

THE HOME DEPOT



PROXY STATEMENT
AND
NOTICE OF 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS



THURSDAY, MAY 18, 2017
AT 9:00 A.M., EASTERN TIME
COBB GALLERIA CENTRE,
ATLANTA, GA



DEAR FELLOW SHAREHOLDERS:

Your Board and management team are committed to creating long-term value for our shareholders. We would like to highlight for you some actions we took in fiscal 2016 to ensure we are optimizing our governance practices to support long-term value creation.

In 2015, we refreshed our strategic planning process, focusing on the next three to eight years, with a goal of becoming more agile in our approach to the rapidly evolving retail landscape. We continued to use the three-legged stool as the foundation for our strategic framework, uniting Customer Experience, Product Authority and Disciplined Capital Allocation under the seat of Interconnecting Retail. Our Board recognizes that creating a seamless interconnected experience for our customers is a strategic imperative, and we regularly review and contribute to our evolving strategic initiatives. For example, during our most recent annual strategy session, held in the fall of 2016, the Board walked one of our stores to gain hands-on experience with the interconnected customer experience and other strategic initiatives being tested by the Company.

At that strategy session, we also welcomed Jeff Boyd, Executive Chairman of The Priceline Group, to our Board. Jeff has had a long and successful tenure at Priceline, and we are leveraging his experience in e-commerce, sales, and digital marketing as we continue to lean into the interconnected customer experience. Jeff is one of five new independent directors added in the past four years. We have focused on Board refreshment over the past several years to align our Board's strengths with the evolving retail landscape. We also routinely assess the composition of our Board to ensure we have the right mix of skills and experience to maximize our Board's potential.

To that end, we enhanced our Board's annual self-evaluation process in fiscal 2016. Craig conducted individual interviews with each director to discuss a range of issues, including Board dynamics, meeting content, and interactions with management. We then used the insights from these interviews to lead a full Board discussion in February 2017. We also regularly and thoughtfully evaluate the broader governance landscape to determine the need for any changes to our governance policies. In fiscal 2016, this practice was best exemplified by our adoption of proxy access in March.

Underpinning all of these actions is a commitment to our shareholders, which is in turn embodied in the shareholder return principles that we have consistently outlined for our investor community. By following these principles, we were able to return value to our shareholders in fiscal 2016 through a 17% increase in our quarterly dividend and \$7.0 billion in share repurchases.

We hope you will be able to join us at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on Thursday, May 18, 2017. You will find information about the Meeting, including the matters to be voted on at the Meeting, in the enclosed Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement.

The Meeting will also include a report on the Company's performance and operations and a question and answer session. Fiscal 2016 was another record setting year for The Home Depot, and we look forward to discussing our performance with you. On behalf of our over 400,000 associates and our Board, we thank you for your support of The Home Depot.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Craig A. Menear in black ink.

Craig A. Menear
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

Handwritten signature of Gregory D. Brenneman in black ink.

Gregory D. Brenneman
Independent Lead Director



THE HOME DEPOT, INC.
2455 Paces Ferry Road
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NOTICE OF 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

DATE: Thursday, May 18, 2017

TIME: 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time

PLACE: Cobb Galleria Centre
Two Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

- ITEMS OF BUSINESS:**
- (1) To elect as directors of the Company the 13 persons named in the accompanying Proxy Statement for terms expiring at the 2018 annual meeting;
 - (2) To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2018;
 - (3) To cast an advisory vote to approve executive compensation ("Say-on-Pay");
 - (4) To cast an advisory vote on the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes;
 - (5) To act on three shareholder proposals described in the Proxy Statement, if properly presented; and
 - (6) To transact any other business properly brought before the meeting.

WHO MAY VOTE: Shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 20, 2017 are entitled to vote.

ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS: A copy of this Proxy Statement and our 2016 Annual Report are available on our Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under "Financial Reports."

DATE OF MAILING: A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or this Proxy Statement is first being sent to shareholders on or about April 3, 2017.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The enclosed Proxy Statement contains important information, including a description of the business that will be acted upon at the meeting, voting procedures and documentation required to attend the meeting. If you will need special assistance or seating, please contact Allison Spicer at (770) 384-2015.

If you are unable to attend the meeting, you can listen to the meeting and view the presentation on the Company's performance through the live webcast on the Internet. Visit our Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> and click on "Events and Presentations" for details. The webcast will be archived and available for replay beginning shortly after the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Handwritten signature of Teresa Wynn Roseborough in black ink.

Teresa Wynn Roseborough
Corporate Secretary

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to vote and submit your proxy over the Internet, by telephone or by mail.

THE HOME DEPOT 2017 PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | |
|--|-----------|--|------------|
| THE HOME DEPOT 2017 PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY | ii | ITEM 6: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING ADVISORY VOTE ON POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS | 30 |
| | | Company Response | 31 |
| ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS | 1 | ITEM 7: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING SPECIAL SHAREHOLDER MEETINGS | 32 |
| | | Company Response | 33 |
| CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 5 | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 34 |
| Board of Directors | 5 | Compensation Discussion and Analysis | 34 |
| Board Leadership | 5 | Executive Summary | 34 |
| Committees of the Board of Directors | 5 | Fiscal 2016 Executive Compensation Report | |
| Attendance at Board, Committee and Annual Shareholder Meetings | 7 | Card: The Home Depot Pays for Performance | 35 |
| Board Oversight of Risk | 7 | Compensation Determination Process | 37 |
| Board Role in Strategic Planning | 8 | Elements of Our Compensation Programs | 40 |
| Recent Governance Changes: Adoption of Proxy Access and Enhancement of Corporate Governance Guidelines | 8 | Management of Compensation-Related Risk | 45 |
| Company Culture: Doing the Right Thing | 10 | Severance and Change in Control Arrangements | 47 |
| Director Independence | 10 | Tax Deductibility Considerations | 47 |
| Related-Party Transactions | 11 | Summary Compensation Table | 48 |
| Communicating with the Board | 12 | Material Terms of Named Executive Officer | |
| Selecting Nominees to the Board of Directors | 12 | Employment Arrangements | 49 |
| Director Candidates Recommended by Shareholders | 13 | Fiscal 2016 Grants of Plan-Based Awards | 50 |
| | | Terms of Plan-Based Awards Granted to Named Executive Officers for Fiscal 2016 | 51 |
| ITEM 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS | 14 | Outstanding Equity Awards at 2016 Fiscal Year-End | 53 |
| Director Criteria and Qualifications | 14 | Options Exercised and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2016 | 55 |
| Board Refreshment and Diversity | 14 | Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal 2016 | 56 |
| 2017 Director Nominees | 16 | Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control | 57 |
| | | Equity Compensation Plan Information | 61 |
| ITEM 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP | 23 | DIRECTOR COMPENSATION | 62 |
| AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT | 24 | LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT | 64 |
| INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM'S FEES | 25 | BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK | 65 |
| Audit and Other Fees | 25 | GENERAL | 67 |
| Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures | 25 | Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | 67 |
| ITEM 3: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ("SAY-ON-PAY") | 26 | Shareholder Proposals or Director Nominations for 2018 Annual Meeting | 67 |
| ITEM 4: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE SAY-ON-PAY VOTES | 27 | Other Proposed Actions | 68 |
| ITEM 5: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING EMPLOYMENT DIVERSITY REPORT | 28 | Solicitation of Proxies | 68 |
| Company Response | 29 | APPENDIX A—DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS | A-1 |

THE HOME DEPOT 2017 PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained in this Proxy Statement. This summary does not contain all of the information you should consider. **Please read the entire Proxy Statement carefully before voting as it contains important information about matters upon which you are being asked to vote.**

2017 ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION (see pages 1-4)

Date: Thursday, May 18, 2017
Time: 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time
Location: Cobb Galleria Centre, Two Galleria Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia 30339
Record Date: March 20, 2017
Admission: To attend the meeting in person, you will need proof of your share ownership and valid picture identification
Meeting Webcast: <http://ir.homedepot.com> under “Events and Presentations” beginning at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on May 18, 2017

ITEMS OF BUSINESS

| Proposal | Board Recommendation | Page Number |
|---|----------------------|-------------|
| 1. Election of 13 directors for one-year terms | For | 14 |
| 2. Ratification of appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm | For | 23 |
| 3. Advisory vote to approve executive compensation (“Say-on-Pay”) | For | 26 |
| 4. Advisory vote on the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes | Annual | 27 |
| 5. Shareholder proposal regarding preparation of an employment diversity report | Against | 28 |
| 6. Shareholder proposal regarding advisory vote on political contributions | Against | 30 |
| 7. Shareholder proposal to reduce the threshold to call special shareholder meetings to 15% of outstanding shares | Against | 32 |

Vote by Internet



www.proxyvote.com

Vote by telephone



1-800-690-6903

Vote by mail



Complete and mail your proxy card

FISCAL 2016 COMPANY PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHTS (see page 34)

Strong execution of our strategic initiatives resulted in solid performance for fiscal 2016. Highlights include:

- Increased net sales by 6.9% to \$94.6 billion
- Increased operating income by 14.0% to \$13.4 billion
- Increased net earnings by 13.5% to \$8.0 billion and diluted earnings per share by 18.1% to \$6.45
- Generated \$9.8 billion in operating cash flow
- Increased return on invested capital (“ROIC”) from 28.1% to 31.4%. ROIC is defined as net operating profit after tax, a non-GAAP financial measure, for the most recent twelve-month period, divided by the average of beginning and ending long-term debt, including current installments, and equity for the most recent twelve-month period. For a reconciliation of net operating profit after tax to net earnings, the most comparable GAAP financial measure, and our calculation of ROIC, see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” on page 23 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 23, 2017 (the “2016 Form 10-K”).
- Returned value to shareholders during fiscal 2016 through \$3.4 billion in dividends and \$7.0 billion in share repurchases

THE HOME DEPOT 2017 PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

FISCAL 2016 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION HIGHLIGHTS (see pages 34-47)

We pay for performance:

- A significant portion of our named executive officers' ("NEOs") target compensation is linked to Company performance:
 - Approximately 88% for our CEO
 - Approximately 81% for our other NEOs
- 100% of annual cash incentive compensation and approximately 67% of annual equity compensation are tied to Company performance against pre-established, specific, measurable financial performance goals

We seek to mitigate compensation-related risk through a variety of vehicles:

- Annual compensation risk assessment
- Compensation recoupment policy applicable to all executive officers
- Anti-hedging policy applicable to all associates, officers, and directors
- Stock ownership and retention guidelines for executive officers
- No change in control agreements

OUR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE POLICIES REFLECT BEST PRACTICES (see pages 5-13)

- Annual election of directors
- Majority voting standard in director elections
- Shareholder ability to act by written consent and call special meetings
- Shareholder right of proxy access
- Independent Lead Director
- Over 90% of directors and all Board committee members are independent
- Independent directors meet without management
- Annual Board strategy session and review of Company's strategic plan
- Limited outside board service for directors
- No shareholder rights plan or "poison pill"
- Director store walk policy
- Board education and orientation program
- Management succession policy set forth in Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Annual Board and committee self-evaluations

2017 DIRECTOR NOMINEES (see pages 16-22)

| Name | Director Nominees | | Board Committee Composition | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| | Director Since | Position | Audit | LDCC | NCGC | Finance |
| Gerard J. Arpey* | 2015 | Partner, Emerald Creek Group LLC | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Ari Bousbib* | 2007 | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Quintiles IMS Holdings, Inc. | ✓ | | | Chair |
| Jeffery H. Boyd* | 2016 | Executive Chairman, The Priceline Group, Inc. | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Gregory D. Brenneman* (Lead Director) | 2000 | Executive Chairman, CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC | | | | |
| J. Frank Brown* (Audit Committee Financial Expert) | 2011 | Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer, General Atlantic LLC | Chair | | | ✓ |
| Albert P. Carey* | 2008 | Chief Executive Officer, PepsiCo North America | | Chair | ✓ | |
| Armando Codina* | 2007 | Chairman, Codina Partners, LLC | | ✓ | Chair | |
| Helena B. Foulkes* | 2013 | Executive Vice President, CVS Health Corporation and President, CVS/pharmacy | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Linda R. Gooden* (Audit Committee Financial Expert) | 2015 | Former Executive Vice President, Information Systems & Global Solutions, Lockheed Martin Corporation | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Wayne M. Hewett* | 2014 | Chief Executive Officer, Klöckner Pentaplast Group | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Karen L. Katen* | 2007 | Senior Advisor, Essex Woodlands Health Ventures | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Craig A. Menear | 2014 | Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, The Home Depot, Inc. | | | | |
| Mark Vadon* | 2012 | Founder and former Chairman, zulily, Inc. and Blue Nile, Inc. | ✓ | | | ✓ |

* All director nominees are independent except Mr. Menear, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President.

LDCC = Leadership Development and Compensation Committee
 NCGC = Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

WHEN AND WHERE IS THE MEETING?

The 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Meeting”) of The Home Depot, Inc. (the “Company”) will be held at the Cobb Galleria Centre, Two Galleria Parkway, Atlanta, Georgia, on Thursday, May 18, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time.

WHAT AM I VOTING ON?

You will be voting on the following items:

- Election to the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of the 13 persons named in “Election of Directors” below to serve until the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders;
- Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP (“KPMG”) as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending January 28, 2018 (“Fiscal 2017”);
- An advisory vote to approve executive compensation (“Say-on-Pay”);
- An advisory vote on the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes;
- The three shareholder proposals described in this Proxy Statement; and
- Transaction of any other business properly brought before the Meeting.

WHO IS ENTITLED TO VOTE?

Holders of record of shares of the Company’s common stock as of the close of business on March 20, 2017, the record date for the Meeting, are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter presented for a vote of the shareholders. As of March 20, 2017, we had 1,201,168,987 shares of common stock outstanding.

WHO IS SOLICITING MY VOTE?

The Company is providing this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation by the Board of proxies to be voted at the Meeting and at any reconvened or rescheduled meeting following any adjournment or postponement of the Meeting.

HOW DO I VOTE BEFORE THE MEETING?

If you are a registered shareholder, which means you hold your shares in certificate form or through an account with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you have three options for voting before the Meeting:

- Over the Internet, at www.proxyvote.com, by following the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice”) or proxy card;
- By telephone, by dialing 1-800-690-6903; or
- By completing, dating, signing and returning a proxy card by mail.

If you are a beneficial holder, meaning you hold your shares in “street name” through an account with a bank or broker, your ability to vote over the Internet or by telephone depends on the voting procedures of your bank or broker. Please follow the directions on the voting instruction form that your bank or broker provides.

MAY I VOTE AT THE MEETING?

Yes. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote your shares at the Meeting if you attend in person. If you hold your shares through an account with a bank or broker, you must obtain and present a legal proxy from the bank or broker in order to vote at the Meeting. A legal proxy is an authorization from your bank or broker for you to vote the shares it holds in its name on your behalf. Even if you plan to attend the Meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares before the Meeting.

ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

HOW CAN I ATTEND THE MEETING?

To attend the Meeting, you will need to bring (1) an admission ticket if your shares are registered in your name or a legal proxy from the bank or broker that is the record owner of your shares and (2) valid picture identification. If your shares are registered in your name and you received a Notice, the Notice is your admission ticket. If your shares are registered in your name and you received proxy materials by mail, your admission ticket is attached to your proxy card. If you hold shares through an account with a bank or broker, you will need to contact your bank or broker and request a legal proxy, which will serve as your admission ticket.

If you do not have valid picture identification and either an admission ticket or a legal proxy, you will not be admitted to the Meeting.

You may indicate whether you plan to attend the Meeting by checking the appropriate box if completing a proxy card or the voting instruction form provided by your bank or broker, responding when prompted if voting by telephone, or making the appropriate selection at the bottom of the screen after entering your control number at www.proxyvote.com if voting over the Internet.

MAY I REVOKE MY PROXY AND/OR CHANGE MY VOTE?

Yes. You may revoke your proxy and/or change your vote by:

- Signing another proxy card with a later date and delivering it to us before the Meeting;
- Voting again over the Internet or by telephone prior to 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 17, 2017;
- Voting at the Meeting before the polls close if you are a registered shareholder or have obtained a legal proxy from your bank or broker; or
- Notifying the Company's Corporate Secretary in writing before the Meeting that you revoke your proxy.

WHAT IF I SIGN AND RETURN MY PROXY BUT DO NOT PROVIDE VOTING INSTRUCTIONS?

Proxies that are signed, dated and returned but do not contain voting instructions will be voted:

- "For" the election of all of the 13 named director nominees;
- "For" the ratification of the appointment of KPMG;
- "For" the advisory Say-on-Pay vote;
- For a frequency of every "One Year" (as opposed to every "Two Years" or "Three Years") on the advisory vote to approve the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes;
- "Against" each shareholder proposal; and
- On any other matters properly brought before the Meeting, in accordance with the best judgment of the named proxies.

If your shares are held through an account with a bank or broker, see "Will My Shares Be Voted If I Do Not Provide a Proxy or Voting Instruction Form?" below.

HOW DO I VOTE IF I PARTICIPATE IN ONE OF THE COMPANY'S RETIREMENT PLANS?

You may vote your shares over the Internet, by telephone, by mail or in person at the Meeting as if you were a registered shareholder, as described in this Proxy Statement. By voting, you are instructing the trustee of your plan to vote all of your shares as directed. If you do not vote, the shares credited to your account will be voted by the trustee in the same proportion that it votes shares in other accounts for which it received timely instructions. If, however, you hold shares through the self-directed brokerage window of your plan or you participate in one of the Company's Canada-based retirement plans and, in either case, you do not vote those shares, those shares will not be voted.

ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

WILL MY SHARES BE VOTED IF I DO NOT PROVIDE A PROXY OR VOTING INSTRUCTION FORM?

If you are a registered shareholder and do not provide a proxy by voting over the Internet, by telephone or by signing and returning a proxy card, you must attend the Meeting in order to vote.

If you hold shares through an account with a bank or broker, the voting of the shares by the bank or broker when you do not provide voting instructions is governed by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"). These rules allow banks and brokers to vote shares in their discretion on "routine" matters for which their customers do not provide voting instructions. On matters considered "non-routine," banks and brokers may not vote shares without your instruction. Shares that banks and brokers are not authorized to vote are referred to as "broker non-votes."

The ratification of KPMG as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for Fiscal 2017 is considered a routine matter. Accordingly, banks and brokers may vote shares on this proposal without your instructions, and there will be no broker non-votes with respect to this proposal.

The other proposals will be considered non-routine, and banks and brokers therefore cannot vote shares on those proposals without your instructions. Please note that if you want your vote to be counted on those proposals, including the election of directors, you must instruct your bank or broker how to vote your shares. If you do not provide voting instructions, no votes will be cast on your behalf with respect to those proposals.

HOW MANY SHARES MUST BE PRESENT TO HOLD THE MEETING?

In order for us to conduct the Meeting, holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock as of the close of business on March 20, 2017 must be present in person or by proxy. This is referred to as a quorum. Your shares are counted as present if you attend the Meeting and vote in person or if you properly return a proxy over the Internet, by telephone or by mail. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum. If a quorum is not present at the Meeting, the Meeting may be adjourned from time to time until a quorum is present.

HOW MANY VOTES ARE NEEDED TO APPROVE THE PROPOSALS?

With respect to the election of directors, each director nominee receiving a majority of votes cast (as defined below) with respect to that director nominee's election will be elected as a director. If any of the incumbent director nominees does not receive a majority of votes cast, under Delaware law he or she would continue to serve on the Board until a successor is elected. However, our By-Laws provide that any incumbent director who fails to receive a majority of votes cast must promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board for consideration. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will then recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject the resignation or to take any other action. The Board will act on that recommendation and publicly disclose its decision within 90 days following certification of election results. The director who tenders his or her resignation will not participate in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation or in the Board's decision.

The ratification of KPMG as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and each of the shareholder proposals require a majority of votes cast to be approved.

Under the Company's By-Laws, the advisory Say-on-Pay vote and the advisory vote on the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes also require a majority of votes cast to be approved. While these two proposals are advisory in nature and not binding on the Company, our Leadership Development and Compensation Committee ("LDC Committee") and Board will consider the results of the voting on these two proposals in formulating future executive compensation policy and in determining the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes.

A "majority of votes cast" means the number of "For" votes exceeds the number of "Against" votes. A proxy marked "Abstain" with respect to any proposal therefore generally will not have any effect on the outcome of the vote on that proposal. Similarly, broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and therefore generally will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on any proposal.

ABOUT THE 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF I RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE NOTICE, PROXY CARD OR VOTING INSTRUCTION FORM?

This means that your shares are registered in different names or are held in more than one account. To ensure that all shares are voted, please vote each account over the Internet or by telephone, or sign and return by mail all proxy cards and voting instruction forms. We encourage you to register all shares in the same name and address by contacting our transfer agent, Computershare, at 1-800-577-0177. If you hold your shares through an account with a bank or broker, you should contact your bank or broker and request consolidation.

WHY DID SOME SHAREHOLDERS RECEIVE A NOTICE WHILE OTHERS RECEIVED A PRINTED SET OF PROXY MATERIALS?

We are allowed to furnish our proxy materials to requesting shareholders over the Internet, rather than by mailing printed copies, so long as we send them a “Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.” The Notice tells shareholders how to access and review the Proxy Statement and 2016 Annual Report online and how to vote over the Internet at www.proxyvote.com. If you receive the Notice and would like to receive printed proxy materials, follow the instructions in the Notice. If you receive printed proxy materials, you will not receive the Notice, but you may still access our proxy materials and submit your proxy over the Internet at www.proxyvote.com.

AVAILABILITY OF ANNUAL REPORT AND PROXY STATEMENT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Only one copy of the Notice or this Proxy Statement and the 2016 Annual Report is being delivered to shareholders sharing an address unless the Company has received contrary instructions from one or more of the shareholders at that address. Shareholders sharing an address who wish to receive separate copies of the Notice or this Proxy Statement and the 2016 Annual Report, or who wish to begin receiving a single copy of such materials, may make such request as follows:

- If you are a registered shareholder, by writing to Broadridge Investor Communication Solutions, Inc., Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717 or by calling 1-800-542-1061; or
- If you are a beneficial owner, by contacting your broker, dealer, bank, voting trustee or other nominee.

Registered shareholders sharing an address who elect to receive a single copy of the Notice or this Proxy Statement and the 2016 Annual Report will continue to receive separate proxy cards.

You may also elect to receive the Notice or this Proxy Statement and the 2016 Annual Report via e-mail by contacting Broadridge if you are a registered shareholder, by contacting your bank or broker if you are a beneficial owner, or by visiting our Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under “Shareholder Services > Electronic Delivery of Proxy Materials.”

Additional copies of this Proxy Statement and the 2016 Annual Report will be provided without charge to shareholders upon written request to Investor Relations, The Home Depot, Inc., 2455 Paces Ferry Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30339, or by calling (770) 384-4388. Copies may also be obtained via the Internet at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under “Financial Reports.”

WHERE AND WHEN WILL I BE ABLE TO FIND THE VOTING RESULTS?

You can find the official results of the voting at the Meeting in our Current Report on Form 8-K that we will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) within four business days after the Meeting. If the official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company has a long-standing commitment to strong corporate governance. Strong corporate governance promotes the long-term interests of shareholders, strengthens Board and management accountability, and helps build public trust in the Company. The Board has adopted policies and processes that foster effective Board oversight of critical matters such as strategy, risk management, financial and other controls, compliance, and management succession planning. The Board reviews our major governance documents, policies and processes regularly in the context of current corporate governance trends, regulatory changes and recognized best practices. The following sections provide an overview of our corporate governance structure, policies and processes, including key aspects of our Board operations.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Our Board currently has 13 members: Gerard J. Arpey, Ari Bousbib, Jeffery H. Boyd, Gregory D. Brenneman, J. Frank Brown, Albert P. Carey, Armando Codina, Helena B. Foulkes, Linda R. Gooden, Wayne M. Hewett, Karen L. Katen, Craig A. Menear and Mark Vadon. Each director who served during the fiscal year ended January 29, 2017 (“Fiscal 2016”) was, and each current director continues to be, independent other than Mr. Menear, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and President.

BOARD LEADERSHIP

We believe that having a combined Chairman, CEO and President, an independent Lead Director, and Board committees composed entirely of independent directors currently provides the best Board leadership structure for the Company. This structure, together with our other robust corporate governance practices, provides strong independent oversight of management while ensuring clear strategic alignment throughout the Company. Specifically, Mr. Menear proposes strategic priorities to the Board (with input from the Lead Director), communicates the Board’s guidance to management, and is ultimately responsible for implementing the Company’s key strategic initiatives.

At the same time, the Company recognizes the importance of providing independent oversight of the Board. Accordingly, since 1998, the Company has had a Lead Director. Our Lead Director is an independent director elected annually by the independent members of the Board. Gregory D. Brenneman, a director since 2000, currently serves as our Lead Director. Our Lead Director:

- Chairs Board meetings when the Chairman is not present, including presiding at executive sessions of the Board (without management present) at every regularly scheduled Board meeting;
- Works with management to determine the information and materials provided to Board members;
- Approves Board meeting agendas, schedules and other information provided to the Board;
- Consults with the Chairman on other matters that are pertinent to the Board and the Company;
- Has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors;
- Is available for communication and consultation with major shareholders upon request; and
- Serves as liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors.

To maximize the effectiveness of the Lead Director role, our Lead Director does not serve on any standing Board committees but instead is available to attend meetings of any of our Board committees and serve as a resource for the committees as needed.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

During Fiscal 2016, the Board had standing Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance, Leadership Development and Compensation, and Finance Committees. The charters for each of the committees are available on the Company’s Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under “Corporate Governance > Committee Members & Charters.” The current members of our committees, the principal functions of each committee and the number of meetings held in Fiscal 2016 are shown below. Each member of each committee during Fiscal 2016 was, and each current member continues to be, independent under our Director Independence Standards, as well as applicable SEC rules and NYSE listing standards.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

| Name of Committee and Current Members | Committee Functions |
|--|---|
| Audit: J. Frank Brown, Chair Ari Bousbib Linda R. Gooden Wayne M. Hewett Mark Vadon | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oversees the Company's accounting and financial reporting process, as well as the integrity of the Company's financial statements and its systems of internal control over financial reporting, including the audits thereof Has primary responsibility for overseeing risk assessment and risk management Has primary responsibility for overseeing data protection and cybersecurity risks |
| Number of Meetings: 9 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviews the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other anti-bribery laws Reviews the qualifications, performance and independence of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm Oversees the performance of the Company's internal audit function Reviews the Company's compliance programs, including the whistleblower program, and the Company's monitoring of such programs |
| Nominating and Corporate Governance: Armando Codina, Chair Gerard J. Arpey Jeffery H. Boyd Albert P. Carey Helena B. Foulkes Karen L. Katen | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develops the Company's corporate governance practices and procedures and oversees the related risks Reviews and makes recommendations on significant Company policies affecting corporate and social issues Reviews and monitors the performance and composition of the Board and its committees Makes recommendations for director nominees Reviews the independence of directors Oversees communications between directors and shareholders |
| Number of Meetings: 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviews and approves or ratifies related-party transactions involving executive officers and directors Oversees director engagement, education and orientation activities |
| Leadership Development and Compensation: Albert P. Carey, Chair Armando Codina Helena B. Foulkes Linda R. Gooden Wayne M. Hewett | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviews and evaluates the performance of executive officers Reviews and recommends compensation of directors and the CEO and approves compensation of other executive officers Reviews and recommends policies, practices and procedures concerning compensation strategy and other human resources-related matters Administers stock incentive and stock purchase plans, including determining grants of equity awards under the plans Undertakes annual review and risk assessment of compensation policies and practices Oversees senior management succession planning policies and procedures Monitors the independence of its compensation consultant |
| Number of Meetings: 5 | |
| Finance: Ari Bousbib, Chair Gerard J. Arpey Jeffery H. Boyd J. Frank Brown Karen L. Katen Mark Vadon | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oversees the management of the Company's long-range financial outlook and related financial risks Reviews and recommends policies, practices and strategies concerning financial matters, including the Company's capital structure, investments and use of derivatives, share repurchases, credit programs, credit ratings, and insurance Oversees the Company's annual capital plan, significant capital investments, and strategies with respect to mergers and acquisitions activity |
| Number of Meetings: 5 | |

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

ATTENDANCE AT BOARD, COMMITTEE AND ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETINGS

The Board met seven times during Fiscal 2016. The number of times that each standing committee of the Board met in Fiscal 2016 is shown in the section above. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and of the committees of which he or she was a member during Fiscal 2016. Company policy provides that all directors are expected to attend annual shareholder meetings, absent extraordinary circumstances. Every director serving on our Board at the time of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders attended that meeting other than Ms. Foulkes, who missed the meeting due to a conflict with the shareholders meeting for CVS Health Corporation, where she is an executive officer.

BOARD OVERSIGHT OF RISK

The Board's oversight of risk is accomplished through the identification of key risks facing the Company and the mapping of those risks to the appropriate Board committee and/or to the full Board, based on the nature of the risk.

Audit Committee

In accordance with NYSE requirements and our Audit Committee charter, our Audit Committee has primary responsibility for overseeing risk assessment and management, including the Company's major financial exposures and compliance risks and the steps management has taken to monitor and control those exposures and risks.

The Audit Committee stays apprised of significant actual and potential risks faced by the Company in part through review of quarterly reports from our Enterprise Risk Council (the "ERC"). The quarterly ERC reports not only identify the risks faced by the Company, but also identify whether primary oversight of each risk resides with a particular Board committee or the full Board. Our ERC is composed of leaders from the functional areas of the Company and meets at least quarterly to coordinate information sharing and mitigation efforts for all types of risks applicable to the Company. The chair of the ERC, who is also our Vice President of Internal Audit and Corporate Compliance, reports the ERC's risk analyses to senior management regularly and attends each Audit Committee meeting. The chair of the ERC also provides a detailed annual report regarding the Company's risk assessment and management process to the full Board.

The Audit Committee also has primary responsibility for overseeing risks related to data protection and cybersecurity, although the full Board also exercises oversight over these risks. This oversight includes detailed reports to the Audit Committee and/or the full Board on data protection and cybersecurity matters from senior members of our information technology and internal audit departments. The topics covered by these reports include risk management strategies, consumer data protection, the Company's ongoing risk mitigation activities, updates on matters related to the data breach discovered by the Company in the third quarter of the fiscal year ended February 1, 2015 ("Fiscal 2014"), and cybersecurity strategy and governance structure. In addition, our internal audit department routinely performs audits on various aspects of data protection and cybersecurity and reports the results of these audits in its quarterly internal audit report for the Audit Committee. We also have a Data Security and Privacy Governance Committee, chaired by our Vice President of Internal Audit and Corporate Compliance and composed of leaders from the functional areas of the Company, that meets at least every other month. The Data Security and Privacy Governance Committee was created to provide enterprise-wide oversight and governance over data protection and cybersecurity, including oversight of related risks, mitigation and incident response plans, awareness and training programs, and regulatory compliance. Its activities are reported to the Audit Committee and/or full Board in the detailed reports referred to above.

The Audit Committee also receives quarterly reports from our FCPA Oversight Committee, which oversees enterprise-wide compliance with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the anti-bribery laws of the other jurisdictions in which we conduct business. The FCPA Oversight Committee, which is chaired by our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary ("GC"), is composed of our Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President – Corporate Services ("CFO"), our Vice President of Internal Audit and Corporate Compliance, and representatives from each non-U.S. division,

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

the business functions responsible for administration of our policies, and the business functions that manage our transactions outside of the U.S. In addition, the Audit Committee meets with the chair of the ERC, our GC, our CFO, and KPMG, our independent registered public accounting firm, in a private session at each quarterly Audit Committee meeting.

Other Board Committees

In accordance with our risk mapping, our other Board committees consider significant risks within their areas of responsibility. As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 34, our LDC Committee oversees risks related to our compensation programs, including an annual review and risk assessment of the Company's compensation policies and practices, and monitors the independence of its compensation consultant. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees risks related to our governance policies and practices, including review and approval of any related-party transactions and relationships involving our directors and executive officers. Our Finance Committee oversees risks related to our capital structure, financial resources, utilization of derivatives and accelerated share repurchase agreements, and related financial matters. Each of our committees reports to the Board at each quarterly Board meeting.

In addition, the Board and each committee receive presentations throughout the year from management regarding specific potential risks and trends as necessary. At each Board meeting, our Chairman, CEO and President has the opportunity to discuss in a directors-only session matters of particular importance or concern, including any significant, evolving or nascent risks that may be of concern to the Board or the Company, and our Lead Director presides over an executive session of our independent directors at which risks faced by the Company may be discussed. Additionally, during Board-level review of the Company's short- and long-term strategies, as discussed in more detail below, the Board considers significant risks facing the Company and their potential impact. We believe that the practices described above and our current leadership structure facilitate effective Board oversight of our significant risks.

BOARD ROLE IN STRATEGIC PLANNING

The Company's strategy has been anchored in its three-legged stool strategic framework, which has evolved as we continue to improve our interconnected retail experience to better meet our customers' changing preferences. Our Board plays an important role in the continued evolution of the Company's strategic planning process. At a dedicated strategy session each fall and through regular discussions at each quarterly Board meeting, our Board reviews the Company's strategy and capabilities and actively engages with management to ensure that the Company is well-positioned to continue creating shareholder value. As discussed in "Election of Directors" beginning on page 14, each director possesses specific skills and qualifications that provide the Company with key insights into the elements of our strategic framework. As a result of our focus on Board composition, we believe we currently have a Board with an appropriate mix of skills, backgrounds and experiences that leverages its diversity to effectively oversee our strategy as the Company positions itself to remain agile in a dynamic retail environment.

RECENT GOVERNANCE CHANGES: ADOPTION OF PROXY ACCESS AND ENHANCEMENT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

Our Board believes that effective governance means regular and thoughtful evaluation of the Company's governance policies and processes in light of the broader governance landscape. As a result of this evaluation, we adopted several important changes in our governance framework in Fiscal 2016.

Proxy Access

In March 2016 the Board approved the adoption of "proxy access," which permits a shareholder, or a group of up to 20 shareholders, owning at least 3% of the Company's outstanding common stock continuously for at least three years to nominate and include in the Company's proxy materials director nominees constituting up to the greater of two individuals or 20% of the Board, provided that the shareholders and the nominees satisfy the requirements specified in the Company's By-Laws.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Guidelines Enhancements

The Company maintains Corporate Governance Guidelines that establish a common set of expectations to assist the Board and its committees in performing their duties. During Fiscal 2016, the Board updated and enhanced the Guidelines. The updated Guidelines clearly and expressly communicate a director's obligations pertaining to the duty of care and loyalty, confidentiality, conflict of interest, and related matters. The Guidelines also address the Board's self-evaluation process, which the Board enhanced in Fiscal 2016 as discussed below under "Board Self-Evaluations."

The table below provides an overview of several key elements of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under "Corporate Governance > Overview" and in print upon request.

Key Corporate Governance Guidelines Provisions

| | |
|---|---|
| Outside Board Policy | We limit the number of other public company boards our directors may join to ensure that a director is not "over-boarded" and is able to devote the appropriate amount of time and attention to the oversight of the Company. A director who is in an active, full-time role with a for-profit business may not serve on more than three other public company boards. Other directors may not serve on more than four other public company boards. In addition, Mr. Menear, our Chairman, CEO and President, may not serve on more than one other public company board. Any director seeking to join the board of directors of another public company or for-profit organization must first notify the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and obtain its approval to continue as a member of our Board. |
| Succession Planning | The Board and LDC Committee are actively engaged in succession planning for the Company, and regularly review the succession plans that support the Company's overall business strategy, with a focus on key positions at the senior officer level, including our CEO. |
| Director Engagement, Education and Orientation Program | The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees the directors' engagement, continuing education and orientation program, which includes both internal activities and access to external programming. Our program includes periodic store walks and in-depth meetings with management to provide our directors with the opportunity to observe our strategic initiatives in action and to expand their insight into business operations and activities. |
| Board Self-Evaluations | Each year, the Board, as required by our Corporate Governance Guidelines, conducts an evaluation of its performance and effectiveness. In addition, each of our Board committees conducts a self-evaluation pursuant to the requirements of the respective committee charter. These self-evaluations solicit feedback on a range of issues, including Board and committee structure and dynamics, meeting content, and interactions with management. In addition to these evaluations, in Fiscal 2016, our Chairman conducted individual interviews with each of the directors to discuss these and other topics, and the feedback from those interviews was discussed with the full Board during the self-evaluation process in February 2017. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees the annual self-assessment process on behalf of the Board. |

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

COMPANY CULTURE: DOING THE RIGHT THING

The Home Depot has a strong commitment to ethics and integrity, and we are a values-driven business. These values are present in the way we do business and are more formally codified in the Company's Business Code of Conduct and Ethics.

Inverted Pyramid and Core Values

The Company's culture is based on the inverted pyramid, where we put our customers and our associates at the top. Our culture also comes to life through our core values, values that serve as the foundation of our business and the guiding principle behind the decisions we make every single day. We believe our culture helps set us apart and provides a distinct competitive advantage for The Home Depot. We empower our associates to deliver a superior customer experience, and we reward associates who provide excellent customer service and embody The Home Depot values.



Business Code of Conduct and Ethics

The Company has a Business Code of Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all directors, officers and associates of the Company, including the CEO and the CFO. The Business Code of Conduct and Ethics reflects our strong commitment to ethics and integrity, and provides guidance on making decisions that align with our core values. The complete text of the code is available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under "Corporate Governance > Overview" and is also available in print at no charge upon request. The Company will post any amendments to or waivers from the Business Code of Conduct and Ethics (to the extent applicable to the Company's executive officers and directors) at this location on its website.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The Director Independence Standards in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines exceed the independence standards adopted by the NYSE. Our independence standards are attached as Appendix A to this Proxy Statement. Pursuant to these guidelines, the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviewed the independence of each director in early 2017. During this review, the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considered all relevant facts and circumstances related to transactions and relationships between each director (and his or her immediate family and affiliates) and the Company and its management to determine whether any such relationship or transaction would prohibit a director from being independent under SEC rules, the NYSE listing standards and the Company's Director Independence Standards.

Based on this review and the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board affirmatively determined that all of the individuals nominated for election to the Board at the Meeting are independent except Craig A. Menear, our Chairman, CEO and President.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company has purchase, sale and other transactions and relationships in the normal course of business with companies with which certain Company directors are associated, but which our Board determined are not material to the Company, the directors or, except as otherwise indicated below, the companies with which the directors are associated. These transactions were reviewed and considered by the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in determining the independence of Company directors. In particular, the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee took into account the following transactions during Fiscal 2016:

- Mr. Arpey served as a director of S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., from which we purchased cleaning supply merchandise.
- Mr. Boyd served as Executive Chairman and, for a portion of the year, Chairman, Interim Chief Executive Officer and President, of The Priceline Group, Inc., from which we purchased travel-related services, and as a director of CLEAR, LLC, from which we purchased travel-related services.
- Mr. Brenneman served as Executive Chairman and, for a portion of the year, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, which manages funds that have or had an equity interest in The Hillman Companies, Inc. (“Hillman”), from which we purchased fasteners and other small hardware items. In Fiscal 2016, the Company was one of Hillman’s largest customers. Mr. Brenneman does not serve as a director or officer of Hillman.
- Mr. Brown served as Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer of General Atlantic LLC, which manages funds that have or had an equity interest in (1) Adyen B.V., from which we purchased payment services; (2) Airbnb, Inc., from which we purchased lodging services; (3) Bazaarvoice, Inc., from which we purchased software; (4) Box, Inc., from which we purchased data sharing services; (5) BuzzFeed, Inc., from which we purchased media services; (6) Mu Sigma Inc., from which we purchased data analytics consulting services; (7) Snap, Inc., from which we purchased media services; (8) Tory Burch, LLC, from which we purchased associate recognition gifts; and (9) Uber Technologies, Inc., from which we purchased transportation services. Mr. Brown does not serve as a director or officer of any of these portfolio companies.
- Mr. Carey served as Chief Executive Officer of PepsiCo North America, from which we purchased food and beverage products.
- Ms. Foulkes served as Executive Vice President of CVS Health Corporation and President of CVS/pharmacy, from which we purchased prescription management and health care services.
- Ms. Gooden served as a director of Automatic Data Processing, Inc., from which we purchased payroll and tax services; and as a director of General Motors Company, from which we purchased automobiles and related services.
- Ms. Katen served as a director of Air Liquide, from which we purchased industrial gases.
- Mr. Vadon served as a director of Liberty Interactive Corporation, from which we purchased digital media goods and services.

In each instance described above, the amount of payments made and received by each entity represented an immaterial percentage of the Company’s and, except as otherwise stated above, the other entity’s revenues. The Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believe that all of the transactions and relationships during Fiscal 2016 described above were on arm’s-length terms that were reasonable and competitive and that the directors did not participate in or receive any direct personal benefit from these transactions.

RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews all related-party transactions and relationships involving a Board member or officer of the Company subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). To help identify related-party transactions and relationships, each director and executive officer completes a questionnaire that requires the disclosure of any transaction or relationship that the person, or any member of his or her immediate family, has or will have with the Company. Our GC also conducts an independent investigation by reviewing the Company’s financial systems to determine if a director or executive officer, or a company with which he or she is affiliated, engaged in transactions or had a relationship with the Company during the fiscal year.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In accordance with its charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews and approves, ratifies or rejects any transaction or relationship with a related party that is identified. In approving, ratifying or rejecting a related-party transaction or relationship, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers such information as it deems important to determine whether the transaction is on reasonable and competitive terms and is fair to the Company. Transactions and relationships that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to the Company or a related party are disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement.

During Fiscal 2016, there was only one related-party transaction that requires detailed disclosure in this Proxy Statement. The Company made purchases of software and related services as well as search engine marketing and advertising from Microsoft, Inc. in the ordinary course of business during Fiscal 2016. The brother-in-law of Matthew A. Carey, our Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer, served as the Chief Operating Officer of Microsoft during a portion of Fiscal 2016. The total payments made to Microsoft during Fiscal 2016 were approximately \$49 million, representing less than 0.06% of the revenues of each of the Company and Microsoft.

COMMUNICATING WITH THE BOARD

Shareholders and others who are interested in communicating directly the Board, our Lead Director or other independent directors, including those wishing to express concerns relating to accounting, internal controls, audit matters, fraud or unethical behavior, may do so by e-mail at HD_Directors@homedepot.com or by writing to the directors at the following address:

[Name of Director or Directors]
c/o Corporate Secretary
The Home Depot, Inc.
2455 Paces Ferry Road
Building C-22
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

The Corporate Secretary reviews and provides the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with a summary of all such communications and a copy of any correspondence that, in the opinion of the Corporate Secretary, deals with the functions of the Board or the standing committees of the Board, or that otherwise requires the attention of the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Correspondence relating to accounting, internal controls or auditing matters is brought to the attention of the Company's internal audit department and, if appropriate, to the Audit Committee. All communications are treated confidentially.

SELECTING NOMINEES TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for considering candidates for the Board and recommending director nominees to the Board. All members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee have been determined to be independent by the Board pursuant to SEC rules, NYSE listing standards and the Company's Director Independence Standards.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers candidates for nomination to the Board from a number of sources. Current members of the Board are considered for re-election unless they have notified the Company that they do not wish to stand for re-election and provided they have not reached age 72 by the calendar year-end immediately preceding the Company's next annual meeting of shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also consider candidates recommended by current members of the Board, members of management and shareholders, as discussed below under "Director Candidates Recommended by Shareholders."

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

From time to time, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee engages independent search firms to assist in identifying potential Board candidates. Services provided by the search firms include identifying and assessing potential director candidates meeting criteria established by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, verifying information about the prospective candidate's credentials, and obtaining a preliminary indication of interest and willingness to serve as a Board member. During Fiscal 2016, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee engaged the third-party search firm Heidrick & Struggles International, Inc. to assist it in identifying and assessing potential director candidates. Mr. Boyd, who was appointed to our Board in October 2016, was recommended by our third-party search firm.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates all candidates, regardless of who recommended the candidate, based on the same criteria. The criteria and the process by which director nominees are considered and selected are discussed further below under "Election of Directors."

DIRECTOR CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED BY SHAREHOLDERS

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider all candidates recommended by a shareholder (or group of shareholders) who owns at least 1% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock and who has held such shares for at least one year as of the date of the recommendation. If the shareholder does not meet these requirements, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may, but is not obligated to, evaluate the candidate and consider him or her for nomination to the Board. A shareholder wishing to recommend a candidate must submit the following documents to the Corporate Secretary, The Home Depot, Inc., 2455 Paces Ferry Road, Building C-22, Atlanta, Georgia 30339 not less than 120 calendar days prior to the anniversary of the date on which the Company's Proxy Statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting of shareholders:

- A recommendation that identifies the candidate and provides contact information for that candidate;
- The written consent of the candidate to serve as a director of the Company, if elected; and
- Documentation establishing that the shareholder making the recommendation meets the ownership requirements set forth above.

If the candidate is to be evaluated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Corporate Secretary will request from the candidate a detailed résumé, an autobiographical statement explaining the candidate's interest in serving as a director of the Company, a completed statement regarding conflicts of interest, and a waiver of liability for a background check. These documents must be received from the candidate before the first day of February preceding the annual meeting of shareholders.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

The Board is elected annually by shareholders to oversee the long-term health and the overall success and financial strength of the Company's business. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for considering candidates for the Board and recommending director nominees for the Board.

DIRECTOR CRITERIA AND QUALIFICATIONS

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, when considering the composition of our Board, focuses on ensuring a mix of directors that collectively possess the breadth of expertise and experience appropriate for a retailer of our size and geographic scope. The Company is the world's largest home improvement retailer, with more than 2,270 retail stores in the United States, Canada and Mexico, and our business involves all facets of retail, including merchandising, supply chain, finance, real estate, information technology and cybersecurity, e-commerce, strategic management, marketing and communications, international, and governance. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates each director candidate on the basis of the length, breadth and quality of the candidate's business experience, the applicability of the candidate's skills and expertise to the Company's business and strategic direction, the perspectives that the candidate would bring to the entire Board, and the personality or "fit" of the candidate with existing members of the Board and management.




The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks directors who can:

- Demonstrate integrity, accountability, informed judgment, financial literacy, creativity and vision;
- Be prepared to represent the best interests of all Company shareholders and not just one particular constituency;
- Demonstrate a record of professional accomplishment in his or her chosen field; and
- Be prepared and able to participate fully in Board activities, including membership on at least two Board committees.

BOARD REFRESHMENT AND DIVERSITY

We routinely assess the composition of the Board and aim to strike a balance between the knowledge and understanding of the business that comes from longer-term service on the Board with the fresh ideas and perspective that can come from adding new members. We also consider the expertise and cognitive diversity that is needed as our business changes and expands. As explained in more detail below, we also recognize the importance of having diversity of age, gender, race and ethnicity on the Board.

Our independent director nominees have a balance of tenure, age and diversity, which provides our Board with an effective mix of experience and fresh perspective



ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

Since we first established our three-legged stool strategic framework in 2009, the retail landscape has rapidly evolved. We believe that Board refreshment is critical as the Company's business strategy continues to evolve with the competitive landscape, particularly as we strive to enhance the interconnected customer experience. In the past eight years, we have added seven new independent directors, comprising over 50% of our current Board. Several of these directors have first-hand experience building an interconnected retail experience for their own companies' customers.

At the same time, we believe that we benefit from having several seasoned directors, including our Lead Director, on our Board who are well-versed in the Company's business and help facilitate the transfer of institutional knowledge. Having a tenured Lead Director who has served with four different Chief Executive Officers and through several different business cycles has proven extremely valuable, particularly as we have added new Board members and experienced several senior management transitions in the last few years.

We believe the average tenure for our independent directors of approximately six years reflects the balance the Board seeks between different perspectives brought by long-serving and new directors.

In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recognizes the importance of selecting directors from various backgrounds and professions in order to ensure that the Board as a whole has a wealth of experiences and perspectives to inform its decisions and enhance its cognitive diversity. Consistent with this philosophy, in addition to focusing on the skills and experience necessary to meet the core needs of the Company, as well as the basic qualifications set forth above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the ability of the candidate to contribute to the Board by leveraging and valuing a broad set of experiences, including the director's ethnic, gender, generational, and racial diversity.

To accomplish this goal, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is committed to including in each search candidates who reflect diverse backgrounds, including diversity of race and gender. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assesses the composition, including the diversity, of the Board at least once a year and more frequently as needed, particularly when considering potential new candidates.


ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

2017 DIRECTOR NOMINEES

After evaluating the performance and experience of each of the current directors and the composition of the full Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board have recommended the election of all 13 of the incumbent Board members.

As detailed in each director’s biography below, our Board collectively leverages its strengths in the following areas:



Each of the 13 individuals nominated for election to the Board would hold office until the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified. Each nominee has agreed to serve as a director if elected. If for some unforeseen reason a nominee becomes unwilling or unable to serve, proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee selected by the Board in accordance with our By-Laws.

The 13 nominees for election to the Board are set forth below.

GERARD J. ARPEY



Director since: 2015

Age: 58

Committees:

- Nominating and Corporate Governance
- Finance

Mr. Arpey has been a partner in Emerald Creek Group, LLC, a private equity firm based in Southern California, since 2012. Prior to his retirement in November 2011, Mr. Arpey served as Chief Executive Officer of AMR Corporation, a global airline holding company, and its subsidiary American Airlines, from 2003 through November 2011, immediately prior to their voluntary filing for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. From 2004 through November 2011, he was also Chairman of the AMR Board of Directors. Mr. Arpey previously served as American Airlines’ President and Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President of Finance and Planning, and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Arpey currently serves on the board of directors of S. C. Johnson & Son, Inc., a privately-held company. He is a trustee of the American Beacon Funds and also a member of The Business Council.

Skills and qualifications: Mr. Arpey brings to the Board extensive organizational management, strategic, financial, information technology (“IT”) and international experience from his service as chairman, chief executive officer, and chief financial officer of one of the largest global airlines and service as a director of public and private companies.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

None

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

ARI BOUSBIB



Director since: 2007

Age: 56

Committees:

- Audit
- Finance (Chair)

Mr. Bousbib currently serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Quintiles IMS Holdings, Inc., an integrated information and technology-enabled healthcare service provider. He assumed this position in October 2016 following the merger of IMS Health Holdings, Inc. (“IMS Holdings”) and Quintiles Transnational Holdings, Inc. From 2010 to October 2016, Mr. Bousbib served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of IMS Health Incorporated, a subsidiary of IMS Holdings, and he also served as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of IMS Holdings since its initial public offering in 2014. Prior to joining IMS Health, Mr. Bousbib spent 14 years at United Technologies Corporation (“UTC”), a commercial aerospace, defense and building industries company. From 2008 until 2010, he served as President of UTC’s Commercial Companies, including Otis Elevator Company (“Otis”), Carrier Corporation, UTC Fire & Security and UTC Power. From 2002 until 2008, Mr. Bousbib was President of Otis, and from 2000 until 2002, he served as its Chief Operating Officer. Prior to joining UTC, Mr. Bousbib was a partner at Booz Allen Hamilton, a global management and technology consulting firm.

Skills and qualifications: In serving on our Board, Mr. Bousbib draws from his experience with managing large, sophisticated businesses, including oversight of extensive global operations, as well as strategic, finance, supply chain and IT matters. He plays a key role in the Board’s oversight of the Company’s supply chain, IT, international and finance matters, as well as providing insight into the development of corporate strategy.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

- Quintiles IMS Holdings, Inc. (2016 to present)
- IMS Health Holdings, Inc. (2014-2016)

JEFFERY H. BOYD



Director since: 2016

Age: 60

Committees:

- Nominating and Corporate Governance
- Finance

Mr. Boyd has served in a number of senior executive positions during his long and successful tenure at The Priceline Group, Inc. (“Priceline”), a leading provider of online travel and related services. His strategic leadership at Priceline guided the company to grow from a loss in 2002 to a multi-billion dollar profitable business. Since January 2017, he has served as Priceline’s Executive Chairman. Prior to his current position, Mr. Boyd served in a number of roles of increasing responsibility at Priceline, including most recently as its President and Chief Executive Officer from November 2002 until December 2013, Chairman from January 2013 to December 2016, and interim Chief Executive Officer and President during a portion of 2016. Mr. Boyd was Priceline’s President and Co-Chief Executive Officer from August 2002 to November 2002; its Chief Operating Officer from November 2000 to August 2002; and its Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from January 2000 to October 2000. Prior to joining Priceline, Mr. Boyd was Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Oxford Health Plans, Inc.

Skills and qualifications: Mr. Boyd brings to our Board extensive experience in global e-commerce, sales, and digital marketing, as well as proven leadership, corporate governance and strategic management skills. His e-commerce experience provides valuable insights into the continued execution and evolution of our interconnected retail strategy.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

- The Priceline Group (2001 to present)

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

GREGORY D. BRENNEMAN



Director since: 2000

Age: 55

Lead Director

Mr. Brenneman, our Lead Director, currently serves as Executive Chairman of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC (“CCMP”), a private equity firm with over \$7 billion under management, a position he has held since October 2016. Previously, he served as Chairman of CCMP from 2008 until October 2016 and as its President and Chief Executive Officer from February 2015 until October 2016. He is also Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of TurnWorks, Inc., a private equity firm focusing on corporate turnarounds, which he founded in 1994. Prior to joining CCMP, Mr. Brenneman led restructuring and turnaround efforts at Quiznos, Burger King Corporation, PwC Consulting, a division of PricewaterhouseCoopers (“PwC”), and Continental Airlines, Inc. that resulted in improved customer service, profitability, and financial returns.

Skills and qualifications: As a successful business leader who has been involved in several well-known corporate spin-off and turnaround-driven transformations, Mr. Brenneman has an extensive background in general management of large organizations and expertise in accounting and corporate finance, retail, supply chain, marketing, and international matters. In addition, his directorships at other public companies provide him with broad experience on governance issues.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

Baker Hughes Incorporated (2014 to present)
Milacron Holdings Corp. (2015 to present)
Automatic Data Processing, Inc. (2001-2014)
Francesca’s Holdings Corporation (2010-2015)

J. FRANK BROWN



Director since: 2011

Age: 60

**Audit Committee
Financial Expert**

Committees:

Audit (Chair)
Finance

Mr. Brown serves as Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer of General Atlantic LLC, a global growth equity firm, which he joined in 2011. From 2006 to 2011, Mr. Brown was Dean of INSEAD, an international business school with campuses in France, Singapore and Abu Dhabi. Before his appointment as Dean of INSEAD, he served as a member of its Board and as Chairman of its U.S. Council. Prior to his tenure at INSEAD, Mr. Brown spent 26 years at PwC, where he held a series of leadership roles, including head of its Assurance and Business Advisory Service, Transactions Services, and Corporate Development practices, and most recently the leader of the \$3.5 billion Advisory Services operating unit of PwC. He also launched PwC’s Genesis Park, a leadership development program to train the next generation of global leaders within the firm. Mr. Brown is a trustee of The Asia Society and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. He is also an author and frequent speaker on leadership.

Skills and qualifications: Mr. Brown is a seasoned international business and academic leader whose strong technical expertise in financial and accounting matters qualifies him as an “audit committee financial expert” under SEC guidelines, as described in the “Audit Committee Report” on page 24 of this Proxy Statement, and he serves in such capacity on our Audit Committee.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

None

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

ALBERT P. CAREY



Director since: 2008

Age: 65

Committees:

- Leadership Development and Compensation (Chair)
- Nominating and Corporate Governance

Mr. Carey currently serves as Chief Executive Officer of PepsiCo North America, a consumer products company, a position he has held since March 2016. In this role, he is responsible for leading PepsiCo's beverages, Frito-Lay and Quaker Foods businesses in North America. Previously, he was Chief Executive Officer of PepsiCo North America Beverages from 2011 to 2016, and President and Chief Executive Officer of Frito-Lay North America, the largest North American business division of PepsiCo, from 2006 to 2011. He also served as President of PepsiCo Sales, the sales division of PepsiCo, from 2003 to 2006, in charge of PepsiCo's sales and customer management for its retail, food service and fountain businesses. Other positions that Mr. Carey has held at PepsiCo include Chief Operating Officer of PepsiCo Beverages & Foods North America, Senior Vice President of Sales for Pepsi-Cola North America and Chief Operating Officer of Frito-Lay North America. Prior to his career at PepsiCo, Mr. Carey spent seven years at Procter & Gamble.

Skills and qualifications: Having served in a number of senior executive positions at PepsiCo, Mr. Carey enhances our Board's experience in and oversight of retail, supply chain and marketing matters, as well as contributing to the general management and strategic business development skills of our Board.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

None

ARMANDO CODINA



Director since: 2007

Age: 70

Committees:

- Leadership Development and Compensation
- Nominating and Corporate Governance (Chair)

Mr. Codina is currently the Chairman of Codina Partners, LLC, a real estate investment and development company that he formed in 2009, and he also served as its Chief Executive Officer until December 2013. In 1980, Mr. Codina founded Codina Group, a South Florida-based commercial real estate firm. As Codina Group's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, he led the company through significant growth for 26 years and successfully merged it with Florida East Coast Industries in 2006 to become Florida East Coast Industries' full-service real estate business, Flagler Development Group. In 2006, Mr. Codina was appointed Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Flagler Development Group, where he served until September 2008. He continued to serve as non-executive Chairman of Flagler until December 2010. In addition to serving as Chairman of his core real estate holdings, Mr. Codina is an active investor in and owner of MBB Auto Group, a premium luxury retail automotive group consisting of 12 dealerships in the Northeast. Prior to founding Codina Group, Mr. Codina served as President of Professional Automated Services, Inc., a pioneer in the development of comprehensive medical management systems that provided data processing services to physicians.

Skills and qualifications: Mr. Codina's extensive expertise in commercial real estate development and management provides our Board with significant insight into and understanding of the real estate issues faced by a large retail organization. His deep roots in Florida have also afforded the Board a unique insight into this market. In addition, Mr. Codina's past service on a number of public company boards of directors provides significant and valuable perspective into corporate management, board dynamics and other aspects of corporate governance.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

AMR Corporation (1995-2013)

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

HELENA B. FOULKES



Ms. Foulkes is currently Executive Vice President of CVS Health Corporation (“CVS”), an integrated pharmacy health care provider and retailer, and President of CVS/pharmacy, a position she has held since January 2014. Previously, she was Executive Vice President and Chief Health Care Strategy and Marketing Officer from 2011 to 2013; Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer from 2009 to 2011; Senior Vice President of Health Services of CVS/pharmacy from 2007 to 2009; Senior Vice President, Marketing and Operations Services during a portion of 2007; and Senior Vice President, Advertising and Marketing from 2002 to 2007. In her 20-plus years with the CVS, Ms. Foulkes also has held positions in Marketing and Operations Services, Strategic Planning, Visual Merchandising, and Category Management.

Director since: 2013

Age: 52

Committees:

Leadership
Development and
Compensation

Nominating and
Corporate Governance

Skills and qualifications: Having served in a number of executive marketing, operations and strategic planning roles for CVS, Ms. Foulkes brings to our Board significant experience in innovative marketing strategies, retail operations, merchandising, and real estate, as well as insight into healthcare and associate wellness-related issues.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

None

LINDA R. GOODEN



Ms. Gooden enjoyed a 30-plus year career in various senior leadership roles with Lockheed Martin Corporation (“Lockheed”), a global aerospace, defense, security and advanced technologies company. Before her retirement, she most recently served as Executive Vice President, Information Systems & Global Solutions (“IS&GS”) of Lockheed from 2007 to 2013. Under her leadership as Executive Vice President of IS&GS, Lockheed expanded its IT capabilities beyond government customers to international and commercial markets. She also served as Lockheed’s Deputy Executive Vice President, Information and Technology Services from October to December 2006 and its President, Information Technology from 1997 to December 2006. In her role as President of Lockheed’s IT division, Ms. Gooden grew the business over a 10-year period to become a multi-billion dollar business.

Director since: 2015

Age: 63

**Audit Committee
Financial Expert**

Committees:

Audit
Leadership
Development and
Compensation

Skills and qualifications: Ms. Gooden brings to our Board her strong leadership capability demonstrated through her career at Lockheed. She has an extensive background in IT and cybersecurity, significant operations and strategic planning expertise, and experience in business restructuring, finance and risk management. She also brings to our Board her experience as a director at other public companies, particularly in the areas of finance, audit, strategic investments, acquisitions and divestitures, and she serves as an “audit committee financial expert” on our Audit Committee, as described in the “Audit Committee Report” on page 24 of this Proxy Statement.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

Automatic Data Processing, Inc. (2009 to present)
General Motors Company (2015 to present)
WGL Holdings, Inc. (2013 to present)

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

WAYNE M. HEWETT



Director since: 2014

Age: 52

Committees:

- Audit
- Leadership Development and Compensation

Mr. Hewett is currently Chief Executive Officer of Klöckner Pentaplast Group, a leading supplier of plastic films for pharmaceutical, medical devices, food, electronics, packaging, printing and specialty applications, a position he has held since August 2015. From 2010 to February 2015, Mr. Hewett served as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the board of directors of Arysta LifeScience Corporation (“Arysta”), one of the world’s largest privately held crop protection and life science companies. In February 2015, Arysta was acquired by Platform Specialty Products Corporation (“Platform”), a global producer of high technology specialty chemical products and provider of technical services, and Mr. Hewett served as President of Platform until August 2015. Prior to joining Arysta in 2009, Mr. Hewett served as a senior consultant to GenNx360, a private equity firm focused on sponsoring buyouts of middle market companies, from February to August 2009. Mr. Hewett’s career has also included over 20 years with General Electric Company (“GE”), a multinational conglomerate corporation, including roles as GE’s Vice-President, Supply Chain and Operations; President and Chief Executive Officer of GE Advanced Materials; President of GE Plastics Pacific; and membership on GE’s Corporate Executive Council.

Skills and qualifications: Mr. Hewett brings to our Board extensive experience in general management, finance, supply chain, operational and international matters. He has significant experience executing company-wide initiatives across large organizations, developing proprietary products, optimizing a supply chain, and using emerging technologies to provide new products and services to customers.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

- Ingredion Incorporated (2010-2015)
- Platform Specialty Products Corporation (2015)

KAREN L. KATEN



Director since: 2007

Age: 67

Committees:

- Nominating and Corporate Governance
- Finance

Ms. Katen began her career at Pfizer Inc., a global pharmaceutical company, in 1974 and held a series of management positions with increasing responsibility, including President of Pfizer Global Pharmaceuticals and Executive Vice President of Pfizer Inc. from 2001 to 2005 and President of Pfizer Human Health from 2005 to 2007. She retired in 2007 as Vice Chairman of Pfizer. Currently, Ms. Katen serves as Senior Advisor of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures, a healthcare venture capital firm which she joined in 2007. Ms. Katen is also a director of Air Liquide, an international leader in gases for industry, health and the environment. She serves or has served with several healthcare-related organizations, including as chair of the RAND Corporation’s Health Board of Advisors, chair of ARMGO Pharma, Inc.’s Board of Directors, and as a member of the Takeda Global Advisory Board. She is also on the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago. Ms. Katen has also served on a variety of international policy bodies, including as Chairman of the U.S.-Japan Business Council.

Skills and qualifications: Ms. Katen enhances our Board’s understanding of international, supply chain and marketing matters, with her expertise in those areas gained through her long and successful career at Pfizer and experience with other healthcare-related organizations. Her background also provides insight into healthcare issues. In addition, Ms. Katen’s service on a number of public and private company boards provides valuable insights into corporate management and board dynamics.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

- Harris Corporation (1994-2016)
- IMS Health Holdings, Inc. (2015-2016)
- Catamaran Corporation (2012-2015)

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(ITEM 1 ON THE PROXY CARD)

CRAIG A. MENEAR



Director since: 2014

Age: 59

Chairman, CEO and President

Mr. Menear has served as our Chief Executive Officer and President since November 2014 and our Chairman since February 2015. He previously served as our President, U.S. Retail from February 2014 to October 2014. In that role Mr. Menear was responsible for oversight of store operations and all merchandising departments, services and strategy; the Company's supply chain network and global sourcing and vendor management programs; and the Company's marketing and online business activities. From 2007 to February 2014, Mr. Menear served as our Executive Vice President – Merchandising, where he led our merchandising and supply chain transformations. From 2003 to 2007, he served as Senior Vice President – Merchandising, and from 1997 to 2003, he held several positions of increasing responsibility in the Company's Merchandising department, including Merchandising Vice President of Hardware, Merchandising Vice President of the Southwest Division and Divisional Merchandise Manager of the Southwest Division. Prior to joining the Company in 1997, Mr. Menear held various merchandising positions within the retail industry with companies such as IKEA, Builders Emporium, Grace Home Centers and Montgomery Ward, as well as operating an independent retail business.

Skills and qualifications: With more than three decades of experience in the retail and hardware home improvement industry, Mr. Menear brings to our Board extensive retail experience and knowledge of our business, including leadership experience in retail operations, merchandising, supply chain, vendor management and organizational development.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

None

MARK VADON



Director since: 2012

Age: 47

Committees:

Audit

Finance

Mr. Vadon is one of the country's leading internet retailing entrepreneurs, having co-founded two highly successful online specialty retail businesses. In 2009, Mr. Vadon co-founded zulily, Inc., a daily deals site for moms, babies and kids, and served as the Chairman of its board of directors until zulily was acquired by Liberty Interactive Corporation in 2015. In 1999, Mr. Vadon founded Blue Nile, Inc., the leading online retailer of diamonds and fine jewelry, and served as the Chairman of its board of directors from its inception through 2013. During Blue Nile's history, Mr. Vadon has also served as its Executive Chairman (from 2008 to 2011), Chief Executive Officer (from 1999 to 2008) and President (from 1999 to 2007). Prior to founding Blue Nile, Mr. Vadon was a consultant for Bain & Company, a management consulting firm, which he joined in 1992.

Skills and qualifications: Mr. Vadon brings to our Board in-depth experience in developing online businesses, effectively managing the use of technology, developing mobile applications and the associated user interfaces, as well as critical business analytic acumen. His expertise is an invaluable resource for the Company as our interconnected retail strategy continues to evolve.

Other U.S. Public Company Board Memberships in Past Five Years:

Liberty Interactive Corporation (2015 to present)

Blue Nile, Inc. (1999-2013)

zulily, Inc. (2013-2015)

WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH NOMINEE TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP

(ITEM 2 ON THE PROXY CARD)

The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, evaluation and oversight of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. As part of this responsibility, the Audit Committee annually evaluates the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, performance and independence and assesses whether to continue to retain the firm or select a different firm. The Audit Committee and its Chair are also involved in and approve the selection of the lead audit partner, who is limited to no more than five consecutive years in that role before the position must be rotated in accordance with SEC rules.

The Audit Committee has appointed KPMG LLP to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for Fiscal 2017. KPMG (or its predecessor firms) has served in that capacity for the Company since 1979. The Audit Committee and the Board believe that the continued retention of KPMG as the Company's independent auditor is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Although we are not required to submit this matter to shareholders, the Board believes that it is a sound corporate governance practice to seek shareholder ratification of the appointment of KPMG. If shareholders do not ratify the appointment of KPMG, the Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment.

One or more representatives of KPMG will be present at the Meeting. The representatives will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire and will be available to respond to questions from shareholders.

**WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE RATIFICATION OF
KPMG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S FISCAL 2017 INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.**

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Each member of the Audit Committee is independent under SEC rules, the NYSE listing standards and the Director Independence Standards set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and attached as Appendix A to this Proxy Statement. The Board has determined that Mr. Brown and Ms. Gooden are "audit committee financial experts" as such term is defined in SEC rules.

The Audit Committee acts under a written charter, which sets forth its responsibilities and duties, as well as requirements for the Audit Committee's composition and meetings. The Audit Committee charter is available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under "Corporate Governance > Committee Members & Charters" and is also available in print upon request.

The Audit Committee has:

- Reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements with the Company's management and discussed with KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm for the Company, the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 1301, *Communications with Audit Committees*;
- Received from KPMG the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding KPMG's independence, discussed with KPMG its independence, and concluded that KPMG is independent from the Company and its management;
- After review and discussions with management and KPMG, recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements for the Company be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for Fiscal 2016 for filing with the SEC; and
- Reviewed and discussed the fees billed to the Company by KPMG for audit, audit-related, tax and all other services provided during Fiscal 2016, which are set forth below under "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Fees," and determined that the provision of non-audit services is compatible with KPMG's independence.

This report has been furnished by the current members of the Audit Committee:

- J. Frank Brown, Chair
- Ari Bousbib
- Linda R. Gooden
- Wayne M. Hewett
- Mark Vadon

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM'S FEES

AUDIT AND OTHER FEES

The following table presents fees billed or expected to be billed for services rendered by KPMG during Fiscal 2016 and the fiscal year ended January 31, 2016 ("Fiscal 2015") (amounts in thousands):

| | Fiscal 2016 | Fiscal 2015 |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Audit Fees | \$5,032 | \$5,464 |
| Audit-Related Fees | 204 | 200 |
| Tax Fees | 412 | 586 |
| All Other Fees | – | – |
| Total Fees | \$5,648 | \$6,250 |

Audit fees consist of fees for the annual audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, the annual audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, the quarterly reviews of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, services related to other regulatory filings made with the SEC, comfort letters and statutory audits of certain subsidiaries.

Audit-related fees consist of fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the consolidated financial statements but are not reported in the prior paragraph. These fees are related to the Company's employee benefit plan audits.

Tax fees for Fiscal 2016 consist of fees of \$402,000 for tax compliance and preparation services and fees of \$10,000 for tax planning, advisory and consulting services. Tax fees for Fiscal 2015 consist of fees of \$447,000 for tax compliance and preparation services and fees of \$139,000 for tax planning, advisory and consulting services.

PRE-APPROVAL POLICY AND PROCEDURES

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy regarding the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm that requires pre-approval of all services by the Audit Committee or by the Chair of the Audit Committee. Prior to the engagement of our independent registered public accounting firm, our Audit Committee pre-approves the above-described services by category of service. During the year, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage the independent registered public accounting firm for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval or for services in excess of the originally pre-approved amount. In those instances, our Audit Committee requires that we obtain specific pre-approval for those services. If pre-approval is required between Audit Committee meetings, the Chair of the Audit Committee may pre-approve the services, provided that notice of such pre-approval is given to the other members of the Audit Committee and presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ("SAY-ON-PAY") (ITEM 3 ON THE PROXY CARD)

In accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act") and Section 14A of the Exchange Act, the Company provides its shareholders with the opportunity each year to vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers. The Company recommends that you vote for the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, you may vote on the following resolution at the Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables, and the related narrative disclosure in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and our "Fiscal 2016 Executive Compensation Report Card" beginning on page 34, the Company's compensation philosophy is to align executive pay with Company performance. We believe that this alignment motivates our executives to achieve our key financial and strategic goals, creating long-term shareholder value.

Our executive compensation program links pay to performance as follows:

- ✓ Approximately 88% of the Fiscal 2016 target compensation for our CEO and approximately 81% of the Fiscal 2016 target compensation for our other named executive officers was variable and paid based upon attainment of our pre-determined corporate performance objectives or the performance of our common stock.
- ✓ For Fiscal 2016, approximately 65% of our CEO's target compensation and approximately 60% of the target compensation of our other named executive officers was equity-based and paid in a balanced mix of performance-based restricted stock, options and performance shares.
- ✓ Our named executive officers do not receive tax reimbursements (also known as "gross-ups"), supplemental executive retirement plans, defined benefit pension plans, guaranteed salary increases or guaranteed bonuses and have limited perquisites.
- ✓ We employ a number of mechanisms to mitigate the chance of our compensation programs encouraging excessive risk taking, including an annual review and risk assessment of all elements of compensation by the LDC Committee, a compensation recoupment policy, stock ownership guidelines, and an anti-hedging policy.

Because the vote on this proposal is advisory in nature, it will not affect any compensation already paid or awarded to any named executive officer and will not be binding on or overrule any decisions by the LDC Committee or the Board. Because we value our shareholders' views, however, the LDC Committee and the Board will consider the results of this advisory vote when formulating future executive compensation policy. As noted on page 40 in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the LDC Committee considered the result of last year's vote, in which over 97% of the shares voted were voted in support of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers. Your advisory vote serves as an additional tool to guide the LDC Committee and the Board in continuing to align the Company's executive compensation program with the interests of the Company and its shareholders and is consistent with our commitment to high standards of corporate governance.

This vote is not intended to express a view on any specific element of compensation, but rather the overall named executive officer compensation program and philosophy as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables, and the related narrative disclosure as set forth below under "Executive Compensation." We encourage you to carefully review these disclosures and to indicate your support for our named executive officer compensation program.

WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS PRESENTED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE SAY-ON-PAY VOTES

(ITEM 4 ON THE PROXY CARD)

In addition to providing you with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on executive compensation, this year, in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Act and Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are also offering you the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the frequency of that Say-on-Pay vote. You are being asked to indicate whether the advisory Say-on-Pay vote should be held every one, two or three years.

The Board recommends an annual shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation. We believe that an annual vote would provide us with timely feedback from our shareholders on executive compensation matters. An annual advisory vote is also consistent with our LDC Committee's practice of conducting an in-depth review of executive compensation philosophy and practices each year, as well as our practice of engaging with our shareholders and obtaining their input on significant corporate governance matters.

The proxy card gives you four choices for voting on this proposal. You can choose whether the Say-on-Pay vote should be held every year, every two years or every three years. You may also abstain from voting. You are not voting to approve or disapprove the Board's recommendation on this proposal.

Although the vote on this proposal is non-binding, the Board and the LDC Committee value the opinions of our shareholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote in considering the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation.

**WE RECOMMEND A VOTE TO HOLD
FUTURE SAY-ON-PAY VOTES EVERY "ONE YEAR."**

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING EMPLOYMENT DIVERSITY REPORT

(ITEM 5 ON THE PROXY CARD)

Benedictine Sisters, Boerne, Texas, located at 285 Oblate Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78216, are the beneficial owners of more than \$2,000 in shares of the Company's common stock and have notified the Company of their intention to present the following proposal at the Meeting as lead proponent along with Convent Academy of the Incarnate Word, located at 5201 Lipps Boulevard, Corpus Christi, Texas 78413. The Company is not responsible for the accuracy or content of the proposal, which is presented as received from the proponents in accordance with SEC rules.

WHEREAS: Equal employment opportunity (EEO) is a fair employment practice and an investment issue. We believe companies with good EEO records have a competitive advantage in recruiting/retaining employees. We believe Home Depot customers are increasingly diverse. A diverse work force is more likely to anticipate and respond effectively to consumer demand.

EEO practices have economic relevance. Home Depot annually files an EEO-1 report with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. This information could be made available to shareholders at a minimal additional cost. In 2001, Home Depot provided EEO information to investors upon request. Since then, Home Depot reversed policy on disclosure of this information.

Allegations of discrimination in the workplace burden shareholders with costly litigation/fines which can damage a company's reputation.

Home Depot paid out more than \$100 million to settle discrimination lawsuits in the past 17 years. The most costly EEOC settlement was \$87 million in 1997. In 2004, Home Depot agreed to pay \$5.5 million to settle charges of class-wide gender, race and national origin discrimination at 30 Colorado stores.

In 2015, Home Depot settled a gender discrimination lawsuit for \$83,400. It was alleged that women who were qualified for sales positions were relegated to cashiers jobs instead, even though they met criteria to hold sales jobs.

In 2016, Judge David Carter signed off on a \$3 million Home Depot class action lawsuit settlement which will end allegations that Home Depot violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) by using improper background check forms on job applications. Home Depot agreed to use only the background check form that complies with FCRA.

RESOLVED:

Shareholders request that Home Depot prepare a diversity report, at reasonable cost and omitting confidential information, available to investors by September 2017, including the following:

1. A chart identifying employees according to their gender and race in each of the nine major EEOC-defined job categories for the last three years, listing numbers or percentages in each category;
2. A summary description of any affirmative action policies and programs to improve performance, including job categories where women and minorities are underutilized;
3. A description of policies/programs oriented toward increasing diversity in the workplace.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT:

In 2015, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission reported racial minorities comprised 37.2 percent of the private industry workforce, but just 14.01 percent of executives and managers. Likewise, women represented 47.85 percent of the workforce, but just 29.73 percent of executives and managers.

We agree with a recommendation of the 1995 bipartisan Glass Ceiling Commission that "public disclosure of diversity data—specifically data on the most senior positions—is an effective incentive to develop and maintain innovative, effective programs to break the glass ceiling barriers." Home Depot has demonstrated leadership on many corporate social responsibility issues. We ask the company to again demonstrate leadership in diversity by committing to EEO disclosure.

RESPONSE TO PROPOSAL REGARDING EMPLOYMENT DIVERSITY REPORT

The Board recommends that you vote against this shareholder proposal. Shareholders of the Company have consistently rejected this proposal at prior annual meetings. One of the Company's core values is Respect for All People, and we strive to foster a culture that encourages, supports, leverages and values diversity and inclusion. As discussed in more detail in our 2016 Responsibility Report, which can be found on our website at <https://corporate.homedepot.com/responsibility>, we are committed to maintaining a diverse and inclusive environment for our associates. Our overall human resources strategy is to attract, retain and develop diverse talent, which ensures that the composition of our customers and our communities are reflected and represented in our Company. Our commitment to diversity is reflected in the makeup of our senior leadership team and our Board of Directors. We have also taken a number of steps to align our diversity initiatives within our strategic framework, including the following:

- We use an employment marketing strategy that enables us to source and recruit diverse associates through such avenues as national and local career fairs, social media, and multilingual ads and flyers.
- We maintain a diversity microsite on The Home Depot careers website, available at careers.homedepot.com > Our Culture > Diversity, which provides potential candidates with insight into our diversity initiatives as well as a unique perspective into our diverse and inclusive culture and workforce.
- We partner with several diverse local and national organizations, such as the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), National Urban League, Hispanic Association on Corporate Responsibility and the National Association of Chinese Americans, to promote community involvement and both attract and retain diverse talent.
- Our internal communication strategy includes diversity and inclusion messaging focused on increasing cultural awareness and reiterating the importance of diversity and inclusion as core values. This includes focused communications in our stores and featured articles on our internal website showcasing associate accomplishments and opportunities for growth and development.
- We engage our associates through our seven Associate Resource Groups ("ARGs"). The ARGs consist of teams of associates focusing on various aspects of diversity who are committed to supporting the Company's business objectives and driving associate engagement through professional development, cultural awareness and community outreach. Our current ARGs are organized around the following groups: African-American, Disabled, Hispanic/Latino, LGBT (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgendered), Military, Pan-Asian and Women.
- In addition to our ARGs, we develop our leaders' capabilities through our Women in Leadership program, which is available to both our female and male associates. This program focuses on providing key leadership skills, business acumen and access to resources to support career development.
- Our diversity and inclusion training includes videos for all Company leaders that reinforce our commitment to a diverse and inclusive workforce.
- We provide a dedicated hotline to promote the anonymous reporting of concerns regarding conduct that violates the Company's Business Code of Conduct and Ethics.

We maintain a team of associates led by our Chief Diversity Officer that provides focused leadership in developing a diverse and inclusive work environment in which all associates are valued, respected, encouraged and supported to do their best work. The Board does not believe adoption of this proposal would enhance its commitment to equal opportunity in any meaningful way. Several of the items requested by the proponent are duplicative of matters discussed in our 2016 Responsibility Report, and the requested report would divert Company resources without providing real value to the Company or its shareholders.

**WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU
VOTE "AGAINST" THE ADOPTION OF
THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.**

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING ADVISORY VOTE ON POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

(ITEM 6 ON THE PROXY CARD)

The Northstar Asset Management, Inc. Funded Pension Plan, located at P.O. Box 301840, Boston, Massachusetts 02130, is the beneficial owner of more than \$2,000 in shares of the Company's common stock and has notified the Company of its intention to present the following proposal at the Meeting. The Company is not responsible for the accuracy or content of the proposal, which is presented as received from the proponent in accordance with SEC rules.

Say on Political Contributions

Whereas:

The Supreme Court ruling in *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission* interpreted the First Amendment right of freedom of speech to include certain corporate political expenditures involving "electioneering communications," resulting in greater public and shareholder concern about corporate political spending;

Shareholders believe Home Depot should minimize risk to the firm's reputation regarding possible future missteps in corporate political contributions, including HD PAC contributions;

Our website and policies indicate that environmental protection and diversity are high priorities for our Company;

Shareholders appreciate Home Depot's efforts to strengthen internal oversight of political contributions, however analysis of 2015-2016 political contributions indicate misaligned contributions, including:

- **Sen. Richard Burr**, who co-sponsored amending the Constitution to define "traditional marriage";
- **Rep. Tom Emmer**, who equated equal marriage to bestiality and said he would not sign anti-bullying legislation to promote safe schools because "I don't want the government doing that for us";
- **Sen. Pat Toomey**, who has been described by the League of Conservation Voters as "one of big polluters' best allies in Congress," and as having advocated "for the rich and powerful through his defense of billions in tax breaks for Big Oil polluters";
- **Reps. Darrell Issa and Scott Tipton, Sens. Marco Rubio, Mike Crapo, John Shimkus, Chuck Grassley, Johnny Isakson**, who have made public statements disavowing the reality of climate change;

Shareholders also recognize that conflicting issues may exist in the decision-making process of which political candidates to support, and are concerned that these decisions may be beyond the scope of Company management to determine. Accordingly, due to risks to shareholder value that may come from political missteps, shareholders should have the opportunity to weigh in on the annual plan of political contributions including the PAC.

Resolved: Shareholders recommend that the Board of Directors adopt a policy under which the proxy statement for each annual meeting will contain a proposal on political contributions describing:

- the Company's and HD PAC policies on electioneering and political contributions and communications,
- any political contributions known to be anticipated during the forthcoming fiscal year,
- management's analysis of the congruency with company values and policies of the company's and HD PAC's policies on electioneering and political contributions and communications, and of the resultant expenditures for the prior year and the forthcoming year, and an explanation of the rationale for any contributions found incongruent;
- management's analysis of any resultant risks to our company's brand, reputation, or shareholder value;
- and providing an advisory shareholder vote on those policies and future plans.

Supporting Statement: "Expenditures for electioneering communications" means spending directly, or through a third party, at any time during the year, on printed, internet or broadcast communications, which are reasonably susceptible to interpretation as in support of or opposition to a specific candidate.

RESPONSE TO PROPOSAL REGARDING ADVISORY VOTE ON POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

The Board recommends that you vote against this shareholder proposal. Shareholders of the Company overwhelmingly rejected this proposal at the 2011 and 2012 annual meetings, with only 5% and 3%, respectively, voting in favor of the proposal. As the world's largest home improvement retailer, we participate in the political process to support policies that further our business interests and create shareholder value. We are committed to complying with all laws governing these activities and conducting them in a transparent manner. We maintain a Political Activity and Government Relations Policy (the "Policy"), which is available on our Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under "Corporate Governance > Overview." This Policy sets forth the standards for participation in the political process by the Company and its associates and directly addresses the concerns raised by the proposal.

The Policy provides a review process for all political expenditures, addressing both corporate political contributions and any electioneering activity. As part of that process, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee conducts an annual review of the Company's political contributions. The Company also publishes an annual report of its political contributions, which is available through a link in the Policy on the Investor Relations website. This information is also available through the Federal Election Commission's website at <http://www.fec.gov>.

With respect to electioneering, the Policy provides that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee must approve in advance any public advertisement directly or indirectly paid for by the Company that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a candidate in which the Company is identified specifically as an advocate of such election or defeat. To date, the Company has not made any expenditure for electioneering communications, and has no present plans to make any such expenditures.

We believe that participating in the political process in a transparent manner is an important way to enhance shareholder value and promote good corporate citizenship. We do not believe, however, that implementing an annual shareholder advisory vote on our political activity policy and plans would provide shareholders with any more meaningful information than is already available.

**WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU
VOTE "AGAINST" THE ADOPTION OF
THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.**

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING SPECIAL SHAREHOLDER MEETINGS

(ITEM 7 ON THE PROXY CARD)

Mr. John Chevedden, located at 2215 Nelson Avenue, No. 205, Redondo Beach, CA 90278, is the beneficial owner of at least 100 shares of the Company's common stock and has notified the Company of his intention to present the following proposal at the Meeting. The Company is not responsible for the accuracy or content of the proposal, which is presented as received from the proponent in accordance with SEC rules.

Proposal 7 – Special Shareowner Meetings

Resolved, Shareowners ask our board to take the steps necessary (unilaterally if possible) to amend our bylaws and each appropriate governing document to give holders in the aggregate of 15% of our outstanding common stock the power to call a special shareowner meeting. This proposal does not impact our board's current power to call a special meeting.

Dozens of Fortune 500 companies allow 10% of shares to call a special meeting and this proposal is only asking that 15% of our shares be enabled to call a special meeting. Special meetings allow shareowners to vote on important matters, such as electing new directors that can arise between annual meetings. Shareowner input on the timing of shareowner meetings is especially important when events unfold quickly and issues may become moot by the next annual meeting. This is important because there could be 15-months or more between annual meetings.

This proposal topic received 42% support at our 2016 annual meeting. This level of support could mean that more than 51% of Home Depot shareholders experienced in matters of corporate governance voted in favor of this proposal's topic.

This proposal is more important to our company. GMI Analyst said that the board at Home Depot includes 3 directors (Codina, Arpey and Katen) who were flagged due to their prior service on boards of corporations which filed for bankruptcy. Karen Katen, who received 11% negative votes at the 2015 Annual Meeting, was overextended or overboarded. Home Depot disclosed related party transactions that include the employment of a son of the former Chair and CEO.

Please vote to enhance shareholder value:
Special Shareowner Meetings – Proposal 7

RESPONSE TO PROPOSAL REGARDING SPECIAL SHAREHOLDER MEETINGS

The Board recommends that you vote against this shareholder proposal. Currently, holders of 25% or more of our common stock have the right to call a special meeting, pursuant to a Company proposal adopted by our shareholders at our 2009 annual meeting. Our shareholders have been asked to vote on a proposal lowering the threshold in four of the last five years – to 10% in 2015 and 2016 and to 15% in 2012 and 2014 – and each time, our shareholders have rejected allowing a smaller minority of shareholders to call a special meeting. Our Board of Directors continues to believe that 25% is an appropriate threshold, particularly when viewed together with our robust corporate governance practices and the many shareholder protections that we have adopted.

As noted in our Corporate Governance Factsheet, located on our Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under “Corporate Governance > Factsheet,” in addition to a shareholder right to call a special meeting, we have adopted extensive governance best practices. We provide our shareholders with a proxy access right, as well as majority voting for directors, annual director elections and a shareholder right to act by majority written consent. In each of the last four years, Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) has given us its highest ranking of “1” under its QualityScore governance rating system, reflecting its conclusion that our corporate governance risk is low.

If adopted, this proposal would have the effect of allowing a relatively small minority of shareholders with narrow interests to call an unlimited number of special meetings to consider matters that may not be in the best interests of all of our shareholders. We believe that at least 25% of our shareholders should agree that a matter be addressed before a special meeting is called. Therefore, in the best interests of our shareholders and Company and in light of the many shareholder protections we already have in place, we recommend that you vote against this shareholder proposal.

**WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU
VOTE “AGAINST” THE ADOPTION OF
THIS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.**

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fiscal 2016 Company Business Objectives and Performance

Our strategic framework in Fiscal 2016 continued to evolve to reflect the changing needs of our customers and our business. We continued to use the three-legged stool as the structural base of the strategic framework, with a focus on creating value by connecting the business end to end. Our strategy focuses on the following core principles aimed at driving shareholder return and a sustainable competitive advantage:

- **Customer Experience.** We are focused on improving the customer experience in-store, online, in-home, and on the job site, by connecting service to customer needs and driving seamless connectivity from our stores to our website and from our website to our stores.
- **Product Authority.** We are investing in remaining the leader in product authority for home improvement, balancing the art and science of retail to consistently deliver the best and most innovative products at the best value, by connecting assortment to local needs and merchandise from supplier to shelf to customer.
- **Disciplined Capital Allocation.** Capital allocation, driven by connecting activities to productivity and cost efficiency, is the economic driver of our business, allowing us to invest in the growth of our business while returning value to our shareholders.
- **Interconnecting Retail.** We unite the three-legs of our strategic stool by focusing on the blending of the digital and physical worlds to offer our customers the products they want through the most effective channels in the most efficient manner to create a seamless One Home Depot customer experience.

By executing against the strategic initiatives that support these principles, our business performed well in Fiscal 2016. Highlights of the Company's Fiscal 2016 performance include the following:

- Increased net sales by 6.9% to \$94.6 billion;
- Increased operating income by 14.0% to \$13.4 billion;
- Increased net earnings by 13.5% to \$8.0 billion and diluted earnings per share by 18.1% to \$6.45;
- Generated \$9.8 billion in operating cash flow; and
- Increased ROIC from 28.1% to 31.4%.

As a result of our significant cash flow from operations and disciplined capital allocation, we were also able to return value to our shareholders during Fiscal 2016 through a 17% increase in our quarterly dividend for a total of \$3.4 billion in dividends, \$7.0 billion in share repurchases, and a 10% increase in our stock price.

Named Executive Officers

Our named executive officers for Fiscal 2016 were:

- Craig A. Menear, Chairman, CEO and President;
- Carol B. Tomé, CFO and Executive Vice President – Corporate Services;
- Ann-Marie Campbell; Executive Vice President – U.S. Stores;
- Mark Q. Holifield, Executive Vice President – Supply Chain and Product Development; and
- Matthew A. Carey, Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer.

In Fiscal 2016, all of the Executive Vice Presidents listed above reported directly to the CEO.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives: Pay for Performance


We designed our compensation program for associates at all levels with the intent to align pay with performance. By doing so, we seek to motivate associate performance and enhance morale, which drives a superior customer experience. We believe this alignment encourages achievement of our strategic goals and creation of long-term shareholder value.

The principal elements of our compensation program for executive officers are base salary, annual incentives and long-term incentives. The following Executive Compensation Report Card highlights the alignment between pay and performance for each of these elements for Fiscal 2016.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

FISCAL 2016 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION REPORT CARD: THE HOME DEPOT PAYS FOR PERFORMANCE

Approximately 88% of our CEO's target compensation for Fiscal 2016 (approximately 81% on average for our other named executive officers, or "NEOs") was at risk and contingent upon the achievement of corporate performance objectives and/or share price performance. The components of total target compensation for Fiscal 2016 were:



Note: Numbers may not add to 100% due to rounding

Below are the variable components of Fiscal 2016 total target compensation, including the performance measures used for each, the actual Company performance in Fiscal 2016 relevant to those measures, and the resulting compensation paid to our NEOs.

| Fiscal 2016 Performance Measures | Fiscal 2016 Company Performance | Fiscal 2016 Executive Compensation Results |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|

Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"):

- Sales, operating profit and inventory turns – operating profit threshold level must be met for any MIP payout to occur (\$ in billions):

| | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Sales (40%) | \$89.11 | \$93.80 | \$112.56 |
| Operating Profit (40%) | \$11.82 | \$13.13 | \$15.76 |
| Inventory Turns (20%) | 4.52 | 5.02 | 6.02 |

Exceeded target levels for each of the sales and operating profit goals and achieved performance slightly below the target level for the inventory turns goal:

- Sales (as defined in the MIP)* of \$95.14 billion
- Operating profit (as defined in the MIP)* of \$13.55 billion
- Inventory turns of 4.93 times

MIP payout levels are determined as a percentage of base salary, with a target level payout of 200% of base salary for the CEO, 125% for the CFO and 100% for other NEOs

Actual MIP Payout:

| NEO | Performance as % of Target | MIP Payout |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| C. Menear | 106.1% | \$2,759,445 |
| C. Tomé | 106.1% | \$1,439,422 |
| A. Campbell | 105.3% | \$705,279 |
| M. Holifield | 105.3% | \$821,071 |
| M. Carey | 106.1% | \$780,074 |

Fiscal 2016-2018 Performance Share Award:

- Three-year average return on invested capital ("ROIC") and operating profit (\$ in billions):

| | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
|---|-----------|---------|---------|
| Three-Year Average ROIC (50%) | 29.5% | 32.8% | 36.0% |
| Three-Year Average Operating Profit (50%) | \$12.57 | \$13.97 | \$15.36 |
| Payout as a Percent of Target | 25% | 100% | 200% |

As of the end of Fiscal 2016:

- ROIC (as defined in the terms of the award)* of 31.5%
- Operating profit (as defined in the terms of the award)* of \$13.55 billion

Shares are received following the end of the three-year performance period, if and to the extent the performance measures are met

Performance-Based Restricted Stock:

- Operating profit – restricted stock is forfeited if Fiscal 2016 operating profit is not at least 90% of the MIP target (at least \$11.82 billion)

Operating profit (as defined in the MIP)* of \$13.55 billion exceeded the 90% threshold

Shares of restricted stock were not forfeited, and will vest 50% after 30 months and 50% after 60 months from grant date

Stock Options:

- Stock price performance – annual grant with an exercise price of \$130.22 made on March 23, 2016

- 10% increase in stock price in Fiscal 2016
- One-year Total Shareholder Return ("TSR") of 12.3% compared to the one-year TSR for the S&P 500® Index of 20.9%

- At the end of Fiscal 2016, options were in-the-money by \$8.11 per share
- Options vest 25% on the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the grant date

* See "—Elements of Our Compensation Programs—Adjustments" on page 41 below and "—Elements of Our Compensation Programs—Fiscal 2016 MIP Results" on page 42 below.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Performance-Based Features of Fiscal 2016 Compensation

The following features of our compensation program for executive officers illustrate our performance-based compensation philosophy and our practice of following compensation best practices:

- ✓ 100% of annual incentive compensation under our Fiscal 2016 MIP was tied to performance against pre-established, specific, measurable financial performance goals.
- ✓ One-third of the annual Fiscal 2016 equity grant was in the form of a three-year performance share award with payout contingent on achieving pre-established average ROIC and operating profit targets over the three-year performance period.
- ✓ Our performance-based restricted stock awards, which also comprised one-third of the annual Fiscal 2016 equity grant, were forfeitable if Fiscal 2016 operating profit had been less than 90% of the MIP target. Dividends on performance-based restricted stock grants are accrued and not paid out to executive officers unless and until the performance goal is met.
- ✓ Our equity awards have longer vesting periods than many of our peers, with the performance-based restricted stock and stock options vesting over five years and the performance shares cliff vesting after three years (subject to achievement of performance goals), which better aligns executive officers' interests with the interests of our shareholders in the long-term performance of the Company.
- ✓ Approximately 88% of our CEO's total target compensation was tied to the achievement of corporate performance objectives and share price performance.
- ✓ We do not provide tax reimbursements, also known as "gross-ups," to executive officers; we have limited perquisites; and we do not have any supplemental executive retirement plans, defined benefit pension plans, guaranteed salary increases or guaranteed bonuses.
- ✓ We prohibit all associates, officers and directors from entering into hedging or monetization transactions designed to limit the financial risk of owning Company stock.

Impact of Fiscal 2016 Business Results on Executive Compensation

The amount of incentive compensation paid to our executive officers, if any, is determined by our performance against our Fiscal 2016 business plan, a plan intended to be challenging in light of prevailing economic conditions, yet attainable through disciplined execution of our strategic initiatives. The compensation earned by our named executive officers in Fiscal 2016 reflects our corporate performance for the fiscal year:

- The LDC Committee approved salary increases for the named executive officers based on its assessment of individual performance and other factors, as discussed in more detail below;
- Reflecting our execution against our business plan and strategic initiatives, our MIP paid out in excess of the target performance level;
- The performance condition on the performance-based restricted stock granted in Fiscal 2016 was satisfied, although the shares still remain subject to service-based vesting requirements; and
- The named executive officers earned approximately 136.4% of their 2014-2016 performance share award because we achieved average ROIC and operating profit over the three-year performance period of 28.4% and \$12.03 billion, respectively, reflecting performance in excess of the target level for each metric.

Fiscal 2016 Non-Management Compensation

Compensation of our non-management associates in Fiscal 2016 aligned with our philosophy of taking care of our associates and motivating our associates to deliver a superior customer experience. Non-management associates participate in our Success Sharing bonus program, which provides semi-annual cash awards for performance against our business plan, including sales plan and productivity goals. In addition, these associates are eligible to earn awards for superior performance and customer service at the individual, store, facility, and district levels. Due to the outstanding performance of our non-management associates in Fiscal 2016, we made substantial payouts under our Success Sharing program, with 99% and 98% of stores qualifying for Success Sharing in each of the first and second halves of Fiscal 2016 respectively. This resulted in total Success Sharing bonus payments to our non-management associates of approximately \$233 million for Fiscal 2016 performance. We also established

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

a 2.5% merit increase budget for our associates in Fiscal 2016, and we made matching contributions under the FutureBuilder 401(k) Plan. In addition, we provided a variety of recognition and teambuilding awards to recognize and reward top-performing store associates and support store morale.

Key Compensation Program Changes for Fiscal 2017

To further align pay with performance, the LDC Committee has approved a change in the mix of equity compensation for Fiscal 2017 to weight the mix more toward performance shares. The annual equity grant for executive officers in Fiscal 2017 will consist of a mix of 50% performance shares, 30% performance-based restricted stock and 20% options (as compared to the prior mix of one-third of each).

Opportunity for Shareholder Feedback

The LDC Committee carefully considers feedback from our shareholders regarding executive compensation matters. Shareholders are invited to express their views or concerns directly to the LDC Committee or the Board in the manner described above under “Communicating with the Board” on page 12 of this Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION DETERMINATION PROCESS

| Participant | Role in the Executive Compensation Determination Process |
|--|---|
| Independent Members of the Board | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The independent members of the Board, consisting of all directors other than Mr. Menear, evaluated the performance and determined the compensation of the CEO. |
| LDC Committee | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The LDC Committee evaluated the performance and determined the compensation of our executive officers other than the CEO.The LDC Committee evaluated the CEO’s performance and made recommendations to the independent members of the Board regarding compensation for the CEO.The LDC Committee may delegate its responsibilities to subcommittees, but did not delegate any of its authority with respect to the compensation of any executive officer for Fiscal 2016. |
| Executive Officers | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The CEO and the Executive Vice President – Human Resources (“EVP-HR”) made recommendations to the LDC Committee as to the amount and form of executive compensation for executive officers (other than the CEO and the EVP-HR).At the request of the LDC Committee, both the EVP-HR and the CEO regularly attended LDC Committee meetings, excluding executive sessions where their respective compensation and other matters were discussed. |
| Independent Compensation Consultant | <ul style="list-style-type: none">In November 2015, the LDC Committee engaged Pay Governance LLC as its independent compensation consultant for Fiscal 2016 to provide research, market data, survey information and design expertise in developing executive and director compensation programs. Pay Governance provides consulting services solely to compensation committees.A representative of Pay Governance attended LDC Committee meetings in Fiscal 2016 and advised the LDC Committee on all principal aspects of executive compensation, including the competitiveness of program design and award values and specific analyses with respect to the Company’s executive officers, including the CEO. The compensation consultant reports directly to the LDC Committee, and the LDC Committee is free to replace the consultant or hire additional consultants or advisers at any time. |

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

| Participant | Role in the Executive Compensation Determination Process |
|--|--|
| Independent Compensation Consultant (continued) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pursuant to the independent compensation consultant policy adopted by the LDC Committee, its compensation consultant provides services solely to the LDC Committee and is prohibited from providing services or products of any kind to the Company. Further, affiliates of the compensation consultant may not receive payments from the Company that would exceed 2% of the consolidated gross revenues of the compensation consultant and its affiliates during any year. Pay Governance provided services solely to the LDC Committee in Fiscal 2016, and none of its affiliates provided any services to the Company. In addition, under the independent compensation consultant policy, the LDC Committee assessed Pay Governance's independence and whether its work raised any conflicts of interest, taking into consideration the independence factors set forth in applicable SEC and NYSE rules. Based on that assessment, including review of a letter from Pay Governance addressing each of those factors, the LDC Committee determined that Pay Governance was independent and that its work did not raise any conflicts of interest. |

Benchmarking

We do not target any specific peer group percentile ranking for total compensation or for any particular component of compensation for our named executive officers. The LDC Committee considers each executive's compensation history and peer group market position as reference points in awarding annual compensation. For our CEO, the LDC Committee considered data provided by Pay Governance from two peer groups. The first consisted of the Fortune 50 companies, excluding certain financial services and other companies due to their unique compensation structure.¹ This group reflects companies of similar size and complexity to us. The second group, listed below, consisted of retailers with revenues greater than \$10 billion with whom we compete for executive talent.

Retail Peer Group

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| AutoNation, Inc. | Dollar General Corporation | Murphy USA, Inc. | SuperValu Inc. |
| AutoZone, Inc. | Genuine Parts Company | Nordstrom, Inc. | Target Corporation |
| Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. | J. C. Penney Company, Inc. | Office Depot, Inc. | The Gap, Inc. |
| Best Buy Co., Inc. | Kohl's Corporation | Penske Automotive Group, Inc. | The Kroger Co. |
| CarMax Inc. | L Brands, Inc. | Rite Aid Corp. | The TJX Companies Inc. |
| Costco Wholesale Corporation | Liberty Interactive Corporation | Ross Stores, Inc. | Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. |
| CST Brands, Inc. | Lowe's Companies, Inc. | Sears Holding Corporation | Whole Foods Market, Inc. |
| CVS Health Corporation | Macy's, Inc. | Staples, Inc. | |

The retail peer group remained largely unchanged from Fiscal 2015. We added AutoZone, Inc., which met the relevant criteria based on fiscal 2015 revenue, and removed Family Dollar Stores, Inc. and Safeway, Inc., both of which merged with other companies in 2015.

¹ The excluded companies were American International Group, Inc., Bank of America Corporation, Berkshire Hathaway Inc., Citigroup Inc., Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, JP Morgan Chase & Co., State Farm, and Wells Fargo & Company. Walgreen Co. was also excluded due to its merger with Alliance Boots GmbH, and Amazon.com, Inc. and Google Inc. were excluded due to the atypical compensation structures of their founder/CEOs.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In reviewing the benchmarking data in connection with setting Mr. Menear's Fiscal 2016 compensation, our LDC Committee and the independent directors also reviewed the percentile ranking of our revenues and Mr. Menear's target total compensation compared to each of these peer groups, as reflected below:

| Category | Percentile Rank | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Fortune 50 | Retail Peers |
| Company Revenue* | 43% | 88% |
| CEO Target Total Compensation | 12% | 61% |

* Based on fiscal 2015 revenue as reported in SEC filings.

For our other named executive officers, the LDC Committee considered data from the Hay Group's Retail Industry Total Remuneration Survey, which provides information and comparisons on compensation for executive and industry specific positions at the corporate and division level of retail companies. This survey data helps the LDC Committee understand the competitive market for the industry in which the Company principally competes for retail-specific talent and for customers.

Mitigating Compensation Risk

In November 2015, the LDC Committee undertook its annual broad-based review and risk assessment of the Company's proposed compensation policies and practices for its associates for Fiscal 2016, considering both qualitative and quantitative factors. Based on that assessment, management and the LDC Committee determined that our proposed compensation policies and practices did not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In reaching that conclusion, management and the LDC Committee considered the following qualitative and quantitative factors:

Qualitative Factors:

- ✓ Management and the LDC Committee, with the advice of the independent compensation consultant, regularly review our executive compensation programs, with a focus on both their efficacy in driving quality performance and how the programs will be viewed by the investment community and other external constituencies.
- ✓ The LDC Committee and, for the CEO, the independent members of the Board, provide effective oversight in setting goals and monitoring attainment of those goals.
- ✓ Robust internal controls are in place to ensure compensation plans are operated as designed and approved.
- ✓ Compensation programs and pay amounts are routinely analyzed against market data by the LDC Committee and management to ensure compensation is appropriate to the market.
- ✓ Bonus, incentive and equity awards to executive officers are subject to a recoupment policy, as described below on page 45, to discourage manipulation of incentive program elements.
- ✓ Stock ownership guidelines are in place to further align the interests of shareholders and executive officers, as described below on page 46.

Quantitative Factors:

- ✓ Performance and payment time horizons are appropriate, and they are not overweight in short-term incentives.
- ✓ The relationship between the incremental achievement levels and corresponding payouts in our incentive plans is appropriate, and all incentives, other than equity incentives that are tied to growth in our share price, have payout caps.
- ✓ Programs employ a reasonable mix of performance metrics and are not overly concentrated on a single metric. Although the operating profit metric is used in more than one incentive, it is a key corporate goal, and the risk of overweighting it is mitigated by using it across different time horizons.
- ✓ Criteria for payments are closely aligned with our strategic goals, our financial plan and shareholder interests.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

- ✓ Payout curves are reasonable and do not contain steep “cliffs” that might encourage unreasonable short-term business decisions to achieve payment thresholds.
- ✓ Equity for senior officers is paid in a balanced mix of performance shares, performance-based restricted stock, and stock options; other associates receive equity in the form of service-based restricted stock.

Consideration of Last Year’s Say-on-Pay Vote

At our annual meeting of shareholders on May 19, 2016, over 97% of the shares voted were voted in support of the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers. Since then, as part of our regular interaction with our institutional shareholders, we have continued to request input on our compensation practices. In considering the results of the 2016 advisory vote on executive compensation and feedback from these shareholders, the LDC Committee concluded that the compensation paid to our executive officers and the Company’s overall executive pay practices have strong shareholder support and therefore determined to maintain the current overall compensation structure for Fiscal 2017, with the exception of the change in the equity mix described above under “Key Compensation Program Changes for Fiscal 2017.”

At our 2011 annual meeting, our shareholders expressed a preference that advisory votes on executive compensation occur every year, as recommended by our Board. Consistent with this preference, the Board implemented an annual advisory vote on executive compensation until the next advisory vote on the frequency of shareholder votes on executive compensation, which will occur at this Meeting. As discussed above under “Item 4 – Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Future Say-on-Pay Votes,” the Board recommends that shareholders vote for an annual advisory vote on executive compensation.

ELEMENTS OF OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

The principal elements of our compensation programs are discussed below.

Base Salaries

We provide competitive base salaries that allow us to attract and retain a high-performing leadership team. Base salaries for our named executive officers are reviewed and generally adjusted annually based on a comprehensive management assessment process. For Fiscal 2016, following discussion with the LDC Committee and based upon a review of competitive market data, the Company’s performance in Fiscal 2015, and assessments of the Company’s business plan and anticipated economic conditions in Fiscal 2016, we determined to maintain a Company-wide 2.5% merit increase budget.

The LDC Committee performed its annual review of base salaries for the named executive officers in early March 2016, with changes effective in April 2016. In establishing the actual base salaries for the named executive officers for Fiscal 2016, the LDC Committee considered total compensation, scope of responsibilities, performance over the previous year, experience, internal pay equity, potential to assume additional responsibilities, and the competitive marketplace. As a result of this assessment, Ms. Tomé and Messrs. Holifield and Carey received annual salary increases in April 2016 of between 2.4% and 2.8%, as set forth in the table below. Upon her promotion to the position of Executive Vice President – U.S. Stores on February 1, 2016, Ms. Campbell’s base salary was increased to \$650,000 from \$490,000 in Fiscal 2015, reflecting her expanded scope of responsibilities, and was subsequently increased as a result of the annual salary assessment in March 2016, taking into account the factors listed above. At Mr. Menear’s request, and following discussion with the LDC Committee and its independent compensation consultant, the independent members of the Board maintained Mr. Menear’s base salary at \$1,300,000. His salary has therefore remained unchanged since his appointment to the position of CEO and President effective November 1, 2014.

| Name | 2016 Base Salary | 2015 Base Salary | Percent Increase |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Craig A. Menear | \$1,300,000 | \$1,300,000 | – |
| Carol B. Tomé | \$1,085,000 | \$1,060,000 | 2.4% |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | \$ 670,000 | \$ 650,000 | 3.1% |
| Mark Q. Holifield | \$ 780,000 | \$ 760,000 | 2.6% |
| Matthew A. Carey | \$ 735,000 | \$ 715,000 | 2.8% |

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Annual Incentive

All named executive officers participate in the MIP, our cash-based annual incentive plan. The Fiscal 2016 MIP payout was contingent on the achievement of financial performance goals set by the LDC Committee at the beginning of the Fiscal 2016 performance period. The LDC Committee bases the payout on achievement of financial metrics to more directly align MIP goals with shareholder value creation and achievement of the Company's business plan.

Performance Goals. Set forth below are the MIP financial performance measures and the threshold, target and maximum Company achievement levels selected by the LDC Committee for Fiscal 2016 (dollars in billions):

| Fiscal 2016 Performance Measures | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|------------------|--------------------|
| Measure | Weighting | Threshold | % of Target Goal | % of Target Payout | Target | Maximum | % of Target Goal | % of Target Payout |
| Sales | 40% | \$89.11 | 95% | 10% | \$93.80 | \$112.56 | 120% | 200% |
| Operating Profit | 40% | \$11.82 | 90% | 10% | \$13.13 | \$ 15.76 | 120% | 200% |
| Inventory Turns | 20% | 4.52 | 90% | 10% | 5.02 | 6.02 | 120% | 200% |

The operating profit threshold must be met for any MIP payout to occur. The relative weighting among the goals was determined by the LDC Committee with input from the CEO and the EVP-HR to reflect the Company's priorities for Fiscal 2016. The LDC Committee aligned the weighting of the sales and operating profit goals to emphasize top line sales growth balanced with the Company's continued focus on profitability as a means to drive bottom line results for shareholders.

Adjustments. The pre-established definitions of sales and operating profit under the MIP provided for adjustments for the impact of acquisitions or dispositions of businesses with annualized sales of \$1 billion or more and, for operating profit, nonrecurring charges and write-offs exceeding \$50 million in the aggregate for specified types of strategic restructuring transactions. The LDC Committee adopted these definitions for plan purposes because it believes these types of strategic decisions support the long-term best interests of the Company and should not adversely affect incentive opportunities.

The LDC Committee also included in the pre-established definitions of sales and operating profit under the MIP an adjustment to neutralize the impact of any change (positive or negative) in currency exchange rates during the fiscal year. This adjustment, which was originally added for the Fiscal 2015 MIP, reflected the significant volatility in exchange rates and the increase in the value of the U.S. dollar against other currencies, in particular the Canadian dollar and the Mexican peso, that occurred in Fiscal 2015 and was expected to continue in Fiscal 2016. The LDC Committee noted that adjustments for currency fluctuations are not uncommon for large multi-national corporations. These fluctuations represent external, macro-economic influences outside of the control of the executive officers, and the LDC Committee believes that they should not affect incentive opportunities.

The LDC Committee also had extensive discussions about the impact of the data breach experienced by the Company in the third quarter of the fiscal year ended February 1, 2015 ("Fiscal 2014"). As a result of those discussions, the LDC Committee again determined that it would be prudent to maintain positive morale and minimize distraction by including in the operating profit definition for Fiscal 2016 an adjustment for the amount of any charges related to the data breach, net of any expected insurance recovery.

Payout Calculations. For achieving the target level of performance for the Fiscal 2016 MIP, executive officers receive 100% payout. The target performance level was consistent with our 2016 business plan and the forecast disclosed at the beginning of Fiscal 2016. For Fiscal 2016, the LDC Committee set the threshold performance levels at 95%, 90% and 90% of the performance targets for the sales, operating profit and inventory measures, respectively, with a threshold payout at 10% of target payout. The threshold performance level encourages incremental performance even when achievement of the target appears to be unlikely. At the same time, the relatively low level of payout incentivizes performance above the threshold level.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The LDC Committee also sets maximum performance levels to incent participants to achieve and reward them for above-target performance, while at the same time capping payouts to avoid windfalls due to a better than expected external environment. For Fiscal 2016, the LDC Committee set the payout for maximum achievement for the sales, operating profit and inventory turns measures at 200% of target payout, and set the maximum performance goal for those measures at 120% of the target performance goal.

The Company uses interpolation to determine the specific amount of the payout for each named executive officer with respect to the achievement of financial goals between the various levels. The LDC Committee does not have discretion to increase the MIP payout earned by a named executive officer, but it may decrease the payout even if the performance goals are achieved.

The annual target payout levels are determined as a percentage of base salary: 200% for the CEO; 125% for the CFO; and 100% for the other Executive Vice Presidents.

For Messrs. Menear and Carey and Ms. Tomé, payouts for achievement of the performance goals were based on overall Company performance. For Ms. Campbell and Mr. Holifield, payouts were based upon performance of the portion of the Company's business for which they were accountable. The specific performance levels for the portions of the Company's business for which Ms. Campbell and Mr. Holifield were responsible are not material to an understanding of the Company's compensation program, and we do not believe disclosure of this information would be meaningful to shareholders since it would not be apparent how this information correlates to our consolidated financial statements.

Fiscal 2016 MIP Results. For Fiscal 2016, for purposes of determining the achievement of MIP awards, sales were \$95.14 billion, operating profit was \$13.55 billion and inventory turns were 4.93 times, exceeding the target level for each of the sales and operating profit goals and reflecting performance slightly below target for the inventory turns goal. Pursuant to the pre-established definition of sales under the MIP, sales were adjusted up by \$547.8 million for the impact of changes in currency exchange rates in Fiscal 2016. Pursuant to the pre-established definition of operating profit under the MIP, operating profit was adjusted up by \$86.7 million due to the impact of changes in currency exchange rates in Fiscal 2016, and adjusted up by \$37.3 million for the charges incurred in Fiscal 2016 in connection with the 2014 data breach. Actual sales and operating profit without these adjustments were \$94.6 billion and \$13.4 billion, respectively, which also exceeded the target level for each goal.

Based on performance in Fiscal 2016 against the performance goals, the following were the target and actual MIP awards for Fiscal 2016 for each of the named executive officers:

| Name | At Target Performance | | At Actual Performance | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | % of Base Salary | Dollar Amount | % of Base Salary | Dollar Amount |
| Craig A. Menear | 200% | \$2,600,000 | 212.3% | \$2,759,445 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 125% | \$1,356,250 | 132.7% | \$1,439,422 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 100% | \$ 670,000 | 105.3% | \$ 705,279 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 100% | \$ 780,000 | 105.3% | \$ 821,071 |
| Matthew A. Carey | 100% | \$ 735,000 | 106.1% | \$ 780,074 |

Long-Term Incentives

For Fiscal 2016, we awarded the named executive officers annual long-term incentives consisting of one-third each of performance shares, performance-based restricted stock and stock options. The LDC Committee believed that this balanced mix reflected a focus on pay for performance and alignment with longer-term shareholder interests. The LDC Committee also believed that this mix of equity components provided an appropriate balance of mid- and long-term performance measures and retention incentive, without promoting excessive risk-taking.

The total value of the annual equity awards granted in March 2016 was determined by the LDC Committee after considering the value of equity grants of officers with similar responsibilities at peer

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

group companies described under “Benchmarking” in the “Compensation Determination Process” section above and individual performance relating to financial management, leadership, talent management and operational effectiveness, as well as retention risk. For Fiscal 2016, the annual equity award for our CEO at the target level was 562% of his base salary at the time the awards were granted. For the other named executive officers, the target equity value for the annual equity grant ranged from 256% to 373% of base salary.

Performance Shares. The Fiscal 2016-2018 performance share award provides for the grant of shares of our common stock at the end of a three-year period based on the achievement of average ROIC and operating profit goals over that period, as follows (dollars in billions):

| Fiscal 2016-2018 Performance Shares | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Three-Year Average ROIC | 29.5% | 32.8% | 36.0% |
| Three-Year Average Operating Profit | \$12.57 | \$13.97 | \$15.36 |
| Percent of Target Payout | 25% | 100% | 200% |

For results between these levels, the number of shares is determined by interpolation. There is no payout for results below the threshold level. Each performance measure is separately determined and equally weighted. The pre-established definition of operating profit for each year in the performance period is the same as the one used for the MIP for that year. ROIC for each year in the performance period is defined as operating profit (using the same definition as the MIP for that year), net of tax, divided by the average of beginning and ending long-term debt and equity for the relevant fiscal year. The pre-established definition of ROIC also provides for adjustments during the performance period for the impact of acquisitions or dispositions of businesses with annualized sales of \$1 billion or more. Dividend equivalents accrue on the performance share awards (as reinvested shares) and will be paid upon the payout of the award based on the actual number of shares earned.

In Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014, the LDC Committee also granted performance share awards that were structured similarly to the Fiscal 2016-2018 award. The Fiscal 2015-2017 and Fiscal 2014-2016 awards each provide for the grant of shares of our common stock at the end of the respective three-year period based on the achievement of average ROIC and operating profit goals over that period, as follows (dollars in billions):

| Fiscal 2015-2017 Performance Shares | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Three-Year Average ROIC | 26.4% | 29.3% | 32.2% |
| Three-Year Average Operating Profit | \$11.09 | \$12.32 | \$13.55 |
| Percent of Target Payout | 25% | 100% | 200% |

| Fiscal 2014-2016 Performance Shares | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Three-Year Average ROIC | 21.5% | 26.9% | 32.2% |
| Three-Year Average Operating Profit | \$8.82 | \$11.03 | \$13.24 |
| Percent of Target Payout | 25% | 100% | 200% |

Operating profit and ROIC under these prior awards are defined in the same manner as under the Fiscal 2016-2018 award. Dividend equivalents accrue on the performance share awards (as reinvested shares) and will be paid upon the payout of the award based on the actual number of shares earned.

The performance period for the Fiscal 2014-2016 performance share awards ended on January 29, 2017. Over the three-year period, the Company achieved an average ROIC of 28.4% and average operating profit of \$12.03 billion, as calculated pursuant to the terms of the awards. As a result, the named executive officers earned approximately 136.4% of their Fiscal 2014-2016 awards, reflecting performance in excess of the target level for each metric. Pursuant to the pre-established definition of operating profit for the Fiscal 2014-2016 awards, operating profit was adjusted up by \$271.0 million due to changes in

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

currency exchange rates in Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2016 and up by \$165.3 million due to charges incurred in Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2016 in connection with the 2014 data breach, and adjusted down by \$29.7 million due to the acquisition of Interline Brands, Inc. (“Interline”) in Fiscal 2015. Pursuant to the pre-established definition of ROIC for the Fiscal 2014-2016 awards, ROIC was also adjusted by the same amounts for the impact of currency exchange rates and the data breach, and adjusted down by \$125.9 million in Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2016 due to the acquisition of Interline. Average operating profit and ROIC over the three-year period without the adjustments were \$11.89 billion and 28.1%, respectively, which also exceeded the target level for each goal. The named executive officers earned the following shares under the award, which include reinvested accrued dividends:

| Name | Value at Date of Grant ⁽¹⁾ (3/26/2014) | Shares Earned at End of Performance Period (1/29/2017) | Value at End of Performance Period ⁽²⁾ (1/29/2017) |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Craig A. Menear | \$1,149,925 | 21,053 | \$2,912,261 |
| Carol B. Tomé | \$1,149,925 | 21,053 | \$2,912,261 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | \$ 291,661 | 5,339 | \$ 738,544 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | \$ 666,609 | 12,204 | \$1,688,179 |
| Matthew A. Carey | \$ 716,613 | 13,120 | \$1,814,890 |

⁽¹⁾ Reflects the grant date fair value.

⁽²⁾ Reflects the value based upon the closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017, the last trading day of Fiscal 2016.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock. In March 2016, we granted performance-based restricted stock awards that were forfeitable if operating profit was less than 90% of the MIP target for Fiscal 2016. Dividends on the restricted stock awards are accrued and not paid out unless the performance goal is met. Once the performance goal is met, cash dividends are then paid currently on the shares of restricted stock. The performance goal was met at the end of Fiscal 2016. As a result, the restricted stock will vest 50% on each of the 30- and 60-month anniversaries of the grant date.

Stock Options. In March 2016, we granted stock options with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our stock, which is defined as the market closing price on the date of grant. The options vest 25% on each of the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the grant date. Option re-pricing is expressly prohibited by our Amended and Restated 2005 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan (the “Omnibus Plan”) without shareholder approval.

Promotional Equity Grant. In connection with Ms. Campbell’s promotion to Executive Vice President – U.S. Stores, the LDC Committee granted her a promotional equity award consisting of restricted stock with a grant date fair value of \$250,000 and stock options with a grant date fair value of \$250,000. The restricted stock will vest 50% on each of the 30- and 60-month anniversaries of the grant date, and the options will vest 25% on each of the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the grant date, subject to her continued employment on the respective vesting dates.

Deferred Compensation Plans

In addition to the FutureBuilder 401(k) Plan (a broad-based tax-qualified plan), we have two nonqualified deferred compensation plans for our management and highly compensated associates, including executive officers:

- The Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers (solely funded by the individuals who participate in the plan); and
- The FutureBuilder Restoration Plan (the “Restoration Plan”), which provides a Company matching contribution equal to 3.5% of the amount of salary and annual cash incentive earned by a management-level associate in excess of the IRS annual compensation limit for tax-qualified plans, payable in shares of common stock of the Company upon retirement or other employment termination.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The plans are designed to permit participants to accumulate income for retirement and other personal financial goals. The Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers and the Restoration Plan are described in the notes to the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on page 56. Deferred compensation arrangements are common executive programs, and we believe that these arrangements help us in the recruitment and retention of executive talent; however, we do not view nonqualified deferred compensation as a significant element of our compensation programs. None of these plans provides above-market or preferential returns.

Perquisites

We provide very limited perquisites to our executive officers and do not view them as a significant element of our compensation program. We do not provide tax reimbursements, or “gross-ups,” on perquisites.

Our named executive officers participate in a death-benefit-only program, under which they are entitled to a \$400,000 benefit upon death if they are employed by the Company at that time. In addition, the benefit is continued for life for executive officers with ten years of service with the Company. Currently, Mr. Menear, Ms. Tomé, Ms. Campbell and Mr. Holifield have met this service requirement and are entitled to lifetime death benefit coverage. In Fiscal 2009, we discontinued this benefit for any new executive officers.

The Company requests that Mr. Menear travel by Company aircraft, including travel for personal reasons. We also permit non-business use of Company aircraft by other named executive officers on a more limited basis.

Other Benefits

Our named executive officers have the option to participate in various employee benefit programs, including medical, dental, disability and life insurance benefit programs. These benefit programs are generally available to all full-time associates. We also provide all associates, including our named executive officers, with the opportunity to purchase our common stock through payroll deductions at a 15% discount through our Amended and Restated Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”), a nondiscriminatory, tax-qualified plan. All associates, including our named executive officers, are also eligible to participate in our charitable matching gift program through The Home Depot Foundation.

MANAGEMENT OF COMPENSATION-RELATED RISK

We employ a number of mechanisms to mitigate the chance of our compensation programs encouraging excessive risk-taking, including those described below.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Annual Risk Assessment | As discussed above under “Mitigating Compensation Risk” on page 39, our LDC Committee undertakes an annual review and risk assessment of our compensation policies and practices. |
| Compensation Recoupment Policy | Pursuant to the executive compensation clawback policy set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, if the Board determines that any bonus, incentive payment, equity award or other compensation awarded to or received by an executive officer was based on any financial results or operating metrics that were achieved as a result of that officer’s knowing or intentional fraudulent or illegal conduct, we will seek to recover from the officer such compensation (in whole or in part) as the Board deems appropriate under the circumstances and as permitted by law. |

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines

Our Executive Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines require our named executive officers to hold shares of common stock with a value equal to the specified multiples of base salary indicated below. This program assists in focusing executives on long-term success and shareholder value. Shares owned outright, restricted stock, and shares acquired pursuant to the ESPP, the FutureBuilder 401(k) Plan and the Restoration Plan are counted towards this requirement. Unearned performance shares and unexercised stock options are not counted toward this requirement. Newly hired and promoted executives have four years to satisfy the requirements, and must hold all shares received upon vesting of equity awards (net of shares withheld to pay taxes) until the requirements are met.

As of March 3, 2017, all of our named executive officers complied with the stock ownership and retention guidelines and held the following multiples of base salary (rounded to the nearest whole multiple):

| Name | Multiple of Base Salary | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | Current Ownership | Guideline |
| Craig A. Menear | 17x | 6x |
| Carol B. Tomé | 78x | 4x |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 14x | 4x |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 7x | 4x |
| Matthew A. Carey | 17x | 4x |

Anti-Hedging Policy

In Fiscal 2012, the Company adopted a policy that prohibits all associates, officers and directors from entering into hedging or monetization transactions designed to limit the financial risk of owning Company stock. These include prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars, exchange funds and other similar transactions, as well as speculative transactions in derivatives of the Company's securities, such as puts, calls, options (other than those granted under a Company compensation plan) or other derivatives.

Equity Grant Procedures

Company-wide equity grants, including equity grants to named executive officers, are awarded annually effective as of the date of the March meeting of the LDC Committee, which is generally scheduled at least a year in advance. Throughout the year, equity awards are made to new hires, promoted employees, and, in rare circumstances, as a reward for exceptional performance. In all cases, the effective grant date for these mid-year awards is the date of the next regularly scheduled quarterly LDC Committee meeting. The exercise price of each of our stock option grants is the market closing price on the effective grant date.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SEVERANCE AND CHANGE IN CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS

We have a limited severance arrangement with Ms. Tomé. When Ms. Tomé's employment arrangement was adopted in 2001, the severance provisions reflected the terms provided to our other executives at that time and were consistent with the terms provided in the competitive market for executive talent. This severance arrangement is discussed below under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control—Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason" on page 57. We do not have a severance arrangement with our CEO or any of our other named executive officers.

We do not have any change in control agreements with our executives. However, our equity awards granted prior to Fiscal 2013, including those granted to the named executive officers, provide for accelerated vesting on a change in control. This type of vesting can be an effective means to retain associates through completion of a value-creating transaction, especially for more senior executives for whom equity represents a significant portion of total compensation. In the event the value of such accelerated vesting constitutes an "excess parachute payment," the executive would be subject to a 20% excise tax on such amount, and the amount would not be tax deductible by the Company. In Fiscal 2013, the LDC Committee adopted a new form of equity award agreement, beginning with awards granted in Fiscal 2013, that eliminates this accelerated vesting of equity triggered solely by a change in control of the Company. All equity awards granted since Fiscal 2013, including the awards granted in Fiscal 2016, only provide for accelerated vesting if the executive is terminated within 12 months following a change in control.

TAX DEDUCTIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally denies a corporate tax deduction for annual compensation exceeding \$1 million paid to the chief executive officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers of a public company, other than the chief financial officer. The limitation does not apply to compensation based on achievement of pre-established performance goals if certain requirements are met. Our Omnibus Plan, and the performance shares, performance-based restricted stock, and stock options granted under this plan, as well as the annual cash incentive award under the MIP, are intended to permit such awards to qualify as performance-based compensation to maximize the tax deductibility of these awards. There can be no assurance that these awards will be fully deductible under all circumstances, however, as a number of additional requirements must be met for the awards to qualify as performance-based compensation. In addition, the LDC Committee reserves the discretion to award compensation that is not exempt from the deduction limits of Section 162(m).

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the compensation during the last three fiscal years paid to or earned by (1) the CEO; (2) the CFO; and (3) the three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of the end of Fiscal 2016 (collectively, the “named executive officers”).

| SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| Name, Principal Position and Year | Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) ^{(2) (3)} | Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) ^{(4) (5)} | Total (\$) |
| Craig A. Menear Chairman, Chief Executive Officer & President | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 1,300,000 | – | 4,866,582 | 2,433,320 | 2,759,445 | – | 112,254 | 11,471,601 |
| 2015 | 1,300,000 | – | 4,758,790 | 2,333,316 | 3,033,014 | – | 137,320 | 11,562,440 |
| 2014 | 991,104 | – | 2,349,258 | 4,649,994 | 2,136,504 | – | 45,005 | 10,171,865 |
| Carol B. Tomé Chief Financial Officer & Executive Vice President – Corporate Services | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 1,079,231 | – | 2,416,623 | 1,208,321 | 1,439,422 | – | 99,839 | 6,243,436 |
| 2015 | 1,051,923 | – | 2,472,858 | 1,199,994 | 1,545,671 | – | 79,076 | 6,349,522 |
| 2014 | 1,019,231 | – | 2,380,206 | 1,149,996 | 1,300,982 | – | 133,626 | 5,984,041 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell Executive Vice President – U.S. Stores | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 665,385 | – | 1,583,116 | 916,643 | 705,279 | – | 39,867 | 3,910,290 |
| Mark Q. Holifield Executive Vice President – Supply Chain & Product Development | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 775,385 | – | 1,333,192 | 666,658 | 821,071 | – | 112,160 | 3,708,466 |
| 2015 | 755,384 | – | 1,376,871 | 666,659 | 889,079 | – | 44,936 | 3,732,929 |
| 2014 | 737,300 | – | 1,623,288 | 916,661 | 759,652 | – | 35,057 | 4,071,958 |
| Matthew A. Carey Executive Vice President & Chief Information Officer | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 730,385 | – | 1,433,201 | 716,651 | 780,074 | – | 21,766 | 3,682,077 |
| 2015 | 710,385 | – | 1,473,529 | 716,658 | 834,079 | – | 18,478 | 3,753,129 |
| 2014 | 690,846 | – | 1,477,490 | 716,661 | 705,703 | – | 20,741 | 3,611,441 |

(1) Amount of salary actually received in any year may differ from the annual base salary amount due to the timing of payroll periods and the timing of changes in base salary, which typically occur in April or following a mid-year promotion.

(2) Amounts set forth in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted in Fiscal 2016, Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014 computed in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (“FASB ASC Topic 718”). The assumptions made in the valuation of the option awards are set forth in Note 1 to the Company’s consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 23, 2017 (the “2016 Form 10-K”). The valuation of restricted stock and performance share awards and of share equivalents granted under the Restoration Plan is based on the closing stock price on the grant date.

(3) Amounts reflect the grant date fair value of performance share and performance-based restricted stock awards granted to the named executive officers during Fiscal 2016, Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014, plus the value of share equivalents under the Restoration Plan in Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014, as set forth in the table below. No contributions to the Restoration Plan are shown for Fiscal 2016 because the January 31, 2017 allocation date fell within Fiscal 2017.

| Name | Grant Date Fair Value for Performance Shares (\$) | | | Grant Date Fair Value for Performance-Based Restricted Stock (\$) | | | Value of Share Equivalents Under Restoration Plan (\$) | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-------------|---|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| | Fiscal 2016 | Fiscal 2015 | Fiscal 2014 | Fiscal 2016 | Fiscal 2015 | Fiscal 2014 | Fiscal 2016 | Fiscal 2015 | Fiscal 2014 |
| Craig A. Menear | 2,433,291 | 2,333,221 | 1,149,925 | 2,433,291 | 2,333,221 | 1,149,925 | – | 92,348 | 49,409 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 1,208,311 | 1,199,946 | 1,149,925 | 1,208,311 | 1,199,946 | 1,149,925 | – | 72,966 | 80,357 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 666,596 | N/A | N/A | 916,520 | N/A | N/A | – | N/A | N/A |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 666,596 | 666,585 | 666,609 | 666,596 | 666,585 | 916,536 | – | 43,702 | 40,143 |
| Matthew A. Carey | 716,601 | 716,646 | 716,613 | 716,601 | 716,646 | 716,613 | – | 40,238 | 44,264 |

The grant date fair value of the performance shares reflected in the table above is computed based upon the probable outcome of the performance goals as of the grant date, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. For all performance-based awards other than the performance shares granted in Fiscal 2016, Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014, this value is the same as the value calculated assuming the maximum level of performance under the awards.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The value of the performance share awards granted in Fiscal 2016, Fiscal 2015 and Fiscal 2014 as of the grant date, assuming that the maximum level of the performance goals will be achieved, is as follows for each of the named executive officers:

| Name | Value of Performance Shares Assuming Maximum Performance (\$) | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| | Fiscal 2016 | Fiscal 2015 | Fiscal 2014 |
| Craig A. Menear | 4,866,582 | 4,666,442 | 2,299,849 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 2,416,623 | 2,399,891 | 2,299,849 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 1,333,192 | N/A | N/A |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 1,333,192 | 1,333,170 | 1,333,218 |
| Matthew A. Carey | 1,433,201 | 1,433,291 | 1,433,226 |

⁽⁴⁾ Incremental cost of perquisites is based on actual cost to the Company. The incremental cost of personal use of Company aircraft is based on the average direct cost of use per hour, which includes fuel, maintenance, crew travel and lodging expense, landing and parking fees, and engine restoration cost. Any applicable deadhead flights are allocated to the named executive officers. No incremental cost for personal use of the Company aircraft was attributed to a named executive officer where the plane was already traveling to the destination for business reasons. Since our aircraft are used primarily for business travel, we do not include the fixed costs that do not change based on usage, such as crew salaries, depreciation, hangar rent and insurance. In addition to the incremental cost of personal aircraft use reported in the All Other Compensation column and in footnote 5 below, we also impute taxable income to the named executive officers for any personal aircraft use in accordance with Internal Revenue Service regulations. We do not provide tax reimbursements, or “gross-ups,” on these amounts to executive officers.

⁽⁵⁾ The following identifies the perquisites and other compensation for Fiscal 2016 that are required to be quantified by SEC rules. In addition to personal aircraft use, the Company made matching contributions to charitable organizations on behalf of each of the named executive officers, as shown below.

| Name | Use of Airplane (\$) | Matching Charitable Contributions (\$) |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Craig A. Menear | 40,213 | 50,000 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 33,503 | 47,492 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 7,159 | 10,000 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | – | 14,940 |
| Matthew A. Carey | – | 3,000 |

Other perquisites and personal benefits for Fiscal 2016 were long-term disability insurance premiums, gifts from an executive business conference, tickets for certain sporting events for Ms. Campbell, and incremental amounts accrued during Fiscal 2016 under the death-benefit-only program. For Fiscal 2016, the accrued amount under the death-benefit-only program for Mr. Holifield was \$87,986, reflecting the fact that he reached his tenth year of service with the Company in Fiscal 2016 and as a result, the program now provides him with a lifetime benefit. We do not provide tax gross-ups on any of these perquisites or personal benefits.

MATERIAL TERMS OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

This section describes employment arrangements in effect for the named executive officers during Fiscal 2016. All of these arrangements are “at-will” arrangements set forth in the offer letters provided to the named executive officers at the time of hire or promotion, as applicable. These offer letters have no set duration and consequently no renewal or extension provisions. The offer letters are all filed as exhibits to the 2016 Form 10-K.

The offer letters state each named executive officer’s initial base salary and annual MIP target as a percentage of base salary, payout of which is subject to the achievement of pre-established goals. Both the base salary and MIP target are subject to adjustment upon future review by the LDC Committee, or independent members of the Board in the case of Mr. Menear. The Fiscal 2016 base salary and MIP target as a percentage of base salary for each named executive officer are set forth above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

In addition, the offer letters provide that the named executive officers are eligible to participate in other benefit programs available to salaried associates and/or officers. These benefits include the ESPP, the Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers, the Restoration Plan and the death-benefit-only insurance program. Any provisions in the letters regarding termination of employment are discussed below in the section entitled “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control” beginning on page 57.

Mr. Menear’s offer letter states that the Company has requested that he travel, whenever practicable, by Company aircraft, including when traveling for personal reasons. However, to the extent he or his family uses Company aircraft for personal reasons, the Company will not provide a tax gross-up for any imputed compensation.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

FISCAL 2016 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table sets forth the plan-based awards granted to the named executive officers pursuant to Company plans during Fiscal 2016.

| FISCAL 2016 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|---|------------|-------------|--|--|---|--|
| Name | Grant Date ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | Approval Date ⁽³⁾ | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards | | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾ (\$) |
| | | | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | Threshold (#) | Target (#) | Maximum (#) | | | | |
| Craig A. Menear | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Shares | 3/23/2016 | 3/22/2016 | – | – | – | 2,335 | 18,686 | 37,372 | – | – | – | 2,433,291 |
| Annual Stock Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/22/2016 | – | – | – | – | 18,686 | – | – | – | – | 2,433,291 |
| Annual Option Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/22/2016 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 120,075 | 130.22 | 2,433,320 |
| 2016 MIP ⁽²⁾ | 3/3/2016 | 3/3/2016 | 104,000 | 2,600,000 | 5,200,000 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Carol B. Tomé | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Shares | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | 1,159 | 9,279 | 18,558 | – | – | – | 1,208,311 |
| Annual Stock Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | 9,279 | – | – | – | – | 1,208,311 |
| Annual Option Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 59,626 | 130.22 | 1,208,321 |
| 2016 MIP ⁽²⁾ | 3/2/2016 | 3/2/2016 | 54,250 | 1,356,250 | 2,712,500 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Shares | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | 639 | 5,119 | 10,238 | – | – | – | 666,596 |
| Annual Stock Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | 5,119 | – | – | – | – | 666,596 |
| Promotional Stock Grant | 3/2/2016 | 1/11/2016 | – | – | – | – | 1,990 | – | – | – | – | 249,924 |
| Annual Option Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 32,897 | 130.22 | 666,658 |
| Promotional Option Grant | 3/2/2016 | 1/11/2016 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 12,271 | – | 249,960 |
| 2016 MIP ⁽²⁾ | 3/2/2016 | 3/2/2016 | 26,800 | 670,000 | 1,340,000 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Mark Q. Holifield | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Shares | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | 639 | 5,119 | 10,238 | – | – | – | 666,596 |
| Annual Stock Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | 5,119 | – | – | – | – | 666,596 |
| Annual Option Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 32,897 | 130.22 | 666,658 |
| 2016 MIP ⁽²⁾ | 3/2/2016 | 3/2/2016 | 31,200 | 780,000 | 1,560,000 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Matthew A. Carey | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Shares | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | 687 | 5,503 | 11,006 | – | – | – | 716,601 |
| Annual Stock Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | 5,503 | – | – | – | – | 716,601 |
| Annual Option Grant | 3/23/2016 | 3/2/2016 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 35,364 | 130.22 | 716,651 |
| 2016 MIP ⁽²⁾ | 3/2/2016 | 3/2/2016 | 29,400 | 735,000 | 1,470,000 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |

⁽¹⁾ All awards were granted under the Omnibus Plan, other than MIP awards.

⁽²⁾ The Fiscal 2016 MIP was based on achievement of pre-established performance goals as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The amount in the “Threshold” column for the 2016 MIP reflects the minimum possible payout based upon assumed achievement of the threshold performance levels as discussed below under “Terms of Plan-Based Awards Granted to the Named Executive Officers for Fiscal 2016—Fiscal 2016 MIP.”

⁽³⁾ Annual equity awards under the Omnibus Plan were approved at the March 2, 2016 meeting of the LDC Committee (or by the independent Board members on March 22, 2016 for the CEO) but were effective as of March 23, 2016. See discussion under “Equity Grant Procedures” on page 46 in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

⁽⁴⁾ Amounts represent the grant date fair value of awards granted in Fiscal 2016 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuation of the option awards are set forth in Note 1 to the Company’s consolidated financial statements as filed with the SEC in the 2016 Form 10-K. The valuation of restricted stock and performance share awards is based on the closing stock price on the grant date.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

TERMS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS GRANTED TO NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS FOR FISCAL 2016

The LDC Committee approved the Fiscal 2016 annual grants of performance shares, performance-based restricted stock and stock options under the Omnibus Plan for the named executive officers other than Mr. Menear. Mr. Menear's awards were approved by the independent members of the Board.

| Award Type | Award Terms |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Performance Shares | <p>For Fiscal 2016, one-third of the annual equity grant provided to the named executive officers was in the form of performance shares. The terms and conditions of the awards are described under "Long-Term Incentives" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. Upon termination of employment within 12 months following a change in control, the executive would be entitled to a pro rata portion of performance shares based on actual performance for the portion of the performance period before a change in control, plus a pro rata portion of the target performance shares for the portion of the performance period after a change in control. In the event of death, disability or retirement at or after age 60 with at least five years of continuous service ("retirement"), the executive or his or her estate will be entitled to receive any performance shares ultimately earned, and in the event of death or disability before retirement, a pro rata portion of any shares ultimately earned. Because both Ms. Tomé and Mr. Holifield have reached age 60 and have more than five years of service, they are "retirement eligible," and their performance share awards are non-forfeitable, although payout, if any, is based on achievement of the performance goals. Dividend equivalents accrue on performance share awards (as reinvested shares) and are paid upon the payout of the award based on the actual number of shares earned.</p> |
| Annual Stock Grants | <p>For Fiscal 2016, one-third of the annual equity grant provided to the named executive officers was in the form of performance-based restricted stock, which was forfeitable if Fiscal 2016 operating profit was less than 90% of the MIP target for Fiscal 2016. If the performance target is met, as it was for Fiscal 2016, the awards are then subject to time-based vesting. The annual restricted stock grants vest 50% on each of the 30-month and 60-month anniversaries of the grant date, subject to continued employment through the vesting date, or, if sooner, upon termination due to death or disability or termination within 12 months following a change in control. In addition, if the performance target is met, the restricted stock becomes non-forfeitable once the executive reaches retirement eligibility but is not transferable before the time-based vesting dates. Because Ms. Tomé and Mr. Holifield were retirement eligible at the time the performance condition was met for Fiscal 2016, their awards became non-forfeitable but remain non-transferable until the time-based vesting dates. Dividends on the restricted stock are accrued (as cash dividends) and not paid out to executive officers unless the performance target is met. Once the performance target is met, cash dividends are then paid currently on the shares of restricted stock.</p> |
| Annual Stock Option Grants | <p>For Fiscal 2016, one-third of the annual equity grant provided to the named executive officers was in the form of nonqualified stock options. The stock option awards vest 25% per year on the second, third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the grant date, subject to continued employment through the vesting date, or, if sooner, upon termination due to death or disability or termination within 12 months following a change in control. In addition, the stock option awards become non-forfeitable once the executive becomes retirement eligible but are not exercisable before the time-based vesting dates.</p> |

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

| Award Type | Award Terms |
|---|---|
| Annual Stock Option Grants (continued) | Generally, stock options may be exercised, once vested, over the remainder of the ten-year option term, subject to continued employment or meeting the retirement eligibility requirements. Because Ms. Tomé and Mr. Holifield are retirement eligible, their option awards are non-forfeitable but are not exercisable until the time-based vesting dates. |
| Promotional Equity Grants | Ms. Campbell received restricted stock and stock option awards in connection with her promotion to Executive Vice President – U.S. Stores. The terms and conditions of the restricted stock award are the same as those described above under “Annual Stock Grants” except that the award only has time-based vesting and is not subject to the performance-based requirement, and the terms and conditions of the stock option award are the same as those described above under “Annual Stock Option Grants.” |
| Fiscal 2016 MIP | Each of the named executive officers participated in the Fiscal 2016 MIP, the Company’s annual cash-based incentive plan. The Fiscal 2016 MIP payout was based upon achievement of pre-established financial performance goals, as described above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. |

The LDC Committee approved threshold, target and maximum payout levels for Fiscal 2016 for the named executive officers under the MIP. The threshold, target and maximum potential payouts under the MIP for the named executive officers reflect the following percentages of base salary at the end of Fiscal 2016:

| Name | Percentage of Base Salary | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|
| | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
| Craig A. Menear | 8% | 200% | 400% |
| Carol B. Tomé | 5% | 125% | 250% |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 4% | 100% | 200% |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 4% | 100% | 200% |
| Matthew A. Carey | 4% | 100% | 200% |

Because the operating profit threshold must be met for any payout to occur, the threshold percentage above reflects the minimum possible payout based upon assumed achievement of that threshold. The actual amounts earned based on achievement of Fiscal 2016 MIP performance goals are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2016 FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards as of the end of Fiscal 2016 granted to the named executive officers.

| OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2016 FISCAL YEAR-END | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Name | Option Awards | | | | | Stock Awards | | | |
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) | Option Exercise Price | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽²⁾ | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽³⁾ | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽³⁾ |
| Craig A. Menear | 140,372 | — | — | 26.84 | 3/18/2018 | 2,500 | 345,825 | 21,053 | 2,912,261 |
| | 90,661 | — | — | 18.52 | 11/19/2018 | 5,000 | 691,650 | 41,644 | 5,760,681 |
| | 113,687 | — | — | 23.28 | 3/24/2019 | 9,415 | 1,302,377 | 18,985 | 2,626,235 |
| | 117,327 | — | — | 32.32 | 3/23/2020 | 6,401 | 885,450 | — | — |
| | 113,468 | — | — | 36.62 | 3/22/2021 | 7,290 | 1,008,426 | — | — |
| | 71,455 | 23,819 | — | 49.79 | 3/20/2022 | 20,088 | 2,778,773 | — | — |
| | 34,234 | 34,234 | — | 69.65 | 3/26/2023 | 18,686 | 2,584,834 | — | — |
| | 20,907 | 62,723 | — | 78.87 | 3/25/2024 | — | — | — | — |
| | 53,826 | 161,479 | — | 97.57 | 11/19/2024 | — | — | — | — |
| | — | 125,955 | — | 116.15 | 3/23/2025 | — | — | — | — |
| — | 120,075 | — | 130.22 | 3/22/2026 | — | — | — | — | |
| Carol B. Tomé | 126,334 | — | — | 36.62 | 3/22/2021 | 6,000 | 829,980 | 21,053 | 2,912,261 |
| | 88,605 | 29,535 | — | 49.79 | 3/20/2022 | 6,000 | 829,980 | 21,417 | 2,962,644 |
| | 42,232 | 42,233 | — | 69.65 | 3/26/2023 | 6,000 | 829,980 | 9,428 | 1,304,123 |
| | 20,907 | 62,723 | — | 78.87 | 3/25/2024 | 30,000 | 4,149,900 | — | — |
| | — | 64,777 | — | 116.15 | 3/23/2025 | 25,000 | 3,458,250 | — | — |
| | — | 59,626 | — | 130.22 | 3/22/2026 | 25,000 | 3,458,250 | — | — |
| | — | — | — | — | — | 25,000 | 3,458,250 | — | — |
| | — | — | — | — | — | 7,146 | 988,506 | — | — |
| | — | — | — | — | — | 4,110 | 568,536 | — | — |
| | — | — | — | — | — | 3,794 | 524,824 | — | — |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 10,717 | — | — | 36.62 | 3/22/2021 | 3,201 | 442,794 | 5,339 | 738,544 |
| | 8,098 | 8,099 | — | 49.79 | 3/20/2022 | 2,034 | 281,363 | 5,801 | 802,389 |
| | 5,439 | 10,878 | — | 69.65 | 3/26/2023 | 1,849 | 255,772 | 5,201 | 719,453 |
| | 5,302 | 15,908 | — | 78.87 | 3/25/2024 | 2,798 | 387,047 | — | — |
| | — | 17,543 | — | 116.15 | 3/23/2025 | 1,990 | 275,277 | — | — |
| | — | 12,271 | — | 125.59 | 3/1/2026 | 5,119 | 708,111 | — | — |
| | — | 32,897 | — | 130.22 | 3/22/2026 | — | — | — | — |
| Mark Q. Holifield | — | 14,291 | — | 49.79 | 3/20/2022 | 2,940 | 406,690 | 12,204 | 1,688,179 |
| | — | 20,477 | — | 69.65 | 3/26/2023 | 1,992 | 275,553 | 11,898 | 1,645,786 |
| | 4,396 | 13,191 | — | 81.97 | 2/26/2024 | 793 | 109,696 | 5,201 | 719,453 |
| | 12,120 | 36,361 | — | 78.87 | 3/25/2024 | 2,199 | 304,188 | — | — |
| | — | 35,987 | — | 116.15 | 3/23/2025 | 2,987 | 413,192 | — | — |
| | — | 32,897 | — | 130.22 | 3/22/2026 | 5,119 | 708,111 | — | — |
| Matthew A. Carey | 58,896 | — | — | 36.62 | 3/22/2021 | 7,155 | 989,751 | 13,120 | 1,814,890 |
| | 54,306 | 18,102 | — | 49.79 | 3/20/2022 | 4,905 | 678,509 | 12,791 | 1,769,385 |
| | 26,235 | 26,236 | — | 69.65 | 3/26/2023 | 4,543 | 628,433 | 5,591 | 773,422 |
| | 13,029 | 39,088 | — | 78.87 | 3/25/2024 | 6,170 | 853,496 | — | — |
| | — | 38,686 | — | 116.15 | 3/23/2025 | 5,503 | 761,230 | — | — |
| | — | 35,364 | — | 130.22 | 3/22/2026 | — | — | — | — |

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(1) Unexercisable stock options outstanding as of the end of Fiscal 2016 for each named executive officer vest as follows:

| Vesting Date | C. Menear | C. Tomé | A. Campbell | M. Holifield | M. Carey |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| February 27, 2017 | – | – | – | 4,397 | – |
| March 21, 2017 | 23,819 | 29,535 | 8,099 | 14,291 | 18,102 |
| March 24, 2017 | 31,488 | 16,194 | 4,385 | 8,996 | 9,671 |
| March 26, 2017 | 20,908 | 20,908 | 5,303 | 12,120 | 13,029 |
| March 27, 2017 | 17,117 | 21,116 | 5,439 | 10,238 | 13,118 |
| November 20, 2017 | 53,826 | – | – | – | – |
| February 27, 2018 | – | – | – | 4,397 | – |
| March 2, 2018 | – | – | 3,067 | – | – |
| March 23, 2018 | 30,018 | 14,906 | 8,224 | 8,224 | 8,841 |
| March 24, 2018 | 31,489 | 16,194 | 4,386 | 8,997 | 9,672 |
| March 26, 2018 | 20,907 | 20,907 | 5,302 | 12,120 | 13,029 |
| March 27, 2018 | 17,117 | 21,117 | 5,439 | 10,239 | 13,118 |
| November 20, 2018 | 53,826 | – | – | – | – |
| February 27, 2019 | – | – | – | 4,397 | – |
| March 2, 2019 | – | – | 3,068 | – | – |
| March 23, 2019 | 30,019 | 14,907 | 8,224 | 8,224 | 8,841 |
| March 24, 2019 | 31,489 | 16,194 | 4,386 | 8,997 | 9,671 |
| March 26, 2019 | 20,908 | 20,908 | 5,303 | 12,121 | 13,030 |
| November 20, 2019 | 53,827 | – | – | – | – |
| March 2, 2020 | – | – | 3,068 | – | – |
| March 23, 2020 | 30,019 | 14,906 | 8,224 | 8,224 | 8,841 |
| March 24, 2020 | 31,489 | 16,195 | 4,386 | 8,997 | 9,672 |
| March 2, 2021 | – | – | 3,068 | – | – |
| March 23, 2021 | 30,019 | 14,907 | 8,225 | 8,225 | 8,841 |
| Total | 528,285 | 258,894 | 97,596 | 153,204 | 157,476 |

(2) Restricted stock outstanding as of the end of Fiscal 2016 for each named executive officer vests as follows:

| Vesting Date | C. Menear | C. Tomé | A. Campbell | M. Holifield | M. Carey |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| March 21, 2017 | 9,415 | 7,146 | 3,201 | 2,940 | 7,155 |
| September 24, 2017 | 10,044 | 2,688 | 1,399 | 1,494 | 3,085 |
| March 27, 2018 | 6,401 | 4,110 | 2,034 | 1,992 | 4,905 |
| September 2, 2018 | – | – | 995 | – | – |
| September 23, 2018 | 9,343 | 4,639 | 2,559 | 2,559 | 2,751 |
| January 8, 2019 | – | 123,000 | – | – | – |
| February 27, 2019 | – | – | – | 793 | – |
| March 26, 2019 | 7,290 | 3,794 | 1,849 | 2,199 | 4,543 |
| August 2, 2019 | 7,500 | – | – | – | – |
| March 24, 2020 | 10,044 | 2,689 | 1,399 | 1,493 | 3,085 |
| March 2, 2021 | – | – | 995 | – | – |
| March 23, 2021 | 9,343 | 4,640 | 2,560 | 2,560 | 2,752 |
| Total | 69,380 | 152,706 | 16,991 | 16,030 | 28,276 |

The reported value of the restricted stock awards is based on the closing stock price on January 27, 2017, the last trading day of Fiscal 2016.

(3) The named executive officers' performance share awards are earned upon the completion of the three-year performance periods ending January 29, 2017, January 28, 2018, and February 3, 2019, based on achievement of pre-established average ROIC and operating profit goals, as described above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under "Long-Term Incentives—Performance Shares." The awards are paid out following certification by our LDC Committee of the achievement of the goals after completion of the applicable performance period. These performance share awards vest sooner in the event of termination of employment within 12 months following a change in control. The number of shares earned is determined based on actual results achieved through the date of the change in control, prorated based on the number of days in the performance period before the change in control, plus the target award amount, prorated based on the number of days in the performance period after the change in control. Dividend equivalents accrue on the performance shares (as reinvested shares) and will be paid upon the payout of the award based on the actual number of shares earned. For the Fiscal 2014-2016 award, the shares reported are the actual amount earned based on the performance level met as of January 29, 2017, as certified by the LDC Committee on February 23, 2017, and include dividend equivalents accrued on the award. For the Fiscal 2015-2017 award and the Fiscal 2016-2018 award, the reported number of shares includes dividend equivalents accrued through January 29, 2017 and assumes achievement of the maximum level of performance for the Fiscal 2015-2017 award and the target level of performance for the Fiscal 2016-2018 award, in accordance with SEC requirements. The reported value of the performance share awards is based on the closing stock price on January 27, 2017, the last trading day of Fiscal 2016.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

OPTIONS EXERCISED AND STOCK VESTED IN FISCAL 2016

The following table sets forth the options exercised and the shares of restricted stock that vested for the named executive officers during Fiscal 2016.

| OPTIONS EXERCISED AND STOCK VESTED IN FISCAL 2016 | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) |
| Craig A. Menear | 46,227 | 4,279,371 | 37,526 | 4,765,207 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 97,610 | 9,927,351 | 83,016 ⁽¹⁾ | 10,746,760 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | – | – | 14,709 | 1,874,214 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 105,737 | 8,580,319 | 34,681 ⁽²⁾ | 4,519,716 |
| Matthew A. Carey | 96,287 | 9,061,239 | 27,845 | 3,537,902 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes 16,765 shares withheld to pay taxes on restricted stock grants that became non-forfeitable on January 8, 2017. The remaining shares under these grants continue to be restricted until the time-based vesting dates are reached.

⁽²⁾ Includes 12,083 shares withheld to pay taxes on restricted stock grants that became non-forfeitable on September 5, 2016. The remaining shares under these grants continue to be restricted until the time-based vesting dates are reached.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL 2016

The following table sets forth information regarding the participation of the named executive officers in the Company's nonqualified deferred compensation plans for Fiscal 2016.

| NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL 2016 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Name | Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$) ⁽³⁾ | Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$) | Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Craig A. Menear | | | | | |
| Restoration Plan ⁽⁵⁾ | N/A | – | 141,608 | – | 1,288,411 |
| Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers ⁽⁶⁾ | 758,254 | – | 267,166 | – | 3,125,606 |
| Carol B. Tomé | | | | | |
| Restoration Plan ⁽⁵⁾ | N/A | – | 352,989 | – | 3,211,648 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | | | | | |
| Restoration Plan ⁽⁵⁾ | N/A | – | 51,728 | – | 470,647 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | | | | | |
| Restoration Plan ⁽⁵⁾ | N/A | – | 87,822 | – | 799,046 |
| Matthew A. Carey | | | | | |
| Restoration Plan ⁽⁵⁾ | N/A | – | 59,690 | – | 543,085 |

⁽¹⁾ Executive contributions represent deferral of base salary and incentive awards under the MIP during Fiscal 2016, which amounts are also disclosed in the Fiscal 2016 Salary column and the Fiscal 2015 Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table. The Restoration Plan is non-elective, and the participants cannot make contributions to it.

⁽²⁾ All Company contributions to the Restoration Plan are included as compensation in the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table. For Fiscal 2016, there were no Company contributions because the contribution date, January 31, 2017, fell within Fiscal 2017. The Company does not make contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers.

⁽³⁾ Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers earnings represent notional returns on participant-selected investments. Restoration Plan earnings represent an increase in the value of the underlying Company stock during Fiscal 2016 plus dividends that are credited at the same rate, and at the same time, that dividends are paid to all shareholders.

⁽⁴⁾ For the Restoration Plan, amounts in the aggregate balance for Messrs. Menear, Holifield, and Carey and Ms. Tomé of \$398,954, \$83,845, \$234,663, and \$850,890, respectively, were previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table. For the Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers, \$1,853,608 of the aggregate balance amount for Mr. Menear were previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

⁽⁵⁾ The Restoration Plan, an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan, provides management-level associates with a benefit equal to the matching contributions that they would have received under the Company's FutureBuilder 401(k) Plan if certain Internal Revenue Code limitations were not in place. On January 31 of each year, the plan makes an allocation to participant accounts in an amount equal to the participant's eligible earnings (generally, salary plus annual cash incentive award) during the prior calendar year minus the Internal Revenue Code limit for tax-qualified plans (\$265,000 for 2016) multiplied by the current Company match level of 3.5%. This amount is then converted to units representing shares of the Company's common stock. Stock units credited to a participant's account are also credited with dividend equivalents at the same time, and in the same amount, as dividends are paid to shareholders. Participant account balances vest at the same time their account in the Company's tax-qualified FutureBuilder 401(k) Plan vests, which provides for 100% cliff vesting after three years of service. A participant's vested account balance is payable in shares of common stock on retirement or other employment termination. In-service withdrawals are not permitted.

⁽⁶⁾ The Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan that allows officers to defer payment of up to 50% of base salary and up to 100% of annual incentive compensation until retirement or other employment termination. The Company makes no contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers. Participants may also elect an in-service distribution during a designated calendar year or over a period of not more than ten years, or upon a change in control of the Company. Commencing at retirement after age 60 or one year thereafter, payment is made, at the participant's election, in a single sum or equal annual installment payments over a period of not more than ten years, provided that distribution in a single sum is automatically made on termination for reasons other than retirement or disability. Participants direct the manner in which their account balances are deemed invested among an array of investment funds, and notional earnings are credited to participant accounts based on fund returns. Accounts are 100% vested at all times.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason

The employment arrangements with Messrs. Menear, Holifield, and Carey and Ms. Campbell do not entitle them to any severance payments upon employment termination. They would, however, be entitled to any vested benefits under Company plans in which they participate. Messrs. Menear, Holifield, and Carey are subject to non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions for 24 months and 36 months post-termination, respectively, and Ms. Campbell is subject to non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions for 18 months and 24 months post-termination, respectively. Each named executive officer is also subject to post-termination confidentiality restrictions.

The following table sets forth the estimated value of benefits that Ms. Tomé would be entitled to receive, assuming a termination of employment by the Company without cause or by Ms. Tomé for good reason as of January 29, 2017, the last day of Fiscal 2016. She would also be entitled to any vested benefits under Company plans in which she participates, including amounts under the Restoration Plan as set forth in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on page 56 of this Proxy Statement. Ms. Tomé is not entitled to payment of any benefits upon termination for cause or resignation without good reason other than for accrued compensation earned prior to employment termination and any vested benefits under Company plans in which she participates.

| TERMINATION WITHOUT CAUSE OR FOR GOOD REASON | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|------------|
| Name | Value of Salary Continuation (\$) | Value of Equity Awards Vesting on Termination (\$) | Total (\$) |
| Carol B. Tomé | 2,170,000 | 28,426,341 | 30,596,341 |

Under Ms. Tomé's employment arrangement, pursuant to provisions that were adopted in 2001, in the event her employment is terminated by the Company without cause, or by Ms. Tomé for good reason, the Company would continue to pay her base salary for 24 months in accordance with the Company's normal payroll practices, subject to any delay necessary to comply with the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. Also, vesting would be accelerated on her outstanding restricted stock and stock option awards that would otherwise have vested during the salary continuation period (160,877 options with an intrinsic value of \$8,841,165 and 141,583 shares of restricted stock with a value of \$19,585,176 at the end of Fiscal 2016, based upon the closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017). Because Ms. Tomé is retirement eligible, any remaining unvested equity at the end of the salary continuation period would be non-forfeitable, but would continue to be subject to time-based and/or performance-based vesting requirements, as described below under "—Termination Due to Retirement" on page 60.

Termination for cause by the Company under this arrangement generally means that the executive: (a) has engaged in conduct that constitutes willful gross neglect or willful gross misconduct with respect to employment duties that results in material economic harm to the Company, (b) has been convicted of a felony involving theft or moral turpitude, or (c) has violated Company policies. Termination of employment for good reason by the executive generally means the occurrence of certain events without the executive's consent, including: (a) the assignment to a principal office outside of the Atlanta metropolitan area, (b) decrease in base salary or failure to pay the agreed-upon compensation, or (c) cessation of a direct reporting relationship to the CEO.

In exchange for the foregoing severance payments, Ms. Tomé agreed that during the term of her employment and for 24 months thereafter, she will not, without the prior written consent of the Company, be employed by or otherwise participate in the management of competitors of the Company. She also agreed not to solicit any employee of the Company to accept a position with another entity during the 36-month period following termination.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Change in Control

The Company does not maintain change in control agreements for its executives. However, equity awards made prior to Fiscal 2013 to salaried associates, including the named executive officers, generally provide for accelerated vesting of the award upon a change in control of the Company. As noted above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the LDC Committee adopted a new form of award agreement in February 2013 that no longer provides for automatic acceleration of vesting of awards solely upon a change in control. Awards granted beginning in Fiscal 2013 vest if the executive's employment is terminated without cause within 12 months following the change in control.

The following table sets forth the estimated value that the named executive officers would be entitled to receive due to accelerated vesting of outstanding awards assuming a change in control of the Company as of January 29, 2017, both with and without a termination of employment.

| Name | CHANGE IN CONTROL | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Change in Control Only | Change in Control Followed by Termination Without Cause | | |
| | Value of Restricted Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Value of Additional Restricted Stock and Option Awards Vesting on Termination (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Value of Performance Shares Vesting on Termination (\$) ⁽³⁾ | Total Assuming Change in Control AND Termination of Employment (\$) |
| Craig A. Menear | 4,448,786 | 23,687,558 | 5,933,527 | 34,069,871 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 20,618,125 | 11,671,118 | 3,008,539 | 35,297,782 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 1,159,880 | 4,412,792 | 1,152,981 | 6,725,653 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 1,672,015 | 7,187,556 | 1,666,600 | 10,526,171 |
| Matthew A. Carey | 2,592,502 | 8,192,586 | 1,791,788 | 12,576,876 |

⁽¹⁾ Value reflects outstanding shares of restricted stock granted prior to Fiscal 2013, multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017, and the intrinsic value as of January 29, 2017 of outstanding unvested stock options granted prior to Fiscal 2013, using the closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017.

⁽²⁾ Value reflects outstanding shares of restricted stock granted in Fiscal 2013 through Fiscal 2016, multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017, and the intrinsic value as of January 29, 2017 of outstanding unvested stock options granted in Fiscal 2013 through Fiscal 2016, using the closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017.

⁽³⁾ Value reflects the following: (a) for the Fiscal 2015-2017 performance share award, (i) shares that would have been earned based on 132.7% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016 multiplied by a ratio of 728 days in the performance period through January 29, 2017 to 1,092 total days in the performance period, plus (ii) target performance shares multiplied by the ratio of 364 days remaining in the performance period after January 29, 2017 to 1,092 total days in the performance period; and (b) for the Fiscal 2016-2018 performance share award, (i) shares that would have been earned based on 77.1% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016 multiplied by a ratio of 364 days in the performance period through January 29, 2017 to 1,092 total days in the performance period, plus (ii) target performance shares multiplied by the ratio of 728 days remaining in the performance period after January 29, 2017 to 1,092 total days in the performance period. In each case, the number of performance shares obtained is multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017 to determine the value as of the end of Fiscal 2016. Amounts include dividend equivalents accrued through the end of Fiscal 2016 converted into additional performance shares. Amounts do not include the value of the Fiscal 2014-2016 award because it was earned as of January 29, 2017, the last day of the performance period, and would be received regardless of whether there was a change in control.

⁽⁴⁾ Upon termination without cause or for good reason, Ms. Tomé would also be entitled to salary continuation benefits in the amount of \$2,170,000 as described in the preceding table.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Termination Due to Death or Disability

Equity awards made to salaried associates, including the named executive officers, generally provide for accelerated vesting of the award upon employment termination due to death or disability. The following table sets forth the estimated value of benefits that the named executive officers would be entitled to receive assuming death or disability as of January 29, 2017. In addition, the named executive officers would be entitled to receive vested benefits under Company plans in which they participate, including amounts under the Restoration Plan and, if applicable, the Deferred Compensation Plan For Officers, as set forth in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on page 56 of this Proxy Statement.

| DEATH OR DISABILITY | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| Name | Value of Restricted Stock and Option Awards (\$)⁽¹⁾ | Value of Performance Shares (\$) | Death Benefit (\$)⁽⁴⁾ | Total (\$) |
| Craig A. Menear | 28,136,345 | 3,222,536 ⁽²⁾ | 400,000 | 31,758,881 |
| Carol B. Tomé | 32,289,243 | 2,971,052 ⁽³⁾ | 400,000 | 35,660,295 |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 5,572,672 | 539,764 ⁽²⁾ | 400,000 | 6,512,436 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 8,859,572 | 1,646,404 ⁽³⁾ | 400,000 | 10,905,976 |
| Matthew A. Carey | 10,785,089 | 981,175 ⁽²⁾ | 400,000 | 12,166,264 |

⁽¹⁾ Value reflects outstanding restricted stock at the end of Fiscal 2016, multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017, and outstanding unvested stock options based on the intrinsic value as of January 29, 2017, using the closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017.

⁽²⁾ Value reflects the following: (a) for the Fiscal 2015-2017 performance share award, the prorated portion of shares that would have been earned based on 132.7% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016 multiplied by a ratio of 728 days in the performance period through January 29, 2017 to 1,092 total days in the performance period; and (b) for the Fiscal 2016-2018 performance share award, the prorated portion of shares that would have been earned based on 77.1% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016 multiplied by a ratio of 364 days in the performance period through January 29, 2017 to 1,092 total days in the performance period. The number of performance shares obtained is multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017 to determine the value as of the end of Fiscal 2016. Amounts include dividend equivalents accrued through the end of Fiscal 2016 converted into additional performance shares. Amounts do not include the value of the Fiscal 2014-2016 award because it was earned as of January 29, 2017, the last day of the performance period, and would be received regardless of the individual's death or disability.

⁽³⁾ Value reflects the following: (a) for the Fiscal 2015-2017 performance share award, the shares that would have been earned based on 132.7% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016; and (b) for the Fiscal 2016-2018 performance share award, the shares that would have been earned based on 77.1% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016. The number of performance shares obtained is multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017 to determine the value as of the end of Fiscal 2016. Amounts include dividend equivalents accrued through the end of Fiscal 2016 converted into additional performance shares. Amounts do not include the value of the Fiscal 2014-2016 award because it was earned as of January 29, 2017, the last day of the performance period, and would be received regardless of the individual's death or disability.

⁽⁴⁾ Value reflects a \$400,000 death benefit, which is only paid out upon death, not disability.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Termination Due to Retirement

The majority of equity awards made to salaried associates, including the named executive officers, provide that the awards are no longer forfeitable upon retirement on or after age 60 with five years of continuous service with the Company. As of January 29, 2017, Ms. Tomé and Mr. Holifield were the only named executive officers who had met this condition. The following table sets forth the estimated value of benefits that Ms. Tomé and Mr. Holifield would be entitled to receive assuming termination due to retirement as of January 29, 2017. Ms. Tomé and Mr. Holifield will also be entitled to amounts under the Restoration Plan as set forth in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on page 56 of this Proxy Statement.

| RETIREMENT | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|------------|
| Name | Value of Restricted Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Value of Performance Shares (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Total (\$) |
| Carol B. Tomé | 15,274,653 | 2,971,052 | 18,245,705 |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 8,859,572 | 1,646,404 | 10,505,976 |

⁽¹⁾ Value reflects restricted stock grants that have the retirement eligibility provision described above and that are outstanding at the end of Fiscal 2016, multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017, and unvested stock options that have the retirement eligibility provision, based on the intrinsic value as of January 29, 2017, using the closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017. The restricted stock grants would remain non-transferable, and the stock options would remain non-exercisable, until the time-based vesting dates.

⁽²⁾ Value reflects the following: (a) for the Fiscal 2015-2017 performance share award, the shares that would have been earned based on 132.7% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016; and (b) for the Fiscal 2016-2018 performance share award, the shares that would have been earned based on 77.1% actual performance at the end of Fiscal 2016. The number of performance shares obtained is multiplied by a closing stock price of \$138.33 on January 27, 2017 to determine the value as of the end of Fiscal 2016. Amounts include dividend equivalents accrued through the end of Fiscal 2016 converted into additional performance shares. Amounts do not include the value of the Fiscal 2014-2016 award because it was earned as of January 29, 2017, the last day of the performance period, and would be received regardless of the individual's termination.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in First Column) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders ⁽¹⁾ | 10,080,425 ⁽²⁾ | \$70.69 ⁽³⁾ | 156,681,244 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Security Holders ⁽⁵⁾ | 200,222 ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ — ⁽⁷⁾ | 18,986,319 ⁽⁸⁾ |
| Total | 10,280,647 | | 175,667,563 |

⁽¹⁾ These plans are the Company's 1997 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan ("1997 Plan"), the Omnibus Plan, the ESPP and The Home Depot, Inc. Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Stock Compensation Plan (the "Directors Plan"). The Directors Plan allows the Company's outside directors to elect to defer their cash retainers and meeting fees for deferred stock units payable in shares of the Company's common stock on termination of Board service. Meeting fees were eliminated for meetings held after August 16, 2007.

⁽²⁾ Includes an aggregate of 8,720,194 stock options under the Omnibus Plan, 5,371 deferred shares or deferred stock units under the 1997 Plan, 716,081 deferred shares or deferred stock units under the Omnibus Plan, 547,884 performance shares under the Omnibus Plan and 90,895 deferred stock units credited to participant accounts under the Directors Plan. Does not include 116,832 outstanding restricted shares granted under the 1997 Plan and 4,786,738 outstanding restricted shares granted under the Omnibus Plan.

⁽³⁾ Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options; excludes deferred shares, deferred stock units, deferred stock rights, performance shares and shares of restricted stock under the 1997 and Omnibus Plans, deferred stock units under the Directors Plan and rights to purchase shares under the ESPP.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents 133,459,593 shares under the Omnibus Plan, 21,223,545 shares under the ESPP (see Note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements included in the 2016 Form 10-K and Exhibit 10.11 to the 2016 Form 10-K) and 1,998,106 shares under the Directors Plan.

⁽⁵⁾ These plans are the Company's Non-U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "Non-U.S. ESPP") (see Note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the 2016 Form 10-K and Exhibit 10.11 to the 2016 Form 10-K) and the Restoration Plan (see Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the Company's 2016 Form 10-K and Exhibits 10.7 and 10.8 to the 2016 Form 10-K).

⁽⁶⁾ Represents deferred stock units under the Restoration Plan referred to in footnote 5.

⁽⁷⁾ Outstanding equity consists solely of rights to purchase shares under the Non-U.S. ESPP and deferred stock units granted under the Restoration Plan; therefore, there is no weighted-average exercise price.

⁽⁸⁾ Represents shares available under the Non-U.S. ESPP.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Our philosophy with respect to director compensation is to align the interests of non-employee directors with the interests of our shareholders. To implement this philosophy, our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the annual retainer for non-employee directors must be at least two-thirds equity. The Company presently provides 82% of each director's annual retainer in Company equity. Furthermore, consistent with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, director equity awards stipulate that shares of Company stock must continue to be held until the director retires from the Board or for one year after Board service ends for any reason other than ordinary Board retirement (at or after age 72), death, disability or a change in control of the Company.

Each non-employee director who was a Board member during Fiscal 2016 received an annual retainer of \$280,000 as of the date of the 2016 annual meeting, other than Jeffery H. Boyd, who received his annual retainer as of the date of his election to the Board on October 6, 2016. The annual retainer was paid in the following manner:

- \$230,000 in the form of deferred shares granted under the Omnibus Plan; and
- \$50,000 in the form of cash or deferred stock units under the Directors Plan, at the election of the director.

The deferred shares and deferred stock units, together with dividend equivalents that accrue thereon, are payable in shares of the Company's common stock following termination of Board service. Director compensation is paid for the 12-month period commencing with each annual meeting of shareholders. A pro rata portion of annual director compensation is paid to directors who become Board members after the annual meeting as follows: 100% for appointments on or before the six-month anniversary of the annual meeting, 50% after the six-month but not later than the nine-month anniversary of the annual meeting, and 25% after the nine-month anniversary of the annual meeting.

For Fiscal 2016, on the date of the 2016 annual meeting the non-employee directors who served as Chairs of the Board committees also received the following amounts:

| Committee | Chair Retainer Amount |
|---|-----------------------|
| Audit | \$25,000 |
| Finance | \$15,000 |
| Leadership Development and Compensation | \$20,000 |
| Nominating and Corporate Governance | \$15,000 |

Board committee Chair retainers were payable in cash or deferred stock units under the Directors Plan, at the election of the director.

The Lead Director also receives \$80,000 in the form of cash or deferred stock units under the Directors Plan. To meet the two-thirds equity requirement in the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Lead Director must elect to receive at least 7.7% of the aggregate of his cash retainers in the form of deferred stock units under the Directors Plan, with the remainder paid in the form of cash or deferred stock units under the Directors Plan, at the election of the Lead Director. For Fiscal 2016, our Lead Director elected to receive 100% of his annual cash Board retainer in deferred stock units under the Directors Plan.

The Company also pays (or provides for reimbursement of) the travel and accommodation expenses of directors and, when requested by the Company, their spouses, to attend Board meetings, conduct store visits and participate in other corporate functions.

The Company maintains a program through which it will match up to \$10,000 of charitable donations made by each director, including the Chairman, for each calendar year. In addition, the Company will match up to \$5,000 of any non-employee director's contribution to the Company's political action committee with a donation to a charitable organization of the director's choice. The directors do not receive any financial benefit from these programs because the charitable deductions accrue solely to the Company. Donations under the programs are not made to any charity from which the director (or a party related to the director) directly or indirectly receives compensation.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to or earned during Fiscal 2016 by our non-employee directors who served during Fiscal 2016.

| DIRECTOR COMPENSATION | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------|
| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Stock Awards (\$) ^{(2) (4)} | Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾ | Total (\$) |
| Gerard J. Arpey | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | 15,000 | 295,000 |
| Ari Bousbib | 65,000 | 230,000 | – | 5,000 | 300,000 |
| Jeffery H. Boyd | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | – | 280,000 |
| Gregory D. Brenneman | 130,000 | 230,000 | – | 20,000 | 380,000 |
| J. Frank Brown | 75,000 | 230,000 | – | 10,000 | 315,000 |
| Albert P. Carey | 70,000 | 230,000 | – | 15,000 | 315,000 |
| Armando Codina | 65,000 | 230,000 | – | – | 295,000 |
| Helena B. Foulkes | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | 15,000 | 295,000 |
| Linda R. Gooden | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | 15,000 | 295,000 |
| Wayne M. Hewett | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | 10,000 | 290,000 |
| Karen L. Katen | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | – | 280,000 |
| Mark Vadon | 50,000 | 230,000 | – | 10,000 | 290,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Fees earned or paid in cash vary because, in addition to the \$50,000 annual retainer, they include retainers for Chair and Lead Director positions. Messrs. Boyd, Bousbib, Brenneman, Brown, Carey, Codina, Hewett and Vadon and Ms. Foulkes deferred 100% and Ms. Gooden and Ms. Katen deferred 20% and 50%, respectively, of their annual cash Board retainers under the Directors Plan, which retainers were converted into stock units that are payable in shares of Company common stock following termination of Board service. Messrs. Bousbib, Codina and Carey deferred 100% of their committee Chair retainers. Dividend equivalents are credited on stock units in the Directors Plan at the same rate, and at the same time, that dividends are paid to shareholders.

⁽²⁾ Amounts set forth in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted in Fiscal 2016 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of the deferred share award granted during Fiscal 2016 is set forth in the following table, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 based on the closing stock price on the grant date. There were no deferred share forfeitures by the directors during Fiscal 2016.

| Grant Date | Shares (#) | Value (\$) | Directors Who Received |
|------------|------------|------------|--|
| 05/19/2016 | 1,746 | 230,000 | Arpey, Bousbib, Brenneman, Brown, Carey, Codina, Foulkes, Gooden, Hewett, Katen, Vadon |
| 10/06/2016 | 1,767 | 230,000 | Boyd |

⁽³⁾ Amounts reported reflect matching charitable contributions.

⁽⁴⁾ As of the end of Fiscal 2016, our non-employee directors who served during Fiscal 2016 held the following outstanding equity:

| Name | Restricted Stock | Deferred Shares | Deferred Stock Units | Shares Owned Outright | Shares Owned Indirectly | Total |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Gerard J. Arpey | – | 3,783 | – | 1,000 | – | 4,783 |
| Ari Bousbib | – | 64,088 | 16,365 | 10,000 | – | 90,453 |
| Jeffery H. Boyd | – | 1,775 | 386 | 10,000 | 65 | 12,226 |
| Gregory D. Brenneman | 1,332 | 79,203 | 29,206 | 45,000 | 50 | 154,791 |
| J. Frank Brown | – | 22,973 | 4,994 | 1,000 | – | 28,967 |
| Albert P. Carey | – | 56,629 | 7,241 | 1,100 | – | 64,970 |
| Armando Codina | – | 62,821 | 14,105 | 35,650 | – | 112,576 |
| Helena B. Foulkes | – | 10,187 | 2,214 | 313 | – | 12,714 |
| Linda R. Gooden | – | 3,753 | 163 | 380 | – | 4,296 |
| Wayne M. Hewett | – | 6,992 | 848 | 1,050 | – | 8,890 |
| Karen L. Katen | – | 64,088 | 12,243 | 6,500 | – | 82,831 |
| Mark C. Vadon | – | 14,379 | 3,125 | 31,095 | – | 48,599 |

LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Each member of the LDC Committee is independent under SEC rules, NYSE listing standards and the Company's Director Independence Standards set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and attached as Appendix A to this Proxy Statement.

The LDC Committee acts under a written charter which sets forth its responsibilities and duties, as well as requirements for the LDC Committee's composition and meetings. The LDC Committee's primary responsibility is to (a) assist the Board in developing and evaluating potential candidates for executive positions, including the CEO, (b) oversee the development of executive succession plans and (c) approve compensation strategy, including the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Company's senior executive officers, including the CEO, to ensure management is afforded appropriate incentives and rewarded appropriately for contributions to the Company's growth and profitability and that the executive compensation strategy supports the Company's objectives and shareholder interests.

The LDC Committee also oversees management's decisions concerning the performance and compensation of other Company officers, administers the Company's equity-based and incentive-based compensation plans, and regularly evaluates the effectiveness of the Company's overall executive compensation program. In addition, the LDC Committee periodically reviews the compensation and benefits offered to non-employee directors and recommends changes as appropriate.

A more complete description of the LDC Committee's functions is set forth in the LDC Committee charter, which is available on the Company's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.homedepot.com> under "Corporate Governance > Committee Members & Charters" and is also available in print upon request.

The LDC Committee has reviewed and discussed the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based upon such review and discussions, the LDC Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for Fiscal 2016.

This report has been furnished by the current members of the LDC Committee:

- Albert P. Carey, Chair
- Armando Codina
- Helena B. Foulkes
- Linda R. Gooden
- Wayne M. Hewett

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

The following table shows the Company common stock beneficially owned, as of March 3, 2017, by our directors, the named executive officers and our directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise noted, the beneficial owners listed have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown. An asterisk (*) in the Percent of Class column indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1%. The percentage ownership is based on the number of shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 3, 2017.

| Name of Beneficial Owner | Total Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾ | Deferred Shares/ Stock Units ⁽⁶⁾ | Percent of Class |
|--|---|---|------------------|
| Craig A. Menear | 847,987 | 10,157 | * |
| Gerard J. Arpey | 1,000 | 3,784 | * |
| Ari Bousbib | 10,000 | 80,454 | * |
| Jeffery H. Boyd | 10,065 ⁽²⁾ | 2,162 | * |
| Gregory D. Brenneman | 46,382 ⁽³⁾ | 108,410 | * |
| J. Frank Brown | 1,000 | 27,968 | * |
| Albert P. Carey | 1,100 | 63,872 | * |
| Armando Codina | 35,650 | 76,927 | * |
| Helena B. Foulkes | 313 | 12,403 | * |
| Linda R. Gooden | 380 | 3,917 | * |
| Wayne M. Hewett | 1,050 | 7,841 | * |
| Karen L. Katen | 6,500 | 76,331 | * |
| Mark Vadon | 31,095 | 17,505 | * |
| Ann-Marie Campbell | 111,130 | 3,612 | * |
| Matthew A. Carey | 285,520 ⁽⁴⁾ | 4,256 | * |
| Mark Q. Holifield | 95,359 | 6,132 | * |
| Carol B. Tomé | 815,469 | 23,816 | * |
| Directors and executive officers as a group (21 people) | 3,079,657 ⁽⁵⁾ | 547,262 | 0.26% |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the number of shares beneficially owned, which includes equivalent shares credited under our FutureBuilder 401(k) Plan and restricted stock granted under the Omnibus Plan and the 1997 Plan. In addition, these amounts include shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of March 3, 2017 as follows: Craig A. Menear – 708,897; Ann-Marie Campbell – 52,782; Matthew A. Carey – 206,386; Mark Q. Holifield – 66,558; Carol B. Tomé – 265,831; and directors and executive officers as a group (21 people) – 1,754,362. Amounts in this column do not include shares to be received upon settlement of deferred stock units or deferred shares more than 60 days after March 3, 2017, which shares are reflected in the Deferred Shares/Stock Units column of the table. The deferred stock units and deferred shares have no voting rights. Our Securities Laws Policy requires directors and executive officers to pre-clear any pledge of shares of our common stock as security for any indebtedness (including any margin loans), and none of our directors or executive officers has any such pledged shares. Consistent with our anti-hedging policy, described more fully on page 46 in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, none of our directors or executive officers has entered into any hedging transactions with regard to his or her ownership of our common stock.

⁽²⁾ This amount includes 65 shares held by Brothers Brook LLC, of which Mr. Boyd is the Managing Director.

⁽³⁾ This amount includes 50 shares held by a family member.

⁽⁴⁾ This amount includes 13,731 shares held by a family trust.

⁽⁵⁾ This amount includes the shares reflected in footnotes 2-4 above and 60 shares held by a spouse.

⁽⁶⁾ These amounts reflect deferred shares and deferred stock units granted under the Omnibus Plan, deferred stock units granted under the Directors Plan, and stock units granted under the Restoration Plan. None of these amounts are included in the Percent of Class calculation above.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK

The following table contains information about the number of shares of our common stock held as of December 31, 2016 by persons we know to be the beneficial owners of more than five percent of our outstanding common stock. The percentage ownership is based on the number of shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 3, 2017.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percent of Class |
|--|---|------------------|
| Capital World Investors ⁽¹⁾ 333 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 | 81,884,343 | 6.8% |
| BlackRock, Inc. ⁽²⁾ 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055 | 78,329,405 | 6.5% |
| The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355 | 77,162,511 | 6.4% |

⁽¹⁾ Beneficial ownership information is based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2017 by Capital World Investors (“Capital World”), a division of Capital Research and Management Company. At that time, Capital World reported that it is deemed to be the beneficial owner of, and that it has sole voting and dispositive power as to, all of these shares.

⁽²⁾ Beneficial ownership information is based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 24, 2017. BlackRock, Inc. reported that it has sole dispositive power as to all of these shares and sole voting power as to 66,229,569 of these shares.

⁽³⁾ Beneficial ownership information is based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2017. The Vanguard Group, Inc. reported that it has sole dispositive power as to 75,021,140 of these shares, shared dispositive power as to 2,141,371 of these shares, sole voting power as to 1,915,804 of these shares and shared voting power as to 242,483 of these shares.

GENERAL

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Based on a review of reports required to be filed with the SEC and NYSE and furnished to the Company during Fiscal 2016 by directors, officers or beneficial owners of more than ten percent of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, and a review of written certifications provided by them to the Company, we believe that our directors and executive officers timely complied with the requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during Fiscal 2016.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS OR DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

Proposals to Be Included in Next Year's Proxy Statement

To be considered for inclusion in next year's Proxy Statement and form of proxy and acted upon at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, proposals by shareholders for business other than director nominations must be submitted in writing not less than 120 calendar days (December 4, 2017) prior to the anniversary of the date this 2017 Proxy Statement was first sent to shareholders and must comply with the other requirements of SEC Rule 14a-8. Director nominations to be considered for inclusion in next year's Proxy Statement and form of proxy and acted upon at the 2018 Annual Meeting must be received no earlier than 150 calendar days (November 4, 2017) and no later than 120 calendar days (December 4, 2017) prior to the anniversary of the date this 2017 Proxy Statement was first sent to shareholders and must comply with the other requirements of our By-Laws. However, if next year's annual meeting is to be held more than 30 days before or 30 days after the anniversary of this year's annual meeting, notice of the nomination must be received not later than 120 days nor earlier than 150 days prior to next year's annual meeting date, or by the tenth day following the Company's public announcement of next year's annual meeting date.

Proposals Not to Be Included in Next Year's Proxy Statement

Our By-Laws also establish advance notice procedures with regard to shareholder proposals or director nominations that are not submitted for inclusion in the Proxy Statement, but that a shareholder instead wishes to present directly at the 2018 Annual Meeting. For all proposals of business other than director nominations to be considered at next year's annual meeting but not included in the Proxy Statement, notice must be received no earlier than 120 calendar days (January 18, 2018) and no later than 90 calendar days (February 17, 2018) prior to the anniversary of this year's annual meeting. However, if next year's annual meeting is to be held more than 30 days before or 70 days after the anniversary of this year's annual meeting, notice of the proposal must be received not later than 90 days nor earlier than 120 days prior to next year's annual meeting date, or by the tenth day following the Company's public announcement of next year's annual meeting date.

A formal nomination by a shareholder of a candidate for election as a director to be considered at next year's annual meeting but not included in the Proxy Statement must be in writing and received by our Corporate Secretary no earlier than 90 calendar days (February 17, 2018) and no later than 60 calendar days (March 19, 2018) prior to the anniversary of this year's annual meeting. However, if next year's annual meeting is to be held more than 30 days before or 70 days after the anniversary of this year's annual meeting, notice of the nomination must be received not later than 60 days nor earlier than 90 days prior to next year's annual meeting date, or by the tenth day following the Company's public announcement of next year's annual meeting date.

General Requirements

Each proposal submitted must be a proper subject for shareholder action at the meeting, and all proposals and nominations must comply with the requirements of our By-Laws. All proposals and nominations must be submitted to: Corporate Secretary, The Home Depot, Inc., 2455 Paces Ferry Road, Building C-22, Atlanta, Georgia 30339. The shareholder proponent must appear in person to present the proposal or nomination at the meeting or send a qualified representative to present such proposal or nomination. If a shareholder gives notice after the applicable deadlines or otherwise does not satisfy the relevant requirements of SEC Rule 14a-8 or our By-Laws, the shareholder will not be permitted to present the proposal or nomination for a vote at the meeting.

GENERAL

OTHER PROPOSED ACTIONS

We do not know of any matters to be acted upon at the Meeting other than those discussed in this Proxy Statement. If any other items or matters are properly presented before the Meeting, the proxy holders will vote on such matters in their discretion. A proxy granted by a shareholder will give discretionary authority to the proxy holders to vote on any matters introduced pursuant to these procedures, subject to applicable SEC rules.

SOLICITATION OF PROXIES

The Company is paying the full costs of the solicitation of proxies. Proxies may be solicited on behalf of the Board by mail, telephone, other electronic means or in person. D.F. King & Co., Inc. has been retained to assist in soliciting proxies at a fee of \$21,250, plus expenses. We will also reimburse the expenses of brokers, nominees and fiduciaries who send proxies and proxy materials to our shareholders. Additionally, some of our directors, officers or employees may solicit shareholders by mail, telephone, other electronic means or in person. None of these persons will receive any additional or special compensation for doing so.

APPENDIX A

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS

At least two-thirds of the Board of Directors of The Home Depot, Inc. (the “Company”) shall be independent. No director shall qualify as “independent” unless the Board of Directors affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). In making such determination, the Board of Directors shall consider the factors identified below, as well as such other factors that the Board of Directors may deem relevant. A director will not be deemed independent if:

1. the director is employed by the Company or any of its affiliates (as used herein, such term shall have the meaning set forth in Rule 144(a)(1) promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended) or was employed by the Company or any of its affiliates at any time during the preceding three years;
2. the director is a member of the immediate family of an individual who is, or has been, employed by the Company or any of its affiliates as an executive officer (as used herein, such term shall have the same meaning as the term “officer” in Rule 16a-1(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”)) at any time during the preceding three years;
3. (a) the director presently receives, or his or her immediate family member receives, more than \$120,000 in any consecutive 12-month period in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service), or (b) the director or the director’s immediate family member had received such compensation during any consecutive 12-month period within the preceding three years;
4. (a) the director or his or her immediate family member is presently a partner of a firm that is the Company’s internal or external auditor; (b) the director is presently an employee of such firm; (c) the director’s immediate family member is presently an employee of such firm and personally works on the Company’s audit; or (d) the director or his or her immediate family member was within the preceding three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such firm and personally worked on the Company’s audit during such three year period;
5. (a) the director is presently an executive officer or an employee, or his or her immediate family member is an executive officer, of another company (including any tax-exempt organization) that makes payments to, or receives payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, exceeds \$1 million or 2 percent of such other company’s consolidated gross revenues for its last fiscal year, whichever is greater, or (b) the Company and the company of which the director is an executive officer or employee or his or her immediate family member is an executive officer had such relationship within the preceding three years;
6. the director is affiliated with, or his or her immediate family member is affiliated with, a paid advisor or consultant to the Company;
7. the director has, or his or her immediate family member has, a personal services contract with the Company;
8. the director has any interest in an investment that the director jointly acquired in conjunction with the Company;
9. the director or his or her immediate family member is employed and compensated by a foundation, university or other nonprofit institution that has received significant charitable contributions from the Company that are disclosed or will be required to be disclosed in the Company’s proxy statement; and
10. (a) the director is presently employed, or his or her immediate family member is presently employed, as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company’s present executive officers serves on that company’s compensation committee, or (b) such director or his or her immediate family member was employed in such capacity within the preceding three years.

In addition to being independent as determined by the Board of Directors in accordance with the factors set forth above, (a) members of the Audit Committee may not (i) receive, directly or indirectly, any compensation other than directors’ fees from the Company, or (ii) be an “affiliated person” of the Company or any of its subsidiaries as such term is defined under Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act and (b) members of the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee (the “LDCC”) must qualify as “outside directors” as such term is defined under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and “non-employee directors” as such term is defined under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act. In addition, members of the LDCC must meet the independence standards for compensation committee members under applicable New York Stock Exchange listing standards and cannot be executive officers of a public company at which an executive officer of the Company serves as a member of such public company’s compensation committee.



PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER