UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

CASE NO.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICARDO BONILLA ROJAS AND SHADAI YIRE, INC.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER RELIEF

1

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission alleges:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. The Commission brings this action to enjoin Defendants Ricardo Bonilla Rojas and Shadai Yire, Inc. from violating the registration and anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws.

2. From no later than August 2005 until at least February 2009, Rojas, directly and through his company, Shadai Yire, engaged in an offering fraud that, by September 2005 devolved into a Ponzi scheme. All told, Rojas and Shadai raised more than \$7 million from approximately 200 investors in Puerto Rico and the United States.

3. Rojas solicited investments primarily through personal discussions with individuals and presentations to groups, including Evangelical Christian groups and factory workers, where he promised to pay investors 15% to 50% annual returns. Rojas told prospective investors this was a risk-free investment in M & R International Group, Corp., a Shadai Yire subsidiary, which would invest in commodities contracts.

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 2 of 14

4. Rojas touted a purported long history of successful trading in commodities and gave investors his guarantee their principal contributions were secure. He made numerous misrepresentations and omissions to investors, foremost among them failing to disclose that he did not invest any of the contributions. To hide this from investors, Rojas repaid earlier investors with money collected from new investors in typical Ponzi scheme fashion.

5. Rojas also omitted disclosing that he misappropriated at least \$700,000 of the investors' contributions to support his lifestyle.

6. Through this fraudulent conduct, Rojas and Shadai Yire violated Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§77e(a), (c), and q(a)]; Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5]. Rojas also violated Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78o(a)(1)].

7. The Commission asks the Court to enter: (1) permanent injunctions restraining and enjoining Rojas and Shadai Yire from violating the federal securities laws; (2) orders directing Rojas and Shadai Yire to disgorge all ill-gotten gains, with prejudgment interest; (3) orders directing Rojas and Shadai Yire to pay civil penalties; and (4) orders directing Rojas and Shadai Yire to submit sworn accountings of all profits or proceeds they received as a result of the courses of conduct alleged in this Complaint.

II. <u>DEFENDANTS AND RELATED ENTITY</u>

A. Defendants

8. Rojas, 53, resides in Arecibo, Puerto Rico. He has been the president and controlling principal of Shadai Yire since April 2001. Rojas has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 3 of 14

9. Shadai Yire is a Puerto Rican company Rojas formed in April 2001 with its principal place of business in Arecibo. Shadai Yire is purportedly an international enterprise in the business of global private investments. Shadai has never registered an offering of securities under the Securities Act or a class of securities under the Exchange Act, and has never registered with the Commission in any capacity.

B. <u>Related Entity</u>

10. M&R is a Puerto Rican company and subsidiary of Shadai Yire Rojas formed in October 2004 which purports to be in the business of investing in the commodities markets.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), (d), and 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), t(d), and v(a)]; and Sections 21(d) and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78aa].

12. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Rojas and Shadai Yire, and venue is proper in the District of Puerto Rico, because many of the Defendants' acts and transactions constituting violations of the Securities Act and the Exchange Act occurred in the District of Puerto Rico. More specifically, Shadai Yire's principal place of business was in Puerto Rico, Rojas solicited investors from Puerto Rico, and Rojas resides in this District.

13. In connection with the conduct alleged in this Complaint, Rojas and Shadai Yire, directly and indirectly, singly or in concert with others, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the means or instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce, and the mails.

IV. THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT INVESTMENT SCHEME

A. The Defendants' Offer And Sale of Securities

14. From no later than August 2005 until at least February 2009, Rojas, directly and through Shadai Yire, offered and sold securities in the form of investment contracts in Shadai Yire.

15. No registration statement has been filed or was in effect with the Commission in connection with the securities offered by Shadai Yire, nor is Shadai Yire entitled to any registration exemption.

16. Rojas actively solicited investors and marketed the investment primarily through personal discussions with individuals, in person and during telephone conversations, and through presentations to groups, including Evangelical Christian groups and factory workers in Puerto Rico.

17. Beginning no later than September 2005 until at least December 2007, Rojas also hired sales agents to solicit investors. Rojas used at least two entities and one individual as sales agents, and paid these sales agents commissions based on a percentage of the investor funds they raised.

18. Rojas and his sales agents told prospective investors Shadai Yire would invest their contributions in Shadai Yire and M&R, a company that invested in commodity contracts.

19. Rojas and his sales agents also told investors he would generate profits based on a long history of successful trading in the commodities markets. Investors had no involvement in making investment or trading decisions, or in the day-to-day operations of Shadai Yire or M&R.

20. Rojas and his sales agents pitched the investment opportunity to individuals as a risk-free way to earn high returns in a short period of time.

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 5 of 14

21. Rojas and his sales agents provided investors virtually no information about Shadai Yire or M&R, but promised investors their principal would be secure. Rojas also promised investors 15% to 50% returns after investment cycles of 60 days to 13 months.

22. Rojas also made these promises to investors in an agreement entitled "Joint Venture Contract," which Rojas entered into on behalf of Shadai Yire with investors. According to this agreement, Rojas and Shadai Yire promised investors Shadai Yire would invest their contributions, investors would earn returns of up to 50%, and investors' principal contributions were "100% guaranteed."

23. Shadai Yire also distributed a "Partnership Proposal" to prospective investors. This document touted Shadi Yire's purported expertise in commodities and promised investors their principal contributions were guaranteed.

24. From no later than August 2005 until at least February 2009, Rojas collected investors' contributions via checks and wire transfers and pooled these funds by depositing them into Shadai Yire and M&R's bank accounts, which Rojas controlled.

25. In September 2005, Rojas began using investors' funds to pay earlier investors their purported returns. From September 2005 until December 2008, Rojas used at least \$4 million of investors' funds to pay earlier investors their contributions in Ponzi scheme fashion.

26. No later than in October 2005, Rojas began misappropriating investors' funds for his own use. From that time until January 2009, Rojas misappropriated at least \$700,000 of investors' funds.

27. Rojas and Shadai Yire raised more than \$7 million from approximately 200 investors in Puerto Rico and the United States, including North Carolina, Florida, and New York. Some of these investors were unaccredited and unsophisticated.

B. Material Misrepresentations And Omissions To Investors And The Misappropriation Of Investors' Funds

28. In connection with soliciting investments in Shadai Yire and M&R, Rojas and Shadai Yire made numerous material misrepresentations and omissions regarding, among other things: (i) the rates of return; (ii) the safety of the principal; (iii) sources of returns; (iv) investor account balances; and (v) the use of investor funds.

i. Rojas And Shadai Yire Lured Investors With False Promises Of Guaranteed Rates Of Return

29. To lure investors, Rojas promised to pay them up to 15% to 50% returns after investment cycles of 60 days to 13 months. Rojas had no reasonable basis for making these promises because he never invested any of the investors' contributions.

30. By September 2005, Rojas was using investors' funds to pay earlier investors their purported returns. By October 2005, Rojas was misappropriating investors' funds to support his lifestyle. Nonetheless, Rojas continued promising investors returns of up to 50%.

31. Rojas promised these returns orally and, in some instances, in writing.

32. For example, in approximately April 2008, Rojas visited a potential investor at her home in Costa Norte, Puerto Rico. Rojas promised the investor a 40% return on a three-month investment, and the investor contributed \$30,000.

33. Rojas lacked any reasonable basis for making this promise. He had not invested any investors' contributions. He had already misappropriated approximately \$650,000 in investors' contributions and had used approximately \$3.6 million to pay earlier investors their purported returns.

34. Rojas also promised investors rates of return in written agreements. For example, on July 31, 2007, Rojas, on behalf of Shadai Yire, entered into a Joint Venture Contract with a

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 7 of 14

pastor who resides in North Carolina. In this document, Rojas promised the pastor returns of up to 30%. However, Rojas was not investing any investor contributions when he executed this document. Instead, he was only misappropriating funds and using the remainder to pay earlier investors their purported returns or pay commissions to his sales agents.

ii. Rojas And Shadai Yire Misrepresented The Safety Of The Investment

35. From no later than January 16, 2006 until at least February 29, 2008, Rojas and Shadai Yire lured investors by promising them their principal contributions in Shadai Yire and M&R would be secure.

36. When Rojas and Shadai Yire began making these promises in approximately August 2005, Rojas was already using investor contributions to pay earlier investors their purported returns. By October 2005, Rojas was misappropriating investor contributions to support his lifestyle.

37. Nonetheless, Rojas and Shadai Yire continued to promise investors their contributions were secure. For example, on July 31, 2007, Rojas, on behalf of Shadai Yire, executed a Joint Venture Contract with an investor in which Shadai Yire promised the investor his principal contribution was "100% Guaranteed." The investor invested \$10,000.

38. By April 2008, Rojas had misappropriated approximately \$650,000 of the investor contribution for his personal use, and had used approximately \$3.6 million of investors' contributions to pay earlier investors their purported returns.

39. Nonetheless, Rojas and Shadai Yire continued to promise investors their contributions were secure. For example, in April 2008, Rojas, on behalf of Shadai Yire, lured an investor with oral assurances that, among other things, he would receive his principal contribution back in three months. In addition, Rojas, on behalf of Shadai Yire, executed a Joint

Venture Contract with the investor in which Shadai Yire promised the investor his principal contribution was "100% Guaranteed." The investor invested \$30,000.

iii. Rojas Misrepresented The Source Of Investors' Purported Returns

40. Rojas failed to disclose that he never invested any of the investors' contributions and instead misappropriated them for his own use, used them to pay his sales agents, and used them to pay earlier investors their purported returns.

41. From no later than September 2005 until December 2008, Rojas paid purported investment returns of at least \$4 million to investors from other investors' contributions in typical Ponzi scheme fashion. Rojas falsely told investors this money was their profits from the investment with Shadai Yire and its subsidiary, M&R.

iv. To Hide Investor Losses, Rojas Sent Investors False Account Statements

42. From no later than January 16, 2006 until at least February 29, 2008, Rojas, on behalf of Shadi Yire, sent investors false account statements. These statements contained account balances showing investors' principal contributions and the accumulated returns he had promised. However, there were no investment returns when Rojas sent these account balances because he had failed to invest any of the investors' contributions. Rather than any returns, there was only the loss of funds due to Rojas' misappropriation and Ponzi scheme payments.

43. For example, Shadai Yire sent an investor an account statement for the period August 31, 2007 to October 31, 2007, showing the investor had gained \$3,225 on his \$10,000 investment. In truth, there were only losses because Rojas and Shadai Yire failed to invest any investor contributions.

v. Rojas Misrepresented How He Would Use Investors' Funds

44. Rojas told investors he would invest their contributions in M&R, which would purchase commodities contracts.

45. In reality, Rojas did not invest any investor funds. Rojas used approximately \$700,000 of investors' contributions to support his lifestyle and approximately \$4 million to pay purported investment returns to investors.

46. By October 2008, there were virtually no funds left in Shadai Yire bank accounts because Rojas misappropriated investor contributions, fraudulently paid investor contributions as purported investment returns to conceal his scheme, and spent the remainder of investors' money to pay his team of sales agents to solicit new investors.

V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

<u>COUNT I</u>

Sale of Unregistered Securities in Violation of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act Against Rojas And Shadai Yire

47. The Commission repeats and realleges paragraphs 2-4, 8-10, and 14-27 of this Complaint as if fully restated herein.

48. No registration statement was filed or in effect with the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act and no exemption from registration exists with respect to the securities and transactions described in this Complaint.

49. From no later than August 2005 until at least February 2009, Rojas and Shadai Yire, directly or indirectly: (a) made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell securities, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise; (b) carried securities or caused such securities to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by any means or instruments of transportation, for

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 10 of 14

the purpose of sale or delivery after sale; and (c) made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise, without a registration statement having been filed or being in effect with the Commission as to such securities.

50. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants directly or indirectly violated, and, unless enjoined, are reasonably likely to continue to violate, Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)].

COUNT II

Fraud In Violation of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Exchange Act Against Rojas And Shadai Yire

51. The Commission repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint as if fully restated herein.

52. From no later than August 2005 until February 2009, Rojas and Shadai Yire, directly or indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, and of the mails in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, knowingly, willfully or recklessly: (a) employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in acts, practices and courses of business which have operated, are now operating and will operate as a fraud upon the purchasers of such securities.

53. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants directly or indirectly violated, and, unless enjoined, are reasonably likely to continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

COUNT III

Fraud in the Offer or Sale of Securities in Violation of Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act Against Rojas And Shadai Yire

54. The Commission repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint as if fully restated herein.

55. From no later than August 2005 until February 2009, Rojas and Shadai Yire, directly or indirectly, by use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce and by use of the mails, in the offer or sale of securities, knowingly, willfully or recklessly employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud.

56. By reason of the foregoing, Rojas and Shadai directly or indirectly violated, and, unless enjoined, are reasonably likely to continue to violate, Section 17(a)(l) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1)].

COUNT IV

Fraud in the Offer or Sale of Securities in <u>Violation of Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act</u> Against Rojas And Shadai Yire

57. The Commission repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint as if fully restated herein.

58. From no later than August 2005 until February 2009, Rojas and Shadai Yire, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities, by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or of the mails: (a) obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of material fact or by omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (b) engaged in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchasers of such securities.

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 12 of 14

59. By reason of the foregoing, Rojas and Shadai directly or indirectly violated, and, unless enjoined, are reasonably likely to continue to violate, Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77q(a)(2) and (3)].

COUNT V

Unregistered Broker-Dealer Conduct in Violation of Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act

60. The Commission repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 10 and 14 through 27 of this Complaint as if fully restated herein.

61. From no later than September 2005 until at least December 2007, Rojas, directly and indirectly by the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, while acting as a broker or dealer engaged in the business of effecting transactions in securities for the accounts of others, effected transactions in securities, or induced or attempted to induce the purchase and sale of securities, without registering as a broker-dealer in accordance with Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(b).

62. By reason of the foregoing, Rojas, directly or indirectly, violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(a)(1)].

VI. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Declaratory Relief

Declare, determine, and find that the Defendants have committed the violations of the federal securities laws alleged in this Complaint.

II.

Permanent Injunctive Relief

Issue Permanent Injunctions, enjoining the Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, and each of them, from directly or indirectly violating: (1) Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act; (2) Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act; (3) Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act; and (4) Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Exchange Act; and (5) as to Rojas, Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act.

III.

Disgorgement

Issue an Order directing the Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains, including prejudgment interest, resulting from the acts or courses of conduct alleged in this Complaint.

IV.

Penalties

Issue an Order directing the Defendants to pay civil money penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act, [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act, [15 U.S.C. §78(d)(3)].

V.

Further Relief

Grant such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

VI.

Retention of Jurisdiction

Further, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court retain jurisdiction over this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that it may enter, or to entertain any suitable application or motion by the Commission for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

August 2, 2012

By:

Amie/Riggle Berlin, Esq. Senior Trial Counsel Puerto Rico Government Attorney No. G01511 Direct Dial: (305) 982-6322 E-mail: <u>berlina@sec.gov</u>

Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800 Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 982-6300 Facsimile: (305) 536-4154

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1-1 Filed 08/21/12 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		•		DEFENDANT			
SECURITIES AND EXC	HANGE COMMISSION	1		RICARDO BONI	LLA ROJ/	AS AND SHADA	I YIRE, INC.
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Amie Riggle Berlin, 801 f Miami, FL 33131, 305-98		1800		Attorneys (If Know	n)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X")	n One Box Only)				PAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)
X 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	1 100000	For Diversity Cases Only n of This State	PTF DEF		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	020	2 Incorporated and I of Business In a	
			\$1000 CONTRACTOR	n or Subject of a eign Country	030	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	EC.	RFEITURE/PENALTY	/ 1 0	ANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability	Y 0 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other LABOR		ppeal 28 USC 158 ithdrawal 8 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS opyrights atent rademark AL SECURITY	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 755 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability	72 74 74 75 75 79 79 79	0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	□ 862 B □ 863 D □ 864 S5 □ 865 R:	IA (1395ff) lack Lung (923) IWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI SI (405(g))	 \$50 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange \$90 Other Statutory Actions \$91 Agricultural Acts \$93 Environmental Matters \$95 Freedom of Information Act \$96 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	PRISONER PETITION □ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General		Security Act	870 Ta or 0 871 IF	ERAL TAX SUITS axes (U.S. Plaintiff r Defendant) RS—Third Party 6 USC 7609	 \$99 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision \$50 Constitutionality of State Statutes
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applicat 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration Actions	ion		
Ø 1 Original □ 2 Re	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened or D 5 and sened (spe	nsferred from ther district for full the strict of the str	Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	15USC 877e(a)	tute under which you a (c) and 77q(a); 15 use:	re filing (AUSC § 7	Do not cite jurisdictional 8j(b); 17 CFR § 20	statutes unles 40.10b-5 a	ss diversity): and 15 USC §780	o(a)(1)
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION 23	i Di	EMAND S		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: :
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):			A. Besosa	DOC	KET NUMBER	Crim 12-633(FAB)
DATE 8-21	-12 C	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	PLCC			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1-2 Filed 08/21/12 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

CATEGORY SHEET

You must accompany your complaint with this Category Sheet, and the Civil Cover Sheet (JS-44).

Attorne	ey Name (Last, Firs	st, MI): Berlin Amie R.
USDC	-PR Bar Number:	1511
Email	Address:	berlina@sec.gov
1.	Title (caption) of	the Case (provide only the names of the first party on each side):
1.	Plaintiff:	Securities and Exchange Commission
	Defendant:	Shadai Yire
2.	Indicate the categ	ory to which this case belongs:
	🗵 Ordinary Civ	il Case
	Social Securi	ity
	Banking	
	Injunction	
3.	Indicate the title a	and number of related cases (if any).
	Criminal Case N	br. 12-633(FAB)
4.	Has a prior action	between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed before this Court?
	Yes	
	🗵 No	
5.	Is this case requir	ed to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284?
	Yes	
	🗵 No	
6.	Does this case qu	estion the constitutionality of a state statute? (See, Fed.R.Civ. P. 24)
	Yes	
	🗵 No	
Date Sı	ibmitted: August	21, 2012

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico

)

Ś

Civil Action No.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

 Plaintiff(s)	
V.	
SHADAI YIRE	
 Defendant(s)	

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Ricardo Bonilla Rojas Barrio Carrizales, Sector Palma Gorda Carretera Sec Quinto Soto Hatillo, PR 00659

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Amie Riggle Berlin, Esq.

Securities and Exchange Commission 801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800 Miami, Florida 33131

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 3:12-cv-01681 Document 1-4 Filed 08/21/12 Page 1 of 1

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico

)

Ś

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>	
V.	
SHADAI YIRE	
 Defendant(s)	,

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Shadai Yire, Inc. Urb. Vista Azul D-13 Calle 2 Arecibo, PR 00612-2538

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Amie Riggle Berlin, Esq.

Securities and Exchange Commission 801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800 Miami, Florida 33131

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk