MOLLY M. WHITE, Cal. Bar No. 171448 1 Email: whitem@sec.gov J. CINDY ESON, Cal. Bar No. 219782 Email: esonjc@sec.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 3 **ORIGINAL** Michele Wein Layne, Associate Regional Director John W. Berry, Regional Trial Counsel 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor 4 FILED CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT 5 Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908 APR 1 1 2012 Facsimile: CENTRAL DISTRICT ON CALIFORNIA 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA g10 cGeV12-031427HN (PLAX) 900 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE $\dot{\text{MU}}^{11}$ COMMISSION, **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS** 12 Plaintiff, OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES **LAWS** 13 VS. 14 SHERVIN NEMAN and NEMAN FINANCIAL, INC., 15 Defendants, 16 CASSANDRA C. NEMAN, 17 Relief Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1) & 77v(a), Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e) and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) & 78aa, and Sections 209 and 214 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 209(d), 80b-14 15 U.S.C. § 80b-9(d). Defendants Shervin Neman ("Neman") and Neman Financial, Inc. ("Neman Adviser") have, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.
- 2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.§ 78aa, and Section 214 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-214, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district, each of the entity defendants is located in this district, and each of the individual defendants resides in this district.

SUMMARY

3. This_case involves an ongoing Ponzi scheme that the Defendants have been operating and which mainly targets members of the Persian-Jewish community in Los Angeles. Neman is the sole owner and chief executive officer of Neman Adviser, an SEC registered investment adviser. He is also the president and chief executive officer of Neman Financial, LP ("Neman Fund"), an affiliated entity that purports to be a hedge fund. From June 2010 to the present, Neman raised at least \$7.54 million from eleven investors in California, Florida, and Texas

by offering purported investment opportunities, through Neman Fund, in: 1) foreclosed residential properties; 2) Facebook shares in private transactions; and 3) various highly-anticipated initial public offerings (collectively, the "Neman Fund Offering").

- 4. Neman tells investors that Neman Fund buys foreclosed residential properties and "flips" them to committed buyers at a profit. He promises investors returns of 11% to 18%, to be paid within 30 to 180 days, and issues them promissory notes from Neman Fund, which Neman signs as its president and chief executive officer. In addition, Neman tells investors that he has connections to a broker with access to private Facebook shares and initial public offerings ("IPOs) of issuers such as General Motors ("GM"), and more recently, Groupon, LinkedIn, and Angie's List. Neman convinces investors that Neman Fund will acquire, or has acquired, millions of dollars worth of shares in these companies. Investors who wish to participate in the acquisition of these private shares or IPOs enter into purchase agreements with Neman, the "General Partner," who promises them a quick return once the issuers go public.
- 5. In reality, Neman is operating a Ponzi scheme, and has used more than 99% of the funds raised from investors to either make Ponzi payments to investors or to pay his personal and business expenses. Specifically, of the \$7.54 million raised from investors since June 2010, Neman has used more than \$5.4 million to make Ponzi payments to existing investors, and has spent another nearly \$1.6 million to support a lavish lifestyle and maintain the appearance of an upscale business operation. Due to recent investments from new and existing investors, Neman owes nearly \$2.7 million in principal payments alone to his investors.
- 6. The Defendants, by engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate the antifraud, investment adviser registration, and investment adviser books and records provisions of the federal securities laws. By this Complaint, the

Commission seeks emergency relief against the Defendants, including a temporary restraining order, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctions, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and civil penalties.

THE DEFENDANTS AND RELIEF DEFENDANT

- 7. **Shervin Neman** ("Neman") (fka Shervin Davatgarzadeh), age 30, resides in the Century City area of Los Angeles, CA. He is the sole owner and chief executive officer of Neman Adviser, an SEC registered investment adviser. He is also the president and chief executive officer of Neman Fund, an affiliated entity that purports to be a hedge fund.
- 8. **Neman Financial, Inc.** ("Neman Adviser") is a California corporation formed by Neman in June 2010, with its principal place of business in the Century City area of Los Angeles. It is registered with the Commission as an investment adviser under a 120-Day Registration Approval, which became effective on September 28, 2011, and lapsed on or about January 26, 2012. Neman Adviser has not yet filed an amended Form ADV stating that the firm has met the requisite amount of assets under management or alternatively, that it is withdrawing its registration. On November 1, 2011, Neman Adviser filed a Municipal Advisory Temporary Registration Form, which was set to expire on December 31, 2011, but was extended until September 30, 2012, because there is no permanent registration in place.
- 9. **Cassandra C. Neman**, age 33, resides with Neman in the Century City area of Los Angeles, California. Shervin Neman and Cassandra Neman were married in October 2010. Cassandra Neman has received thousands of dollars worth of gifts from Neman, including a \$60,000 ring, and thousands more for her personal expenses, all of which was funded with investor monies.

27 || ///

///

28 | | ///

AFFILIATED ENTITY

10. **Neman Financial, LP** ("Neman Fund") is a California limited partnership formed by Neman in June 2010 and located at the same address as Neman Adviser. Neither Neman Fund nor its securities offering are registered with the Commission in any capacity. Neman Fund's general partner is Neman Adviser.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. The Nature of the Neman Fund Offering

- types of investments. The first investment involves pooling investor funds to "flip" foreclosed houses in California. Neman tells investors that Neman Fund purchases foreclosed bank-owned properties in bulk and then resells them to buyers with whom Neman has a longstanding relationship and who have committed to purchase the properties. Investors in the foreclosed properties receive a promissory note from Neman Fund that states the principal amount, the interest rate, the term of the note, and the total amount of principal and interest due at maturity. The note represents that the investor's principal is secured by the properties being acquired and states that the total investment amount will not exceed a set amount, which ranges from more than \$3 million to \$30 million. The note also represents that the investor's funds are being invested along with a specified amount of money from Neman Fund to purchase the properties. Neman signs the promissory note as Neman Fund's president and chief executive.
- 12. Neman's second investment involves pooling investor funds to purchase shares of issuers such as Facebook and ZocDoc, Inc., a medical website. Neman tells investors that he has connections to a broker with access to these shares and that Neman Fund intends to purchase, or already has purchased, millions of shares at a set price per share, in transactions that range from \$12 million to \$30 million. Investors who wish to participate in Neman Fund's

acquisition of Facebook or ZocDoc shares enter into a stock purchase agreement with Neman, the "General Partner," and agree to pay the General Partner a specified purchase price, which is the amount they want to invest in the shares. Neman tells investors that once the issuer goes public, Neman Fund will either sell the shares and distribute the profits, or transfer the shares to individual investors. Neman has the discretion to decide which option to pursue.

- 13. Neman's third investment involves pooling investor funds to "flip" IPO shares of issuers such as GM, and more recently LinkedIn, Groupon, and Angie's List. Neman tells investors that he can purchase these shares right before the IPO, and then sell them on the day of the IPO or the next day, when the stock price is at its highest. Investors who wish to participate in Neman Fund's acquisition of IPO shares usually enter into an IPO purchase agreement with Neman, the "General Partner," and agree to pay the General Partner a specified purchase price, i.e., the amount they want to invest. The General Partner agrees to return the investor's principal and profit by a date certain.
- 14. In most instances, Neman directed investors to wire their funds to a personal bank account held in Neman's name or to write checks to him personally, which he then deposited into his personal account. Neman commingled investor funds in his personal account.
- 15. Both the promissory notes and stock purchase agreements include a provision whereby investors acknowledge that there is usually a management fee or performance fee associated with the transaction, while the IPO purchase agreements state that there is no fee for the transaction. Neman's investors usually received their principal repayment and promised returns on or shortly past the due date listed in their promissory notes or purchase agreements.

B. Neman is Operating a Ponzi Scheme

16. Rather than investing client money in foreclosed property, Facebook and ZocDoc shares, or IPOs, the Defendants are actually operating a Ponzi scheme.

Specifically, of the more than \$7.54 million raised from investors since June 2010, Neman has used more than \$5.4 million to pay principal and purported profits to existing investors using funds from new investors or new investments from other existing investors. Except for a single \$66,000 investment in General Motors' IPO in November 2010, Neman has not used investor funds in the manner he said he would.

- 17. While Neman used the bulk of investor funds to perpetrate a Ponzi scheme, he used another nearly \$1.6 million to support a lavish lifestyle for himself and his wife, and to pay business and other expenses. Among other things, Neman used investor funds to pay for his wedding and honeymoon, his wife's engagement ring, luxury cars, VIP tickets to entertainment venues, jewelry, hotels, and restaurants. Neman also used investor funds to lease and redecorate a new office in an upscale building in the Century City area of Los Angeles, hire two administrative assistants, and pay legal and other professional expenses, among other things.
- 18. Neman and Neman Adviser have also failed to maintain a number of categories of documents as required by Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2 thereunder. These documents included cash receipts and disbursements, general and auxiliary ledgers reflecting income and expense accounts, bank statements, paid and unpaid bills or statements, financial statements, written communications, written agreements with Neman Fund investors, and records of private funds. Neman Adviser also failed to maintain certain records for at least five years, the first two years within their office, as required under Rule 204-2(e)(1) of the Advisers Act.
- 19. Neman and Neman Adviser have further failed to provide Commission examiners with the records that regulated investment advisers are required to keep in the ordinary and regular course of their business as required under Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2 thereunder.

20. Additionally, Neman Adviser should not have registered with the Commission because at the time it registered, Section 203A of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-3a, required an investment adviser to have \$25 million in assets under management, which Neman Adviser did not have. It appears that Neman Adviser registered with the Commission in order to tout its status as a registered investment adviser and attract more investors.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES

Violations of Section 17(a) Of the Securities Act (Against All Defendants)

- 21. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. Neman and Neman Adviser made misrepresentations to investors regarding the purported investments Neman Fund would make on their behalf, misappropriated investor funds, and failed to disclose that Neman and Neman Fund were operating a Ponzi scheme.
- 23. Defendants Neman and Neman Adviser and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails:
 - a. with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
 - b. obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
 - c. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

 $_{28} \parallel_{\text{of}}$

24. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES

Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder (Against All Defendants)

- 25. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 26. Neman and Neman Adviser made misrepresentations to investors regarding the purported investments Neman Fund would make on their behalf, misappropriated investor funds, and failed to disclose that Neman and Neman Adviser were operating a Ponzi scheme.
- 27. Defendants Neman and Neman Adviser and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter:
 - a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
 - b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
 - c. engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.
- 28. By engaging in the conduct described above, and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §

240.10b-5.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD BY INVESTMENT ADVISER

Violations of Section 206(1) and (2) of the Advisers Act (Against All Defendants)

- 29. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 30. At all times alleged in the Complaint, Neman and Neman Adviser each were investment advisers to Neman Fund under the Advisers Act. Neman held himself out a hedge fund manager. Neman Adviser registered with the Commission as an investment adviser, and Neman exercised exclusive control over Neman Adviser. Neman received compensation for his advice to Neman Fund in the form of \$1.6 million used to pay his living and business expenses. Neman and Neman Adviser misappropriated fund assets through course of a Ponzi scheme.
- 31. Defendants Neman and Neman Adviser and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, by use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce or:
 - a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud a client or prospective;
 - b. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any client or prospective client.
- 32. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Neman and Neman Adviser and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 206(1) and (2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6 (1) and (2).

28 || ///

///

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD BY AN INVESTMENT ADVISER

Violations of 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-8(a)(1) Thereunder (Against All Defendants)

- 33. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 34. At all times alleged in the Complaint, Neman and Neman Adviser operated Neman Fund as a pooled investment vehicle under Rule 206(4)-8 of the Adviser's Act. Neman and Neman Adviser made misrepresentations to investors regarding the purported investment Neman Fund would make on their behalf and by failing to disclose that Neman was operating a Ponzi scheme.
- 35. Neman and Neman Adviser, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, by use of the mails or means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce:
 - a. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operate as a fraud or deceit upon investors in Neman Fund;
 - b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, to investors or prospective investors in a pooled investment vehicle; or
 - c. otherwise engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business that were fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative with respect to investors or prospective investors in a pooled investment vehicle.
- 36. By reason of the activities described herein, Neman and Neman Adviser have violated and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate Sections 206(4) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(4), and Rule 206(4)-8(a)(1) thereunder, 17 C.F.R.. § 275.206(4)-8(a)(1).

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE OF INVESTMENT ADVISER TO KEEP AND PROVIDE RECORDS FOR COMMISSION EXAMINATION

Violations of Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2 Thereunder (Against All Defendants)

- 37. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 38. Defendant Neman Adviser made use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce in connection with its business as an investment adviser and was required to make and keep certain prescribed records as necessary or appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors.
- 39. Defendant Neman Adviser has failed to keep and maintain such records. The documents which Neman Adviser failed to keep and maintain included cash receipts and disbursements, general and auxiliary ledgers reflecting income and expense accounts, bank statements, paid and unpaid bills or statements, financial statements, written communications, written agreements with Neman Fund investors, and records of private funds. Neman Adviser also failed to maintain certain records for at least five years, the first two years within their office as required under Rule 204-2(e)(1) of the Advisers Act, 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2(e)(1).
- 40. Defendant Neman Adviser further failed to provide such required records to Commission examiners for such review as may be required by the public interest and for the protection of investors, as required by Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4 and Rule 204-2 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2.
- 41. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant Neman Adviser violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4, and Rule 204-2 thereunder,

1	
2	

17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2. Defendant Neman has aided and abetted and caused the violations by Neman Adviser of Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-4 and Rule 204-2, 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INELIGIBLITY OF INVESTMENT ADVISER

FOR FEDERAL REGISTRATION

Violations of Section 203A of the Advisers Act

(Against All Defendants)

- 42. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 43. Neman Adviser maintains its principal office and place of business within the State of California and has assets under management of less than \$25 million. Neman Adviser is not otherwise exempt from the provisions of Section 203A of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-3a. Neman Adviser is ineligible to register as a federal investment adviser and is required to register with the appropriate state entity under Section 203 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-3.
- 44. Neman Adviser has remained at all times relevant ineligible to register as a federal investment adviser under Section 203 of the Advisers Act and must register as required by Section 203A of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C.§ 80b-3a.
- 45. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant Neman Adviser has violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 203A of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-3a. Defendant Neman has aided and abetted and caused the violations by Neman Adviser of Section 203A of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-3a.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the Defendants committed

the alleged violations.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

II.

Issue judgments, in forms consistent with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining the Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a), and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and Sections 203A, 204(a), 206(1), 206(2) and 206(4) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-3a, 80b-4(a), 80b-6 (1), 80b-6 (2) and 80b-6 (4), and Rules 204-2 and 206(4)-8(a)(1) thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 275.204-2 & 275.206(4)-8(a)(1).

III.

Issue, in a form consistent with Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction freezing the assets of each of the Defendants and Relief Defendant and any entity affiliated with any of them, prohibiting each of the Defendants from destroying documents, granting expedited discovery from each of the Defendants, requiring an accounting from each Defendant, and requiring Defendant Neman to surrender his passport.

IV.

Order each of the Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from their illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

V.

Order the Relief Defendant to disgorge proceeds relating to the alleged misconduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

VI.

Order Defendant Neman to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d) of the

Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d), Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) and under Section 209 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-9. VII. Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court. VIII. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary. DATED: April //, 2012 Respectfully submitted, J. CINDY ESON Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) Securities and Exchange Commission				D	EFEND Shervi Defend	n Neman, Nemar	Financia	I, Inc., and Cassand	dra C. Neman, R	elief	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A yourself, provide same.) Molly M. White, J. Cinc Securities and Exchange 5670 Wilshire Blvd., 11t II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ly Eson Commis h Flr., L	Tel: 323-965-3998 ssion os Angeles, CA 90036	you are		Andrew Patton I 2550 M	(If Known) v Friedman, And boggs LLP I St. NW Washin	gton D.C.				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff☐ 2 U.S. Government Defenda		3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	,	(Place an X in Citizen of This State Citizen of Another	n one bo te State	ex for plaintiff and P	fone for DEI 1 1 1 2 12	defendant.) Incorporated or of Business in the	Principal Place his State d Principal Place	PTF □ 4 : □ 5	DEF □ 4 □ 5
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) V 1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Original Proceeding State Court State Court Original Origin											
CLASS ACTION under F.R.6 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Ci 15 U.S.C. §§ 77q(a), 78;(i) VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities. Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	te the U.	S. Civil Statute under whi (1), 80b-6(2), 80b-6(4), 80 in one box only.) CONTRACT Insurance Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure	Db-4, 80 PEF 310 315 320 340 345 355 360 365 368	are filing and write a	370 371 380 385 B. 422 441 443 445 446		Do not a)(1), 27:	cite jurisdictional s	T.A 710 Fair L.Act	Mgmt. ons Mgmt. ons Mgmt. ons Mgmt. ing & sure Act y Labor Labor ion Ret. Inc y Act Y RIGH ghts Mark ECURI 395ff) Lung (92 /DIWW)) FAX SU U.S. Pla indant) iird Part	ndards t Act TY 23)
				Actions							

CV12-03142

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: ______

 ${\bf AFTER\ COMPLETING\ THE\ FRONT\ SIDE\ OF\ FORM\ CV-71,\ COMPLETE\ THE\ INFORMATION\ REQUESTED\ BELOW.}$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	eviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ✓ No ✓ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pre	eviously filed in this court that	at are related to the present case? VNo 🗆 Yes			
□ B. □ C.	Arise from the same Call for determinati For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial ould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or , and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet it	f necessary.)			
• •	_	-	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County						
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernan Note: In land condemnation cases, use	dino, Riverside, Ve	entura, Santa Barbara, or S				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (\J	WARL	Date April 9, 2012			
or other papers as required by law	7. This form, approv	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings to the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	ĺ					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))				

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

MOLLY M. WHITE, Cal. Bar No. 171448
J. CINDY ESON, Cal. Bar No. 219782
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
5670 WILSHIRE BLVD. 11TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90036

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
	CT OF CALIFORNIA
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12-031427HN(PLA
SHERVIN NEMAN, NEMAN FINANCIAL INC.; CASSANDRA C. NEMAN, Relief Defendant	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
Within21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Mo Securities and Exchange Commission, 5670 Wilshire Bl judgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer olly M. White, whose address is vd. #11, Los Angeles CA 90036 If you fail to do so,
APR 1 1 2012 Dated:	Clerk, U.S. District Court By:
Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State.	(Seal of the Court) CE USE ONLY CASE of the United States, Allowed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Jacqueline Nguyen and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Paul Abrams.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 3142 JHN (PLAx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery	related motions	snould be notic	ed on the calen	dar of the Magi	strate Judge

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	U	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	L	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.