

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-11983

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Florida

(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)

59-3359111

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

1000 Riverside Avenue, Suite 800
Jacksonville, Florida 32204
(904) 354-2482
www.fpic.com

- Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐
- Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐
- Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Large Accelerated Filer ☐ Accelerated Filer ☒ Non-accelerated Filer ☐
- Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒
- As of July 29, 2011, there were 8,397,934 shares of the Registrant's common stock, \$.10 par value, outstanding.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Table of Contents to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

Page

Part I

| | | |
|----------------|--|-----------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>38</u> |

Part II

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>[Removed and Reserved]</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>42</u> |

| | |
|-------------------|-----------|
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>42</u> |
|-------------------|-----------|

Part I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (*unaudited*)

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (*unaudited*)

(in thousands, except shares authorized, issued and outstanding)

| | As of June 30, 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale, at fair value | \$ 618,930 | 627,237 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value | 3,357 | 11,930 |
| Real estate investments | 4,237 | 4,678 |
| Other invested assets | 4,727 | 4,516 |
| Total investments (Note 4) | 631,251 | 648,361 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 72,889 | 58,726 |
| Premiums receivable (net of an allowance of \$300 as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010) | 52,932 | 56,527 |
| Accrued investment income | 6,192 | 6,782 |
| Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses | 952 | 4,042 |
| Due from reinsurers on unpaid losses and advance premiums | 136,345 | 134,223 |
| Ceded unearned premiums | 11,394 | 12,181 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 8,636 | 8,963 |
| Deferred income taxes | 17,508 | 21,792 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 26,761 | 27,220 |
| Other assets | 6,811 | 7,446 |
| Total assets | \$ 971,671 | 986,263 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Policy liabilities and accruals: | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$ 523,088 | 520,546 |
| Unearned premiums | 94,890 | 100,555 |
| Reinsurance payable | 2,088 | 1,636 |
| Paid in advance and unprocessed premiums | 6,656 | 7,993 |
| Total policy liabilities and accruals | 626,722 | 630,730 |
| Long-term debt | 46,083 | 46,083 |
| Other liabilities | 31,894 | 34,159 |
| Total liabilities | 704,699 | 710,972 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.10 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.10 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 8,397,934 and 8,926,692 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 840 | 893 |
| Additional paid-in capital | — | — |
| Retained earnings | 252,361 | 262,068 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 13,771 | 12,330 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 266,972 | 275,291 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 971,671 | 986,263 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)

(in thousands, except basic and diluted earnings per common share) For the three months ended June 30, For the six months ended June 30,

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Revenues | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 39,674 | 41,843 | \$ 80,060 | 83,779 |
| Net investment income | 5,485 | 6,253 | 11,129 | 12,840 |
| Net realized investment gains | 2,666 | 830 | 3,416 | 1,180 |
| Other income | 138 | 409 | 278 | 530 |
| Total revenues | 47,963 | 49,335 | 94,883 | 98,329 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Net losses and loss adjustment expenses | 23,539 | 25,092 | 47,668 | 50,519 |
| Other underwriting expenses | 12,268 | 12,218 | 24,592 | 23,942 |
| Interest expense on debt | 901 | 901 | 1,792 | 1,792 |
| Other expenses | 1,208 | 103 | 1,311 | 306 |
| Total expenses | 37,916 | 38,314 | 75,363 | 76,559 |
| Income before income taxes | 10,047 | 11,021 | 19,520 | 21,770 |
| Less: Income tax expense | 3,578 | 3,530 | 6,717 | 7,064 |
| Net income | \$ 6,469 | 7,491 | \$ 12,803 | 14,706 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.78 | 0.77 | \$ 1.52 | 1.49 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.76 | 0.76 | \$ 1.48 | 1.46 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding | 8,299 | 9,710 | 8,448 | 9,842 |
| Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding | 8,495 | 9,902 | 8,658 | 10,041 |
| Net realized investment gains: | | | | |
| Net realized investment gains before credit related impairments | \$ 2,666 | 830 | \$ 3,416 | 1,443 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairments on investments | — | — | — | (766) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 503 |
| Credit related impairments included in net realized investment gains | — | — | — | (263) |
| Net realized investment gains | \$ 2,666 | 830 | \$ 3,416 | 1,180 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)

| | | (in thousands) | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in- Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net | Comprehensive Income | Total |
| Balances at December 31, 2010 | 8,926,692 | \$ 893 | — | 262,068 | 12,330 | \$ | 275,291 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 12,803 | — | \$ 12,803 | 12,803 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gain on invested assets, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 1,135 | 1,135 | 1,135 |
| Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 221 | 221 | 221 |
| Net gain on pension plan, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | 1,441 | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | \$ 14,244 | |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 72,926 | 7 | (7) | — | — | | — |
| Issuance of common shares | 112,500 | 11 | 1,295 | — | — | | 1,306 |
| Repurchase of common shares | (714,184) | (71) | (3,818) | (22,510) | — | | (26,399) |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 1,442 | — | — | | 1,442 |
| Income tax reductions relating to exercise of stock options | — | — | 1,088 | — | — | | 1,088 |
| Balances at June 30, 2011 | 8,397,934 | \$ 840 | — | 252,361 | 13,771 | \$ | 266,972 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited), continued

| | | (in thousands) | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|------------|
| | Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in- Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net | Comprehensive Income | Total |
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | 10,142,613 | \$ 1,014 | — | 270,118 | 8,655 | | \$ 279,787 |
| Net income | | — | — | 14,706 | — | \$ 14,706 | 14,706 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gain on invested assets, net of tax | | — | — | — | 6,148 | 6,148 | 6,148 |
| Net unrealized loss on investments with other-than-temporary impairments, net of tax | | — | — | — | (309) | (309) | (309) |
| Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments, net of tax | | — | — | — | (518) | (518) | (518) |
| Net gain on pension plan, net of tax | | — | — | — | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | 5,384 | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | \$ 20,090 | |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 87,781 | 9 | (9) | — | — | | — |
| Issuance of common shares | 77,250 | 8 | 869 | — | — | | 877 |
| Repurchase of common shares | (708,723) | (71) | (2,536) | (16,156) | — | | (18,763) |
| Share-based compensation | | — | 1,269 | — | — | | 1,269 |
| Income tax reductions relating to exercise of stock options | | — | 407 | — | — | | 407 |
| Balances at June 30, 2010 | 9,598,921 | \$ 960 | — | 268,668 | 14,039 | | \$ 283,667 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

(in thousands)

For the six months ended
June 30,

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 20,352 | (7,950) |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed income securities, available-for-sale | 49,843 | 78,529 |
| Proceeds from sales of equity securities, available-for-sale | 9,260 | 499 |
| Proceeds from sales of other invested assets | 302 | 393 |
| Proceeds from maturities of fixed income securities, available-for-sale | 34,504 | 34,353 |
| Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments | — | 2,275 |
| Purchases of fixed income securities, available-for-sale | (71,093) | (80,204) |
| Purchases of subsidiary's net assets and stock (contingent consideration payment) | (4,375) | — |
| Purchases of other invested assets | (242) | (380) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (383) | (100) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 17,816 | 35,365 |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Issuance of common stock | 1,306 | 877 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (26,399) | (18,763) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 1,088 | 473 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (24,005) | (17,413) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 14,163 | 10,002 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 58,726 | 58,626 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 72,889 | 68,628 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Interest paid on debt | \$ 1,795 | 1,798 |
| Federal income taxes paid | \$ 1,500 | 2,100 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Issuance of restricted stock | \$ 3,569 | 2,954 |
| Share-based compensation | \$ 1,442 | 1,269 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

1. Basis of Presentation and New Accounting Pronouncements

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements represent the consolidation of FPIC Insurance Group, Inc. ("FPIC") and all majority owned and controlled subsidiaries. Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms "we," "our," "us," the "Company" and "FPIC" as used in this report refer to FPIC Insurance Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

These statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP") and with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The statement of financial position as of December 31, 2010 was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by US GAAP. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Reference is made to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which includes information necessary for understanding our business and financial statement presentations. In particular, our significant accounting policies are presented in *Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies*, to the consolidated financial statements included in that report.

These consolidated interim financial statements are unaudited. These statements include all adjustments, including normal recurring accruals, that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair statement of results for interim periods. The results reported in these consolidated interim financial statements should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year. For example, the timing and magnitude of claim losses incurred by our insurance subsidiaries due to the estimation process inherent in determining the liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") can be relatively more significant to results of interim periods than to results for a full year. Also, variations in the amount and timing of realized investment gains and losses could cause significant variations in periodic net income.

Pending Merger

On May 24, 2011, FPIC and The Doctors Company, a California domiciled reciprocal inter-insurance exchange ("TDC"), and Fountain Acquisition Corp., a Florida corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of TDC ("Merger Sub"), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), pursuant to which, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into FPIC (the "Merger"), with FPIC surviving the Merger as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of TDC.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the Merger, each outstanding share of FPIC's common stock, par value \$0.10 per share (the "FPIC Common Stock"), will be converted into the right to receive \$42.00 in cash (the "Merger Consideration"). In addition, each unexercised outstanding option ("FPIC Option") immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger, other than those issued under FPIC's Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"), will be cancelled in consideration of a cash payment equal to the product of (i) the total number of shares of FPIC Common Stock previously subject to such FPIC Option and (ii) the excess, if any, of the Merger Consideration over the exercise price per share of FPIC Common Stock previously subject to such FPIC Option. Each option issued under the ESPP will be cancelled in consideration of a cash payment equal to (x) the Merger Consideration, multiplied by (y) 2011 payroll deductions under the ESPP, divided by (z) \$30.71. Restricted shares will be converted into the Merger Consideration at the effective time of the Merger and unpaid performance units will be cancelled at the effective time of the Merger in consideration of a cash payment equal to the applicable payout percentage (determined as set forth in the Merger Agreement), multiplied by the Merger Consideration.

The Merger was unanimously approved by the Board of Governors of TDC and the respective Boards of Directors of Merger Sub and FPIC, and is conditioned, among other things, on: (i) the approval of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of FPIC Common Stock; (ii) the absence of certain legal impediments to the consummation of the Merger; and (iii) the receipt of certain regulatory approvals regarding the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including approval by state insurance regulatory authorities in Texas, Missouri and Florida. No TDC stakeholder approval is necessary for, nor is there any financing condition to, consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

The Merger is expected to close by the fourth quarter of 2011, subject to the closing conditions described above and contained in the Merger Agreement. For additional information regarding the proposed Merger, see our Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on July 18, 2011.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance on the *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. The FASB decided to eliminate the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The guidance requires that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance on fair value measurements, namely *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in US GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards*. The amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in US GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. For many of the requirements, the FASB does not intend for the amendments to result in a change in the application of the requirements on fair value measurements. Some of the amendments clarify the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The U.S. guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance on *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary ProForma Information for Business Combinations, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. This guidance addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the proforma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. Paragraph 805-10-50-2(h) requires a public entity to disclose proforma information for business combinations that occurred in the current reporting period. The disclosures include proforma revenue and earnings of the combined entity for the current reporting period as though the acquisition date for all business combinations that occurred during the year had been as of the beginning of the annual reporting period. If comparative financial statements are presented, the proforma revenue and earnings of the combined entity for the comparable prior reporting period should be reported as though the acquisition date for all business combinations that occurred during the current year had been as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. The guidance specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The guidance also expands the supplemental proforma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring proforma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination that are included in the reported proforma revenue and earnings. The guidance is effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance on *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. Under Topic 350 on goodwill and other intangible assets, testing for goodwill impairment is a two-step test. When a goodwill impairment test is performed (either on an annual or interim basis), an entity must assess whether the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value (Step 1). If it does, an entity must perform an additional test to determine whether goodwill has been impaired and to calculate the amount of that impairment (Step 2). The objective of this guidance is to address questions about entities with reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts because some entities concluded that Step 1 of the test is passed in those circumstances because the fair value of their reporting unit will generally be greater than zero. The amendments in this guidance modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. As a result, current US GAAP will be improved by eliminating an entity's ability to assert that a reporting unit is not required to perform Step 2 because the carrying amount of the reporting unit is zero or negative despite the existence of qualitative factors that indicate the goodwill is more likely than not impaired. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2010, the FASB issued guidance on *Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts*. The new guidance addresses diversity in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the

acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral. In accordance with the new guidance, the only costs that qualify for deferral are those incremental direct costs associated with acquiring insurance contracts and certain direct costs related to acquisition activities, such as underwriting, policy issuance and processing, medical and inspection related costs and sales force contract expenses. All other acquisition-related costs, including costs incurred in soliciting potential contracts, marketing, unsuccessful acquisition or renewal efforts and product development costs, should be expensed as incurred. The new guidance will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. FPIC's accounting policy on deferred policy acquisition costs has been to only include commissions and premium taxes that vary with and are directly related to the production of new and renewal insurance business. As such, the adoption of the new guidance by the FASB is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance on *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. The new guidance requires disclosures of transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements, including a description of the reason for the transfer. The new guidance also calls for disclosures about the activity in Level 3 measurements by separately presenting information on purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis rather than as a single net number. The guidance also clarifies 1) the level of disaggregation that should be used in completing disclosures about fair value measurements and 2) the disclosures required in describing the inputs and valuation techniques used for both nonrecurring and recurring fair value measurements. We adopted the new disclosures and modified our existing disclosures effective January 1, 2010. We adopted the disclosures about the activity in Level 3 measurements effective January 1, 2011. See *Note 2, Fair Value Measurements* for additional information.

2. Fair Value Measurements

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data.

- **Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets:** Level 1 includes unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- **Significant Other Observable Inputs:** Level 2 includes inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for assets or liabilities either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include, among other items, quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability such as interest rates and yield curves.
- **Significant Unobservable Inputs:** Level 3 inputs are unobservable and reflect management's judgments about assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Reclassifications impacting Level 3 financial instruments are reported as transfers into (out of) the Level 3 category as of the beginning of the period in which the transfer occurs. Therefore, gains and losses in income only reflect activity for the period the instrument was classified in Level 3.

The following is a description of the valuation measurements used for our financial instruments carried or disclosed at fair value, as well as the general classification of such financial instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Valuation of Investments — We primarily use a single pricing service, Interactive Data Corporation ("IDC"), to value our investments that have an exchange traded price or multiple observable inputs. In situations where IDC does not have multiple observable inputs or the ability to price a given security, we seek to price the security utilizing another pricing service or by obtaining non-binding broker / dealer quotes.

On a quarterly basis, we obtain and review the pricing methodology of our pricing service to ensure that our fair value designations are classified in accordance with the fair value hierarchy. Our pricing service provides a single price per security. We review the results of our pricing service for reasonableness each quarter by comparing market values reported on an individual security basis and on an overall portfolio basis to those obtained from our external investment manager to determine that the market value reported by our pricing service appears reasonable. In addition, an annual SAS 70 report that describes procedures surrounding the compilation and reporting of security prices obtained from our pricing service is provided to us. We may adjust the valuation of securities from the independent pricing service if we believe a security's price does not fairly represent the market value of the investment. For example, when market observable data is not as readily available or if the security trades in an inactive market, the valuation of financial instruments becomes more subjective and could involve substantial judgment resulting in Level 3 pricing. To date, we

have not adjusted any prices supplied by our pricing service.

All securities priced by our pricing service using an exchange traded price are designated by us as Level 1. We designate as Level 2 those securities not actively traded on an exchange for which our pricing service utilizes multiple verifiable observable inputs. For securities that do not have multiple observable inputs (Level 3), we do not rely on a price from our pricing service.

Fixed income securities, available for sale, including short-term investments — reflects securities that trade in less active markets. Fair value is based on valuation methodologies, the significant inputs into which may include, but are not limited to, benchmark yields, reported trades, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, new issue data, bids, offers, collateral performance and reference data. These fixed income securities are classified within Level 2. Credit ratings noted below are based on the lower of the available credit ratings from S&P and Moody's for each investment security.

- U.S. Treasuries include those securities issued by the U.S. government or a U.S. agency. These securities have an average credit quality of Aaa and represent approximately 8 percent of our fixed income securities.
- States, municipalities and political subdivisions include securities issued by state and local governments. General obligation bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the issuing entity (e.g., government authority) and revenue bonds are backed by a specific revenue stream. Lease revenue bonds are backed by specific lease arrangements, where the principal and interest are generally paid from annual appropriations from the government entity that benefits from the facility (e.g., school, prison facility). Pre-refunded bonds are backed by Treasuries and/or Agencies. These 'sub'-sectors (e.g., general obligation, revenue, lease, pre-refunded) can be taxable or tax-advantaged. These securities are diversified throughout the U.S, have an average credit quality of Aa2 and represent approximately 28 percent of our fixed income securities.
- Corporate securities include securities issued by various corporate entities across different industries. Both investment grade and non-investment grade securities are included within this category. The average credit quality of investment grade corporate securities is A2 and these securities represent approximately 33 percent of our fixed income securities. The average credit quality of non-investment grade corporate securities is Ba2 and these securities represent approximately 3 percent of our fixed income securities.
- Residential mortgage-backed securities include structured securities that are issued based on underlying residential mortgages. Within this category are bonds that are agency and non-agency related. Agency related bonds have an average credit quality of Aaa and represent approximately 13 percent of our fixed income securities. Non-agency related bonds have an average credit quality of Ba2 and represent approximately 1 percent of our fixed income securities.
- Commercial mortgage-backed securities include structured securities issued based on underlying commercial mortgages (such as on hotels, malls, or offices) and are from the vintage years 2002 and 2005 through 2008. The securities have an average credit quality of Aa3 and represent approximately 7 percent of our fixed income securities.
- Asset-backed securities include structured securities issued based on underlying assets, primarily automobile loans, credit cards, and home equity lines of credit. These securities have an average credit quality of Aaa and represent approximately 6 percent of our fixed income securities.
- Foreign government securities include securities issued by the Canadian government, a Canadian agency or one of its provinces. These securities are denominated in U.S. dollars, have an average credit quality of Aa1 and represent 1 percent of our fixed income securities.

Equity securities, available for sale

- Common and preferred equity securities that trade in active markets are classified within Level 1, as fair values are based on quoted market prices for identical assets as of the reporting date.
- Preferred equity securities that trade in less active markets are classified within Level 2, as fair values are based on valuation methodologies, the significant inputs into which may include, but are not limited to, benchmark yields, reported trades, broker / dealer quotes and issuer spreads.

Other invested assets — includes investments held as part of our deferred compensation plan and an investment in a small limited partnership.

- Securities, predominantly mutual funds, held in rabbi trusts maintained by the Company for deferred compensation plans, are included in other invested assets and classified within the valuation hierarchy on the same basis as the Company's actively traded equity securities.
- For our investment in the limited partnership, fair value is classified as Level 3, as it is based on net asset values and financial statements of the limited partnership.

Contingent consideration

- The acquisition of Advocate, MD Financial Group, Inc. ("Advocate, MD") includes a contingent consideration arrangement that provides for additional consideration to be paid by the Company for Advocate, MD based on its attainment of targets with respect to direct written premiums, combined ratio and underwriting profits over a two-year period from the acquisition date. Up to forty percent of the contingent consideration is payable based on the first year of performance, with any remaining amount payable following the second year of performance. During the first quarter of 2011, we made a payment of \$4.4 million related to Advocate, MD's first year of performance under the agreement. The ultimate, undiscounted, maximum amount we could pay under the contingent consideration agreement over the two year period is approximately \$12.0 million. Our measure of the estimated fair value of the contingent consideration is based on significant inputs not observable in the marketplace and is classified as a Level 3 fair value measurement based on accounting guidance. We recognize a liability for the estimated fair value of acquisition-related contingent consideration using a cash flow model adjusted quarterly for probability weighted targets to be achieved over the earn-out period. Changes in the calculation of our liability for contingent consideration are reflected as income or expense in the period the change is made.

Derivative financial instruments

- Our derivative instruments, principally interest rate swaps, are valued using models that primarily use market observable inputs and are classified as Level 2, as their fair value is largely based on observable inputs over the life of the swaps in a liquid market. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is calculated by comparing the stream of cash flows on the fixed rate debt versus the stream of cash flows that would arise under the floating rate debt. The floating and fixed rate cash flows are then discounted to the valuation date by using the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") at the date of the valuation. Pricing inputs include bid-ask spreads and current market prices for an underlying instrument. For additional information on our derivative instruments, see *Note 8, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, below.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The following tables present disclosures about fair value measurements as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. For additional information regarding our fair value measurements see *Note 4*, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

(in thousands)

| (in thousands) | As of June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Fair Value Measurements Using: | | | ⁽¹⁾ Netting Adjustments | Assets / Liabilities at Fair Value |
| | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ — | 51,417 | — | — | 51,417 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | — | 175,636 | — | — | 175,636 |
| Corporate debt securities | — | 220,062 | — | — | 220,062 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | — | 81,510 | — | — | 81,510 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | — | 42,154 | — | — | 42,154 |
| Asset-backed securities | — | 38,977 | — | — | 38,977 |
| Foreign government securities | — | 9,174 | — | — | 9,174 |
| Total fixed income securities, available-for-sale | \$ — | 618,930 | — | — | 618,930 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | | | | | |
| Common equity securities | \$ 1,910 | — | — | — | 1,910 |
| Preferred equity securities | 861 | 586 | — | — | 1,447 |
| Total equity securities, available-for-sale | \$ 2,771 | 586 | — | — | 3,357 |
| Other invested assets | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan assets held in rabbi trust | \$ 3,571 | — | — | — | 3,571 |
| Limited partnership | — | — | 73 | — | 73 |
| Total other invested assets | \$ 3,571 | — | 73 | — | 3,644 |
| Total | \$ 6,342 | 619,516 | 73 | — | 625,931 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ — | 2,859 | — | (2,500) | 359 |
| Contingent consideration | — | — | 5,165 | — | 5,165 |
| Total | \$ — | 2,859 | 5,165 | (2,500) | 5,524 |

(1) Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow FPIC to settle the position and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counter parties.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(in thousands)

| (in thousands) | As of December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--|
| | Fair Value Measurements Using: | | | (1) Netting Adjustments | Assets / Liabilities at Fair Value |
| | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ — | 43,323 | — | — | 43,323 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | — | 201,507 | — | — | 201,507 |
| Corporate debt securities | — | 218,904 | — | — | 218,904 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | — | 90,813 | — | — | 90,813 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | — | 39,796 | — | — | 39,796 |
| Asset-backed securities | — | 24,764 | — | — | 24,764 |
| Foreign government securities | — | 8,130 | — | — | 8,130 |
| Total fixed income securities, available-for-sale | \$ — | 627,237 | — | — | 627,237 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | | | | | |
| Common equity securities | \$ 10,544 | — | — | — | 10,544 |
| Preferred equity securities | 817 | 569 | — | — | 1,386 |
| Total equity securities, available-for-sale | \$ 11,361 | 569 | — | — | 11,930 |
| Other invested assets | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan assets held in rabbi trust | \$ 3,361 | — | — | — | 3,361 |
| Limited partnership | — | — | 72 | — | 72 |
| Total other invested assets | \$ 3,361 | — | 72 | — | 3,433 |
| Total | \$ 14,722 | 627,806 | 72 | — | 642,600 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ — | 3,219 | — | (2,400) | 819 |
| Contingent consideration | — | — | 9,540 | — | 9,540 |
| Total | \$ — | 3,219 | 9,540 | (2,400) | 10,359 |

(1) Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow FPIC to settle the position and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counter parties.

We had no transfers into or out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.
Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents disclosures about fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) and summarizes gains and losses due to changes in fair value, including both realized and unrealized gains and losses, recorded in earnings and other comprehensive income for Level 3 assets or liabilities during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

(in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, 2011 | | For the three months ended June 30, 2010 | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Other Invested Assets | Contingent Consideration | Other Invested Assets | Contingent Consideration |
| Beginning balance | \$ 73 | (5,165) | \$ 75 | (7,008) |
| Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized) | | | | |
| Included in net income | — | — | (3) | 290 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | — | — | 3 | — |
| Purchases | — | — | — | — |
| Issuances | — | — | — | — |
| Settlements | — | — | — | — |
| Transfers into / or out of Level 3 | — | — | — | — |
| Ending balance | \$ 73 | (5,165) | \$ 75 | (6,718) |

(in thousands)

| | For the six months ended June 30, 2011 | | For the six months ended June 30, 2010 | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Other Invested Assets | Contingent Consideration | Other Invested Assets | Contingent Consideration |
| Beginning balance | \$ 72 | (9,540) | \$ 77 | (7,008) |
| Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized) | | | | |
| Included in net income | — | — | (10) | 290 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 1 | — | 8 | — |
| Purchases | — | — | — | — |
| Issuances | — | — | — | — |
| Settlements | — | 4,375 | — | — |
| Transfers into / or out of Level 3 | — | — | — | — |
| Ending balance | \$ 73 | (5,165) | \$ 75 | (6,718) |

3. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value and fair value of financial instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are presented in the following table. For additional information regarding the fair value of financial instruments see *Note 5*, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | As of June 30, 2011 | | As of December 31, 2010 | |
|---|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| <i>Financial assets:</i> | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 72,889 | 72,889 | \$ 58,726 | 58,726 |
| <i>Other invested assets</i> | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan assets held in rabbi trust | 3,571 | 3,571 | 3,361 | 3,361 |
| Limited partnership | 73 | 73 | 72 | 72 |
| Other assets | 1,083 | 1,083 | 1,083 | 1,083 |
| Total other invested assets | 4,727 | 4,727 | 4,516 | 4,516 |
| Total financial assets | \$ 77,616 | 77,616 | \$ 63,242 | 63,242 |
| <i>Financial liabilities:</i> | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 46,083 | 51,812 | \$ 46,083 | 51,369 |
| Derivative financial instruments | 2,859 | 2,859 | 3,219 | 3,219 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$ 48,942 | 54,671 | \$ 49,302 | 54,588 |

4. Investments

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of our investments are presented in the following tables. For additional information regarding our investments see *Note 6*, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | As of June 30, 2011 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost of Investments | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| <i>Fixed income securities, available-for-sale</i> | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 50,034 | 1,394 | 11 | 51,417 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 167,868 | 7,866 | 98 | 175,636 |
| Corporate debt securities | 208,990 | 11,601 | 529 | 220,062 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 79,538 | 2,624 | 652 | 81,510 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 38,745 | 3,619 | 210 | 42,154 |
| Asset-backed securities | 38,296 | 685 | 4 | 38,977 |
| Foreign government securities | 9,046 | 163 | 35 | 9,174 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 2,655 | 702 | — | 3,357 |
| Other invested assets | 4,741 | — | 14 | 4,727 |
| Total fixed income and equity securities, available-for-sale, and other invested assets | \$ 599,913 | 28,654 | 1,553 | 627,014 |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.
Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(in thousands)

| | As of December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost of Investments | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 42,274 | 1,141 | 92 | 43,323 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 195,416 | 6,298 | 207 | 201,507 |
| Corporate debt securities | 208,250 | 11,532 | 878 | 218,904 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 89,143 | 2,446 | 776 | 90,813 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 36,899 | 3,023 | 126 | 39,796 |
| Asset-backed securities | 24,069 | 712 | 17 | 24,764 |
| Foreign government securities | 8,157 | 80 | 107 | 8,130 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 9,605 | 2,328 | 3 | 11,930 |
| Other invested assets | 4,535 | — | 19 | 4,516 |
| Total fixed income and equity securities, available-for-sale, and other invested assets | \$ 618,348 | 27,560 | 2,225 | 643,683 |

The following tables summarize, for all investments in an unrealized loss position, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time the securities have continuously been in an unrealized loss position.

(in thousands)

| | As of June 30, 2011 | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | Total | | Less Than Twelve Months | | Twelve Months or More | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 3,516 | 11 | 3,516 | 11 | — | — |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 1,831 | 98 | 1,831 | 98 | — | — |
| Corporate debt securities | 27,057 | 529 | 27,057 | 529 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 17,472 | 652 | 12,907 | 392 | 4,565 | 260 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 3,867 | 210 | 2,547 | 25 | 1,320 | 185 |
| Asset-backed securities | 2,247 | 4 | 2,216 | 3 | 31 | 1 |
| Foreign government securities | 4,590 | 35 | 4,590 | 35 | — | — |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Other invested assets | 73 | 14 | — | — | 73 | 14 |
| Total fixed income and equity securities, available-for-sale, and other invested assets | \$ 60,653 | 1,553 | 54,664 | 1,093 | 5,989 | 460 |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

| | As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | Total | | Less Than Twelve Months | | Twelve Months or More | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 13,140 | 92 | 13,140 | 92 | — | — |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 13,171 | 207 | 12,707 | 203 | 464 | 4 |
| Corporate debt securities | 39,377 | 878 | 38,877 | 856 | 500 | 22 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 25,267 | 776 | 20,367 | 461 | 4,900 | 315 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 2,454 | 126 | 1,067 | 8 | 1,387 | 118 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,991 | 17 | 1,965 | 8 | 26 | 9 |
| Foreign government securities | 3,629 | 107 | 3,629 | 107 | — | — |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | — | — |
| Other invested assets | 72 | 19 | — | — | 72 | 19 |
| Total fixed income and equity securities, available-for-sale, and other invested assets | \$ 99,107 | 2,225 | 91,758 | 1,738 | 7,349 | 487 |

The number of securities with gross unrealized gains and losses is presented in the table below. Gross unrealized losses are further segregated by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

| As of | Gross Unrealized Losses | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Less than twelve months | Twelve months or more | Gross Unrealized Gains |
| June 30, 2011 | 81 | 16 | 647 |
| December 31, 2010 | 107 | 20 | 623 |

The fair value and gross unrealized losses of those securities in a continuous unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months is presented in the table below. Gross unrealized losses are further segregated by the percentage of amortized cost.

| Gross Unrealized Losses | As of June 30, 2011 | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | Number of Securities | Fair Value (in thousands) | Gross Unrealized Losses (in thousands) |
| Less than 15% | 15 | \$ 5,916 | \$ (446) |
| Equal to or greater than 15% | 1 | 73 | (14) |
| | 16 | \$ 5,989 | \$ (460) |

The following table sets forth the amount of gross unrealized losses by current severity (as compared to amortized cost) and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2011.

(in thousands)

| Length of Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses | Gross Unrealized Losses | Severity of Gross Unrealized Losses | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | Less than 5 percent | 5 percent to 15 percent | Greater than 15 percent |
| Less than twelve months | \$ 54,664 | (1,093) | (588) | (372) | (133) |
| Twelve months or more | 5,989 | (460) | (24) | (422) | (14) |
| Total | \$ 60,653 | (1,553) | (612) | (794) | (147) |

Other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI")

We separate OTTI into the following two components: (i) the amount related to credit losses, which is recognized in the consolidated statements of income, and (ii) the amount related to all other factors, which is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). The credit-related portion of an OTTI is measured by comparing a security's amortized cost to the present value of its current expected cash flows discounted at its effective yield prior to the impairment charge. The determination of whether unrealized losses are "other-than-temporary" requires judgment based on objective as well as subjective factors. We evaluate our investment portfolio on an ongoing basis to identify securities that may be other-than-temporarily impaired. Changes in fair value are evaluated to determine the extent to which such changes are attributable to (i) fundamental factors specific to the issuer or (ii) market-related factors such as interest rates or sector declines.

The issuer-specific factors considered in reaching the conclusion that securities with declines are not other-than-temporary include (i) the extent and duration of the decline in fair value, including the duration of any significant decline in value, (ii) whether the security is current as to payments of principal and interest, (iii) a valuation of any underlying collateral, (iv) current and future conditions and trends for both the business and its industry, (v) changes in cash flow assumptions for collateralized mortgage obligations and (vi) rating agency actions. Based on our assessment of these factors, we will make a determination as to the probability of recovering principal and interest on the security.

There were no impairment charges for OTTI securities for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 or for the six months ended June 30, 2011. We believe the securities having unrealized losses as of June 30, 2011 are not other-than-temporarily impaired. We do not intend to sell any of these securities and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell any of these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis. The number and amount of securities for which we have recorded OTTI charges for the six months ended June 30, 2010 are presented in the following table.

| | Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | Equity securities, available-for-sale | Other invested assets | Portion of OTTI recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income | Net OTTI recognized in net income |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| For the six months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairments (in thousands) | \$ (766) | — | — | 503 | \$ (263) |
| Number of securities | 5 | — | — | — | 5 |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Realized investment gains (losses)

Realized investment gains and losses are determined on the basis of specific identification. The components of net realized gains (losses) on investments are as follows:

(in thousands)

For the three months ended June 30, 2011

| | Fixed income securities, available- for-sale | Equity securities, available- for-sale | Other invested assets | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Gross realized gains | \$ 313 | 2,310 | 43 | 2,666 |
| Gross realized losses | — | — | — | — |
| Credit related impairment losses | — | — | — | — |
| Net realized investment gains | \$ 313 | 2,310 | 43 | 2,666 |
| Proceeds from sales or maturities | \$ 32,304 | 9,260 | 175 | 41,739 |

(in thousands)

For the three months ended June 30, 2010

| | Fixed income securities, available- for-sale | Equity securities, available- for-sale | Other invested assets | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Gross realized gains | \$ 839 | — | — | 839 |
| Gross realized losses | (3) | — | (6) | (9) |
| Credit related impairment losses | — | — | — | — |
| Net realized investment gains | \$ 836 | — | (6) | 830 |
| Proceeds from sales or maturities | \$ 47,987 | — | — | 47,987 |

(in thousands)

For the six months ended June 30, 2011

| | Fixed income securities, available- for-sale | Equity securities, available- for-sale | Other invested assets | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Gross realized gains | \$ 1,093 | 2,310 | 100 | 3,503 |
| Gross realized losses | (87) | — | — | (87) |
| Credit related impairment losses | — | — | — | — |
| Net realized investment gains | \$ 1,006 | 2,310 | 100 | 3,416 |
| Proceeds from sales or maturities | \$ 84,347 | 9,260 | 302 | 93,909 |

(in thousands)

For the six months ended June 30, 2010

| | Fixed income securities, available- for-sale | Equity securities, available- for-sale | Other invested assets | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Gross realized gains | \$ 1,379 | 87 | 41 | 1,507 |
| Gross realized losses | (58) | — | (6) | (64) |
| Credit related impairment losses | (263) | — | — | (263) |
| Net realized investment gains | \$ 1,058 | 87 | 35 | 1,180 |
| Proceeds from sales or maturities | \$ 115,157 | 499 | 393 | 116,049 |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Net investment income

The major categories of investment income follow:

| (in thousands) | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Fixed income securities, available-for-sale | \$ 5,912 | 6,680 | \$ 11,967 | 13,727 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 24 | 90 | 122 | 160 |
| Other invested assets | 106 | 183 | 219 | 281 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 38 | 10 | 44 | 18 |
| Total investment income | 6,080 | 6,963 | 12,352 | 14,186 |
| Less: Investment expense | (595) | (710) | (1,223) | (1,346) |
| Net investment income | \$ 5,485 | 6,253 | \$ 11,129 | 12,840 |

Contractual maturities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed income securities, available-for-sale by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay these obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| (in thousands) | As of June 30, 2011 | | As of December 31, 2010 | |
|---|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 52,762 | 53,485 | \$ 62,186 | 63,039 |
| Due after one year through five years | 189,438 | 196,283 | 183,814 | 190,597 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 151,581 | 162,568 | 159,988 | 168,520 |
| Due after ten years | 42,157 | 43,953 | 48,109 | 49,708 |
| | 435,938 | 456,289 | 454,097 | 471,864 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 156,579 | 162,641 | 150,111 | 155,373 |
| Total fixed income securities, available-for-sale | \$ 592,517 | 618,930 | \$ 604,208 | 627,237 |

5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

A rollforward of goodwill for the period ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is presented in the following table:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| Goodwill, Beginning | \$ 20,977 | \$ 21,039 |
| Reductions: True up of Advocate, MD's final 2009 tax return | — | (62) |
| Goodwill, Ending | \$ 20,977 | \$ 20,977 |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, identifiable intangibles consisted of the following:

(in thousands)

| | Projected Useful Life (years) | June 30, 2011 | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Amortization Expense | Net Carrying Amount |
| State licenses | Indefinite | \$ 250 | — | — | 250 |
| Trade name - Advocate, MD | Indefinite | 530 | — | — | 530 |
| Non-competes | 4.7 | 2,371 | 818 | 252 | 1,553 |
| Customer relationships | 10 | 4,128 | 677 | 207 | 3,451 |
| | | \$ 7,279 | 1,495 | 459 | 5,784 |

(in thousands)

| | Projected Useful Life (years) | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Amortization Expense | Net Carrying Amount |
| State licenses | Indefinite | \$ 250 | — | — | 250 |
| Trade name - Advocate, MD | Indefinite | 530 | — | — | 530 |
| Non-competes | 4.7 | 2,371 | 566 | 506 | 1,805 |
| Customer relationships | 10 | 4,128 | 470 | 412 | 3,658 |
| | | \$ 7,279 | 1,036 | 918 | 6,243 |

Estimated aggregate amortization expense for the remainder of 2011 and each of the next four years is presented in the following table:

(in thousands)

| | Other underwriting expenses | Other expenses | Total |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Remaining 2011 | \$ 252 | 206 | 458 |
| 2012 | 504 | 413 | 917 |
| 2013 | 504 | 413 | 917 |
| 2014 | 293 | 413 | 706 |
| 2015 | — | 413 | 413 |
| Total | \$ 1,553 | 1,858 | 3,411 |

6. Liability for Losses and LAE

We establish loss and LAE reserves taking into account the results of multiple actuarial techniques applied as well as other assumptions and factors regarding our business. Each actuarial technique is applied in a consistent manner from period to period and the techniques encompass a review of selected claims data, including claim and incident counts, average indemnity payments, and loss adjustment costs. Estimating loss and LAE reserves is a complex process and changes in key assumptions or trends could result in a significant change in our reserve estimates. Given the magnitude of our reserves, even relatively small changes in our estimates for factors such as the number of claims we expect to pay or the amount we expect to ultimately pay for such claims could have a significant impact on our reserves and, correspondingly, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. For additional information regarding our liability for losses and LAE see *Note 8*, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

As a result of the continuation of favorable overall claim results as compared to our previous estimates, we recognized favorable net loss development related to previously established reserves of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$5.0 million and \$9.0 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The favorable development for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 reflects lower estimates of incident to claim development, payment frequency and/or payment severity for the 2005 through 2009 accident years.

7. Reinsurance

The effects of reinsurance on premiums written, premiums earned, and losses and LAE incurred are presented in the following table. For additional information regarding our reinsurance programs see *Note 9*, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

(in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Written | Earned | Written | Earned |
| Direct and assumed | \$ 41,318 | 46,796 | 43,246 | 48,542 |
| Ceded | (6,378) | (7,122) | (5,633) | (6,699) |
| Net | \$ 34,940 | 39,674 | 37,613 | 41,843 |

(in thousands)

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Written | Earned | Written | Earned |
| Direct and assumed | \$ 88,393 | 94,058 | 92,363 | 96,915 |
| Ceded | (13,210) | (13,998) | (12,503) | (13,136) |
| Net | \$ 75,183 | 80,060 | 79,860 | 83,779 |

(in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Losses and LAE | \$ 26,959 | 28,846 | 53,416 | 57,701 |
| Reinsurance recoveries | (3,420) | (3,754) | (5,748) | (7,182) |
| Net losses and LAE | \$ 23,539 | 25,092 | 47,668 | 50,519 |

Effective January 1, 2011, First Professionals Insurance Company, Inc. ("First Professionals"), Anesthesiologists Professional Assurance Company ("APAC") and Intermed Insurance Company ("Intermed") obtained additional reinsurance with respect to extra-contractual obligations and claims in excess of policy limits. This reinsurance generally covers 90 percent of losses in excess of \$10 million up to \$30 million (comprised of two \$10 million layers) incurred during the treaty year, subject to a maximum aggregate coverage for all losses of \$36 million during the treaty year (\$18 million for each of the two \$10 million treaty layers). The current term of this additional reinsurance coverage is through December 31, 2011. This reinsurance applies to all outstanding claims against First Professionals', APAC's and Intermed's insureds unless a judgment or award was made against an insured prior to January 1, 2011.

We purchase reinsurance from a number of companies to mitigate concentrations of credit risk and utilize our reinsurance broker to assist us in the analysis of the credit quality of our reinsurers. We base our reinsurance buying decisions on an evaluation of the then current financial strength and stability of prospective reinsurers. However, the financial strength of our reinsurers, and their corresponding ability to pay us, may change in the future due to forces or events we cannot control or anticipate. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our receivable from reinsurers, net of amounts due, was \$146.6 million and \$148.8 million, respectively. We have not experienced any difficulty in collecting amounts due from reinsurers related to the financial condition of a reinsurer. Should future events lead us to believe that any reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations, adjustments to the amounts recoverable would be reflected in the results of current operations.

8. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Strategies

We use hedging contracts to manage the risk of our exposure to interest rate changes associated with our variable rate debt. All of our designated hedging instruments are considered to be cash flow hedges. Our derivative transactions represent a hedge of specified cash flows. As a result, these interest rate swaps are derivatives and were designated as cash flow hedging instruments at the initiation of the swaps. We formally document qualifying hedged transactions and hedging instruments, and assess, both at inception of the contract and on an ongoing basis, whether the hedging instruments are effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged transaction. At the end of each period, the interest rate swaps are recorded in the consolidated statement of financial position at fair value, in other assets if the

hedge is in an asset position, or in other liabilities if it is in a liability position. Any related increases or decreases in fair value are recognized in our consolidated statement of financial position in accumulated other comprehensive income.

We consider our interest rate swaps to be a Level 2 measurement under the fair value measurement hierarchy, as their fair value is largely based on observable inputs over the life of the swaps in a liquid market. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is calculated by comparing the stream of cash flows on the fixed rate debt versus the stream of cash flows that would arise under the floating rate debt. The floating and fixed rate cash flows are then discounted to the valuation date by using the three month LIBOR at the date of the valuation. The valuation of the interest rate swap can be sensitive to changes in current and future three month LIBOR rates, which can have a material impact on the fair value of the derivatives. However, as these swaps are used to manage our cash outflows, these changes will not materially impact our liquidity and capital resources. Furthermore, since the interest rate swaps are deemed as effective hedging instruments, these changes do not impact income from operations.

Interest rate risk. We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with fluctuations in the interest rates on our variable interest rate debt. In order to manage this risk, we have entered into interest rate swaps that convert the debt's variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. As of June 30, 2011, we had long-term debt obligations of \$46.1 million, comprised of \$10.0 million in senior notes and \$36.1 million in junior subordinated debentures. Our long-term debt obligations are uncollateralized and bear floating interest at rates equal to the three-month LIBOR plus an interest rate spread. Our floating interest rates are adjusted quarterly. We are required during the swap terms to make certain fixed rate payments to the counterparty calculated on the notional amount in exchange for receiving floating payments based on the three-month LIBOR for the same amount. The notional amounts on the contracts are not exchanged. The net effect of this accounting on our operating results is that interest expense on our floating rate indebtedness is recorded based on fixed interest rates.

Credit risk. By using interest rate-related derivative instruments to manage the exposure on our variable rate debt, we expose ourselves to credit risk. We are exposed to potential losses if the counterparty fails to perform according to the terms of its agreement. When the fair value of a derivative contract is positive (or in a net asset position), the counterparty owes us, which may present a credit risk for us. We manage our exposure to credit risk by entering into transactions with well-established financial institutions and by monitoring the financial strength ratings and financial developments of such institutions. In addition, only conventional derivative financial instruments are utilized.

The terms of our derivative agreements require that we furnish collateral in the event that mark-to-market calculations result in settlement obligations owed by us to the counterparties in excess of \$0.8 million. No other cash payments are made unless the swaps are terminated prior to maturity, in which case the amount paid or received at settlement is established by agreement at the time of termination, and usually represents the net present value, at current interest rates, of the remaining obligations to exchange payments under the terms of the contracts. In accordance with the accounting guidance for offsetting of receivables and payables and as allowed under our master netting arrangement with our counterparty, we have offset the fair value amounts recognized in the statement of financial position for our derivative instruments against the fair value amounts recognized for our right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable). As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the cash collateral paid to our counterparty was \$2.5 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

Assessment of hedge effectiveness. We assess the effectiveness of our interest rate swaps on a quarterly basis. We have considered the impact of credit market conditions in assessing the risk of counterparty default. We believe that it is likely that the counterparty for these swaps will continue to act throughout the contract period, and as a result we continue to deem the swaps as effective hedging instruments. We will perform subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness by verifying and documenting whether the critical terms of the hedging instrument and the forecasted transaction have changed during the period, rather than by quantifying the relevant changes in cash flows. Based on the fact that, at inception, the critical terms of the hedging instruments and the hedged forecasted transaction were the same, we have concluded that we expect changes in cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged to be completely offset by the hedging derivatives and have assessed that our cash flow hedges have no ineffectiveness, as determined by the hypothetical derivative method. If the hedge on any of the interest rate swaps was deemed ineffective, or extinguished by either party, any accumulated gains or losses remaining in other comprehensive income would be fully recorded in interest expense during the relevant period.

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The fair value of our derivative instruments has been recorded as follows:

Cash flow hedges designated as effective hedging instruments:

(in thousands)

| Instrument | Notional Amount | Balance Sheet Location | June 30, 2011 Fair Value | Receive Rate ⁽¹⁾ | Pay Rate | Effective Pay Rate ⁽²⁾ | Maturity Date |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Interest Rate Swap - A | \$ 5,000 | Other Liabilities | \$ (334) | 0.25% | 3.94% | 8.14% | 5/23/2013 |
| Interest Rate Swap - B | \$ 15,000 | Other Liabilities | (1,135) | 0.26% | 4.04% | 8.14% | 8/15/2013 |
| Interest Rate Swap - C | \$ 15,000 | Other Liabilities | (1,265) | 0.27% | 4.12% | 7.97% | 10/29/2013 |
| Interest Rate Swap - D | \$ 10,000 | Other Liabilities | (125) | 0.25% | 2.74% | 6.94% | 11/23/2011 |
| | | | <u>\$ (2,859)</u> | | | | |

(in thousands)

| Instrument | Notional Amount | Balance Sheet Location | December 31, 2010 Fair Value | Receive Rate ⁽¹⁾ | Pay Rate | Effective Pay Rate ⁽²⁾ | Maturity Date |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Interest Rate Swap - A | \$ 5,000 | Other Liabilities | \$ (377) | 0.30% | 3.94% | 8.14% | 5/23/2013 |
| Interest Rate Swap - B | \$ 15,000 | Other Liabilities | (1,246) | 0.29% | 4.04% | 8.14% | 8/15/2013 |
| Interest Rate Swap - C | \$ 15,000 | Other Liabilities | (1,359) | 0.29% | 4.12% | 7.97% | 10/29/2013 |
| Interest Rate Swap - D | \$ 10,000 | Other Liabilities | (237) | 0.30% | 2.74% | 6.94% | 11/23/2011 |
| | | | <u>\$ (3,219)</u> | | | | |

(1) Based on the three month LIBOR.

(2) Represents the actual effective rate paid on our outstanding debt, which includes spreads ranging from 3.85 percent to 4.20 percent (actual interest rates ranged from 4.12 percent to 4.45 percent as of June 30, 2011).

The effect of derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Income was as follows:

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:

| Instrument | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income on the derivative <i>(Effective portion - in thousands)</i> | Location of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into net income <i>(Effective portion)</i> | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into net income <i>(Effective portion - in thousands)</i> | | |
|------------------------|--|--|---|-------------|-------------|
| | <i>For the three months ended June 30,</i> | | <i>For the three months ended June 30,</i> | | |
| | <i>2011</i> | <i>2010</i> | | <i>2011</i> | <i>2010</i> |
| Interest Rate Swap - A | \$ (2) | (56) | Interest expense | \$ (46) | (45) |
| Interest Rate Swap - B | (35) | (204) | Interest expense | (142) | (139) |
| Interest Rate Swap - C | (59) | (238) | Interest expense | (146) | (145) |
| Interest Rate Swap - D | 54 | 28 | Interest expense | (62) | (60) |
| | \$ (42) | (470) | | \$ (396) | (389) |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

| Instrument | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income on the derivative | | Location of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into net income | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into net income | |
|------------------------|---|-------|--|--|-------|
| | (Effective portion - in thousands) | | | (Effective portion - in thousands) | |
| | For the six months ended June 30, | | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest Rate Swap - A | \$ 43 | (101) | Interest expense | \$ (92) | (91) |
| Interest Rate Swap - B | 111 | (348) | Interest expense | (282) | (281) |
| Interest Rate Swap - C | 95 | (390) | Interest expense | (289) | (290) |
| Interest Rate Swap - D | 111 | (4) | Interest expense | (123) | (122) |
| | \$ 360 | (843) | | \$ (786) | (784) |

There was no ineffectiveness recognized in net income for our derivative instruments during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

9. Earnings per Common Share

Data with respect to our basic and diluted earnings per common share are shown below.

| <i>(in thousands, except basic and diluted earnings per common share)</i> | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 6,469 | \$ 7,491 | \$ 12,803 | \$ 14,706 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 1.52 | \$ 1.49 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.46 |
| Basic weighted-average shares outstanding | 8,299 | 9,710 | 8,448 | 9,842 |
| Common stock equivalents | 196 | 192 | 210 | 199 |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding | 8,495 | 9,902 | 8,658 | 10,041 |

10. Share-based Compensation Plans

We maintain three share-based compensation plans: (i) a plan for officers and key employees (the "Omnibus Plan"); (ii) a plan for non-employee directors (the "Director Plan"); and (iii) an employee stock purchase plan (the "ESPP"). For a description of these plans, see *Note 15*, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The following table presents the number of shares authorized for future awards in connection with our share-based compensation plans.

| | As of June 30, 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| The Omnibus Plan | 732,755 | 711,099 |
| The Director Plan | 362,702 | 376,202 |
| The ESPP | 98,950 | 98,950 |
| Shares authorized for future awards | 1,194,407 | 1,186,251 |

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents the status of, and changes in, stock options. No stock options have been granted since 2007.

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term in Years | Total Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2011 | 410,378 | \$ 18.62 | | |
| Granted | — | — | | |
| Exercised | (112,500) | 11.61 | | |
| Forfeited | — | — | | |
| Outstanding, June 30, 2011 | 297,878 | \$ 21.26 | 4.11 | \$ 6,081 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2011 | 297,878 | \$ 21.26 | 4.11 | \$ 6,081 |

| Range of Prices per Share | Options Outstanding | | | | Options Exercisable | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Vested Number of Shares | Non-vested Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
| \$ 0.00 - 10.99 | 26,251 | — | \$ 7.75 | 1.30 | 26,251 | \$ 7.75 |
| \$ 11.00 - 23.99 | 149,575 | — | 19.57 | 3.43 | 149,575 | 19.57 |
| \$ 24.00 - 40.99 | 122,052 | — | 26.25 | 5.53 | 122,052 | 26.25 |
| | 297,878 | — | \$ 21.26 | 4.11 | 297,878 | \$ 21.26 |

The following table presents the status of, and changes in, restricted stock, including performance awards, as of June 30, 2011.

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term in Years | Total Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2011 | 222,821 | \$ 29.30 | | |
| Granted | 18,903 | 37.49 | | |
| Vested | (97,208) | 27.56 | | |
| Forfeited | (74) | 27.65 | | |
| Outstanding, June 30, 2011 | 144,442 | \$ 31.55 | 0.78 | \$ 6,020 |

During 2010, aggregate awards of 80,002 performance units were granted to employees under the Omnibus Plan. Stock awards for the 2011 plan year were made at the December 2010 meeting of our Compensation Committee. Generally, performance unit awards are subject to achieving specified levels of adjusted return on average equity during a two-year plan period. These awards also generally vest at the expiration of the same two-year period. The final determination of the number of shares to be issued in respect of an award (which can vary between 50 and 150 percent of the number of performance units subject to the award, provided a threshold performance level is achieved) is determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors.

As of June 30, 2011, there was \$2.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under our various plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 0.8 years. The compensation cost related to our share-based awards that was charged to other underwriting expense was \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011,

respectively, compared to \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

11. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of the actuarially computed net periodic pension cost, including the amounts recognized in other comprehensive income, for our benefit plans are summarized in the table below. For a description of our employee benefit plans, see *Note 16* included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

(in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost: | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 159 | 142 | 318 | 284 |
| Interest cost | 183 | 165 | 365 | 330 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (115) | (108) | (230) | (216) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Amortization of net loss | 68 | 51 | 136 | 102 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | 296 | 251 | 591 | 502 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Net gain (loss) | (68) | (51) | (136) | (102) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (1) | (1) | (2) | (2) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) | (69) | (52) | (138) | (104) |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 227 | 199 | 453 | 398 |

We contributed \$0.06 million and \$0.9 million to our employee benefit plans during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$0.1 million and \$1.2 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. We currently anticipate contributing an additional \$0.2 million to these plans during the remainder of 2011 for total contributions of \$1.1 million.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

We, in common with the insurance industry in general, are subject to litigation involving claims under our insurance policies in the normal course of business. We may also become involved in legal actions not involving claims under our insurance policies from time to time. We have evaluated such exposures as of June 30, 2011, and in all cases, believe our positions and defenses are meritorious. However, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of such exposures. Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines and penalties and other sources are recorded when it is determined to be probable that a liability has been incurred and its amount can be reasonably estimated.

On June 29, 2011, FPIC, FPIC's Board of Directors, TDC and a subsidiary of TDC were named in a putative stockholder class action complaint filed in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit, Duval County, Florida, by a purported shareholder of FPIC. The complaint generally alleges that the directors of FPIC breached their fiduciary duties by approving the Merger for an allegedly unfair price and as the result of an allegedly unfair sale process. The complaint also alleges that FPIC, TDC and Merger Sub aided and abetted the directors' alleged breaches of their fiduciary duties and that FPIC failed to provide material information to shareholders with respect to the proposed acquisition of FPIC by TDC. The complaint seeks, among other things, a declaration that the action can proceed as a class action, an order enjoining the completion of the acquisition, attorneys' fees and such other relief as the court deems just and proper.

In addition, our insurance subsidiaries may become subject to claims for extra-contractual obligations or risks in excess of

policy limits in connection with their insurance claims, particularly in Florida. These claims are sometimes referred to as “bad faith” actions as it is alleged that the insurance company acted in bad faith in the administration of a claim against an insured. Bad faith actions generally occur in instances where an award or jury verdict exceeds the insured's policy limits. Under such circumstances, it is routinely alleged that the insurance company failed to negotiate a settlement of the claim in good faith within the insured's policy limit or otherwise failed to properly administer the claim. In recent years, policy limits for MPL insurance in Florida have trended downward. This trend and the current judicial climate have increased the incidence and size of awards and jury verdicts in excess of policy limits against Florida medical professionals insured by our competitors and us. Such awards and verdicts have resulted in an increased frequency of claims by insureds or plaintiffs in MPL actions alleging bad faith on the part of Florida MPL insurers or alleging other failures to properly administer claims. In October 2009, an MPL claim against one of our insureds resulted in a significant arbitration award (\$35.4 million plus post-award statutory interest at the rate of 18 percent per year) against that insured. During the third quarter of 2010, the insured commenced an action against First Professionals alleging bad faith in the administration of this claim and in the third quarter of 2011, the recipient of the arbitration award commenced a similar action. We have evaluated this and other such exposures as of June 30, 2011, and believe our position and defenses are meritorious. However, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of such exposures. Our primary excess of loss reinsurance program includes a level of coverage for claims in excess of policy limits and effective January 1, 2011, First Professionals, APAC and Intermed obtained additional reinsurance with respect to ECO/XPL claims as described in *Note 7, Reinsurance*. When establishing our liability for losses and LAE, we take our exposure for ECO/XPL claims into consideration, including with respect to payments in excess of policy limits that may be made in order to address potential future ECO/XPL exposure. An award against us for extra-contractual liability or a significant award or jury verdict, or series of awards or verdicts, against one or more of our insureds could ultimately result in the payment by us of potentially significant amounts in excess of the related policy limits, reserves and reinsurance coverage and could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to assessment by the insurance guaranty associations in the states in which they conduct business for the provision of funds necessary for the settlement of covered claims under certain policies of insolvent insurers. Generally, these associations can assess member insurers on the basis of written premiums in their particular states. Such assessments could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Under Florida law, our insurance subsidiaries are entitled to recoup insurance guaranty fund assessments from their Florida policyholders.

In addition to standard guaranty fund assessments, certain states in which we conduct business, including Florida, Texas and Missouri, could also levy special assessments to settle claims caused by certain catastrophic losses. No such special assessments for catastrophic losses have been made through the second quarter of 2011 and no such assessments were made during 2010. Medical malpractice policies have been exempted from assessment by the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund until the expiration of this exemption on May 31, 2013.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For purposes of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”), “FPIC,” “we,” “our,” “us,” and the “Company” refer to FPIC Insurance Group, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise. The following MD&A should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, included in Part I, Item 1, as well as the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which was filed with the SEC on March 9, 2011.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including the following MD&A, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they do not materialize or prove correct, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including statements: of our plans, strategies and objectives for future operations; concerning new products, services or developments; regarding future economic conditions, performance or outlook; as to the outcome of contingencies; of beliefs or expectations; and of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Forward-looking statements may be identified by their use of forward-looking terminology, such as “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “should,” “would,” “will,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” “anticipates,” “projects” and similar words or expressions. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking

statements, which reflect our management's opinions only as of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Factors that might cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- i. The possibility that the proposed acquisition of the Company by The Doctors Company will not be completed;
- ii. The effect of negative developments and cyclical changes in the medical professional liability insurance business sector;
- iii. The effects of competition, including competition for agents to place insurance, of physicians electing to self-insure or to practice without insurance coverage, and of related trends and associated pricing pressures and developments;
- iv. Business risks that result from our size, products, and geographic concentration;
- v. The risks and uncertainties involved in determining the rates we charge for our products and services, as well as these rates being subject to or mandated by legal requirements and regulatory approval;
- vi. The uncertainties involved in the loss reserving process, including the possible occurrence of insured losses with a frequency or severity exceeding our estimates;
- vii. Our exposure to claims for extra contractual damages and losses in excess of policy limits and the unpredictability of court decisions;
- viii. The impact of healthcare reform or other significant changes in the healthcare delivery system;
- ix. Legislative, regulatory, special interest or consumer initiatives that may adversely affect our business, including initiatives seeking to lower premium rates;
- x. The judicial and legislative review of current tort reform measures;
- xi. Developments in financial and securities markets that could affect our investment portfolio;
- xii. Assessments imposed by state financial guaranty associations or other insurance regulatory bodies;
- xiii. The availability of dividends and management fees from our insurance subsidiaries;
- xiv. Developments in reinsurance markets that could affect our reinsurance programs or our ability to collect reinsurance recoverables;
- xv. The results of the acquisition of Advocate, MD and other growth initiatives;
- xvi. Impairment in the value of our goodwill and intangibles;
- xvii. The loss of the services of any key members of senior management;
- xviii. Negative changes in our financial ratings resulting from one or more of these uncertainties or other factors and the potential impact on our agents' ability to place insurance business on our behalf; and
- xix. Other factors discussed elsewhere in this report and other factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, including *Item 1A. Risk Factors* and *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 9, 2011.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. Forward-looking statements are made in reliance on the safe harbor provision of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting policies considered by management to be critically important in the preparation and understanding of our financial statements and related disclosures are presented in *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

As described in *Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 1, Basis of Presentation, New Accounting Pronouncements and Significant Accounting Policies*, under the heading “New Accounting Pronouncements,” there are accounting pronouncements that have recently been issued. *Note 1* describes the potential impact that these pronouncements are expected to have or have had on our consolidated financial statements.

Commitments and Contingencies

For information concerning commitments and contingencies to which we are subject, see *Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies*.

Business Overview

We operate in the MPL insurance sector of the property and casualty insurance industry. Our primary insurance products provide protection for physicians, dentists and other healthcare providers as individual practitioners or as members of practice groups. Our insurance protects policyholders against losses arising from professional liability claims and the related defense costs with respect to injuries alleged to have been caused by medical error or malpractice. Optional coverage is available for professional corporations under which physicians or dentists practice. We are the largest provider of MPL insurance in Florida, the fourth largest provider in Texas and have top five market positions in Georgia and Arkansas. In all, we currently write MPL insurance in 14 states and are licensed to write in 32 states. Based on 2010 premiums reported by SNL Financial LC, Florida and Texas are the fifth and eighth largest markets, respectively, for MPL insurance in the United States in terms of direct premiums written. We focus on selected markets where we believe we have advantages in terms of our market knowledge, well-established reputation, meaningful market presence and resources.

On May 24, 2011, FPIC and The Doctors Company, a California domiciled reciprocal inter-insurance exchange (“TDC”), and Fountain Acquisition Corp., a Florida corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of TDC (“Merger Sub”), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”), pursuant to which, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into FPIC (the “Merger”), with FPIC surviving the Merger as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of TDC.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the Merger, each outstanding share of FPIC’s common stock, par value \$0.10 per share (the “FPIC Common Stock”), will be converted into the right to receive \$42.00 in cash (the “Merger Consideration”). In addition, each unexercised outstanding option (“FPIC Option”) immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger, other than those issued under FPIC’s Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”), will be cancelled in consideration of a cash payment equal to the product of (i) the total number of shares of FPIC Common Stock previously subject to such FPIC Option and (ii) the excess, if any, of the Merger Consideration over the exercise price per share of FPIC Common Stock previously subject to such FPIC Option. Each option issued under the ESPP will be cancelled in consideration of a cash payment equal to (x) the Merger Consideration, multiplied by (y) 2011 payroll deductions under the ESPP, divided by (z) \$30.71. Restricted shares will be converted into the Merger Consideration at the effective time of the Merger and unpaid performance units will be cancelled at the effective time of the Merger in consideration of a cash payment equal to the applicable payout percentage (determined as set forth in the Merger Agreement), multiplied by the Merger Consideration.

The Merger was unanimously approved by the Board of Governors of TDC and the respective Boards of Directors of Merger Sub and FPIC, and is conditioned, among other things, on: (i) the approval of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of FPIC Common Stock; (ii) the absence of certain legal impediments to the consummation of the Merger; and (iii) the receipt of certain regulatory approvals regarding the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including approval by state insurance regulatory authorities in Texas, Missouri and Florida. No TDC stakeholder approval is necessary for, nor is there any financing condition to, consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

The Merger is expected to close by the fourth quarter of 2011, subject to the closing conditions described above and contained in the Merger Agreement. For additional information regarding the proposed Merger, see our Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on July 18, 2011.

Recent Trends and Other Developments

(Comparisons are made to the comparable period(s) in 2010 unless otherwise indicated)

- Professional liability policyholders, excluding policyholders under alternative risk arrangements, increased 3 percent to 18,606 policyholders as of June 30, 2011, compared to 18,111 policyholders as of June 30, 2010.
- Our national policyholder retention rate was 94 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 96

percent for the comparable period in 2010. Our Florida policyholder retention rate was 96 percent and 97 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

- Net premiums written declined 7 percent and 6 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decline in net premiums written for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is primarily the result of the continued competitive pricing environment in our Florida market and the expense associated with our awards-made reinsurance program, which became effective January 1, 2011, offset to some extent by growth in policyholders. These factors along with premiums returned to certain insured groups under retrospective plans resulting from their favorable loss experience contributed to the decline in net premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2011.
- Consolidated revenues were 3 percent and 4 percent lower for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010, primarily as the result of lower net premiums earned and lower net investment income offset to some extent by higher net realized investment gains.
- Net investment income was 12 percent and 13 percent lower for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as the result of lower yields on invested assets due to lower prevailing interest rates and lower average invested assets as the result of cash utilized to repurchase shares under our share repurchase program. Net realized investment gains increased to \$2.7 million and \$3.4 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$0.8 million and \$1.2 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010.
- The continuation of favorable overall claim results as compared to previous estimates resulted in the recognition of favorable net loss development related to previously established reserves of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$5.0 million and \$9.0 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The favorable development for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 reflects lower estimates of incident to claim development, payment frequency and/or payment severity for the 2005 through 2009 accident years. Our current accident year loss ratio for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 was 71.9 percent and 72.0 percent, respectively, compared to 71.9 percent and 71.0 percent for the same periods in 2010.
- Our expense ratio was 30.9 percent and 30.7 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 29.2 percent and 28.6 percent for the same periods in 2010. The higher ratios in 2011 are primarily due to lower net premiums earned.
- Other expenses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 include approximately \$1.1 million in transaction related expenses, including investment banking, legal and other professional expenses, as the result of our previously announced agreement to be acquired by The Doctors Company.
- Book value per common share grew 3 percent to \$31.79 as of June 30, 2011 from \$30.84 as of December 31, 2010. As of June 30, 2011, the statutory surplus of our insurance subsidiaries was \$246.2 million and the ratio of net premiums written to surplus was 0.6 to 1.
- On a trade date basis, we repurchased 48,000 shares of our common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2011 at an average price of \$39.40 per share, and as of June 30, 2011, we had remaining authority from our Board of Directors to repurchase 600,770 additional shares under our stock repurchase program.

Results of Operations: Three Months and Six Months ended June 30, 2011 compared to Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

Our business is comprised of our insurance operations, which operate through our insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Florida, Missouri and Texas. Financial and selected other data related to our operations is summarized in the tables below.

Net income decreased 14 percent to \$6.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$7.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Net income decreased 13 percent to \$12.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$14.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decline in net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is primarily due to higher other expenses associated with our previously announced agreement to be acquired by The Doctors Company, lower net premiums earned, and lower net investment income offset to some extent

by higher net realized investment gains. The decline in net income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is also attributable to these factors along with higher other underwriting expenses.

Diluted earnings per common share were \$0.76 per diluted common share and \$1.48 per diluted common share for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$0.76 per diluted common share and \$1.46 per diluted common share, for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010. On an earnings per common share basis, share repurchases under our stock repurchase program largely offset the decline in net income described above.

Information concerning *written premiums and policyholders* is summarized in the following tables:

| (in thousands) | For the three months ended | | Percentage Change |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | |
| Direct premiums written ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 41,318 | 43,070 | (4)% |
| Assumed premiums written | — | 176 | (100)% |
| Ceded premiums written | (6,378) | (5,633) | (13)% |
| Net premiums written | \$ 34,940 | 37,613 | (7)% |

| (in thousands) | For the six months ended | | Percentage Change |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | |
| Direct premiums written ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 88,393 | 92,187 | (4)% |
| Assumed premiums written | — | 176 | (100)% |
| Ceded premiums written | (13,210) | (12,503) | (6)% |
| Net premiums written | \$ 75,183 | 79,860 | (6)% |

(1) Includes \$1.2 million and \$2.3 million of premiums associated with alternative risk arrangements for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million of premiums for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Management fees for such arrangements are included in other income.

| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | Percentage Change |
|--|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Professional liability policyholders | 18,606 | 18,111 | 3% |
| Professional liability policyholders under alternative risk arrangements | 303 | 212 | 43% |
| Total professional liability policyholders | 18,909 | 18,323 | 3% |

Direct premiums written decreased 4 percent for both the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. The decline in direct premiums written for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is primarily the result of the continued competitive pricing environment in our Florida market offset to some extent by growth in professional liability policyholders. This factor along with premiums returned to certain insured groups under retrospective plans resulting from their favorable loss experience contributed to the decline in direct premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our national policyholder retention rate was 94 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 96 percent for the comparable period in 2010. Our Florida policyholder retention rate was 96 percent and 97 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Net premiums written decreased 7 percent and 6 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010. The decline in net premiums written for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is primarily the result of the continued competitive pricing environment in our Florida market and the expense associated with our awards-made reinsurance program, which became effective January 1, 2011, offset to some extent by growth in professional liability policyholders. These factors along with premiums returned to certain insured groups under retrospective plans resulting from their favorable loss experience contributed to the decline in net premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net premiums earned decreased 5 percent and 4 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2010. The decline in net premiums earned for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is primarily the result of lower rates in our Florida market now being reflected in earned premiums and the expense associated with our awards-made reinsurance program, which became effective January 1, 2011. These factors along with premiums returned to certain insured groups under retrospective plans resulting from their favorable loss experience contributed to the decline in net premiums earned for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net investment income declined 12 percent and 13 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as the result of lower yields on invested assets due to lower prevailing interest rates and lower average invested assets as the result of cash utilized to repurchase shares under our share repurchase program.

Information concerning our *loss ratio*, *underwriting expense ratio* and *combined ratio* is summarized in the following table:

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Loss ratio | | | | |
| Current accident year | 71.9 % | 71.9 % | 72.0 % | 71.0 % |
| Prior accident years | (12.6)% | (11.9)% | (12.5)% | (10.7)% |
| Calendar year loss ratio | A 59.3 % | 60.0 % | 59.5 % | 60.3 % |
| Underwriting expense ratio | B 30.9 % | 29.2 % | 30.7 % | 28.6 % |
| Combined ratio (Sum of A+B) | 90.2 % | 89.2 % | 90.2 % | 88.9 % |

Net losses and LAE decreased 6 percent for both the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 primarily as the result of the continuation of favorable overall claims results as compared to our previous estimates. We recognized favorable net loss development related to previously established reserves of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$5.0 million and \$9.0 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The favorable development for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 reflects lower estimates of incident to claim development, payment frequency and/or payment severity for the 2005 through 2009 accident years. Our current accident year loss ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was 72.0 percent compared to 71.0 percent for the same period in 2010.

Other underwriting expenses were relatively level for the the three months ended June 30, 2011 and increased 3 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. The increase in other underwriting expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is attributable to the higher payout under the Advocate, MD earnout agreement during 2011 compared to the amount previously accrued during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Other expenses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 include approximately \$1.1 million in transaction related expenses, including investment banking, legal and other professional expenses, as the result of our previously announced agreement to be acquired by The Doctors Company.

Income tax expense increased 1 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and declined 5 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same periods in 2010. The increase in income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is the result of transaction related expenses that are not deductible for income tax purposes. The decline in income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 corresponds with the decline in income before income taxes. Our effective tax rate was 36 percent and 34 percent for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and 32 percent for both the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in the effective income tax rates for 2011 is the result of transaction related expenses that are not deductible for income tax purposes.

Selected information concerning our *direct professional liability insurance claim data* is summarized in the following tables:

(in thousands)

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage Change |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Net paid losses | \$ 25,236 | 50,876 ⁽¹⁾ | (50)% |
| Net paid LAE | 22,011 | 22,935 | (4)% |
| Net paid losses and LAE | \$ 47,247 | 73,811 | (36)% |

- (1) In March 2010, First Professionals resolved two related claims from the 2002 accident year against an insured with payments in excess of each claim's \$1.0 million policy limit. The amount paid by First Professionals in excess of the policy limit was \$10.0 million for each of the two claims, net of applicable reinsurance of \$2.0 million per claim. Such amounts had been fully contemplated in previously established loss and LAE reserves.

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage Change |
|--|--------------------------------------|------|----------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Total professional liability claims closed without indemnity payment | 309 | 362 | (15)% |
| Total professional liability incidents closed without indemnity payment | 403 | 377 | 7 % |
| Total professional liability claims and incidents closed without indemnity payment | 712 | 739 | (4)% |
| Total professional liability claims with indemnity payment | 170 | 185 | (8)% |
| CWIP Ratio on a rolling four quarter basis ⁽¹⁾ | 33% | 36% | |
| CWIP Ratio, including incidents, on a rolling four quarter basis ⁽¹⁾ | 16% | 19% | |

- (1) The claims with indemnity payment ("CWIP") ratio is defined as the ratio of total professional liability claims with indemnity payment to the sum of total professional liability claims with indemnity payment and total professional liability claims closed without indemnity payment.

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | Percentage Change |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Total professional liability claims reported during the period | 535 | 564 | (5)% |
| Total professional liability incidents reported during the period | 532 | 487 | 9 % |
| Total professional liability claims and incidents reported during the period | 1,067 | 1,051 | 2 % |
| Total professional liability claims and incidents that remained open | 3,545 | 3,764 | (6)% |

Selected professional liability insurance claims data. Net paid losses and LAE decreased 36 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010 as the result of the resolution during 2010 of two related claims against an insured from accident year 2002. The amount paid in excess of the applicable policy limit and reinsurance was \$10 million for each such claim. Excluding these payments, net paid losses and LAE declined 12 percent. On a rolling four quarter basis ended June 30, 2011, the CWIP ratio was 33 percent and the CWIP ratio, including incidents, was 16 percent, compared to 36 percent and 19 percent, respectively, for the same period ended in 2010. It is not unusual for our claims data to fluctuate from period to period, and our claims data remains within our expectations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to generate cash flows sufficient to meet short-term and long-term cash requirements of its business operations. As a holding company, our assets consist primarily of the stock of our subsidiaries and of other investments. The sources of liquidity available to us for the payment of operating expenses, taxes, debt-related amounts and other needs include management fees and dividends from our insurance subsidiaries. Management fees from our insurance subsidiaries are based on agreements in place with First Professionals and APAC, pursuant to which we provide for them substantially all management and administrative services. In accordance with limitations imposed by Florida law, our insurance subsidiaries are permitted to pay us dividends of approximately \$25.8 million during 2011 without prior regulatory approval. In furtherance of our capital management initiatives, we have received \$25.7 million in dividends through June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the holding company held cash and liquid investments of \$16.6 million.

For additional information concerning our liquidity and financial resources, see *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Sources of liquidity include cash from operations, sales of investments and financing arrangements. As reported in the consolidated statement of cash flows, *net cash provided by operating activities* was \$20.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$8.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to lower loss and LAE payments combined with lower tax payments during 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we resolved two related claims against an insured from accident year 2002. The amount paid in excess of the applicable policy limit and reinsurance was \$10 million for each claim. Excluding these payments, net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$12.0 million.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$17.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$35.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in net cash provided by investing activities during 2011 is primarily the result of fewer sales of investment securities compared to 2010 and the payment of \$4.4 million in contingent consideration related to the earnout agreement associated with the acquisition of Advocate, MD.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$24.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$17.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in net cash used in financing activities for 2011 is primarily due to higher share repurchases under our stock repurchase program.

As of June 30, 2011, we had *cash and investments* of \$704.1 million. Included within cash and investments were cash and cash equivalents of \$72.9 million and fixed income securities, available-for-sale, with a fair value of approximately \$53.5 million with scheduled maturities during the next 12 months.

We believe that our cash and investments as of June 30, 2011, combined with expected cash flows from operating activities and the scheduled maturities of investments, will be sufficient to meet our cash needs for operating purposes for at least the next 12 months.

Capital Resources

Capital resources consist of funds deployed or available to be deployed to support our business operations. We believe our financial strength generally provides us with the flexibility and capacity to obtain funds externally through debt or equity financing on both a short-term and long-term basis. Our ability to access the capital markets, however, is dependent on market conditions, among other things. The following table summarizes the components of our capital structure as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | As of June 30, 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Long-term debt | \$ 46,083 | 46,083 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 266,972 | 275,291 |
| Ratio of debt to total capitalization | 14.7% | 14.3% |

Long-Term Debt

During 2003, we completed the placement of \$10.0 million in senior notes and created three trusts that issued 30-year trust-preferred securities for which the proceeds from such issuances together with cash previously contributed to the trusts were used to purchase junior subordinated debentures from FPIC totaling \$36.1 million. The debentures that we issued, which are reported as long-term debt in the consolidated statements of financial position, to the three trusts are subordinated to all senior indebtedness, including the senior notes, and are equal in standing with one another. In accordance with the guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities, we have not consolidated these subsidiary trusts.

These debt securities are uncollateralized and bear floating interest equal to the three-month LIBOR plus spreads ranging from 3.85 percent to 4.20 percent (actual interest rates ranged from 4.12 percent to 4.45 percent as of June 30, 2011). We have the option to redeem the senior notes and trust-preferred securities on any quarterly interest payment date, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. However, if we elected to redeem our long-term indebtedness, we would be required to unwind our interest rate swaps and any related gains or losses remaining in other comprehensive income would be fully recorded in interest expense during the period. The trust-preferred securities also contain features that allow us the option, under certain conditions, to defer interest payments for up to 20 quarters. The senior notes and trust preferred securities have stated maturities of 30 years and are due in May and October 2033.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have various contractual obligations that are recorded as liabilities in our consolidated financial statements and other items that represent contractual obligations, commitments and contingent liabilities that are not recorded or that are considered to possess off-balance sheet risks beyond their respective amounts otherwise reflected in our consolidated financial statements. These include: (1) derivative financial instruments, which are used to hedge interest rate risk; (2) guarantees by us and contractual obligations related to the trust-preferred securities issued by separately created, unconsolidated trusts; (3) employee benefit plans; and (4) contingent consideration related to the acquisition of Advocate, MD. We were not a party to any unconsolidated arrangement or financial instrument with special purpose entities or other vehicles as of June 30, 2011 that would give rise to previously undisclosed market, credit or financing risk. No significant changes have occurred to our contractual obligations, commitments and off-balance sheet arrangements as described in the applicable section of our MD&A included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market and economic conditions and is directly influenced by the volatility and liquidity in the markets in which the related underlying assets are traded. We have exposure to three principal types of market risk: interest rate risk, credit risk and equity price risk. Our market risk sensitive instruments are acquired for purposes other than trading. There have been no material changes in our reported market risks as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The disclosures presented below provide information concerning credit risk exposures as of June 30, 2011.

Credit risk - fixed income securities and reinsurance. Credit risk is the risk that issuers of securities owned by us will default, or other parties, primarily our insureds and reinsurers that owe us money, will not pay. Financial instruments that potentially expose us to concentrations of credit risk consist of fixed income investments, premiums receivable, deposits with reinsurers, and assets carried for reinsurance recoverables related to unpaid losses and LAE and unearned premiums. Reinsurers that are neither authorized nor accredited by applicable state insurance departments ("unauthorized reinsurers") are required to provide collateral in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or investment securities held in a trust account to collateralize their respective balances due to us.

As of June 30, 2011, our fixed income portfolio had an overall average credit quality of Aa3, based on the lower of the available credit ratings from Moody's Investment Services ("Moody's") or Standard & Poor's ("S&P") for each investment security in our portfolio. We maintain a diversified portfolio and primarily invest in securities with investment grade credit ratings, with the intent to minimize credit risks. As of June 30, 2011, approximately 28 percent of our fixed income securities consist of tax-exempt securities. The balance is diversified through investments in treasury, agency, corporate, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities.

As of June 30, 2011, over 99 percent of our fixed income securities were rated by at least S&P or Moody's. Certain of these securities contain credit enhancements in the form of a third-party guarantee from a financial guarantor. In most cases, the underlying issuer of the fixed-income security has a credit rating from one of the above rating agencies. The

following table shows the rating of each of the securities containing such credit enhancements “with” and “without” the impact of the financial guarantor rating:

(in thousands)

| | Underlying Credit Rating | | | | Total |
|---|--------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | AAA - A | BBB | Below BBB | Not Rated | |
| Value of Fixed-Income Securities based on the Credit Rating of the Financial Guarantor ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 54,112 | 4,991 | 146 | 931 | \$ 60,180 |
| Value of Fixed-Income Securities based on the Credit Rating of the Underlying Issuer ⁽²⁾ | \$ 49,703 | 5,438 | — | 5,039 | \$ 60,180 |

- (1) The ratings noted above were determined by using the lower of the available credit ratings from S&P or Moody's unless the underlying issuer's stand-alone credit rating was higher than the S&P or Moody's stated rating, in which case the underlying issuer's stand-alone credit rating was used.
- (2) The ratings noted above were determined by using the lower of the available credit ratings from S&P or Moody's.

As of June 30, 2011, we had the following concentration in indirect exposures to financial guarantors through the ownership of fixed-income securities that contain a third-party guarantee:

(in thousands)

Value of Fixed-Income Securities Containing a Third-Party Guarantee

| Financial Guarantor: | Securities with an Underlying Issuer Stand-Alone Credit Rating | Securities without an Underlying Issuer Stand-Alone Credit Rating | Total Securities Containing a Third-Party Guarantee from a Financial Guarantor |
|---|--|---|--|
| National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation | \$ 24,834 | 3,405 | 28,239 |
| Assured Guaranty | 12,893 | — | 12,893 |
| Permanent School Fund | 8,370 | 432 | 8,802 |
| American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation | 4,159 | 1,046 | 5,205 |
| Financial Guaranty Insurance Company | 3,800 | — | 3,800 |
| Other guarantors | 1,085 | 156 | 1,241 |
| Total | \$ 55,141 | 5,039 | 60,180 |

We do not hold any direct exposures to a financial guarantor in our investment portfolio.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined by Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) was completed as of June 30, 2011 by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on such evaluation, FPIC's disclosure controls and procedures were found to be effective at a reasonable assurance level. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives, and management necessarily is required to use its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We, in common with the insurance industry in general, are subject to litigation involving claims under our insurance policies in the normal course of business. We may also become involved in legal actions not involving claims under our insurance policies from time to time. We have evaluated such exposures as of June 30, 2011, and in all cases, believe our positions and defenses are meritorious. However, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of such exposures. Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines and penalties and other sources are recorded when it is determined to be probable that a liability has been incurred and its amount can be reasonably estimated.

On June 29, 2011, FPIC, FPIC's Board of Directors, TDC and a subsidiary of TDC were named in a putative stockholder class action complaint styled *Pierre Sasseville v. John Byers, et al.*, No. 16-2011-CA-005311-XXXX-MA, which was filed in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit, Duval County, Florida, by a purported shareholder of FPIC. The complaint generally alleges that the directors of FPIC breached their fiduciary duties by approving the Merger for an allegedly unfair price and as the result of an allegedly unfair sale process. The complaint also alleges that FPIC, TDC and its subsidiary aided and abetted the directors' alleged breaches of their fiduciary duties and that FPIC failed to provide material information to shareholders with respect to the proposed acquisition of FPIC by TDC. The complaint seeks, among other things, a declaration that the action can proceed as a class action, an order enjoining the completion of the acquisition, attorneys' fees and such other relief as the court deems just and proper.

In addition, our insurance subsidiaries may become subject to claims for extra-contractual obligations or risks in excess of policy limits in connection with their insurance claims, particularly in Florida. These claims are sometimes referred to as "bad faith" actions as it is alleged that the insurance company acted in bad faith in the administration of a claim against an insured. Bad faith actions generally occur in instances where an award or jury verdict exceeds the insured's policy limits. Under such circumstances, it is routinely alleged that the insurance company failed to negotiate a settlement of the claim in good faith within the insured's policy limit or otherwise failed to properly administer the claim. In recent years, policy limits for MPL insurance in Florida have trended downward. This trend and the current judicial climate have increased the incidence and size of awards and jury verdicts in excess of policy limits against Florida medical professionals insured by our competitors and us. Such awards and verdicts have resulted in an increased frequency of claims by insureds or plaintiffs in MPL actions alleging bad faith on the part of Florida MPL insurers or alleging other failures to properly administer claims. In October 2009, an MPL claim against one of our insureds resulted in a significant arbitration award (\$35.4 million plus post-award statutory interest at the rate of 18 percent per year) against that insured. During the third quarter of 2010, the insured commenced an action against First Professionals alleging bad faith in the administration of this claim and in the third quarter of 2011, the recipient of the arbitration award commenced a similar action. We have evaluated this and other such exposures as of June 30, 2011, and believe our position and defenses are meritorious. However, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of such exposures. Our primary excess of loss reinsurance program includes a level of coverage for claims in excess of policy limits and effective January 1, 2011, First Professionals, APAC and Intermed obtained additional reinsurance with respect to ECO/XPL claims as described in *Note 7, Reinsurance*. When establishing our liability for losses and LAE, we take our exposure for ECO/XPL claims into consideration, including with respect to payments in excess of policy limits that may be made in order to address potential future ECO/XPL exposure. An award against us for extra-contractual liability or a significant award or jury verdict, or series of awards or verdicts, against one or more of our insureds could ultimately result in the payment by us of potentially significant amounts in excess of the related policy limits, reserves and reinsurance coverage and could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

For additional information concerning our commitments and contingencies, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* as well as *Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies* to this Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

On May 24, 2011, FPIC and The Doctors Company, a California domiciled reciprocal inter-insurance exchange ("TDC"), and Fountain Acquisition Corp., a Florida corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of TDC ("Merger Sub"), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), pursuant to which, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into FPIC (the "Merger"), with FPIC surviving the Merger as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of TDC.

As a result of its entry into the Merger Agreement, FPIC is modifying the risk factor entitled "Our business could be adversely affected by the loss of one or more key employees" contained in Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the "2010 10-K"), as set forth below. In addition, the Company is adding the four additional risk factors set forth below. Other than as set forth herein, there have been no material changes in risk factors as previously disclosed in the 2010 10-K.

Our business could be adversely affected by the loss of one or more key employees.

We are heavily dependent upon our senior management and other key employees, and the loss of the services of our senior executives or other key employees could adversely affect our business. Our success has been, and will continue to be, dependent on our ability to retain the services of existing key employees and to attract and retain additional qualified personnel in the future. The loss of the services of key employees, or the inability to identify, hire and retain other highly qualified personnel in the future, could adversely affect the quality and profitability of our business operations. The announcement of the Merger Agreement and potential uncertainties about the effect of the pending Merger on employees of the Company may have a negative impact on our ability to attract and retain senior management and other key employees.

There can be no assurance that the proposed Merger will be completed.

The Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including, among others, (i) the approval of the Merger by the holders of a majority in voting power of the outstanding common stock of the Company; (ii) the receipt of required insurance regulatory approvals; (iii) the absence of any order or injunction prohibiting the consummation of the transaction; and (iv) the absence of any Company Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement). There is no assurance that all of the conditions to the consummation of the Merger will be satisfied or that the Merger will be completed.

The Company's future business and financial results could be adversely affected by the incurrence of costs related to the Merger and other matters related to the Merger.

If the Merger is not completed under certain circumstances provided in the Merger Agreement, the Company has agreed to pay to TDC a termination fee of 3% of the aggregate merger consideration. In addition, the Company will incur costs relating to the Merger that are payable whether or not the Merger is completed, including legal, accounting, financial advisory and printing fees and other fees related to holding a special meeting of the Company's shareholders. In addition, the Company could be adversely affected by having had its management focused on completing the proposed Merger instead of on pursuing other business strategies that could have been beneficial to the Company, such as acquisition or investment opportunities. The Company's businesses and operations may also be harmed to the extent that third parties, such as customers, brokers and agents, may be concerned about the Company's ability to effectively operate in the marketplace on a stand-alone basis, or if there is management or employee uncertainty surrounding the future direction or strategy of the Company. The Company may lose key employees and ongoing business and prospects, as well as relationships with customers and other third parties as a result of these uncertainties. If the Merger is not completed, the Company may have difficulty in taking advantage of alternative business opportunities or effectively responding to competitive pressures. In addition, the Merger Agreement restricts the Company from making acquisitions or dispositions and taking other specified actions without the consent of TDC pending completion of the Merger. These restrictions may prevent the Company from pursuing attractive business opportunities or addressing other developments that may arise prior to the completion of the Merger.

The Merger Agreement limits the Company's ability to pursue alternatives to the Merger and contains provisions that could affect the decisions of a third party considering making an alternative acquisition proposal.

The Merger Agreement prohibits the Company from soliciting, initiating or knowingly facilitating alternative merger or acquisition proposals from any third party. Further, the Company's right to enter into an agreement providing for an unsolicited Superior Proposal (as defined in the Merger Agreement) is subject to a requirement to provide three business days' prior notice to TDC and to discuss and consider in good faith any changes to the terms of the Merger proposed by

TDC in response to the Superior Proposal. In addition, the Company is required to pay to TDC a termination fee of 3% of the aggregate merger consideration if the Merger Agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, including termination by the Company in order to enter into an agreement providing for a Superior Proposal. The foregoing provisions may limit the Company's ability to pursue an alternative acquisition proposal from a third party and could also affect the decision by a third party to make a competing acquisition proposal, including the structure, pricing and terms thereof.

If the Merger is not completed, the Company's common stock price may be adversely affected.

If the Merger is not completed, the trading price of the Company's common stock may decline to the extent that the current market prices reflect a market assumption that the Merger will be completed. In addition, any adverse operational or financial effect on the Company caused by the failure to complete the Merger could adversely affect the price of its common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the second quarter of 2011.

Stock Repurchase Plan - Under our stock repurchase program, we may repurchase shares up to the amounts available for repurchase at such times, and in such amounts, as management deems appropriate. Under certain circumstances, limitations may be placed on our ability to repurchase our stock by the terms of agreements relating to our junior subordinated debentures. For information regarding these limitations, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 10 Long-Term Debt*, as well as the discussion under the heading "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. The following table summarizes our common stock repurchases on a trade date basis for the three months ended June 30, 2011:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs at End of Month (1) |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| April 2011 | | | | |
| Repurchase programs ⁽¹⁾ | 48,000 | \$ 39.40 | 48,000 | 100,770 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | — | \$ — | — | — |
| May 2011 | | | | |
| Repurchase programs ⁽¹⁾ | — | \$ — | — | 600,770 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | — | \$ — | — | — |
| June 2011 | | | | |
| Repurchase programs ⁽¹⁾ | — | \$ — | — | 600,770 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | — | \$ — | — | — |
| Total | 48,000 | \$ 39.40 | 48,000 | 600,770 |

(1) Our Board of Directors authorized our share repurchase program in July 2006. This program authorizes us to repurchase shares through open-market transactions, or in block transactions, or private transactions, pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 trading plans, or otherwise. This program was amended on April 1, 2011 to increase the repurchase authorization by an additional 500,000 shares and expires on March 31, 2012.

(2) Represents shares of our common stock delivered to us in satisfaction of the tax withholding obligation of holders of restricted shares that vested during the quarter and the exercise cost of stock options.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Item 5. Other Information

All matters requiring a Form 8-K filing have been so filed as of the date of this filing. There have been no material changes to the procedures by which security holders recommend nominees to the board of directors.

Item 6. Exhibits

| <u>Exhibit</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <u>31.1</u> | Certification of John R. Byers, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| <u>31.2</u> | Certification of Charles Divita, III, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| <u>32</u> | Certification of John R. Byers, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Charles Divita, III, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

August 3, 2011

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Charles Divita, III

Charles Divita, III
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Exhibit Index to Form 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

| <u>Exhibit</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <u>31.1</u> | Certification of John R. Byers, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| <u>31.2</u> | Certification of Charles Divita, III, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| <u>32</u> | Certification of John R. Byers, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Charles Divita, III, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

Certification

I, John R. Byers, President and Chief Executive Officer of FPIC Insurance Group, Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 3, 2011

/s/ John R. Byers

President and Chief Executive Officer

Certification

I, Charles Divita, III, Chief Financial Officer of FPIC Insurance Group, Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 3, 2011

/s/ Charles Divita, III
Chief Financial Officer

Certification Pursuant to
18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
as Adopted Pursuant to
§ 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of FPIC Insurance Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, John R. Byers, President and Chief Executive Officer and, I, Charles Divita, III, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of § 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the periodic Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

August 3, 2011

FPIC Insurance Group, Inc.

By: /s/ John R. Byers
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Charles Divita, III
Chief Financial Officer