

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S.D.C. Atlanta

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

APR 05 2007

JAMES N. HATTEN, Clerk
By: *ah* Deputy Clerk

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

GLOBAL ONLINE DIRECT, INC.,
BRYANT E. BEHRMANN and
LARRY "BUCK" E. HUNTER,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

1:07-CV-0767

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER RELIEF

Plaintiff, Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), alleges
that:

OVERVIEW

1. Bryant E. Behrmann ("Behrman") and Larry "Buck" E. Hunter ("Hunter") have conducted an unregistered offering of securities of Global Online Direct, Inc. ("Global") from as early as October 2005 through and including March 2007.

2. Global, Behrmann and Hunter (collectively, the “Defendants”) have been offering to investors interests in Global described as “loans.”

Defendants have promised investors acquiring interests in Global effective annual returns of more than 1,100% on their principal investment.

3. Defendants represent to investors that in order to generate the promised returns, the proceeds from investor interests in Global will be pooled and utilized to purchase discounted and low-cost inventory, which Global then purports to resell for a profit in various internet auction websites as well as through flea markets, street sales and more traditional retail storefronts. Global refers to the pooling of investor assets to purchase and resell inventory as a “Secured Profit Inventory Program” or “SPIP.”

4. Upon information and belief, Defendants have sold \$15 million of securities in the Global SPIP to more than 8,000 investors located throughout the United States, including multiple investors who are residents of the Northern District of Georgia.

5. Through their conduct, Defendants have engaged, and unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to engage in acts and practices that constitute and will constitute violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c)

of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)].

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Sections 20 and 22 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t and 77v], to enjoin Defendants from engaging in the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this complaint, and transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business of similar purport and object, for disgorgement of all ill-gotten gains or unjust enrichment with prejudgment interest, for civil penalties and for other equitable relief.

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20 and 22 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t and 77v].

8. Defendants, directly and indirectly, made use of the mails, the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce and the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this complaint.

