

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 64661 / June 14, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-14422

In the Matter of

**Dawn Technologies, Inc.,
Distinctive Devices, Inc.,
Haber, Inc., and
Independence Brewing Co.,**

Respondents.

**ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
AND NOTICE OF HEARING
PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(j) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Respondents Dawn Technologies, Inc., Distinctive Devices, Inc., Haber, Inc., and Independence Brewing Co.

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENTS

1. Dawn Technologies, Inc. (“DAWN”) ¹ (CIK No. 831752) is a forfeited Delaware corporation located in Caldwell, New Jersey with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). DAWN is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 1999, which reported a net loss of \$75,037 for the prior nine months. On September 1, 1999, DAWN filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, which was converted to a Chapter 7 proceeding on February 26, 2002, and closed on June 3, 2003. As of June 9, 2011, the common stock of DAWN was quoted on

¹The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.

OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

2. Distinctive Devices, Inc. (“DDVS”) (CIK No. 59963) is a void Delaware corporation located in Fort Lee, New Jersey with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). DDVS is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2004, which reported a net loss of \$11,589,920 for the prior nine months. As of June 9, 2011, the common stock of DDVS was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

3. Haber, Inc. (“HABE”) (CIK No. 355699) is a void Delaware corporation located in Arlington, Massachusetts with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). HABE is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-K for the period ended May 31, 2007, which reported a net loss of \$1,071,719 for the prior year. On January 29, 1993, HABE consented to the entry of a permanent injunction against committing or causing any violations of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 12b-25, 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder based on its failure to file timely and accurate periodic and other reports with the Commission. *SEC v. Haber, Inc.*, 93-0176 (D.D.C. Jan. 28, 1993). As of June 9, 2011, the common stock of HABE was quoted on OTC Link, had nine market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3). As of June 9, 2011, the preferred stock of HABE (symbol HABEP) was quoted on the Pink Sheets, had four market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

4. Independence Brewing Co. (“IBCO”) (CIK No. 1009863) is a Pennsylvania corporation located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). IBCO is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended March 31, 1999, which reported a net loss of \$238,058 for the prior three months. As of June 9, 2011, the common stock of IBCO was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

B. DELINQUENT PERIODIC FILINGS

5. As described in more detail above, all of the Respondents are delinquent in their periodic filings with the Commission, have repeatedly failed to meet their obligations to file timely periodic reports, and failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

6. Exchange Act Section 13(a) and the rules promulgated thereunder require issuers of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 to file with the Commission current and accurate information in periodic reports, even if the registration

is voluntary under Section 12(g). Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports, and Rule 13a-13 requires domestic issuers to file quarterly reports

7. As a result of the foregoing, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations contained in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondents an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and,

B. Whether it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of each class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act of the Respondents identified in Section II hereof, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents.

IV.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b)].

If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents, may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f), and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondents personally or by certified, registered, or Express Mail, or by other means permitted by the Commission Rules of Practice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary

Service List

Rule 141 of the Commission's Rules of Practice provides that the Secretary, or another duly authorized officer of the Commission, shall serve a copy of the Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings and Notice of Hearing Pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Order"), on the Respondents and their legal agents.

The attached Order has been sent to the following parties and other persons entitled to notice:

The Honorable Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-2557

David S. Frye, Esq.
Neil J. Welch, Jr., Esq.
Division of Enforcement
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-6010

By Express Mail:
Dawn Technologies, Inc.
12 Smull Avenue
Caldwell, NJ 07006

By Express Mail:
Distinctive Devices, Inc.
1 Bridge Plaza, Suite 100
Fort Lee, NJ 07024

Distinctive Devices, Inc.
c/o Corporation Service Co.
Registered Agent
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400
Wilmington, DE 19808

By Express Mail:
Haber, Inc.
58 Medford Street
Arlington, MA 02474

Haber, Inc.
c/o The Corporation Trust Co.
Registered Agent

1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

By Express Mail:
Independence Brewing Co.
1000 E. Comly Street
Philadelphia, PA 19149

Independence Brewing Co.
301 Central Avenue, Suite 384
Hilton Head, SC 29926

Independence Brewing Co.
c/o Robert W. Connor
8729 Montgomery Avenue
Glenside, PA 19038

[The Division of Enforcement will also have a process server attempt personal service on all respondents.]