### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Before the

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 Release No. 9374 / December 17, 2012

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 Release No. 3514 / December 17, 2012

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-15134

In The Matter Of

ALADDIN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC AND ALADDIN CAPITAL LLC,

Respondents.

ORDER INSTITUTING
CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 8A OF THE
SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 AND
SECTION 203(k) OF THE
INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF
1940, MAKING FINDINGS, AND
IMPOSING A CEASE-AND-DESIST
ORDER

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate that cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and Section 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") against Aladdin Capital Management LLC ("Aladdin Management") and Aladdin Capital LLC ("Aladdin Capital" and collectively, with Aladdin Management, "Aladdin" or "Respondents").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondents have submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission's jurisdiction over them and the subject matter of these proceedings, which are admitted, Respondents consent to the entry of this Order Instituting Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing a Cease-and-Desist Order ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondents' Offer, the Commission finds that:

### A. SUMMARY

- 1. This case involves complex structured financial products known as collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"). CDOs are securities backed by debt obligations including, for example, subprime residential mortgage-backed securities. The underlying mortgage-backed, or other, securities are packaged and generally held by a special purpose vehicle that issues notes entitling their holders to payments derived from the underlying assets.
- 2. In late 2006, Aladdin Management marketed to its clients two CDOs that it was managing and stated that it would co-invest in the same CDOs. Aladdin Management did not co-invest as it represented. Aladdin Capital collected a placement fee from the CDOs' underwriters. From 2007 to 2010, after three clients had invested in these two CDOs, Aladdin Management erroneously continued to inform its clients that Aladdin Management co-invests alongside them.

### B. RESPONDENTS

- 3. **Aladdin Management** is an SEC-registered investment adviser based in Stamford, Connecticut. During the relevant time period, Aladdin Management had assets under management of approximately \$20 billion, which consisted predominantly of cash and synthetic CDOs, collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), several credit hedge funds, and separately managed accounts. Aladdin Management's typical practice was to act as the collateral manager for CDOs and CLOs underwritten by major investment banks.
- 4. **Aladdin Capital**, during the relevant time period, was an SEC-registered broker-dealer based in Stamford, Connecticut. Aladdin Capital primarily placed CDO and CLO securities. On January 30, 2012, Aladdin Capital filed a Form BDW with the Commission, thus seeking to terminate its registration as a broker-dealer. It became effective on March 30, 2012.

### C. FACTS

### **Aladdin's Advisory Program and Marketing Statements**

5. In 2005, Aladdin Management began an investment advisory program called the "Multiple Asset Securitized Tranche" ("MAST") program. Under the MAST program, Aladdin Management and its clients signed investment management agreements under which Aladdin Management agreed to render investment management services, and the client agreed to commit to invest in the equity tranche of certain upcoming CDO or CLO deals that would be managed by Aladdin Management. Aladdin Management represented to its MAST clients that it was serving as their investment adviser and

disclosed to the Commission on its Form ADV that MAST accounts were a type of separately managed account. Aladdin Management did not receive advisory fees directly from MAST clients; rather Aladdin Management informed its clients and the Commission on its Form ADV that Aladdin Management would be compensated from the management fees that it earned through managing the CDOs that its clients invested in. Aladdin Capital placed the equity interest with the client and typically received a negotiated (usually tenpercent) placement fee or commission from the CDOs' or CLOs' underwriter for doing so.

- 6. When marketing the MAST program, Aladdin Management and Aladdin Capital stated that Aladdin Management would co-invest in the same equity tranches of each CDO or CLO alongside its clients. Aladdin Management's co-investment representation was a key feature of, and selling point for, the MAST program. For example, Aladdin Management explained in marketing material that, "[w]e align our interests with MAST investors by co-investing in every transaction with them. And don't forget, we are not investing in other firms' transactions. Instead, we only invest in deals where we can control the management of the collateral - our own programs." In the same marketing piece, Aladdin Management also posed the question, "[w]hy is an investor better off just investing in Aladdin sponsored CLOs and CDOs?" Aladdin Management answered by emphasizing that the "most powerful response I can give to your question is that Aladdin co-invests alongside MAST investors in every program. Putting meaningful 'skin in the game' as we do means our financial interests are aligned with those of our MAST investors." Aladdin Capital also emphasized that Aladdin Management would coinvest in the same products when marketing specific CDOs to potential MAST participants.
- 7. In addition, Aladdin Management continued to represent that it co-invests in the same CDOs as its clients after those clients had made their investments. Investment reports sent to clients each quarter indicated that: "Aladdin Capital Management co-invests in each of the CDO equity investments represented in the MAST program." The standard investment management agreement and the quarterly MAST investor reports also indicated that Aladdin Management acted as a fiduciary to the MAST program.
- 8. As Aladdin Management explained in its marketing materials, co-investing in the same CDO deals as its clients was one of the most "powerful" statements it could make because it showed that Aladdin Management had "skin in the game" and that its financial interests were aligned with those of its clients. Also, because the equity tranche of the CDOs were typically the riskiest tranche and in the first loss position, having the CDO manager as an investor in the same tranche gave investors additional assurances that they could trust the CDO.

# Aladdin Management Stated That It Would Co-Invest Alongside Each MAST Participant But Failed To Co-Invest In the Fortius and Citius CDOs

9. Despite the centrality of its representations that it would co-invest, and had co-invested, in CDOs alongside its MAST clients, Aladdin Management failed to co-invest in two CDOs that it offered to MAST clients in late 2006. In particular, Aladdin Management failed to co-invest in the Fortius II Funding, Ltd. ("Fortius") CDO and the Citius II Funding Ltd. ("Citius") CDO despite the fact that three MAST clients invested in the equity tranches of those CDOs.

# Aladdin Management Stated it Would Co-Invest Alongside A Municipal Retirement Plan in the Fortius CDO

- 10. One potential MAST client that Aladdin pursued was a retirement plan for approximately 10,000 employees of a municipal transportation agency (the "Municipal Retirement Plan"). Aladdin Management and Aladdin Capital began pitching the MAST program to the Municipal Retirement Plan in 2005 or 2006.
- 11. When pitching the MAST program, Aladdin Management and Aladdin Capital emphasized that Aladdin Management would co- invest alongside the Municipal Retirement Plan's CDO investments. Aladdin made such representations at key points in the Municipal Retirement Plan's decision-making process. For example, in early 2006, Aladdin highlighted to the Municipal Retirement Plan that Aladdin Management invested alongside MAST participants in the same investments. Subsequently, in April 2006, one of the Municipal Retirement Plan's representatives recommended that the Plan invest in MAST in part based on the "key feature" that MAST had a "significant alignment of interest with [Aladdin Management] co-investing alongside MAST investors."
- 12. During the process of soliciting the Municipal Retirement Plan's investment in the MAST program, Aladdin Management and Aladdin Capital continued to emphasize the co-investment benefit of the MAST program. In particular:
  - a. On May 11, 2006, Aladdin Capital registered representatives presented an "Aladdin overview" and "MAST summary" to the Municipal Retirement Plan. One of those employees stated that Aladdin Management co-invests in the equity tranche of CDOs in the MAST program, up to at least ten percent of the client's MAST investment;
  - b. On May 12, 2006, a registered representative of Aladdin Capital sent an email to the Municipal Retirement Plan stating that: "As [another former employee of Aladdin] mentioned yesterday, Aladdin also coinvests in the identical equity tranches up to at least 10 % of your MAST allocation, further aligning our economic interests with those of our clients in this regard;"

- c. On July 18, 2006, another Aladdin Capital registered representative (who was also an Aladdin Management employee) emailed to the Municipal Retirement Plan a MAST "executive summary" and other marketing material. Both documents highlighted Aladdin Management's co-investment representation. In the cover email, the employee noted: "[a]s outlined in the enclosures, we also co-investment [sic] in the same CDO equity tranches as our clients, which we believe helps to align our economic interests"; and
- d. On August 3, 2006, Aladdin Management provided the Municipal Retirement Plan with a sample MAST report, which contained the coinvestment representation.
- 13. Even after the Municipal Retirement Plan signed an investment agreement to participate in the MAST program, Aladdin continued to represent that it would invest alongside it in the particular CDO programs it chose to invest in.
- 14. Aladdin Capital offered the Municipal Retirement Plan an investment in the Fortius CDO. On November 21, 2006, Aladdin Capital specifically informed the Municipal Retirement Plan that Aladdin Management would co-invest in Fortius. On December 6, 2006, the Municipal Retirement Plan purchased \$5,000,000 in the equity tranche of the Fortius CDO directly from the underwriter of the Fortius CDO. Aladdin Management did not make the required \$500,000 co-investment in the Fortius CDO.
- Retirement Plan that it would co-invest in the Fortius CDO, it had taken no steps to make that co-investment. To the contrary, by November 3, 2006, which was the pricing date for the Fortius CDO, Aladdin knew that the Fortius CDO's underwriter had preliminarily allocated \$5,000,000 of the equity tranche of the Fortius CDO to Aladdin and/or its clients. A former Aladdin employee informed other former employees of Aladdin that the Municipal Retirement Plan would purchase the entire \$5 million allocation. Aladdin Management took no steps, either internally or in communications with the Fortius CDO's underwriter, to reserve for itself a portion of the equity tranche of the Fortius CDO. To the contrary, during November 2006, Aladdin Capital communicated to the underwriter of the Fortius CDO that the Municipal Retirement Plan would instead purchase Aladdin's entire allocation of the Fortius CDO's equity tranche.
- 16. Soon after the Municipal Retirement Plan's \$5 million purchase of the Fortius CDO, Aladdin Capital communicated with the underwriter for the Fortius CDO and requested that it be paid the previously agreed-upon 10 percent placement fee (in the amount of \$500,000) for its work in placing Fortius securities with the Municipal Retirement Plan. The underwriter paid Aladdin Capital that fee in December 2006.
- 17. From 2007 to 2010, Aladdin Management sent periodic investment reports to the Municipal Retirement Plan reflecting the performance of the Plan's investment in

the Fortius CDO. The investment reports indicated that Aladdin Management co-invests in each CDO investment made as part of the MAST program. This representation was inaccurate, and it was contained in numerous investment reports.

## Aladdin Management Stated It Would Co-Invest Alongside Two Other MAST Program Participants in the Citius CDO

- 18. In December 2006, Aladdin Capital sold investments in the equity tranche of the Citius CDO to two MAST participants. The two clients were a pension plan for a chain of retail stores (the "Pension Plan"), and an individual entrepreneur who invested his personal funds and also the funds of his charitable foundation in the MAST program (the "Individual Client").
- 19. Both the Pension Plan and the Individual Client decided to participate in the MAST program, and were informed that Aladdin Management would co-invest alongside them in each CDO investment they made as part of the MAST program.
- 20. Specifically, in 2005, Aladdin Management sent the Individual Client a "letter of intent" concerning his plan to participate in the MAST program and thus invest in CDOs managed by Aladdin Management. The letter of intent contained a provision representing that "Aladdin will co-invest with Client in the identical Preference Share equity tranches in the MAST program. Aladdin's investment, in aggregate, will be equal to at least 10 % of Client's total Preference Share investment in the MAST program." After receiving this letter, the Individual Client agreed to participate in the MAST program, and invested in several CDOs and CLOs in late 2005 and early 2006. Throughout 2006, Aladdin Management sent the Individual Client MAST investment reports indicating that Aladdin Management co-invests in each CDO investment made as part of the MAST program.
- 21. Beginning in September 2006, Aladdin Capital offered the Individual Client an investment in the Citius CDO. On December 1, 2006, Aladdin Capital on behalf of the Individual Client purchased \$4,100,000 in the equity tranche of the Citius CDO from the underwriter of the Citius CDO. Subsequently, in early 2007, Aladdin Capital transferred the entire investment to the Individual Client. Aladdin Management did not make the required \$410,000 co-investment in the Citius CDO.
- 22. Despite its statements in MAST materials about its co-investments with clients, Aladdin Management took no steps to co-invest in the Citius CDO. In mid-2006, when Aladdin Management was engaged to manage the Citius CDO by its underwriter, Aladdin Management committed to the underwriter that it or its clients would purchase at least 25% of the \$16 million equity tranche of the Citius CDO.
- 23. By September 26, 2006, Aladdin Management had taken no action to reserve for itself a portion of the equity tranche of the Citius CDO. Another party had agreed to underwrite \$8 million in the equity and Aladdin Capital sought to place the remaining \$8 million with its own customers for a placement fee without investing any of

its own money. On September 26, 2006, a former Aladdin executive informed the underwriter for the Citius CDO that Aladdin Capital wanted to "soft circle the remaining 4.1 mm in equity and get paid 10 pts [points]" for selling it. In response to the underwriter's question about when Aladdin Capital would be firm on selling the remaining portion of the equity tranche, the former Aladdin executive responded that he should know by the end of the "week" and could know by "tomorrow."

- 24. Also on September 26, 2006, Aladdin Capital offered a \$4,100,000 investment in the Citius CDO to the Individual Client, and the Individual Client agreed to make that investment.
- 25. Aladdin Management took no steps, either internally or in communications with the Citius CDO's underwriter, to reserve for itself an investment of \$410,000 in the equity tranche of the Citius CDO.
- 26. On or about December 1, 2006, the underwriter for the Citius CDO paid Aladdin Capital a \$410,000 placement fee for its work in placing Citius securities with the Individual Client.
- 27. From 2007 to 2010, Aladdin Management sent periodic investment reports to the Individual Client reflecting the performance of his investment in the Citius CDO. The investment reports indicated that Aladdin Management co-invests in each CDO investment made as part of the MAST program. This representation was inaccurate, and it was contained in numerous investment reports.
- 28. With respect to the Pension Plan, Aladdin pitched the MAST program to this client in July 2005. The Pension Plan received the standard MAST program letter of intent, and other standard MAST marketing materials, which included the co-investment representation.
  - 29. In 2005, the Pension Plan agreed to participate in the MAST program.
- 30. In approximately October 2006, Aladdin Capital offered to sell to the Pension Plan an investment in the equity tranche of the Citius CDO. The Pension Plan agreed to invest in the equity tranche of the Citius CDO, in the amount of \$3,900,000.
- 31. On or about December 1, 2006, the underwriter for the Citius CDO paid Aladdin Capital a \$390,000 placement fee for its work in placing Citius securities with the Pension Plan.

### D. <u>VIOLATIONS</u>

32. Section 17(a)(2) of the Securities Act prohibits any person "in the offer or sale of any securities or securities-based swap agreement . . . [from] obtain[ing] money or property by means of any untrue statement of material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances

under which they were made, not misleading." Scienter is not required to establish violations of Section 17(a)(2). *See Aaron v. SEC*, 446 U.S. 680, 697 (1980). Instead, violations of this section may be established by showing negligent conduct. *SEC v. Hughes Capital Corp.*, 124 F.3d 449, 453-54 (3d Cir. 1997).

- 33. Section 206(2) of the Advisers Act prohibits investment advisers from "engag[ing] in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or prospective client." Proof of scienter is not required to establish a violation of Section 206(2), but rather a violation "may rest on a finding of simple negligence." SEC v. Steadman, 967 F.2d 636, 643 n.5 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (citing SEC v. Capital Gains Research Bur., Inc., 375 U.S. 180, 194-95 (1963)); see also SEC v. Wash. Inv. Network, 475 F.2d 392, 396 (D.C. Cir. 2007).
- 34. As a result of the conduct described above, Aladdin Capital violated Section 17(a)(2) of the Securities Act and Aladdin Management violated Section 206(2) of the Advisers Act.

#### IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondents' Offer.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act and Section 203(k) of the Advisers Act, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- A. Aladdin Management shall cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violation of Section 206(2) of the Advisers Act;
- В. Aladdin shall, jointly and severally, pay disgorgement in the amount of \$900,000 and prejudgment interest in the amount of \$268,831. This sum shall be paid to the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before December 31, 2012. If any payment is not made by the date the payment is required by this Order, the entire outstanding balance of disgorgement and prejudgment interest plus any additional interest accrued pursuant to SEC Rule of Practice 600 shall be due and payable immediately, without further application. Payment must be made in one of the following ways: (1) Respondents may transmit payment electronically to the Commission, which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon request; (2) Respondents may make direct payment from a bank account via Pay.gov through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm; or (3) Respondent may pay by certified check, bank cashier's check, or United States postal money order, made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission and hand-delivered or mailed to Enterprise Services Center, Accounts Receivable Branch, HQ Bldg., Room 181, AMZ-341,6500 South MacArthur Boulevard, Oklahoma City, OK 73169. Payments by check or money order must be accompanied by a cover letter identifying Respondents' names as Respondents in these proceedings, and the file number of these proceedings; a copy of the cover letter and check or money order must be sent to John T. Dugan, Associate Regional Director, Division of

Enforcement, Securities and Exchange Commission, Boston Regional Office, 33 Arch Street, 23rd Floor, Boston, MA 02110;

- C. Aladdin shall, jointly and severally, pay a civil money penalty in the amount of \$450,000. This sum shall be paid to the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before December 31, 2012. If timely payment is not made, additional interest shall accrue pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §3717. Payment must be made in one of the following ways: (1) Respondents may transmit payment electronically to the Commission, which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon request; (2) Respondents may make direct payment from a bank account via Pay.gov through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm; or (3) Respondent may pay by certified check, bank cashier's check, or United States postal money order, made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission and hand-delivered or mailed to Enterprise Services Center, Accounts Receivable Branch, HO Bldg., Room 181, AMZ-341,6500 South MacArthur Boulevard, Oklahoma City, OK 73169. Payments by check or money order must be accompanied by a cover letter identifying Respondents' names as Respondents in these proceedings, and the file number of these proceedings; a copy of the cover letter and check or money order must be sent to John T. Dugan, Associate Regional Director, Division of Enforcement, Securities and Exchange Commission, Boston Regional Office, 33 Arch Street, 23rd Floor, Boston, MA 02110;
- D. Pursuant to Section 308(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, a Fair Fund is created for the disgorgement, prejudgment interest and penalties referenced in paragraphs B and C above. Regardless of whether any such Fair Fund distribution is made, amounts ordered to be paid as civil money penalties pursuant to this Order shall be treated as penalties paid to the government for all purposes, including all tax purposes. To preserve the deterrent effect of the civil penalty, Respondents agree that in any Related Investor Action, they shall not argue that they are entitled to, nor shall they benefit by, offset or reduction of any award of compensatory damages by the amount of any part of Respondents' payment of a civil penalty in this action ("Penalty Offset"). If the court in any Related Investor Action grants such a Penalty Offset, Respondents agree that they shall, within 30 days after entry of a final order granting the Penalty Offset, notify the Commission's counsel in this action and pay the amount of the Penalty Offset to the United States Treasury or to a Fair Fund, as the Commission directs. Such a payment shall not be

deemed an additional civil penalty and shall not be deemed to change the amount of the civil penalty imposed in this proceeding. For purposes of this paragraph, a "Related Investor Action" means a private damages action brought against Respondents by or on behalf of one or more investors based on substantially the same facts as alleged in the Order instituted by the Commission in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy Secretary