths) to protect its position in order to maintain a high probability of repayment.
- Flaws in documentation leave the Company in a subordinated or unsecured position.

Doubtful: These loans exhibit the same characteristics as those rated “substandard,” plus weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. This would include inadequately secured loans that are being liquidated, and inadequately protected loans for which the likelihood of liquidation is high. This classification is temporary. Pending events are expected to materially reduce the amount of the loss. This means that the “doubtful” classification will result in either a partial or complete loss on the loan (write-down or specific reserve), with reclassification of the asset as “substandard,” or removal of the asset from the classified list, as in foreclosure or full loss.

The following table presents the Company’s loan portfolio aging analysis as of December 31, 2010:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivables | Total Loans 90 Days and Accruing |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| (In Thousands, Except Share Amounts) | | | | | | | |
| Construction | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 165 | \$ 165 | \$ 6,810 | \$ 6,975 | \$ - |
| 1-4 family residential | 132 | 839 | 2,304 | 3,275 | 114,341 | 117,616 | - |
| Multi-family residential | - | - | 910 | 910 | 14,087 | 14,997 | - |
| Nonresidential | - | - | 4,673 | 4,673 | 84,934 | 89,607 | - |
| Land | - | 372 | 1,749 | 2,121 | 18,895 | 21,016 | - |
| Commercial | 542 | - | 265 | 807 | 15,606 | 16,413 | - |
| Consumer | 37 | - | 315 | 352 | 4,181 | 4,533 | - |
| | <u>\$ 711</u> | <u>\$ 1,211</u> | <u>\$ 10,381</u> | <u>\$ 12,303</u> | <u>\$ 258,854</u> | <u>\$ 271,157</u> | <u>\$ -</u> |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had non-accruing loans totaling \$10,381,000 and \$7,199,000, respectively, and equaled the loans delinquent 90 days or more. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were no accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more.

A loan is considered impaired, in accordance with the impairment accounting guidance (ASC 310-10-35-16), when based on current information and events, it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Impaired loans include non-performing commercial loans but also include loans modified in troubled debt restructurings where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. These concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The following tables present various breakdowns of impaired loans as of December 31, 2010:

| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Specific Allowance | Average Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Impaired loans without a specific allowance: | | | | | |
| Construction | \$ 113 | \$ 113 | \$ - | \$ 260 | \$ 9 |
| 1-4 family residential | 5,834 | 6,011 | - | 2,602 | 67 |
| Multi-family residential | 334 | 334 | - | 875 | 16 |
| Nonresidential | 5,106 | 6,405 | - | 6,125 | 141 |
| Land | 2,856 | 3,366 | - | 1,609 | 51 |
| Commercial | 381 | 410 | - | 713 | 32 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| | <u>\$ 14,624</u> | <u>\$ 16,639</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 12,184</u> | <u>\$ 316</u> |
| Impaired loans with a specific allowance: | | | | | |
| Construction | \$ 165 | \$ 165 | \$ 14 | 165 | \$ 1 |
| 1-4 family residential | 1,935 | 1,935 | 200 | 1,866 | 43 |
| Multi-family residential | 576 | 576 | 34 | 230 | - |
| Nonresidential | 1,387 | 1,387 | 351 | 792 | - |
| Land | 3,485 | 3,485 | 578 | 1,779 | 8 |
| Commercial | 520 | 520 | 37 | 520 | 34 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| | <u>\$ 8,068</u> | <u>\$ 8,068</u> | <u>\$ 1,214</u> | <u>\$ 5,352</u> | <u>\$ 86</u> |
| Total Impaired Loans: | | | | | |
| Construction | \$ 278 | \$ 278 | \$ 14 | \$ 425 | \$ 10 |
| 1-4 family residential | 7,769 | 7,946 | 200 | 4,468 | 110 |
| Multi-family residential | 910 | 910 | 34 | 1,105 | 16 |
| Nonresidential | 6,493 | 7,792 | 351 | 6,917 | 141 |
| Land | 6,341 | 6,851 | 578 | 3,388 | 59 |
| Commercial | 901 | 930 | 37 | 1,233 | 66 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| | <u>\$ 22,692</u> | <u>\$ 24,707</u> | <u>\$ 1,214</u> | <u>\$ 17,536</u> | <u>\$ 402</u> |

For 2010, interest income recognized on a cash basis included above totaled \$27,000.

Impaired loans totaled \$15,803,000 at December 31, 2009, and had no related allowance. For 2009, interest income recognized on average impaired loans of \$9,850,000 was \$226,000 of which \$28,000 was recognized on a cash basis.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 5: Premises and Equipment

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Land | \$ 1,649 | \$ 1,503 |
| Buildings | 6,724 | 6,262 |
| Leasehold improvements | 715 | 712 |
| Equipment | 4,358 | 3,981 |
| Construction in progress | 14 | 54 |
| Total cost | 13,460 | 12,512 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (5,485) | (4,990) |
| Net | \$ 7,975 | \$ 7,522 |

Note 6: Deposits

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Demand deposits | \$ 118,044 | \$ 100,906 |
| Savings deposits | 52,205 | 66,748 |
| Certificates and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 50,123 | 44,843 |
| Other certificates and time deposits | 65,965 | 64,089 |
| Total deposits | \$ 286,337 | \$ 276,586 |
| Certificates and other time deposits maturing in | | |
| 2011 | \$ 65,514 | |
| 2012 | 28,603 | |
| 2013 | 7,668 | |
| 2014 | 9,103 | |
| 2015 | 5,043 | |
| Thereafter | 157 | |
| Total | \$ 116,088 | |

Note 7: Borrowings

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | \$ 58,000 | \$ 79,000 |
| Subordinated debentures | 7,217 | 7,217 |
| Total borrowings | \$ 65,217 | \$ 86,217 |

Maturities by year for advances at December 31, 2010 are \$5,000,000 in 2011, \$13,000,000 in 2012, \$6,750,000 in 2013, \$12,750,000 in 2014, \$12,750,000 in 2015 and \$7,750,000 in 2016 and thereafter. The weighted-average interest rate at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was 3.84% and 4.56%.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The Federal Home Loan Bank advances are secured by loans totaling \$172,886,000 at December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, no securities were pledged for advances. Advances are subject to restrictions or penalties in the event of prepayment.

On March 13, 2003, the Company formed RIVR Statutory Trust I (Trust), a statutory trust formed under the laws of the State of Connecticut. On March 26, 2003, the Trust issued 7,000 Fixed/Floating Rate Capital Securities with a liquidation amount of \$1,000 per Capital Security in a private placement to an offshore entity for an aggregate offering price of \$7,000,000, and 217 Common Securities with a liquidation amount of \$1,000 per Common Security to the Company for \$217,000. The aggregate proceeds of \$7,217,000 were used by the Trust to purchase \$7,217,000 in Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures from the Company. The Debentures and the Common and Capital Securities have a term of 30 years, bear interest at the annual rate of 6.4% for five years and thereafter bear interest at the rate of the 3-Month LIBOR plus 3.15%. The Company has guaranteed payment of amounts owed by the Trust to holders of the Capital Securities.

Note 8: Loan Servicing

Loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The risks inherent in mortgage servicing assets relate primarily to changes in prepayments that result from shifts in mortgage interest rates. The unpaid principal balances of mortgage and other loans serviced for others were \$94,408,000 and \$92,019,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Mortgage servicing rights are included in other assets in the balance sheet. The following summarizes the activity pertaining to mortgage servicing rights measured using the amortization method, along with the aggregate activity in related valuation allowances:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Mortgage servicing rights | | |
| Balances, January 1 | \$ 543 | \$ 239 |
| Servicing rights capitalized | 234 | 443 |
| Amortization of servicing rights | <u>(139)</u> | <u>(139)</u> |
| Balance at end of year | <u>638</u> | <u>543</u> |
| Valuation allowances | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 38 | - |
| Additions | <u>12</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Balances at end of year | <u>50</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Mortgage servicing assets, net | \$ <u>588</u> | \$ <u>505</u> |
| Fair value disclosures | | |
| Fair value as of the beginning of the period | \$ 825 | \$ 868 |
| Fair value as of the end of the period | 834 | 825 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, a valuation allowance was necessary to adjust the cost basis of certain pools of the mortgage servicing rights asset to fair value.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 9: Income Tax

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | |
| Currently payable | | |
| Federal | \$ 639 | \$ 245 |
| State | 40 | (12) |
| Deferred | | |
| Federal | (119) | (56) |
| State | (8) | (27) |
| Total income tax expense | <u>\$ 552</u> | <u>\$ 150</u> |
| Reconciliation of federal statutory to actual tax expense (benefit) | | |
| Federal statutory income tax at 34% | \$ 976 | \$ 627 |
| Effect of state income taxes | 21 | (26) |
| Tax exempt interest | (282) | (272) |
| Cash value of life insurance | (112) | (112) |
| Other | (51) | (67) |
| Actual tax expense | <u>\$ 552</u> | <u>\$ 150</u> |
| Effective tax rate | 19.2% | 8.13% |

A cumulative net deferred tax asset is included in the balance sheets. The components of the asset are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Assets | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 1,448 | \$ 1,001 |
| Deferred compensation | 387 | 362 |
| Purchase accounting adjustments | 30 | 35 |
| Pension and employee benefits | 19 | - |
| Alternative minimum tax carryforward | - | 131 |
| Other | 57 | 130 |
| Total assets | <u>1,941</u> | <u>1,659</u> |
| Liabilities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (794) | (661) |
| Loan fees | (185) | (178) |
| Pension and employee benefits | - | (23) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | (224) | (193) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends | (92) | (100) |
| Prepaid expenses | (180) | (169) |
| Available-for-sale securities | (253) | (243) |
| Other | (40) | (36) |
| Total liabilities | <u>(1,768)</u> | <u>(1,603)</u> |
| | <u>\$ 173</u> | <u>\$ 56</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Retained earnings include approximately \$2,100,000 for which no deferred income tax liability has been recognized. This amount represents an allocation of income to bad debt deductions as of December 31, 1987 for tax purposes only. Reduction of amounts so allocated for purposes other than tax bad debt losses or adjustments arising from carryback of net operating losses would create income for tax purposes only, which income would be subject to the then-current corporate income tax rate. The unrecorded deferred income tax liability on the above amount was approximately \$714,000.

Note 10: Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

In the normal course of business there are outstanding commitments and contingent liabilities, such as commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, which are not included in the accompanying financial statements. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual or notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making such commitments as it does for instruments that are included in the consolidated balance sheets.

Financial instruments whose contract amount represents credit risk as of December 31 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 36,852 | \$ 31,176 |
| Standby letters of credit | 250 | 1,008 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party.

The Company and subsidiaries lease operating facilities under lease arrangements expiring 2011 through 2029. Rental expense included in the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$229,000 and \$213,000.

Future minimum lease payments under the leases are:

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 215 |
| 2012 | 216 |
| 2013 | 224 |
| 2014 | 235 |
| 2015 | 195 |
| Thereafter | <u>1,618</u> |
| Total minimum lease payments | <u>\$ 2,703</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The Company and Bank are also subject to claims and lawsuits which arise primarily in the ordinary course of business. It is the opinion of management that the disposition or ultimate resolution of such claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

Note 11: Dividend and Capital Restrictions

Without prior approval, current regulations allow the Bank to pay dividends to the Company not exceeding net profits (as defined) for the current year plus those for the previous two years. The Bank normally restricts dividends to a lesser amount because of the need to maintain an adequate capital structure. Also, the Bank's Plan of Conversion from its 1996 conversion from mutual to stock form imposes additional limitations on the amount of distributions to the Company, limiting distributions to prevent the Company's net worth from being reduced below the amount required for a liquidation account. At December 31, 2010, the stockholder's equity of the Bank was \$37,962,000 of which approximately \$33,725,000 was restricted from dividend distribution to the Company.

Note 12: Preferred Stock

During 2009, the Company raised \$5,000,000 through a private placement of cumulative preferred stock. From the proceeds, \$2,500,000 was contributed to the Bank. The preferred stock carries a liquidation value of \$1,000 per share and pays dividends quarterly to shareholders at a rate of 7.25% per annum for the first five years. After the fifth year, the rate increases to 9% per annum and the Company has the option to redeem the preferred stock in whole or part at a price equal to the sum of the liquidation amount per share and any accrued and unpaid dividends, regardless of whether any dividends are actually declared.

Note 13: Regulatory Capital

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier I capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2010, the most recent notification from the Office of Thrift Supervision categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The Bank's actual and required capital amounts and ratios are as follows:

| | Actual | | Required for Adequate Capital | | To Be Well Capitalized | |
|--|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| 2010 | | | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets) | \$ 40,007 | 14.92% | \$ 21,454 | 8.00% | \$ 26,818 | 10.00% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 37,415 | 13.95% | 10,727 | 4.00% | 16,091 | 6.00% |
| Core capital (to adjusted total assets) | 37,415 | 9.70% | 15,434 | 4.00% | 19,292 | 5.00% |
| Core capital (to adjusted tangible assets) | 37,415 | 9.70% | 7,717 | 2.00% | N/A | N/A |
| Tangible capital (to adjusted total assets) | 37,415 | 9.70% | 5,788 | 1.50% | N/A | N/A |
| 2009 | | | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets) | \$ 37,480 | 13.45% | \$ 22,291 | 8.00% | \$ 27,864 | 10.00% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 34,869 | 12.51% | 11,146 | 4.00% | 16,719 | 6.00% |
| Core capital (to adjusted total assets) | 34,869 | 8.82% | 15,815 | 4.00% | 19,769 | 5.00% |
| Core capital (to adjusted tangible assets) | 34,869 | 8.82% | 7,908 | 2.00% | N/A | N/A |
| Tangible capital (to adjusted total assets) | 34,869 | 8.82% | 5,931 | 1.50% | N/A | N/A |

Note 14: Employee Benefits

The Bank provides pension benefits for substantially all of the Bank's employees who were employed by the Bank prior to September 1, 2005, through its participation in a pension fund known as the Pentegra Group. This plan is a multi-employer plan; separate actuarial valuations are not made with respect to each participating employer. Pension expense related to this plan was \$193,000 and \$144,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Bank has a retirement savings 401(k) plan in which substantially all employees may participate. Prior to 2009, the Bank provided a match for employee contributions for all contributing employees with a match of 50 percent for the first 6 percent of W-2 earnings for employees hired prior to September 1, 2005 and a match of 100 percent for the first 6 percent of W-2 earnings contributed for employees hired on or after September 1, 2005. Due to economic conditions, in October of 2009 the employer match for pre-2005 employees was discontinued and the match rate for post-2005 employees was reduced to 50 percent of the first 6 percent of W-2 earnings. The Bank's expense for the plan was \$21,000 and \$62,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Bank has a supplemental retirement plan which provides retirement benefits to all directors. The Bank's obligations under the plan have been funded by the purchase of key man life insurance policies, of which the Bank is the beneficiary. Expense recognized under the supplemental retirement plan totaled approximately \$84,000 and \$77,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Company has an ESOP covering substantially all employees of the Company and Bank. All contributions to the ESOP are determined annually by the Board of Directors of the Company and Bank. Compensation expense was recorded based on the annual contributions to the ESOP. ESOP expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$100,000 and \$125,000, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the ESOP had 135,261 allocated shares, no suspense shares and no committed-to-be released

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

shares. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the fair value of the 135,261 and 144,551 allocated shares held by the ESOP was \$2,200,000 and \$1,807,000, respectively.

The Company also has a Recognition and Retention Plan (RRP) which provides for the award and issuance of up to 95,220 shares of the Company's stock to members of the Board of Directors and management. Over the life of the plan, the RRP has purchased 80,990 shares of the Company's common stock in the open market and had 400 shares forfeited back into the fund. A total of 82,390 shares has all been awarded. Common stock awarded under the RRP vests ratably over a five-year period, commencing with the date of the award. Expense recognized under the RRP plan totaled approximately \$27,000 and \$44,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Unvested RRP shares at December 31, 2010:

| | Number of Shares | Grant Date Fair Value |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Beginning of year | 3,900 | \$ 19.08 |
| Granted | 1,000 | 15.00 |
| Vested | <u>(1,500)</u> | <u>19.23</u> |
| End of year | <u>3,400</u> | <u>\$ 17.82</u> |

Unearned compensation at December 31, 2010 related to RRP shares is \$60,600 and will be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

Note 15: Stock Option Plan

The Company's Incentive Stock Option Plan (the Plan), which is shareholder approved, permits the grant of stock options to its directors, officers and other key employees. The Plan authorized the grant of options for up to 238,050 shares of the Company's common stock, which generally vest at a rate of 20 percent a year and have a 10-year contractual term. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its directors and employees with those of its shareholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Certain option awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plans). The Company generally issues shares from its authorized shares to satisfy option exercises.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a binomial option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company's stock and other factors. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. No grants were made in 2010 or 2009.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

A summary of option activity under the Plan as of December 31, 2010, and changes during the year then ended, is presented below:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Outstanding, beginning of year | 47,400 | \$ 12.54 | 4.59 | |
| Granted | 0 | | | |
| Exercised | 13,000 | 6.24 | | \$ <u>103</u> |
| Forfeited or expired | <u>2,000</u> | 6.99 | | |
| Outstanding, end of year | <u>32,400</u> | <u>\$ 15.41</u> | <u>5.47</u> | <u>\$ 51</u> |
| Exercisable, end of year | <u>23,400</u> | <u>\$ 15.73</u> | <u>4.61</u> | <u>\$ 38</u> |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$103,000 and \$65,000 respectively.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there was \$19,000 and \$27,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. The respective costs were expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.75 years.

During 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized \$10,000 and \$10,000 of share-based compensation expense with a tax benefit of \$4,000 and \$4,000 related to the share-based compensation expense.

Note 16: Related Party Transactions

The Bank has entered into transactions with certain directors, executive officers, significant stockholders and their affiliates or associates (related parties). Such transactions were made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms and conditions, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the same time for comparable transactions with other customers, and did not, in the opinion of management, involve more than normal credit risk or present other unfavorable features.

The aggregate amount of loans, as defined, to such related parties were as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Balances, January 1, 2010 | \$ 1,845 |
| Change in composition | |
| New loans, including renewals | 1,011 |
| Payments, etc., including renewals | <u>(445)</u> |
| Balances, December 31, 2010 | <u>\$ 2,411</u> |

Deposits from related parties held by the Bank at December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$1,383,000 and \$1,137,000.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 17: Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share have been computed based upon the weighted average common shares outstanding.

| | 2010 | | | 2009 | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Income | Weighted-Average Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Weighted-Average Shares | Per Share Amount |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | | | | | | |
| Income available to common stockholders | \$ 1,957 | 1,510,308 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1,654 | 1,503,153 | \$ 1.10 |
| Effect of Dilutive RRP Awards and Stock Options | | <u>4,131</u> | | | <u>8,279</u> | |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | | | | | | |
| Income available to common stockholders and assumed conversions | <u>\$ 1,957</u> | <u>1,514,439</u> | <u>\$ 1.29</u> | <u>\$ 1,654</u> | <u>1,511,432</u> | <u>\$ 1.09</u> |

Net income for the period ending December 31, 2010 of \$2,320,000 was reduced by \$363,000 for dividends on preferred stock in the same period, to arrive at income available to common stockholders of \$1,957,000. Net income for the period ending December 31, 2009 of \$1,696,000 was reduced by \$42,000 for dividends on preferred stock in the same period, to arrive at income available to common stockholders of \$1,654,000.

Options to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$22.25 per share and 19,000 shares of common stock at \$14.56 per share were outstanding for the periods ending December 31, 2010 and 2009. These groups of options were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for each period because the option price was greater than the average market price of the common shares.

Note 18: Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Corporation recognizes fair values in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 820. ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities
- Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Available-for-Sale Securities

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. The Corporation does not currently hold any Level 1 securities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models which utilize certain market information or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices, market prices of similar securities or pricing models which utilize observable inputs are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3). Discounted cash flows are calculated using spread to swap and LIBOR curves that are updated to incorporate loss severities, volatility, credit spread and optionality. Rating agency industry research reports as well as defaults and deferrals on individual securities are reviewed and incorporated into calculations. Level 2 securities include residential mortgage-backed agency securities, federal agency securities and certain municipal securities. Securities classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy include pooled trust preferred securities which are less liquid securities.

The following tables present the fair value measurements of assets and liabilities recognized in the accompanying balance sheets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the ASC Topic 820 fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

December 31, 2010

| | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|---|------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Federal agencies | \$ 22,528 | \$ - | \$ 22,528 | \$ - |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | 22,855 | - | 22,855 | - |
| State and municipal | 28,912 | - | 28,912 | - |
| Corporate | <u>936</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>936</u> |
| Total | <u>\$ 75,231</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 74,295</u> | <u>\$ 936</u> |

December 31, 2009

| | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|---|------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Federal agencies | \$ 30,397 | \$ - | \$ 30,397 | \$ - |
| Residential mortgage and other asset-backed agency securities | 27,651 | - | 27,651 | - |
| State and municipal | 20,665 | - | 20,665 | - |
| Corporate | <u>848</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>848</u> |
| Total | <u>\$ 79,561</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 78,713</u> | <u>\$ 848</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of recurring fair value measurements recognized in the accompanying balance sheet using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| | 2010 Available-for-Sale Securities | 2009 Available-for-Sale Securities |
|---|---|---|
| Beginning balance | \$ 848 | \$ 1,083 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains and losses | | |
| Amortization included in net income | 8 | 7 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income | 97 | (222) |
| Purchases, issuances and settlements including pay downs | (17) | (20) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | - | - |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 936</u> | <u>\$ 848</u> |
| Total gains or losses for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date | \$ - | \$ - |

There were no realized or unrealized gains or losses included in net income for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2009, Level 3 securities included two pooled trust preferred securities. The fair value on these securities is calculated using a combination of observable and unobservable assumptions as a quoted market price is not readily available. Both securities remain in Level 3 at December 31, 2010. For the past two fiscal years, trading of these types of securities has only been conducted on a distress sale or forced liquidation basis. As a result, the Company is now measuring the fair values using discounted cash flow projections and has included the securities in Level 3.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair values on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

The following tables present the fair value measurements of assets and liabilities recognized in the accompanying balance sheets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the ASC Topic 820 fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

As of December 31, 2010

| | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|---------------------------|------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 8,956 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 8,956 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 234 | - | - | 234 |

As of December 31, 2009

| | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using | | |
|---------------------------|------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 7,288 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,288 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 443 | - | - | 443 |

Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent)

Loans for which it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest due according to contractual terms are measured for impairment. Allowable methods for determining the amount of impairment include estimating fair value using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans.

If the impaired loan is identified as collateral dependent, then the fair value method of measuring the amount of impairment is utilized. This method requires obtaining a current independent appraisal of the collateral and applying a discount factor to the value.

Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when impairment is determined using the fair value method.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights are initially recorded at fair value and are subsequently reported at amortized cost and periodically evaluated for impairment. New mortgage servicing rights recorded during the current accounting period are recorded at fair value and are disclosed as a nonrecurring measurement.

Mortgage servicing rights recorded as an asset and into income during the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 totaled \$234,000 and 443,000, respectively. During the same periods, impairment on prior period mortgage servicing rights was included in net income in the amount of \$12,000 and \$38,000, respectively. Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, fair values of new mortgage servicing rights are estimated using discounted cash flow models. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, recording initial mortgage servicing rights are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The fair value of cash and cash equivalents approximates carrying value.

Loans Held for Sale - Fair values are based on quoted market prices.

Loans - The fair value for loans is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

FHLB Stock - Fair value of FHLB stock is based on the price at which it may be resold to the FHLB.

Interest Receivable/Payable - The fair values of interest receivable/payable approximate carrying values.

Deposits - The fair values of noninterest-bearing, interest-bearing demand and savings accounts are equal to the amount payable on demand at the balance sheet date. The carrying amounts for variable rate, fixed-term certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the balance sheet date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on such time deposits.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances - The fair value of these borrowings are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation, based on current rates for similar debt.

Borrowings - The fair value of these borrowings are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation, based on current rates for similar debt or as applicable, based on quoted market prices for the identical liability when traded as an asset.

Advance Payment by Borrowers for Taxes and Insurance - The fair value approximates carrying value.

Off-Balance Sheet Commitments - Commitments include commitments to originate mortgage and consumer loans and standby letters of credit and are generally of a short-term nature. The fair value of such commitments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The carrying amounts of these commitments, which are immaterial, are reasonable estimates of the fair value of these financial instruments.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,788 | \$ 16,788 | \$ 13,387 | \$ 13,387 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 75,231 | 75,231 | 79,561 | 79,561 |
| Loans, including loans held for sale, net of allowance for losses | 266,537 | 274,139 | 276,766 | 284,215 |
| Stock in FHLB | 4,496 | 4,496 | 4,850 | 4,850 |
| Interest receivable | 1,953 | 1,953 | 2,211 | 2,211 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 588 | 834 | 543 | 825 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deposits | 286,337 | 289,576 | 276,586 | 279,524 |
| FHLB advances | 58,000 | 61,974 | 79,000 | 83,054 |
| Borrowings | 7,217 | 4,725 | 7,217 | 6,053 |
| Interest payable | 485 | 485 | 669 | 669 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 105 | 105 | 101 | 101 |
| Off-Balance Sheet Assets (Liabilities) | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit | - | - | - | - |
| Standby letters of credit | - | - | - | - |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 19: Condensed Financial Information (Parent Company Only)

Presented below is condensed financial information as to financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company:

Condensed Balance Sheets

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 453 | \$ 2,232 |
| Investment in common stock of the Bank | 37,962 | 35,352 |
| Investment in RVB Trust I | 217 | 217 |
| Other assets | <u>375</u> | <u>459</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 39,007</u> | <u>\$ 38,260</u> |
| Liabilities | | |
| Borrowings | \$ 7,217 | \$ 7,217 |
| Dividends payable | 318 | 316 |
| Other liabilities | <u>4</u> | <u>12</u> |
| Total liabilities | 7,539 | 7,545 |
| Stockholders' Equity | <u>31,468</u> | <u>30,715</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$ 39,007</u> | <u>\$ 38,260</u> |

Condensed Statements of Income

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Income | | |
| Dividends from the Bank | \$ - | \$ 400 |
| Other income | <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> |
| Total income | <u>1</u> | <u>402</u> |
| Expenses | | |
| Interest expense | 255 | 326 |
| Other expenses | <u>232</u> | <u>322</u> |
| Total expenses | <u>487</u> | <u>648</u> |
| Loss before income tax and equity in undistributed income of subsidiary | (486) | (246) |
| Income tax benefit | <u>215</u> | <u>296</u> |
| Income (loss) before equity in undistributed income of subsidiary | (271) | 50 |
| Equity in undistributed income of the Bank | <u>2,591</u> | <u>1,646</u> |
| Net Income | <u>\$ 2,320</u> | <u>\$ 1,696</u> |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2010 and 2009 (Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,320 | \$ 1,696 |
| Items not providing cash | <u>(2,506)</u> | <u>(1,669)</u> |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | <u>(184)</u> | <u>27</u> |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Investment in subsidiary | <u>-</u> | <u>(2,500)</u> |
| Net cash used in investing activities | <u>-</u> | <u>(2,500)</u> |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Issuance of preferred stock | - | 5,000 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 36 | - |
| Cash dividends | <u>(1,631)</u> | <u>(1,288)</u> |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | <u>(1,595)</u> | <u>3,712</u> |
| Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (1,779) | 1,239 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | <u>2,232</u> | <u>993</u> |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | <u>\$ 453</u> | <u>\$ 2,232</u> |

Note 20: Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

| Quarter Ending | Interest Income | Interest Expense | Net Interest Income | Provision For Loan Losses | Net Realized Gains on Securities | Net Income | Basic Earnings Per Share | Diluted Earnings Per Share |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| March | \$ 4,683 | \$ 1,986 | \$ 2,697 | \$ 165 | \$ 34 | \$ 719 | \$.42 | \$.42 |
| June | 4,772 | 1,926 | 2,846 | 360 | 97 | 716 | .41 | .41 |
| September | 4,678 | 1,796 | 2,882 | 1,390 | 175 | 291 | .13 | .13 |
| December | <u>4,501</u> | <u>1,593</u> | <u>2,908</u> | <u>730</u> | <u>41</u> | <u>594</u> | .32 | .32 |
| | <u>\$ 18,634</u> | <u>\$ 7,301</u> | <u>\$ 11,333</u> | <u>\$ 2,645</u> | <u>\$ 347</u> | <u>\$ 2,320</u> | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.29 |
| 2009 | | | | | | | | |
| March | \$ 4,842 | \$ 2,383 | \$ 2,459 | \$ 385 | \$ 25 | \$ 543 | \$.36 | \$.36 |
| June | 4,712 | 2,337 | 2,375 | 2,053 | 72 | (362) | (.24) | (.24) |
| September | 4,777 | 2,304 | 2,473 | 135 | - | 749 | .50 | .50 |
| December | <u>4,856</u> | <u>2,298</u> | <u>2,558</u> | <u>310</u> | <u>36</u> | <u>766</u> | .48 | .48 |
| | <u>\$ 19,187</u> | <u>\$ 9,322</u> | <u>\$ 9,865</u> | <u>\$ 2,883</u> | <u>\$ 133</u> | <u>\$ 1,696</u> | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.09 |

River Valley Bancorp

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Table Dollar Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Note 21: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements," which added disclosure requirements about transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2, clarified existing fair value disclosure requirements about the appropriate level of disaggregation, and clarified that a description of valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value was required for recurring and nonrecurring Level 2 and 3 fair value measurements. Management has determined the adoption of these provisions of this ASU only affected the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements and as a result did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. This ASU also requires that Level 3 activity about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements be presented on a gross basis rather than as a net number as currently permitted. This provision of the ASU is effective for the Company's reporting period ending March 31, 2011. Management has determined the adoption of this guidance will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses," which added disclosure requirements about an entity's allowance for loan losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. The required disclosures include a roll forward of the allowance for credit losses on a portfolio segment basis and information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loan and credit quality indicators. ASU 2010-20 will be effective for the Company's reporting period ending December 31, 2010, as it relates to disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period. Management has determined the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The necessary disclosures have been included in Note 4.

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-01, Receivables (Topic 310), "Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20." ASU 2011-01 temporarily delays the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in ASU 2010-20 for public entities. The delay is intended to allow the FASB time to complete its deliberations on what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The effective date of the new disclosures about troubled debt restructurings for public entities and the guidance for determining what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring will then be coordinated. That guidance is anticipated to be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011.

Note 22: Business Acquisition

In the second quarter of 2010, the Company acquired a commercial bank branch in New Albany, Indiana. The Company purchased premises and equipment and customer deposits. Net cash proceeds of \$582,000 were received in the transaction. Goodwill recognized in the transaction was \$48,000 and is expected to be fully deductible for tax purposes.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

There are no such changes and disagreements to report during the applicable period.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Sections 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the most recent fiscal quarter covered by this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"), have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in those reports is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. The management of River Valley Bancorp (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's system of internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures pertaining to the Company's ability to record, process, and report reliable information. The system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to both management and the Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the Company's evaluation of controls that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

There is no information that was required to be disclosed on a Form 8-K during the fourth quarter but was not reported.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this item with respect to directors is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Holding Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Shareholder Meeting to be held on April 20, 2011 (the "2011 Proxy Statement") with the caption "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors." Information concerning the Registrant's executive officers is included in Item 4.5 in Part I of this report. Information with respect to corporate governance is incorporated by reference to the section of the 2011 Proxy Statement with the caption "Corporate Governance." Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated by reference to the section of the 2011 Proxy Statement captioned "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies, among other persons, to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of that Code of Ethics was filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed March 30, 2004, for the year ended December 31, 2003, and a link to that filing is posted at our website at <http://www.rvfbank.com> under "About Our Stock." In addition, the Company will provide a copy, without charge, upon a request directed to Matthew P. Forrester, 430 Clifty Drive, P.O. Box 1590, Madison, Indiana 47250, (812) 273-4944 (fax).

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this item with respect to executive compensation is incorporated by reference to the sections of the 2011 Proxy Statement with the captions "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors," "Corporate Governance," "Executive Compensation," and "Compensation of Directors for 2010."

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the 2011 Proxy Statement with the captions "Principal Holders of Common Stock" and "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors."

The following table sets forth certain information pertaining to the Bank's equity compensation plans:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a)) (c) |
|---|---|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 32,400 | \$15.41 | 26,320 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | - (2) | - (2) | 13,230(2) |
| Total | 32,400 | \$15.41 | 39,550 |

(1) River Valley Bancorp Stock Option Plan.

(2) The Company maintains the River Valley Bancorp Recognition and Retention Plan and Trust ("RRP"). As of December 31, 2010, 3,400 RRP shares had been granted to management but were not yet vested. In addition, 78,590 RRP shares granted to management were fully vested, and shares were issued to management in connection therewith. The Company is authorized to make awards of up to a total of 95,220 shares.

(3) The total in Column (b) includes only the weighted-average price of stock options, as the restricted shares awarded under the RRP plan have no exercise price.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the 2011 Proxy Statement with the captions “Corporate Governance” and “Transactions with Certain Related Persons.”

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this item with respect to principal accounting fees and services is incorporated by reference to the sections of the 2011 Proxy Statement with the captions “Accountants,” and “Accountant’s Fees.”

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. Financial Statements:

See “Contents” of Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

2. Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules are omitted as the required information either is not applicable or is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or related Notes contained in Item 8.

3. Exhibits:

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed with or incorporated herein by reference.

Copies of any Exhibits are available from the Company upon request by contacting Matthew P. Forrester, 430 Clifty Drive, P.O. Box 1590, Madison, Indiana 47250, (812) 273-4944 (fax).

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RIVER VALLEY BANCORP

Date: March 16, 2011

By: /s/ Matthew P. Forrester
Matthew P. Forrester, President and Chief
Executive Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signatures | Title | Date |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| (1) Principal Executive Officer: | | |
| <u>/s/ Matthew P. Forrester</u> | | |
| Matthew P. Forrester | President and Chief Executive Officer | March 16, 2011 |
| (2) Principal Financial and Accounting Officer: | | |
| <u>/s/ Vickie L. Grimes</u> | | |
| Vickie L. Grimes | Treasurer | March 16, 2011 |
| (3) The Board of Directors: | | |
| <u>/s/ Robert W. Anger</u> | | |
| Robert W. Anger | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| <u>/s/ Matthew P. Forrester</u> | | |
| Matthew P. Forrester | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| <u>/s/ Michael J. Hensley</u> | | |
| Michael J. Hensley | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| <u>/s/ Fred W. Koehler</u> | | |
| Fred W. Koehler | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| <u>/s/ L. Sue Livers</u> | | |
| L. Sue Livers | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| <u>/s/ Charles J. McKay</u> | | |
| Charles J. McKay | Director | March 16, 2011 |

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---|
| 3 (1) | Articles of Incorporation is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on March 18, 2009 |
| (2) | Certificate of Designations for Series A Preferred Stock is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2009 |
| (3) | Amended Code of By-Laws is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on July 23, 2009 |
| 4 (1) | Form of Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures Indenture, dated March 26, 2003, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Registrant's Form 10-QSB filed May 15, 2003 |
| (2) | Form of Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures Trust Agreement, dated March 26, 2003, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Registrant's Form 10-QSB filed May 15, 2003 |
| (3) | Form of Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Guarantee Agreement, dated March 26, 2003, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of Registrant's Form 10-QSB filed May 15, 2003 |
| (4) | Form of Certificate for Series A Preferred Stock is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2009 |
| 10 (1)* | Exempt Loan and Share Purchase Agreement between Trust under River Valley Bancorp Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Trust Agreement and River Valley Bancorp is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(22) to the Registration Statement |
| (2)* | River Valley Bancorp Recognition and Retention Plan and Trust is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(8) to the Form 10-KSB filed March 31, 2005 |
| (3)* | River Valley Bancorp Stock Option Plan is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(9) to the Form 10-KSB filed March 31, 2005 |
| (4)* | Form of Employee Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the River Valley Bancorp Stock Option Plan is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(12) of Registrant's Form 10-KSB filed March 31, 2005 |
| (5)* | Form of Director Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(13) of Registrant's Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 |
| (6)* | Form of Award Notification under the River Valley Bancorp Recognition and Retention Plan and Trust is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(14) of Registrant's Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 |
| (7)* | River Valley Financial Bank Split Dollar Insurance Plan, effective January 1, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2007 |
| (8)* | Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement, dated January 25, 2007, between River Valley Financial Bank and Matthew Forrester, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2007 |
| (9)* | Salary Continuation Agreement, dated January 25, 2007, between River Valley Financial Bank and Matthew Forrester, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2007 |
| (10)* | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (Matthew P. Forrester), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2007 |

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| (11)* | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (Anthony D. Brandon), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2007 |
| (12)* | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (John Muessel), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2007 |
| (13)* | Amended and Restated Director Deferred Compensation Master Agreement, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2007 |
| (14)* | Form of Directors' Deferral Plan Deferral and Distribution Election Form is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on March 16, 2010 |
| (15) | Form of Investment Agreement for Series A Preferred Stock is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 24, 2009 |
| (16) | Branch Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated March 3, 2010, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on March 8, 2010 |
| 14 | Code of Ethics |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23 | Consent of BKD, LLP |
| 31 (1) | CEO Certification |
| (2) | CFO Certification |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certification |

* Indicates exhibits that describe or evidence management contracts and plans required to be filed as exhibits.

Madison • 812-273-4949

Main Office • Downtown • Drive-Thru • Walmart

Hanover • 812-273-4949

Charlestown • 812-256-4949

Sellersburg • 812-248-9282

Floyds Knobs • 812-923-8651

New Albany • 812-948-7783

Carrollton • 502-732-6929

