## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 74379 / February 26, 2015

### ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-16407

In the Matter of

#### DIANE GLATFELTER,

**Respondent.** 

# ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Diane Glatfelter ("Glatfelter" or "Respondent").

#### II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, Respondent admits the Commission's jurisdiction over her and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Sections III.2., below, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.

### III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Glatfelter, age 48, is a resident of Billerica, Massachusetts. In the civil action entitled <u>Securities and Exchange Commission v. K2 Unlimited, Inc., et al.</u>, Civil Action Number 1:11-cv-11629, in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the Commission alleged that Glatfelter offered clients of K2 Unlimited, Inc. and 211 Ventures, LLC securities without being registered as a broker or dealer in accordance with Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(b)].

2. On February 13, 2015, a final judgment was entered by consent against Glatfelter, permanently enjoining her from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, and imposing an officer and director bar, in the civil action entitled <u>Securities and Exchange Commission v. K2 Unlimited, Inc., et al.</u>, Civil Action Number 1:11-cv-11629, in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged that Glatfelter, through K2 Unlimited, Inc. and through 211 Ventures, LLC, purported to offer venture capital financing to clients by the use of fictitious instruments called bank guarantees, and also offered clients direct investments in fraudulent and non-existent trading programs, promising high returns and guarantees against loss. The Commission alleged that Glatfelter, with others, defrauded investors of at least \$2,225,000 by offering these fictitious investments.

#### IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Glatfelter's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent Glatfelter be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields Secretary